Using citizen science to parse climatic and land cover influences on bird occupancy in a tropical biodiversity hotspot
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Disentangling associations between species occupancy and its environmental drivers — climate and land cover — along tropical mountains is imperative to predict species distributional changes in the future. Previous studies have primarily focused on identifying such associations in temperate mountain systems. Using 1.29 million robustly processed citizen science observations contributed to eBird between 2013 and 2021, we examined the role of climatic and landscape variables and its association with bird species occurrence within a tropical biodiversity hotspot, the southern Western Ghats in India. Using an occupancy modeling framework, we found that temperature seasonality, precipitation seasonality, and the proportion of evergreen forests were significantly associated with species-specific probabilities of occupancy for 78% (n=43 birds), 38% (n=21 birds), and 27% (n=15 birds) of bird species examined, respectively. Our study shows that several forest birds (n=18 species) were negatively associated with temperature seasonality, highlighting narrow thermal niches for such species. The probability of occupancy of six forest species and eight generalist species was positively associated with precipitation seasonality, indicating potential associations between rainfall and resource availability, and thereby, species occurrence. A smaller number of largely generalist species (n=9 birds) were positively associated with human-modified land cover types — including the proportion of agriculture/settlements and plantations. Our study shows that rigorously filtered citizen science observations can be used to identify associations between environmental drivers and species occupancy on tropical mountains. Though current distributions of tropical montane birds of the Western Ghats are strongly associated with climatic factors (mainly, temperature seasonality), naturally occurring land cover types (forests) are critical to sustaining montane avifauna across human-modified landscapes in the long run.
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Introduction

Tropical montane ecosystems are hotspots of biological diversity and are home to over 70% of the world’s avian diversity in less than 10% of global terrestrial area (Myers et al. 2000, Davies et al. 2007, Quintero and Jetz 2018). However, tropical mountains are under tremendous anthropogenic pressures of habitat modification and climate change, which can both have negative consequences for bird species (Nogués-Bravo et al. 2007, Newbold et al. 2015). In addition to directly affecting bird populations, climate change and changes in land cover can also affect species distributions in montane areas worldwide (Nogués-Bravo et al. 2007, Rahbek et al. 2019). For example, mountain birds in California tracked changes in temperature and precipitation over a century, illustrating the long-term role of climate in driving range shifts (Tingley et al. 2009). The movement of temperature bands upslope can eliminate the conditions to which high-altitude species are adapted, leading to local extinction (Freeman et al. 2018, Urban 2018). A combination of changes in climate and land cover best explains the colonization and extinction probabilities of North American birds (Yalcin and Leroux 2018). However, few studies have disentangled the role of these two drivers on species’ current distributions (Sirami et al. 2017). Furthermore, species distributions in tropical mountains especially are poorly studied despite being ‘escalators to extinction’ for montane birds (Elsen et al. 2017, Freeman et al. 2018, Srinivasan et al. 2019, Srinivasan and Wilcove 2020). Understanding the contemporary drivers of species’ distributions in tropical mountains can help predict future species ranges as the environment changes (Guo et al. 2018, Srinivasan and Wilcove 2020).

The drivers of bird distributions in tropical montane ecosystems are poorly understood because data on species distributions in these regions are limited (Payne et al. 2017, Peters et al. 2019). Citizen science efforts offer a solution: initiatives such as eBird are growing in popularity and scale and make the observation data readily available to researchers (Sullivan et al. 2014). eBird combines many thousands of decentralized, ad hoc, organized or semi-organized bird observations to form representative samples of species’ occurrence over vast scales (Sullivan et al. 2009, 2014, Wood et al. 2011). The standardization of the reporting infrastructure (e.g. the eBird mobile app or website) allows observations to be reproducibly processed to achieve a high standard of reliability. For example, one can filter out short observation sessions that might not accurately capture a location’s bird community or weight observations by the observer’s effort (Kelling et al. 2015, Johnston et al. 2018, 2021). Including data from citizen scientist observations can significantly improve species distribution models (Robinson et al. 2020), and enable a wide range of research, including mapping species elevational movements (Tsai et al. 2020) and prioritizing conservation efforts (van Strien et al. 2013, Fink et al. 2014, Johnston et al. 2015). India reports one of the largest numbers of eBird checklists from a tropical country, as birdwatchers have contributed to eBird in a concerted and growing effort since 2014 (Viswanathan et al. 2020). Coordinated citizen science efforts have led to successfully mapping the distribution and abundance of birds across multiple regions in India (e.g. Kerala bird atlas, Mysore bird atlas; Praveen et al. 2021). As of March 2021, the eBird India dataset has grown to a total of over 14 million observations across 1342 species of birds.

We set out to examine the role of climate and land cover and its association with bird occupancy in a tropical montane region, the Western Ghats of southern India. The Western Ghats mountain ecosystem is part of the Western Ghats-Sri Lanka biodiversity hotspot and is home to numerous species of endemic plants and animals (Myers et al. 2000, Das et al. 2006). We examined observations from eBird between 2013 and 2021 for 79 species (later reduced to 55, following model fitting) of birds across the two largest hill ranges in the southern Western Ghats — the Nilgiri and the Anamalai-Palani hills (Fig. 1a). Specifically, we tested associations between climatic variables, land cover and bird occupancy. We binned species according to their habitat preference prior to hypothesis testing; a species could either be a forest species (species found in forested/woodland habitats as well as forest edges) or generalist species (widespread species found across a range of habitat types) (Ali and Ripley 1983).

First, we examined the direction of association between species-specific probability of occupancy and climatic predictors. Temperature seasonality: We tested the hypothesis that the probability of occupancy of forest specialist birds should be negatively associated with temperature seasonality (coefficient of variation) (Srinivasan et al. 2019). Tropical forest species are often associated with a narrow range of temperatures leading to the expectation that the probability of occupancy will decrease with increasing variation in temperatures (Janzen 1967, Stevens 1989, Chan et al. 2016, Frishkoff et al. 2016, Srinivasan et al. 2018). However, we expected that the occupancy of generalist species may be positively associated with temperature seasonality. In other words, we expected that generalist species have broader thermal niches and occur in climatically variable regions when compared to their forest counterparts. Precipitation seasonality: the ‘hygric’ niche hypothesis states that species often occur within an optimal range of rainfall conditions (Boyle et al. 2020). Across our study area, we expected that precipitation seasonality (coefficient of variation) would be positively associated with species occupancy for forest birds and negatively associated with generalist bird species. Forest species in the Western Ghats are largely seen in wetter habitats relative to generalist species that are more often found in drier habitats (Raman 2006). Finally, we examined the direction of association between species-specific probability of occupancy and land cover. We expected the occupancy of forest species to be positively associated with naturally occurring land cover types such as evergreen forests and deciduous forests. We expected that human-modified land cover types, including agriculture, settlements and plantations would be positively associated with species-specific probability of occupancy of generalist birds.
Figure 1. The Nilgiri and Anamalai hills in southern India provide a convenient geography for studying the interplay of land cover and climate on the distributions of bird species. (a) The Nilgiri and Anamalai hills of the southern Western Ghats are topographically complex, with maximum elevations > 2000 m, and are separated by the very low-lying Palghat Gap, which serves as a natural barrier to the dispersal of many hill birds. (b) Lower elevations are primarily covered by agriculture and settlements, reflecting the intense human pressure on this region, while mid- and higher elevations show a mix of natural and human-modified land cover types (see Fig. 2 for details). (c) The coastal edge of the area, and the windward hill slopes show limited temperature seasonality across the December–May period; this seasonality increases with distance from the coast but is lower at higher elevations inland. (d) Higher elevations also show limited precipitation seasonality than both low-lying coastal and inland regions. Our study area (bounds shown as dashed lines) includes multiple combinations of elevation, land cover type and temperature and precipitation seasonality, resulting in a naturally occurring crossed-factorial design that allows us to study the effects of climate and land cover on bird occupancy. Representative forest and generalist birds from the study area are shown between panels (all images were obtained from Wikimedia commons and credit is assigned for each species in brackets): From L to R: 1) Malabar grey hornbill (by Koshy), 2) Crimson-backed sunbird (by Mandar Godbole), 3) Asian emerald dove (by Selvaganesh), 4) Black-and-orange flycatcher (by LKanth), 5) Grey-headed canary flycatcher (by David Raju), 6) Greater-racket tailed drongo (by MD Shahanshah Bappy), 7) Eurasian hoopoe (by Zeynel cebeci), 8) Chestnut-headed bee-eater (by MikeBirds), 9) Coppersmith barbet (by Raju Kasambe), 10) Red-vented bulbul (by TR Shankar Raman), 11) Pied bushchat (by TR Shankar Raman), 12) Ashy prinia (by Rison Thumboor).

Elevation is from 30 m resolution SRTM data (Farr et al. 2007), land cover, at 1 km resolution, is reclassified from Roy et al. (2015), while climatic variation is represented by CHELSA seasonality layers (temperature: BIOCLIM 4a, rainfall: BIOCLIM 15), at 1 km resolution (Karger et al. 2017). All layers were resampled to 1 km resolution for analyses.
Material and methods

The southern Western Ghats

The Nilgiri and the Anamalai-Palani hills (hereafter, Anamalai hills) (Fig. 1) are part of the Western Ghats, an ancient region of differentiation of flora and fauna in south Asia (Mani 1974, Myers et al. 2000, Vijayakumar et al. 2016). These hill ranges host a diversity of land cover types, possess a wide climatic gradient and several bird species (Ali and Ripley 1983, Das et al. 2006). The elevational range across these hill ranges varies from 40 m in the plains to 2625 m in the higher elevations (Fig. 1a). These two hill ranges are home to a multitude of habitats, ranging from high elevation grasslands (> 1400 m; Fig. 1b) to mid-elevation evergreen forests (> 700 m and < 1400 m; Fig. 1b). These hill ranges interact strongly with the annual south-west monsoon resulting in orographic rainfall on the western slopes (~3000 mm) and a relative rain-shadow on the lee ward eastern slopes (~2000 mm) that in turn influences the distribution of endemic flora and fauna (Gadgil and Meher-Homji 1986, Pascal 1988, Robin et al. 2015).

Filtering eBird data

Data from eBird is available in the form of a ‘checklist’ submitted by an observer or a group of observers. Each checklist includes a wide range of information that includes species identity, latitude, longitude, date of observation, distance traveled, time spent observing etc. ‘Complete’ checklists indicate that the observer(s) recorded all the birds detected and identified. We obtained bird detections from such complete checklists contributed to eBird for nine years (2013–2021) across the Nilgiri and Anamalai hill ranges. Only checklists recorded during December to May (non-rainy months) were included in our study because detecting birds during the rainy months is difficult due to poor weather. Restricting our data to complete checklists also allowed us to interpret the absence of a species on a checklist as a non-detection (called zero-filling: Johnston et al. 2021). Even when restricting analysis to only ‘complete’ checklists, the semi-structured, flexible nature of databases like eBird results in large variation in effort across checklists as a result of the often opportunistic nature of data collection (Kelling et al. 2019). Complete checklists are marked as ‘Stationary’ or ‘Traveling’ based on the distance traveled by an observer while recording detections. To reduce variation in observer effort, we first considered only those complete checklists with a duration ≤ 300 min (5 h), and distance ≤ 5 km (for traveling checklists), and with fewer than 10 observers (following Johnston et al. 2021). Since stationary birdwatchers can detect birds up to 100 m away, we set all stationary checklists to a distance of 100 m. In many cases, checklists are submitted by a single observer for a group of birdwatchers; in such cases, the group checklist only occurs once in the dataset. We used only checklists recorded between 05:00 and 19:00 h to avoid sightings in low-light conditions.

Selecting study species

We limited our study to 79 species of terrestrial, diurnal birds that occur in our study region (see list of species in Supporting information; see Fig. 1 for representative species). We selected these species using inclusion criteria adapted from the State of India’s Birds Report 2020 (SoIB 2020, Viswanathan et al. 2020). We intended these criteria to ensure uniform sampling of each species across our study area, and to reduce erroneous associations between environmental drivers and species distributions. Beginning with 3.37 million observations of 684 species in eBird that occurred within the outlines of our study area (Fig. 1a), over the years 2013–2021, we retained only those species that had a minimum of 1000 detections each between 2013 and 2021 (347 species remaining; 3.33 million observations). Next, we divided the study area into 25 × 25 km grid cells (42 unique cells; Supporting information). We kept only those species that occurred in at least 5% of all checklists across at least 27 unique grid cells (50% of the study area). We further manually removed raptors (Accipitriformes and Falconidae), swifts (Apodiformes) and swallows (Hirundinidae) since these birds are usually observed in flight when species identification can be prone to errors. This filtering process resulted in a total of 1.29 million observations (presences) across our study area.

Spatio-temporal bias in occurrence data

Sampling bias can be introduced into citizen science observations due to the often opportunistic nature of data collection (Sullivan et al. 2014). For eBird, this translates into checklists reported when convenient, rather than at regular or random points in time and space, leading to non-independence in the data if observations are spatio-temporally clustered (Johnston et al. 2021). For example, sites near roads are easier to reach and maybe sampled more frequently. The spatial clustering of observations can be reduced by sub-sampling at an appropriate spatial resolution (Aiello-Lammens et al. 2015); however, thinning the data over-zealously can result in very few presence records compared to absence records (i.e. class imbalance; Steen et al. 2021). Consequently, when there are many more absence records than presence records, presences and absences should be handled separately when spatially thinning the data.

We first estimated two simple measures of spatial clustering: the distance from each site to the nearest road (road data from OpenStreetMap; OpenStreetMap contributors 2017) and the nearest-neighbor distance for each site. Sites were strongly tied to roads (Fig. 3a; mean distance to road ± SD = 390.77 ± 859.15 m; range = 0.28 m–7.64 km) and were on average only 297 m away from another site (SD = 553 m; range = 0.14 m–12.85 km). This is understandable, as roads and trails provide access, and particular well-known areas are visited often. On average, across species, presences comprised only 8.5% of all observations. We followed Steen et al. (2021) in choosing to spatio-temporally thin only the absences, and not the presences, for each species – a methodology called ‘thin
Figure 2. Climate and land cover vary strongly along the elevation gradient in the Nilgiri and Anamalai hills. Both (a) temperature seasonality and (b) precipitation seasonality, between the months of December and May, declines with increasing elevation across the Nilgiri and Anamalai hills. Climatic variation is not very strongly associated with land cover type, as both natural habitats such as forests, and human-associated habitat types such as plantations show low seasonality in (c) temperature and (d) precipitation. (e) Most elevations host a range of land cover types: while human-associated habitats such as agriculture are concentrated at lower elevations, and more natural types such as grasslands and forests are associated with higher elevations, each of these types is also found outside their characteristic elevational bands. We calculated climate seasonalities (BIOCLIM 4a and 15: temperature and precipitation, respectively) using CHELSA data over 1979–2013, from December to May (Karger et al. 2017), and present mean seasonality values (vertical bars show standard deviation) for every 200 m elevational band. Land cover types were taken from a reclassification of Roy et al. (2015; see main text) at 100 m elevational bands. Land cover types covering < 1% of an elevational band are shaded grey. All landscape layers were first resampled to 1 km resolution.
majority’ that can improve model performance (Steen et al. 2021). To do this, we divided the study area into a grid of 500 m wide square cells, and from within each cell, we chose the site with the most visits (checklists) over the sampling period. From each of the remaining sites, we selected a maximum of 10 random absence checklists to reduce temporal clustering, keeping all absence checklists for sites with \( \leq 10 \) checklists. We retained all presences for each species without any spatial or temporal thinning (Steen et al. 2021). As a result of class balancing, in our final dataset, presences made up 29.3% of observations on average across species.

Adjusting for spatial precision

Every checklist on eBird is associated with a latitude and longitude. However, the coordinates entered by an observer may not accurately depict the location at which a species is detected. Such an error can occur for two reasons: first, traveling checklists are associated with a single location along the route travelled by observers. Second, checklist locations could be assigned to a ‘hotspot’ – a location that is automatically marked by eBird as being frequented by multiple observers – even though the observation was not made at the precise location of the hotspot (Praveen 2017). Since a large proportion of observations occur within 3 km of the observation effort’s starting point, we adjusted for the spatial precision of eBird records by considering a buffer radius of 2.5 km around each site when sampling environmental covariate values.

Calibrating observations across observers

Differences in bird identification skills among citizen scientists can lead to biased species detection when compared with data collected by a consistent set of trained observers (van Strien et al. 2013). Including observer calibration (that accounts for observer-specific differences in identification) as a detection covariate in occupancy models using eBird data can help account for this variation (Johnston et al. 2018). Observer-specific calibration in local avifauna was calculated following Kelling et al. (2015) as the normalized predicted number of species reported by an observer after 60 min of sampling across the most common land cover type within the study area (in our case, deciduous forests). This score was calculated by examining checklists from anonymized observers across the study area. We modified the Kelling et al. (2015) formulation by including only observations of the 79 species of interest in our calculations. An observer with a higher number of species of interest reported within 60 min would have a higher observer-specific calibration score, with respect to the study area. We then estimated a checklist calibration...
index (CCI) from observer-specific calibration scores associated with each checklist. The CCI was the lone observer’s calibration score for single-observer checklists and the highest calibration score among observers for group checklists.

CCI is predicted from a generalized linear mixed effects model:

\[
\text{nSpeciesOfInterest} \sim \text{duration} + \sqrt{\text{duration}} + \text{landcover} + \text{log(julian_date)} + \text{time_of_day} + \text{observer} + (0 + \text{duration} | \text{observer}, \text{family} = \text{poisson})
\]

where, \text{nSpeciesOfInterest} is the number of species observed in that checklist, \text{duration} is the time spent observing birds for that checklist, \text{landcover} refers to the land cover type, \text{time_of_day} refers to the time of the day that observations were made and \text{julian_date} refers to the ordinal day of the year.

Preparing occupancy predictors

We prepared a suite of climatic and land cover variables to be modeled as covariates of species-specific probabilities of occupancy within our full study region (Fig. 1, 2). Among climatic predictors, we chose to examine the effects of temperature and precipitation seasonality on species occupancy, and we obtained these predictors at a spatial scale of 1 km (CHELSA; Karger et al. 2017). Temperature seasonality is defined as the amount of temperature variation over a given time period based on the ratio of the standard deviation of the monthly mean temperatures to the mean of the monthly temperatures (O’Donnell and Ignizio 2012). In other words, temperature seasonality is the coefficient of variation and captures the dispersion in relative terms because standard deviation can produce two similar values while the means may be different. Larger values of temperature seasonality imply higher variability in temperature, relative to the average temperature. It is important to calculate variability relative to the mean because the same amount of statistical variability (e.g. variance) in a dry area as a wet area would have a much bigger ‘seasonality’ impact on a dry area. Similarly, we defined precipitation seasonality as the ratio of the standard deviation of the monthly total precipitation to the mean monthly total precipitation (O’Donnell and Ignizio 2012). The above calculations of seasonality were made using temperature and precipitation data from CHELSA for the non-monsoon months of December to May for our study area. While data from global databases such as WorldClim have been used for modeling species distributions, CHELSA data has shown greater predictive power (Karger et al. 2017) and hence we used the latter in this study. Other bioclimatic predictors such as mean annual temperature/precipitation, mean temperature of coldest/driest quarter, precipitation of driest/coldest quarter were equally well suited for our study; however, they were highly correlated (|r| > 0.5) with temperature and precipitation seasonality.

We obtained land cover over our study site from a high-resolution vegetation type map generated by Roy et al. (2015), using medium resolution IRS-LISS III (Indian Remote Sensing Satellite – Linear Imaging Self Scanner) images (<http://bis.iiirs.gov.in/>). This classification was originally generated at a scale of ~23 m and with 22 land cover classes for our study area. We aggregated these 22 classes into seven broad, ecologically relevant land cover types: evergreen forests, deciduous forests, mixed/degraded forests, agriculture/settlements, plantations, grasslands and water bodies (Supporting information). We resampled the reclassified land cover layer using a nearest neighborhood approach to 1 km to match the 1 km resolution of the climatic layers.

Testing for collinearity among the climatic and land cover predictors did not result in the removal of any predictors as the correlations were low (|r| < 0.5). We then pooled the climatic (n = 2) and land cover (n = 7) predictors and calculated mean values for the two climatic predictors (temperature seasonality and precipitation seasonality) and calculated the proportion of each of the seven land cover types within the 2.5 km buffer radius around each spatio-temporally thinned locality for each species.

Estimating species occupancy

Occupancy models estimate the probability of occurrence of a given species while controlling for imperfect detection and allow us to model the factors affecting occurrence and detection independently (MacKenzie et al. 2017, Johnston et al. 2018). The flexible eBird observation process contributes to the largest source of variation in the likelihood of detecting a particular species (Johnston et al. 2021); hence, we included six continuous covariates that influence the probability of detection for each checklist: ordinal day of year, duration of observation, distance travelled, time of day of observations, number of observers and the checklist calibration index (CCI). We converted calendar date into a linear, continuous predictor by extracting ordinal days of the year (Julian date) for December to May and scaling them between 1 and 183 (dates in December subtracted from 333, and 31 added to dates between January and May). This time period essentially includes winter and summer seasons (loosely defined) in the Western Ghats where detectability of bird species is high. Our breeding season is often toward the end of this window (late April to early May) when resident species begin to breed while migratory birds travel back to their breeding grounds. We modeled time of day so as to allow detectability to be highest at dawn and dusk when birds often sing and are easily detected, and to be lower in the middle of the day, when birds are least active and thus less likely to be detected.

Using a multi-model information-theoretic approach, we tested how strongly our occurrence data fit our candidate set of environmental covariates (Burnham and Anderson 2002). We fitted single-species occupancy models for each species, to simultaneously estimate a probability of detection (p) and a probability of occupancy (Ψ) (MacKenzie et al. 2002, Fiske and Chandler 2011). For each species, we fit 512 models, each with a unique combination of the (climate and land...
cover) occupancy covariates and all detection covariates (the six detection covariates are present in every model).

Probability of detection

\[ \text{logit}(p) = \text{julian\_date} + \text{duration\_minutes} \]
\[ + \text{effort\_distance\_km} + \text{min\_obs\_started} \]
\[ + \text{number\_observers} + \text{CCI} \]

where \text{julian\_date} refers to the ordinal day of the year, \text{duration\_minutes} refers to the time spent observing birds in minutes, \text{effort\_distance\_km} refers to the distance travelled by the observer(s) in kilometers, \text{min\_obs\_started} is the time of day when observations were recorded, \text{number\_observers} refer to the number of observers and \text{CCI} is the checklist calibration index.

Probability of occupancy

\[ \text{logit}(\psi) = \text{temperature\_Seasonality} + \text{precipitation\_Seasonality} \]
\[ + \text{prop\_Evergreen} + \text{prop\_Deciduous} + \text{prop\_Mixed\_Degraded} \]
\[ + \text{prop\_Agriculture\_Settlements} + \text{prop\_Plantations} \]
\[ + \text{prop\_Grasslands} + \text{prop\_Water\_Bodies} \]

Previously, we explored the non-linear effects of temperature seasonality and precipitation seasonality. However, our occupancy models showed a poor fit to the data when temperature and precipitation seasonality were included as non-linear terms and hence, we did not explore this further. Adequate model fit was assessed using a chi-square goodness-of-fit test using 1000 parametric bootstrap simulations on a global model that included all occupancy and detection covariates (MacKenzie and Bailey 2004). Across the 512 models tested for each species, the model with highest support was determined using AICc scores. However, across the majority of the species, no single model had overwhelming support. Hence, for each species, we examined those top models which had a difference in AICc of < 4, as these top models were considered to explain a large proportion of the association between the species-specific probability of occupancy and environmental drivers (Burnham et al. 2011). Using these restricted model sets for each species; we created a model-averaged coefficient estimate for each predictor and assessed its direction and significance (Bartoň 2020). These model-averaged coefficients include zeros when a predictor is absent in one of the top models. In addition, we estimated a model-averaged standard error using which we calculated a 95% confidence interval (Burnham and Anderson 2002). We considered a predictor to be significantly associated with occupancy if the range of the 95% confidence interval around the model-averaged coefficient did not contain zero.

Prior to further inference, all 79 birds in our study were classified as forest species or generalist species following Ali and Ripley (1983). Forest species are those that are typically found in wet evergreen, semi-evergreen, deciduous, moist deciduous forests and other woodland habitats as well as forest edges. This classification encompasses specialist endemic birds, species that occur in woodland habitats as well as those species found along the edges of forested areas. Generalist species are those that are typically found across a range of habitat types such as forests, agricultural lands, settlements, etc.

All continuous covariates were standardized prior to analysis, allowing for the comparison of model-averaged coefficients between species. We used the R packages \textit{unmarked}, and \textit{MuMIn} for occupancy modeling and model averaging; the code provided on our Github repository shows the full set of R and Python packages used in this work (Bartoň 2020, Fiske and Chandler 2011, <www.r-project.org>).

Results

Following spatio-temporal thinning of observations, we relied on 315,428 curated citizen scientist observations (including both presences and non-detections) across 79 species of birds between 2013 and 2021 for modeling occupancy. The number of detections varied from a minimum of 224 observations to a maximum of 7725 observations per species (following spatio-temporal thinning). Chi-square goodness-of-fit tests suggested a poor model fit for twenty-four species (p < 0.05; Supporting information) and hence these species were removed before further analysis (resulting in a total of 55 species). Of the list of 55 species, six species were migratory species that are present in our study area during the focal seasonal time period: Blyth’s reed warbler \textit{Acrocephalus dumetorum}, brown shrike \textit{Lanius cristatus}, chestnut-headed bee-eater \textit{Merops leschenaulti}, grey wagtail \textit{Motacilla cinerea}, Eurasian hoopoe \textit{Upupa epops} and Ashy drongo \textit{Dicrurus leucophaeus}.

Bird-climate associations

The probability of occupancy of \textasciitilde78\% (n = 43 out of 55) of species examined was significantly (p < 0.05) associated with temperature seasonality. 18 bird species (n = 14 generalist birds and 4 forest birds) showed a positive association with temperature seasonality, while 25 bird species (n = 7 generalist birds and 18 forest birds) were negatively associated (Fig. 4, Table 1). The probability of occupancy of \textasciitilde38\% of (n = 21 out of 55) species examined had a significant association with precipitation seasonality. 14 bird species (n = 8 generalist birds and 6 forest birds) showed a positive association, while seven bird species (n = 5 generalist birds and 2 forest birds) were negatively associated with precipitation seasonality.

Bird-land cover associations

Twenty-seven percent of species (n = 15 out of 55) were significantly associated with the proportion of evergreen forests.
Of these species, eight forest birds were positively associated. Among generalist birds that showed a significant association with the proportion of evergreen forests, three species were positively associated while four were negatively associated. A fewer number of species (n = 4) were significantly associated with the proportion of deciduous forests (positive association with two forest species and a negative association with two generalist bird species). Six bird species showed a significant association with the proportion of grasslands. Of these species, three forest bird species and three generalist birds showed a negative association (Fig. 4, Table 1). Five bird species were significantly and positively associated with the proportion of water bodies (n = 3 generalist birds and 2 forest birds).

33% (n = 18 out of 55) of species examined were significantly associated with human-modified land cover types -- including the proportion of agriculture or settlements, plantations and mixed or degraded forests. One forest species showed a negative association, and one generalist species was positively associated with the proportion of mixed or degraded forests. Five bird species showed a significant association with the proportion of agriculture or settlements. Of these species, two generalist bird species showed a positive association while one forest species and two generalist bird species showed a negative association.

Eleven bird species showed a significant association with the proportion of plantations. Of these species, one forest bird species showed a negative association, and one forest bird species showed a positive association. Among generalist birds that showed a significant association with the proportion of plantations, seven birds were positively associated while two birds showed a negative association.
Discussion

Our study shows that rigorously filtered and curated citizen science observations can be used within a robust statistical framework to inform our understanding of how environmental drivers are associated with species distributions. We highlight the role of climate and land cover and its associations with bird occurrences along a tropical montane gradient in a biodiversity hotspot, the southern Western Ghats.

The role of temperature

Tropical montane birds are especially vulnerable to ongoing changes in climate (Şekercioğlu et al. 2012, Perez et al. 2016, Freeman et al. 2018, Srinivasan et al. 2019). As a result of reduced temperature seasonality in the tropics relative to temperate regions, montane species in particular exhibit narrow thermal niches and hence, are likely to be unable to shift their distributions to track future climate changes (Janzen 1967, Deutsch et al. 2008, Tewksbury et al. 2008, Jankowski et al. 2013). Previous work in tropical areas across the globe have demonstrated that forest species are adapted to thermally seasonal environments, while generalist species are more adapted to thermally variable, seasonal environments (Chan et al. 2016, Frishkoff et al. 2016). In line with previous work, our study showed that several forest bird species (n=18) were negatively associated with temperature seasonality. Species such as the crimson-backed sunbird *Leptocoma minima*, asian fairy-bluebird *Irena puella* and the chestnut-headed bee-eater *Merops leschenaulti* for example showed a negative association (Fig. 5, 6). The above result suggests that forest birds across the elevational gradient are potentially associated with narrow thermal niches. Similar results have been demonstrated in the Western Himalayas, where birds occurring in forested habitats have narrow thermal niches relative to species in other land cover types (Srinivasan et al. 2019).

In line with our hypothesis, the probability of occupancy of several generalist bird species (n=14) was positively associated with temperature seasonality. For example, the red-vented bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer*, purple sunbird *Cinnyris*

---

**Table 1. Species-specific associations with occupancy.** The number of species that show significant positive/negative associations with occupancy as a function of their habitat associations is shown here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Habitat association</th>
<th>Positive/negative association</th>
<th>Number of species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature seasonality</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature seasonality</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature seasonality</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature seasonality</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation seasonality</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation seasonality</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation seasonality</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation seasonality</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen forests</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen forests</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen forests</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen forests</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous forests</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous forests</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous forests</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous forests</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed/degraded forests</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed/degraded forests</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed/degraded forests</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed/degraded forests</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture/settlements</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture/settlements</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture/settlements</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture/settlements</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslands</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslands</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslands</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslands</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantations</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantations</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantations</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantations</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water bodies</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water bodies</td>
<td>Forest species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water bodies</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water bodies</td>
<td>Generalist species</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
asiaticus and the spotted dove Streptopelia chinensis showed a positive association. Our result suggests that such generalist species occupy areas that show large variation in temperatures – including drier open habitats such as mixed/degraded forests and agricultural lands. In fact, temperatures across tropical agricultural lands have been shown to be 7.6°C higher than temperatures within tropical primary forests (Senior et al. 2017). Generalist bird species that showed a positive association likely possess broad thermal niches, relative to their forest counterparts. However, our study also reported a negative relationship with temperature seasonality for seven generalist bird species, including the red-whiskered bulbul Pycnonotus jocosus and the oriental-magpie robin Copsychus saularis. Future studies need to consider climate-land cover interactions to explore patterns seen for generalist species.

The role of precipitation

The significant association with precipitation seasonality suggests the importance of the ‘hygric niche’, which has been seldom explored empirically (Boyle et al. 2020). In other words, species’ occupancy is often governed by a range of precipitation regimes which vary in turn by land cover type and topographic complexity (Nowakowski et al. 2018). Several forest and generalist species showed a positive association with precipitation seasonality. Research from the Australian tropical rainforests suggests that precipitation seasonality was strongly associated with bird abundance (Williams and Middleton 2008). In addition, precipitation seasonality has been reported as a crucial factor influencing resource availability (e.g. insects) for bird populations (Loiselle and Blake 1991). The positive association between precipitation seasonality and species occupancy (for forest and generalist birds) reported in this study can be explained by the cascading effect of rainfall on food availability and, thereby survival of birds (Butt et al. 2015, Boyle et al. 2020). In our study, forest species such as the southern hill myna Gracula indica and the crimson-backed sunbird Leptocoma minima, and generalist species such as the rose-ringed parakeet Psittacula krameri and the Indian white-eye Zosterops palpebrosus showed a positive association. On the other hand, we found that generalist species like the coppersmith barbet Psilopogon haemacephalus showed a negative association. Our result suggests that such generalist species occupy areas that show large variation in temperatures – including drier open habitats such as mixed/degraded forests and agricultural lands. In fact, temperatures across tropical agricultural lands have been shown to be 7.6°C higher than temperatures within tropical primary forests (Senior et al. 2017). Generalist bird species that showed a positive association likely possess broad thermal niches, relative to their forest counterparts. However, our study also reported a negative relationship with temperature seasonality for seven generalist bird species, including the red-whiskered bulbul Pycnonotus jocosus and the oriental-magpie robin Copsychus saularis. Future studies need to consider climate-land cover interactions to explore patterns seen for generalist species.

The role of precipitation

The significant association with precipitation seasonality suggests the importance of the ‘hygric niche’, which has been seldom explored empirically (Boyle et al. 2020). In other words, species’ occupancy is often governed by a range of precipitation regimes which vary in turn by land cover type and topographic complexity (Nowakowski et al. 2018). Several forest and generalist species showed a positive association with precipitation seasonality. Research from the Australian tropical rainforests suggests that precipitation seasonality was strongly associated with bird abundance (Williams and Middleton 2008). In addition, precipitation seasonality has been reported as a crucial factor influencing resource availability (e.g. insects) for bird populations (Loiselle and Blake 1991). The positive association between precipitation seasonality and species occupancy (for forest and generalist birds) reported in this study can be explained by the cascading effect of rainfall on food availability and, thereby survival of birds (Butt et al. 2015, Boyle et al. 2020). In our study, forest species such as the southern hill myna Gracula indica and the crimson-backed sunbird Leptocoma minima, and generalist species such as the rose-ringed parakeet Psittacula krameri and the Indian white-eye Zosterops palpebrosus showed a positive association. On the other hand, we found that generalist species like the coppersmith barbet Psilopogon haemacephalus showed a negative association. Our result suggests that such generalist species occupy areas that show large variation in temperatures – including drier open habitats such as mixed/degraded forests and agricultural lands. In fact, temperatures across tropical agricultural lands have been shown to be 7.6°C higher than temperatures within tropical primary forests (Senior et al. 2017). Generalist bird species that showed a positive association likely possess broad thermal niches, relative to their forest counterparts. However, our study also reported a negative relationship with temperature seasonality for seven generalist bird species, including the red-whiskered bulbul Pycnonotus jocosus and the oriental-magpie robin Copsychus saularis. Future studies need to consider climate-land cover interactions to explore patterns seen for generalist species.

The role of precipitation

The significant association with precipitation seasonality suggests the importance of the ‘hygric niche’, which has been seldom explored empirically (Boyle et al. 2020). In other words, species’ occupancy is often governed by a range of precipitation regimes which vary in turn by land cover type and topographic complexity (Nowakowski et al. 2018). Several forest and generalist species showed a positive association with precipitation seasonality. Research from the Australian tropical rainforests suggests that precipitation seasonality was strongly associated with bird abundance (Williams and Middleton 2008). In addition, precipitation seasonality has been reported as a crucial factor influencing resource availability (e.g. insects) for bird populations (Loiselle and Blake 1991). The positive association between precipitation seasonality and species occupancy (for forest and generalist birds) reported in this study can be explained by the cascading effect of rainfall on food availability and, thereby survival of birds (Butt et al. 2015, Boyle et al. 2020). In our study, forest species such as the southern hill myna Gracula indica and the crimson-backed sunbird Leptocoma minima, and generalist species such as the rose-ringed parakeet Psittacula krameri and the Indian white-eye Zosterops palpebrosus showed a positive association. On the other hand, we found that generalist species like the coppersmith barbet Psilopogon haemacephalus showed a negative association.
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and the red-vented bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer* were negatively associated with precipitation seasonality. Many of these generalist bird species that showed a negative association are associated with drier habitats across our study area. Similar results have been reported from the neotropics where bird species largely associated with open habitats tend to prefer drier climates (Frishkoff et al. 2016). The above result merits further exploration that tests the interaction between precipitation seasonality and habitat structure and floristics in determining habitat use. With increasing variability in rainfall patterns, it remains to be seen whether forest, as well as generalist bird species, adapt to such changes in the near future. For instance, models have predicted reduced rainfall across regions in the Western Ghats as a result of future climatic changes (Rajendran et al. 2012).

**Role of naturally occurring vegetation and landscape transformation**

Apart from climate, certain land cover types are hypothesized to be crucial for many species, as they offer resources necessary for survival, breeding and other activities (Sunarto et al. 2012). For insectivorous birds in central Jamaica, the landscape matrix and habitat type were vital in determining occupancy (Kennedy et al. 2011). Our study suggests a positive relationship for several forest species across naturally occurring land cover types – evergreen and deciduous forests. Few generalist species such as the Blyth’s reed warbler *Acrocephalus dumetorum* and grey wagtail *Motacilla cinerea* were positively associated with the proportion of evergreen forests. The above association can be attributed to the fact that the Blyth’s reed warbler and the grey wagtail have been reported from forest edges as well as plantations and agricultural areas in the vicinity of evergreen forests. It is also likely that our minimum spatial scale of 2.5 km was coarse and resulted in sampling multiple land cover types.

As expected, several generalist bird species showed a positive association with human-modified land cover types. This association highlights the role of habitat transformation. The southern Western Ghats have undergone a drastic transformation in the last decades, with the replacement of mid- and high-elevation forests and grasslands with exotic trees and plantations (Arasumani et al. 2018). In the Nilgiris alone, the area covered by exotic trees has almost doubled, from approx. 140 km$^2$ to 277 km$^2$ in the 44-year period 1973–2017. Generalist birds such as the jungle myna *Acridotheres fuscus* and the red-whiskered bulbul *Pycnonotus jocosus* were positively associated with the proportion of plantations. On the other hand, we did

---

**Figure 6.** Predicted area of occurrence for four forest species. The probability of occupancy of the asian fairy-bluebird *Irena puella*, the crimson-backed sunbird *Leptocoma minima* and the chestnut-headed bee-eater *Merops leschenaulti* is higher across the western slopes and at mid-elevations across our study area. The Malabar whistling-thrush *Myophonus horsfieldii* has a higher probability of occupancy across mid-elevations throughout the study area examined.
see forest species like the Malabar whistling thrush *Myophonus horsfieldii* showing a positive association with the proportion of plantations, which could be an artifact of this species often being reported in not only forested areas but forest edges and plantations as well. In a complex matrix that is the Western Ghats, our results further lend support to the role of natural vegetation within these human-modified landscapes in sustaining biodiversity in the long term (Anand et al. 2010, Ranganathan et al. 2010). For example, windbreaks, which are often thin slivers of natural vegetation present in tea plantations in our study area, have been shown to possess similar bird species richness compared to adjacent primary forests (Sreekar et al. 2013). In a similar vein, data from the Anamalai hills suggests that native shade trees within tea plantations bolster avian species richness almost two-fold compared to tea plantations without native shade trees (Raman et al. 2021). Furthermore, the type of human-modified land cover type matters too, and coffee, rubber and areca plantations across the Western Ghats have been shown to support more bird species than tea plantations (Sidhu et al. 2010, Karanth et al. 2016).

Caveats and conclusions

Our analysis was carried out using semi-structured data derived from a large citizen science project. The lack of experimental and sampling design of this study is a persistent criticism of citizen science research. For example, a large proportion of checklists were reported within 200 m of a road, which are relatively more accessible (Fig. 3a). This pervasive spatial bias in sampling could impact results in ways that cannot be corrected via spatio-temporal filtering of data. While citizen science observations are often seen as supplementary to (presumably) more rigorous, methodical sampling by trained observers, such sampling designs are often not logistically feasible at large spatial scales. In under-studied or under-sampled regions, citizen scientists and their observations are first-class data sources with significant exploratory and explanatory power (Devictor et al. 2010, Ellwood et al. 2017, Robinson et al. 2020).

Recent evidence also suggests that a species’ response to environmental gradients and/or drivers such as land cover and climate will vary as a function of biological traits (McGill et al. 2006). Our study classified species as forest species or generalist species (Ali and Ripley 1983). Other traits might better explain associations between climatic and land cover predictors and species’ occupancy. For example, body mass is often considered an indicator of thermoregulation, and has been shown to be strongly associated with thermal niches of species, particularly temperate species and high elevation tropical species (Barve et al. 2021). Similarly, functional traits such as trophic niches, that explain dietary preferences of a particular species are often associated with the use of a particular habitat (Pigot et al. 2020). Himalayan birds – which encounter a comparable, if wider, range of temperatures – have been shown to use forest and agriculture habitats to cope with resource scarcity in winter, possibly indicating greater dietary generalization than previously thought (Elsen et al. 2018). Including functional traits is a promising avenue to better understand species’ response to environmental change across human-modified landscapes in the Western Ghats, and tropical mountains more generally.

Over 60% of mountainous landscapes across the planet are under tremendous anthropogenic pressures, and yet host some of the highest biodiversity in the world (La Sorte and Jetz 2010, Elsen et al. 2020). The southern Western Ghats is one such human-dominated mountainous landscape, where understanding the role of climatic and landscape predictors in structuring species occupancy can inform conservation. In this study, we show that species have differential responses to climate (temperature and precipitation) and natural and human-modified land cover types. If species need to adapt to environmental changes, they need to be able to track their suitable climatic and habitat niche space, which may only be possible through the creation of climate corridors (Freeman et al. 2018).

Acknowledgements – We would like to acknowledge the efforts of thousands of birdwatchers that made this study possible. We would like to thank the Robin lab group for facilitating initial discussions of the study. We would like to thank Dr Sahas Barve for his comments and suggestions on a revised version of the manuscript. Discussions with Dr T. R. Shankar Raman helped provide clarity on the methods and improved the overall message of the study.

Funding – The Department of Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Biology, Columbia University, provided computational and financial resources necessary for the completion of this study. The first author is supported by a Dean’s Fellowship at Columbia University. The second author was supported by an Adaptive Life Programme grant made possible by the Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences (GELIFES).

Permits – No permits were required to complete this study.

Author contributions

**Vijay Ramesh:** Conceptualization (lead); Data curation (lead); Formal analysis (lead); Methodology (lead); Writing – original draft (lead); Writing – review and editing (lead). **Pratik Gupte:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (lead); Methodology (lead); Software (equal); Visualization (lead); Writing – original draft (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Morgan Tingley:** Conceptualization (supporting); Project administration (supporting); Supervision (supporting); Writing – review and editing (supporting). **V. V. Robin:** Conceptualization (equal); Investigation (equal); Project administration (supporting); Supervision (lead); Writing – review and editing (supporting). **Ruth DeFries:** Project administration (supporting); Supervision (supporting); Writing – review and editing (supporting).

Transparent peer review

The peer review history for this article is available at <https://publons.com/publon/10.1111/ecog.06075>.
Data availability statement

All data were downloaded from eBird (ver. 1.13) and can be accessed via: <http://ebird.org/data/download>. The complete analysis is available as Supporting information (<https://github.com/vjjan91/eBirdOccupancy>) and is archived on Zenodo (<https://zenodo.org/record/6501805> ).

Supporting information

The Supporting information associated with this article is available with the online version.

References

Chan, W.-P. et al. 2016. Seasonal and daily climate variation have opposite effects on species elevational range size. – Science 351: 1437–1439.