



Published in final edited form as:

*Curr Alzheimer Res.* 2011 June 1; 8(4): 354–360.

## COGNITIVE RESERVE IN AGING

Adrienne M. Tucker, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup> and Yaakov Stern, Ph.D.<sup>1,2,3,4,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cognitive Neuroscience Division of the Taub Institute for Research in Alzheimer's disease and the Aging Brain

<sup>2</sup> Gertrude H. Sergievsky Center

<sup>3</sup> Department of Neurology, College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University

<sup>4</sup> Department of Psychiatry, College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University

<sup>5</sup> Department of Medicine, College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University

<sup>6</sup> Department of Psychology, College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University

### Abstract

Cognitive reserve explains why those with higher IQ, education, occupational attainment, or participation in leisure activities evidence less severe clinical or cognitive changes in the presence of age-related or Alzheimer's disease pathology. Specifically, the cognitive reserve hypothesis is that individual differences in how tasks are processed provide reserve against brain pathology. Cognitive reserve may allow for more flexible strategy usage, an ability thought to be captured by executive functions tasks. Additionally, cognitive reserve allows individuals greater neural efficiency, greater neural capacity, and the ability for compensation via the recruitment of additional brain regions. Taking cognitive reserve into account may allow for earlier detection and better characterization of age-related cognitive changes and Alzheimer's disease. Importantly, cognitive reserve is not fixed but continues to evolve across the lifespan. Thus, even late-stage interventions hold promise to boost cognitive reserve and thus reduce the prevalence of Alzheimer's disease and other age-related problems.

### Keywords

aging; Alzheimer's disease; brain reserve; cognitive reserve; neural reserve; neural compensation

---

The idea of reserve against brain damage comes from the repeated observation [1–5] of individuals who manage to function clinically in the face of brain pathology. One particularly striking early example is a report including 10 cognitively healthy elderly women who were discovered to have Alzheimer's pathology in the form of plaques at autopsy [6]. These women had heavier brains and more neurons than both demented and other non-demented residents; these larger brains and extra neurons were hypothesized to provide “reserve” that helped them cope with the Alzheimer's-induced plaques. Subsequent studies reported that 25% to 67% of subjects characterized as nondemented throughout rigorous and repeated longitudinal assessments fulfilled pathological criteria for dementia at autopsy [7–11].

---

Corresponding Author: Yaakov Stern, Ph.D., Columbia University, 630 West 168<sup>th</sup> Street, P & S Box 16, New York, NY 10032, (212) 342-1350, ys11@columbia.edu.

None of the authors have any conflicts of interest.

There are two kinds of reserve that have been reported to make independent and interactive contributions to preserving functioning in the face of brain injury: brain reserve and cognitive reserve. Brain reserve refers to quantitative measures such as brain size [12] or neuronal count [13]. Those with more brain reserve tend to have better clinical outcomes for any given level of pathology [14, 15] although for a negative report and dissenting view see [16]. According to the brain reserve model, there is some threshold at which clinical deficits will become apparent and those individuals with more brain reserve require more pathology to reach that threshold. That is, in the case of Alzheimer's for example, the disease will progress longer and more pathology will accumulate before deficits will be seen in those that start out with a bigger brain and/or more neurons.

The brain reserve model is quantitative: a given brain injury will affect each individual the same way and brain injuries across the lifespan will sum together. There is some evidence that some injuries do accumulate across the lifespan such that Alzheimer's has been reported to be more likely with each psychiatric episode [17] and similarly that Alzheimer's is more likely in athletes that have sustained multiple concussions [18]. Yet, in this model individual differences are only observed in brain reserve, and damage either is or is not sufficient to reach the threshold needed to observe clinical symptoms.

While the brain reserve model does explain some observations, the assumption that more is better is likely overly simple. For example, it is well established that the condition of autism is associated with a childhood brain that is larger than normal, perhaps due to a failure of those pruning mechanisms that discard unused or maladaptive connections in the brain [19]. In the context of aging, there are preliminary reports that the orbital prefrontal cortex may actually grow larger with aging and that this increase may be associated with decreased (i.e., worse) working memory performance [20]. Thus, the assumption made by the brain reserve model that those with the biggest brain capacity are always at the biggest advantage may need to be tempered somewhat. A further limitation of this model is that it cannot explain why those with higher IQ and more education decline more quickly once Alzheimer's is diagnosed and progress to death sooner [21–27].

Cognitive reserve, by contrast, refers to how flexibly and efficiently one can make use of available brain reserve [28]. Standard proxies for cognitive reserve include education [29] and IQ [30] although this has expanded to include literacy [31, 32], occupational attainment [27, 33, 34], engagement in leisure activities [35–37], and the integrity of social networks [38, 39]. Some recent evidence suggests that personality variables may be important as well [40, 41]. At any rate, those individuals with higher cognitive reserve are thought to be able to accomplish more for any given level of pathology and brain reserve.

Indeed, Mortimer et al. [11] reported that while those with a lower brain capacity, operationalized by a smaller head circumference, have a greater risk of Alzheimer's; yet, those with a lower brain capacity but higher cognitive reserve, as operationalized by more years of education, did not have a greater risk of Alzheimer's. Thus, cognitive reserve allowed people to compensate for pathology by making better use of that brain reserve which was still available. In this study there was no one absolute threshold of brain capacity at which cognitive impairment was seen. Instead, the amount of brain reserve required to maintain performance varied between people as a function of their cognitive reserve.

Although here cognitive reserve is discussed primarily in terms of better cognitive and functional outcomes in Alzheimer's disease and normal age-related decline it has also been shown to apply to cognitive outcomes in diverse conditions including but not limited to vascular injury [42, 43], Parkinson's disease [44], traumatic brain injury [45], HIV [46], and multiple sclerosis [47]. That cognitive reserve is protective against brain injury in terms of

cognitive and functional outcomes is established; yet it is unclear if cognitive reserve is similarly protective in terms of affective or psychiatric outcomes. One study suggested that higher cognitive reserve does not protect against the depressive symptoms that emerge early in the course of Alzheimer's [48]; yet, other studies of healthy individuals have shown that higher cognitive reserve is protective against psychiatric diseases including depression [49, 50].

Many of the cognitive reserve variables are intercorrelated. For example, a high IQ leads to more education, which in turn raises IQ [51]. Yet, while intercorrelated, the cognitive reserve variables appear to impart independent albeit synergistic effects that cumulate across the lifespan. Richards et al. [33] studied the lifetime antecedents of cognitive reserve in preventing normal age-related decline in midlife. The results of a path analysis revealed that childhood IQ, educational attainment, and occupation in middle age had statistically independent paths to cognitive decline. Of these antecedent variables, childhood IQ had the strongest path (0.33), educational attainment by early adulthood the next strongest path (0.22), and occupation in middle age the least strong path (0.10). The results of this study suggest that although early childhood factors are highly important for the development of cognitive reserve, yet, cognitive reserve is not fixed in childhood but continues to be affected by events and circumstances as they unfold across the lifespan.

One potential confound of cognitive reserve is that many of the variables used to measure it, such as years of education, are associated with socioeconomic status (SES). Yet, Karp et al. [52] reported that while both low education and low SES confer a greater risk for Alzheimer's disease, when both are in the model only education remains significant. Thus, SES did not mediate the relationship between education and clinical outcome. Further, Turrell et al. [53] reported that completing more years of education was associated with better cognitive functioning in middle age, and that this effect was independent of both childhood SES and current income. Hence, the advantage imparted from cognitive reserve cannot be reduced to SES.

Another potential confound is that those with higher education and IQ perform better on the tests used to measure cognitive decline and some of the tests used to diagnose Alzheimer's disease; this has been referred to as the ascertainment bias [54]. That is, although a person with high cognitive reserve might decline from a high level of performance due to Alzheimer's pathology or aging, this decline might be missed in testing because they may still perform at an average level. Yet, cognitive reserve has been shown to operate even when diagnosing dementia with an interview of daily functioning rather than using neuropsychological tests [55]. Further, cognitive reserve has been shown to provide benefit against cognitive decline even in longitudinal study designs which provide a clear baseline from which to compare performance for each subject [56].

Unlike brain reserve, cognitive reserve provides an explanation for the initially counterintuitive finding that those with higher IQ, more education, and/or more participation in leisure activities fare worse in that they decline more quickly and die sooner once Alzheimer's is diagnosed [21–27]. According to the cognitive reserve model, individuals with higher reserve have successfully compensated for pathology in the early stages of Alzheimer's pathology. By the time deficits are clinically observable in an individual with high cognitive reserve the pathology is in a more advanced stage and the patient is closer to death. This also means that for a given functional level, those with higher reserve have more pathology [57, 58].

While brain reserve and cognitive reserve are clearly distinct, there is some slight overlap between them. For example, there is a small but significant relationship between IQ and

brain volume [59]. Also, it has been demonstrated that enriching environments – a factor of cognitive reserve captured in humans by such variables as participation in leisure activities and occupational complexity – promote neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus [60]. Finally, there is some evidence from animal studies that stimulating environments affect Alzheimer’s pathology directly [61]. Nevertheless, while related, brain reserve and cognitive reserve likely make independent as well as interactive contributions to explaining individual differences in cognitive and functional resilience to brain insults.

In terms of the cognitive processes involved, cognitive reserve may operate by allowing for more flexible strategy usage, an ability thought to be captured by executive functions tasks. Indeed, using structural equation modeling across two samples of healthy older adults aged 53 to 97, cognitive reserve as operationalized by years of education, Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT) score or for Spanish speakers the Word Accentuation Test (WAT) score, and picture vocabulary from the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test third edition (PPVT-III), was found to highly overlap with the executive functions tasks of the letter-number (LN) sequencing subtest of the third version of the Wechsler Adult Inventory Scale (WAIS-III), the odd-man out task, and the difference score from the Color Trails Test [62]. In a third sample of healthy adults aged 20–81, cognitive reserve operationalized as above (education, WRAT, and picture vocabulary) was found to completely overlap with executive functions as operationalized by the same LN sequencing subtest but additionally the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task and the Matrix Reasoning Test. This strongly suggests that cognitive reserve may be related to fluid executive abilities.

Turning to neuroimaging data, cognitive reserve is theorized to manifest as neural reserve and neural compensation [63]. In the absence of pathology, neural reserve allows young healthy individuals with higher cognitive reserve to process tasks more efficiently, and with greater capacity. When tasks are of lower or moderate difficulty individuals with higher cognitive reserve may show lower neural activation, indicating higher neural efficiency. Conversely, when tasks are of high difficulty, individuals with higher cognitive reserve may show higher neural activation, indicating higher capacity. Addressing difficulty is thus of the utmost importance in interpreting activation differences between groups. Neural reserve also appears to operate in the cases of aging and Alzheimer’s pathology as well as other forms of brain insult. Other key variables being equal, those with higher neural reserve should perform at either a better or equivalent level to those lower in neural reserve.

Neural compensation refers to the use of alternate brain regions not normally seen in healthy young adults in order to compensate for deficits in primary avenues for successful task performance [63]. By definition, then, neural compensation is not seen in healthy young adults but only in those with brain pathology. Addressing difficulty is essential in the context of neural compensation. For example, it may appear that a region activated in older adults is not activated in younger adults and that neural compensation is thus occurring. Yet, if the task were made more difficult this region might similarly be used by the young people. It may even be the case that the young people are using the area at the easier level of task difficulty, but due to the statistical threshold set for determining brain activation this area is not detected.

Another key aspect of neural compensation is that it is sometimes but not necessarily associated with better performance. Instead, neural compensation can in some instances be compared to a cane which allows individuals to continue walking but will not restore the ability to sprint. Indeed, more neural compensation has been associated with worse instead of better performance in terms of speed [64, 65]. Some have posited that slowing due to compensatory processing may be a consequence of traversing additional brain regions. An alternate possibility is that neural compensation shifts processing from a primary to a

secondary, less efficient network. Yet, neural compensation has also been associated with better performance in terms of successfully remembering more words [66]. In sum, neural compensation can be associated with performance that is either better or worse.

In this context it should be noted that the activation of additional brain areas in the face of pathology is not always compensation. Sometimes additional regions are activated in a dysfunctional manner due to negative processes such as dedifferentiation of neural tissue in responding to sensory inputs [67], as a failure to resolve competition among brain regions [68], or as a failure to inhibit the default network during task performance [69]. Thus, when additional regions are activated and performance is worse, such processes should be ruled out before concluding that neural compensation is taking place.

## Imaging cognitive reserve in young healthy adults

Stern et al. [70] examined regions where event-related fMRI activation changed with load on a nonverbal serial recognition task in young adults. Half of the trials were of low difficulty and contained one shape to remember; the other half were of high difficulty with the number of shapes to remember adjusted for each subject so that accuracy was 75%. The authors performed univariate analyses looking for regions where the change in activation from the low to the high difficulty task correlated with cognitive reserve, here operationalized as National Adult Reading Test (NART) IQ score. During both the study phase (i.e., the time for encoding the shapes) and test phase (i.e., the time at which memory was probed), brain areas were noted where the brain's response to increasing difficulty varied as a function of measured cognitive reserve. These findings indicate that even in healthy young adults cognitive reserve can be associated with differential task-related activation. This is consistent with the concept of neural reserve. One might hypothesize that these differences in how the task is processed might provide advantages to the individuals with higher cognitive reserve when they are later confronted with age-related brain changes or Alzheimer's disease.

These same data were examined using multivariate analyses [71]. In this second article the question was whether a network of brain regions that ramped up with load would differ in expression between those high and low in cognitive reserve. First, a load-related network was identified in the study phase. Those higher in cognitive reserve activated this network less ( $r^2 = 0.24$ ), reflecting higher neural efficiency. This network was forward applied to the test phase where it was also expressed less by those higher in cognitive reserve ( $r^2 = 0.23$ ). Thus, using a more conservative method than in the first article, evidence for higher neural efficiency in those young adults higher in cognitive reserve was demonstrated.

Habeck et al. [72] investigated the same question using event-related fMRI and multivariate analyses on a delayed letter recognition task. Difficulty was parametrically manipulated in memory set sizes of 1, 3 and 6 letters. During the stimulus phase the load-related network did not correlate with cognitive reserve as operationalized by NART IQ. During the retention phase, or 7-second delay during which items had to be actively remembered, a load-related network was identified that correlated negatively with cognitive reserve ( $r^2 = 0.15$ ). Again, then, evidence for neural efficiency was seen in young adults with higher cognitive reserve; here during a retention phase.

In part, those high in cognitive reserve may achieve higher neural efficiency through using better strategies for performance. Indirect support for this idea comes from a study that controlled for strategy usage and did not find the usual neural efficiency differences with intelligence, suggesting that some of the neural efficiency differences seen in previous studies may have arisen due to differential strategy employment [73]. Other indirect support comes from a study that reported that higher activation was seen in a low-performing group



that post performance reported trying out a greater number of strategies. The high-performing group could quickly settle on an efficient strategy and showed less activation [74].

While the above studies converge in providing evidence for greater neural efficiency for those young individuals high in cognitive reserve compared to those young individuals low in cognitive reserve, they do not provide evidence for greater neural capacity as a function of cognitive reserve in young healthy adults. This is likely because difficulty ranged from low to moderate and it may be only at high levels of difficulty that neural capacity will come into play among young adults. There is a need to identify neural efficiency and neural capacity in the same task as a function of cognitive reserve in young people (our group has such a paper in revision [75]).

## Imaging cognitive reserve in healthy young and older subjects

The relationship between high and low levels of cognitive reserve and the magnitude of neural activation in healthy elderly has not been established. There is a tantalizing suggestion that in the context of aging some of these patterns may sometimes be reversed. For example, Scarmeas et al. [76] studied PET activation in younger and older adults on a nonverbal serial recognition task; cognitive reserve was operationalized as a factor score derived from years of education, NART, and age-scaled vocabulary scores of the revised version of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS-R). There were two memory set sizes; the low demand set size was a single shape while the high demand set size was titrated to 75% accuracy for each subject. Univariate analyses were performed first to identify regions related to cognitive reserve in each group separately and then to identify regions which were differentially related to cognitive reserve between the two groups. The first set of analyses revealed that there were some regions related to cognitive reserve only in the young (positive activation of the right postcentral gyrus and right inferior temporal gyrus) and some regions related to cognitive reserve only in the old (positive activation of the right cuneus and posterior cingulate; deactivation of the right superior temporal gyrus, left insula, left inferior parietal lobule, cingulate gyrus, inferior frontal gyrus, and left parahippocampal gyrus). The second set of analyses revealed three patterns of differential brain activation between young and old subjects: some brain regions were positively related to higher cognitive reserve in the young and negatively related to higher cognitive reserve in the old (right inferior temporal gyrus, cingulate gyrus); another brain regions showed the opposite pattern in that it was negatively related to higher cognitive reserve in the young and positively related to higher cognitive reserve in the old (left cuneus); finally a last brain region was positively related to cognitive reserve in the young and positively although more weakly related to cognitive reserve in the old (right postcentral gyrus). The authors hypothesize that these differences in cognitive reserve expression between young and old reflect a compensatory reorganization that occurs with aging.

Stern et al. [77] reanalyzed the above data using multivariate analyses to examine regions where the magnitude of activation was differentially expressed with load and age. The authors were successful in finding a network of brain areas that was expressed differently between young and older subjects. This network included activation in right hippocampus, posterior insula, thalamus, and right and left operculum; and concurrent deactivation in right lingual gyrus, inferior parietal lobe and association cortex, left posterior cingulate and right and left calcarine cortex. The magnitude of network expression was positively related to cognitive reserve in young ( $r = 0.45$ ), reflecting greater neural efficiency, and negatively related to cognitive reserve in older subjects ( $r = -0.50$ ), reflecting greater neural capacity. In sum, the pattern of activation with cognitive reserve was reversed between young and older individuals. Again, the authors attribute this difference in cognitive reserve-related

activation between young and older subjects to functional reorganization of brain networks with aging; this reorganization is thought to reflect neural compensation.

Stern et al. [78] further investigated in both young and older adults whether cognitive reserve might be implemented in a similar way across different tasks. Specifically, they used event-related fMRI to search for a cognitive reserve-related network common to two tasks with differing cognitive demands: delayed letter and shape Sternberg tasks. Cognitive reserve was operationalized using the NART and the vocabulary subtest of the WAIS-R. Difficulty was parametrically manipulated in both tasks: for the letter task there were memory set sizes of 1, 3, and 6 letters while for the shape task there were memory set sizes of 1, 2, and 3 shapes. Overall, the shape task was much more difficult than the letter task. During the study phase, two networks were found. The first network was task-specific; it was used only during the letter task. The second network was expressed during both the letter and the shape tasks. In young subjects the magnitude of network activation during both the letter and the shape tasks was negatively related to cognitive reserve, reflecting greater neural efficiency in those higher in cognitive reserve. In older subjects, only expression of the network in the easier letter task was similarly negatively related to cognitive reserve. The results of this study suggest that there may be at least one “cognitive reserve network” that is generic and can be activated during the performance of many tasks. This might explain how cognitive reserve can provide protection against brain pathology for the performance of many different cognitive tasks and day-to-day functions.

Steffener et al. [65] compared event-related fMRI activation during a delayed letter recognition task between older and younger subjects. Difficulty was parametrically manipulated between memory set sizes of 1, 3, and 6 letters; networks where the magnitude of activation changed with increasing memory set size during the retention phase of the task were identified. It was found that younger subjects used a single network while older subjects used this network and a second network as well. The authors showed that greater pathology of the primary network, seen here as lower regional grey matter volume in the pre-central gyrus, led to greater recruitment of the secondary network in the elders. As this second network was not seen in the healthy young subjects, it can be presumed to reflect the elders’ neural compensation. Interestingly, elders with higher cognitive reserve could tolerate more pathology in the primary network before they needed to recruit the secondary network.

### **Imaging cognitive reserve in healthy elderly and Alzheimer’s patients**

Scarmeas et al. [79] compared PET activation between healthy elderly subjects and Alzheimer’s disease subjects on a nonverbal serial recognition memory task. In the low demand condition, the memory set consisted of a single shape while the high demand condition was titrated to 75% accuracy for each subject; cognitive reserve was operationalized using a factor score derived from years of education, NARTIQ, and the vocabulary subtest of the WAIS-R. Patterns of activation were reversed between healthy elderly and Alzheimer’s disease subjects. In some areas Alzheimer’s disease subjects with higher cognitive reserve had a greater magnitude of activation while healthy elderly with higher cognitive reserve had a lesser magnitude of activation. In other areas the reverse pattern was seen where Alzheimer’s disease subjects with higher cognitive reserve had less activation while healthy elderly with higher cognitive reserve had more activation. These differences were hypothesized to occur in the Alzheimer’s patients as a result of compensatory reorganization of neural networks; this reorganization is theorized to compensate for the pathological changes taking place.

Solé-Padullés et al. [80] also examined how cognitive reserve affected fMRI activation in healthy old, mild cognitive impairment patients, and Alzheimer's disease patients on a recognition memory task. Stimuli were emotionally neutral pictures of landscapes and people performing outdoor activities; cognitive reserve was operationalized as a composite score of the vocabulary subtest of the WAIS-III, an education-occupation scale, and a scale reflecting participation in leisure activities. Univariate analyses of fMRI data were performed; these were adjusted for the differential performance between the two groups. Among healthy older individuals, those with higher cognitive reserve showed a lesser magnitude of activation in task-related networks. This was hypothesized to reflect greater neural efficiency. By contrast, in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease those with higher cognitive reserve showed a greater magnitude of activation of task-related networks. This was hypothesized to reflect greater neural capacity. Thus, opposite brain activation patterns were observed between healthy and diseased groups as a function of cognitive reserve.

## Diagnosis and Prevention

Those with higher cognitive reserve present a diagnostic challenge in conditions such as Alzheimer's disease as pathological changes may be present with no observable clinical effect. Similarly, at any level of clinical severity of patients with Alzheimer's, those with higher cognitive reserve will have more severe underlying pathology. Neuroimaging biomarkers are being developed to aid in early detection of Alzheimer's pathologic changes, even prior to their clinical expression. There is now evidence that individuals with higher cognitive reserve require greater decreases in cortical thickness [81], higher levels of amyloid peptides in cerebrospinal fluid [82], and greater regional atrophy [83] before clinical symptoms emerge. When describing clinical severity of Alzheimer's, it may be more meaningful to examine a combination of pathologic severity (perhaps measured with these biomarkers) and cognitive reserve as opposed to a summary mental status score.

With the aging of the US population, the prevalence of dementia will triple by 2050 if interventions are not found [84]. Katzman [12] estimated that secondary education delays Alzheimer's for 5 years and thus may substantially reduce its prevalence. Hence, cognitive reserve interventions may be a key nonpharmacological approach to preventing this disease [85]. While Alzheimer's has been shown to have a strong genetic component, even with late-life onset [86], even so, lifestyle and environmental factors play a strong role in shaping its expression and timing of onset. More studies are needed to identify the optimal way to intervene to boost cognitive reserve and prevent Alzheimer's disease.

## Acknowledgments

Financial support provided National Institute of Aging (NIA) grants T32 AG00261 and R01 AG026158.

## References

1. Riley KP, Snowdon DA, Markesbery WR. Alzheimer's neurofibrillary pathology and the spectrum of cognitive function: Findings from the Nun Study. *Annals of Neurology*. 2002; 51:567–77. [PubMed: 12112102]
2. Jellinger KA. Clinical validity of Braak staging in the oldest-old. *Acta Neuropathology*. 2000; 99:583–4.
3. Davis DG, Schmitt FA, Wekstein DR, Markesbery WR. Alzheimer neuropathologic alterations in aged cognitively normal subjects. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. 1999; 58:376–88. [PubMed: 10218633]
4. Gertz H, Krüger H, Xuereb J, Harrington C, Mukaetova-Ladinska E, Wischik C, et al. The relationship between clinical dementia and neuropathological staging (Braak) in a very elderly



- community sample. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*. 1996; 246:132–6. [PubMed: 8739398]
5. Gold G, Bouras C, Kövari E, Canuto A, González Glaría B, Malky A, et al. Clinical validity of Braak neuropathological staging in the oldest-old. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 2000; 99:579–82. [PubMed: 10805104]
  6. Katzman R, Robert T, DeTeresa R, Brown T, Peter D, Fuld P, et al. Clinical, pathological, and neurochemical changes in dementia: a subgroup with preserved mental status and numerous neocortical plaques. *Annals of Neurology*. 1988; 23:138–44. [PubMed: 2897823]
  7. Ince P. Pathological correlates of late-onset dementia in a multicentre, community-based population in England and Wales. *Lancet*. 2001; 357:169–75. [PubMed: 11213093]
  8. Price JL, Morris JC. Tangles and plaques in nondemented aging and “preclinical” Alzheimer’s disease. *Annals of Neurology*. 1999; 45:358–68. [PubMed: 10072051]
  9. Crystal H, Dickson D, Fuld P, Masur D, Scott R, Mehler M, et al. Clinico-pathologic studies in dementia: Nondemented subjects with pathologically confirmed Alzheimer’s disease. *Neurology*. 1988; 38:1682–7. [PubMed: 3185902]
  10. Morris JC, Storandt M, McKeel DW, Rubin EH, Price JL, Grant EA, et al. Cerebral amyloid deposition and diffuse plaques in “normal” aging: Evidence for presymptomatic and very mild Alzheimer’s disease. *Neurology*. 1996; 46:707–19. [PubMed: 8618671]
  11. Mortimer JA, Snowdon DA, Markesbery WR. Head circumference, education and risk of dementia: Findings from the Nun Study. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. 2003; 25:671–9. [PubMed: 12815504]
  12. Katzman R. Education and the prevalence of dementia and Alzheimer’s disease. *Neurology*. 1993; 43:13–20. [PubMed: 8423876]
  13. Mortimer, JA.; Shuman, L.; French, L. Epidemiology of dementing illness. In: Mortimer, JA.; Shuman, LM., editors. *The epidemiology of dementia: Monographs in epidemiology and biostatistics*. Oxford University Press; New York: 1981. p. 323-333.
  14. Satz P. Brain reserve capacity on symptom onset after brain injury: A formulation and review of evidence for threshold theory. *Neuropsychology*. 1993; 7:273–95.
  15. Graves AB, Mortimer JA, Larson EB, Wenzlow A, Bowen JD, McCormick WC. Head circumference as a measure of cognitive reserve: Association with severity of impairment in Alzheimer’s disease. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 1996; 169:86–92. [PubMed: 8818374]
  16. Jenkins R, Fox NC, Rossor AM, Harvey RJ, Rossor MN. Intracranial volume and Alzheimer disease: Evidence against the cerebral reserve hypothesis. *Arch Neurol*. 2000; 57:220–4. [PubMed: 10681081]
  17. Kessing LV, Andersen PK. Does the risk of developing dementia increase with the number of episodes in patients with depressive disorder and in patients with bipolar disorder? *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*. 2004; 75:1662–6.
  18. Guskiewicz KM, Marshall SW, Bailes J, McCrea M, Cantu RC, Randolph C, et al. Association between recurrent concussion and late-life cognitive impairment in retired professional football players. *Neurosurgery*. 2005; 57:719–26. [PubMed: 16239884]
  19. Redcay E, Courchesne E. When Is the brain enlarged in autism? A meta-analysis of all brain size reports. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2005; 58:1–9. [PubMed: 15935993]
  20. Salat DH, Kaye JA, Janowsky JS. Greater orbital prefrontal volume selectively predicts worse working memory performance in older adults. *Cereb Cortex*. 2002; 12:494–505. [PubMed: 11950767]
  21. Stern Y, Tang MX, Denaro J, Mayeux R. Increased risk of mortality in Alzheimer’s disease patients with more advanced educational and occupational attainment. *Annals of Neurology*. 1995; 37:590–5. [PubMed: 7755353]
  22. Teri L, McCurry SM, Edland SD, Kukull WA, Larson EB. Cognitive decline in Alzheimer’s disease: a longitudinal investigation of risk factors for accelerated decline. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 1995; 50A:M49–55. [PubMed: 7814789]
  23. Stern Y, Albert S, Tang M-X, Tsai W-Y. Rate of memory decline in AD is related to education and occupation: Cognitive reserve? *Neurology*. 1999; 53:1942–7. [PubMed: 10599762]

24. Scarmeas N, Albert SM, Manly JJ, Stern Y. Education and rates of cognitive decline in incident Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*. 2006; 77:308–16.
25. Hall CB, Derby C, LeValley A, Katz MJ, Verghese J, Lipton RB. Education delays accelerated decline on a memory test in persons who develop dementia. *Neurology*. 2007; 69:1657–64. [PubMed: 17954781]
26. Helzner EP, Scarmeas N, Cosentino S, Portet F, Stern Y. Leisure activity and cognitive decline in incident Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol*. 2007; 64:1749–54. [PubMed: 18071038]
27. Stern Y, Gurland B, Tatemichi TK, Tang MX, Wilder D, Mayeux R. Influence of education and occupation on the incidence of Alzheimer's disease. *JAMA*. 1994; 271:1004–10. [PubMed: 8139057]
28. Stern Y. What is cognitive reserve? Theory and research application of the reserve concept. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*. 2002; 8:448–60. [PubMed: 11939702]
29. Stern YP, Alexander GE, Prohovnik I, Mayeux R. Inverse relationship between education and parietotemporal perfusion deficit in Alzheimer's disease. *Annals of Neurology*. 1992; 32:371–5. [PubMed: 1416806]
30. Alexander GE, Furey ML, Grady CL, Pietrini P, Brady DR, Mentis MJ, et al. Association of premorbid intellectual function with cerebral metabolism in Alzheimer's disease: Implications for the cognitive reserve hypothesis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1997; 154:165–72. [PubMed: 9016263]
31. Manly JJ, Touradji P, Tang MX, Stern Y. Literacy and memory decline among ethnically diverse elders. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. 2003; 25:680–90. [PubMed: 12815505]
32. Manly JJ, Schupf N, Tang M-X, Stern Y. Cognitive decline and literacy among ethnically diverse elders. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol*. 2005; 18:213–7. [PubMed: 16306242]
33. Richards M, Sacker A. Lifetime antecedents of cognitive reserve. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. 2003; 25:614–24. [PubMed: 12815499]
34. Staff RT, Murray AD, Deary IJ, Whalley LJ. What provides cerebral reserve? *Brain*. 2004; 127:1191–9. [PubMed: 15047587]
35. Scarmeas N, Levy G, Tang MX, Manly J, Stern Y. Influence of leisure activity on the incidence of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*. 2001; 57:2236–42. [PubMed: 11756603]
36. Scarmeas N, Zarahn E, Anderson KE, Habeck CG, Hilton J, Flynn J, et al. Association of life activities with cerebral blood flow in Alzheimer disease: Implications for the cognitive reserve hypothesis. *Arch Neurol*. 2003; 60:359–65. [PubMed: 12633147]
37. Wilson RS, Mendes de Leon CF, Barnes LL, Schneider JA, Bienias JL, Evans DA, et al. Participation in cognitively stimulating activities and risk of incident Alzheimer disease. *JAMA*. 2002; 287:742–8. [PubMed: 11851541]
38. Fratiglioni L, Wang H-X, Ericsson K, Maytan M, Winblad B. Influence of social network on occurrence of dementia: a community-based longitudinal study. *Lancet*. 2000; 355:1315–9. [PubMed: 10776744]
39. Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Tang Y, Arnold SE, Wilson RS. The effect of social networks on the relation between Alzheimer's disease pathology and level of cognitive function in old people: a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet Neurol*. 2006; 5:406–12. [PubMed: 16632311]
40. Wilson RS, Arnold SE, Schneider JA, Kelly JF, Tang Y, Bennett DA. Chronic psychological distress and risk of Alzheimer's disease in old age. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2006; 27:143–53. [PubMed: 16974109]
41. Wilson RS, Schneider JA, Arnold SE, Bienias JL, Bennett DA. Conscientiousness and the incidence of Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2007; 64:1204–12. [PubMed: 17909133]
42. Elkins JS, Longstreth WT, Manolio TA, Newman AB, Bhadelia RA, Johnston SC. Education and the cognitive decline associated with MRI-defined brain infarct. *Neurology*. 2006; 67:435–40. [PubMed: 16894104]
43. Dufouil C, Alperovitch A, Tzourio C. Influence of education on the relationship between white matter lesions and cognition. *Neurology*. 2003; 60:831–6. [PubMed: 12629242]

44. Glatt SL, Hubble JP, Lyons K, Paolo A, Tröster AI, Hassanein RES, et al. Risk factors for dementia in Parkinson's disease: Effect of education. *Neuroepidemiology*. 1996; 15:20–5. [PubMed: 8719045]
45. Kesler SR, Adams HF, Blasey CM, Bigler ED. Premorbid intellectual functioning, education, and brain size in traumatic brain injury: An investigation of the cognitive reserve hypothesis. *Applied Neuropsychology*. 2003; 10:153. [PubMed: 12890641]
46. Farinpour R, Miller EN, Satz P, Selnes OA, Cohen BA, Becker JT, et al. Psychosocial risk factors of HIV morbidity and mortality: Findings from the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study (MACS). *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2003; 25:654–70.
47. Sumowski JF, Chiaravalloti N, DeLuca J. Cognitive reserve protects against cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. 2009; 31:913–26. [PubMed: 19330566]
48. Geerlings MI, Bouter LM, Schoevers R, Beekman ATF, Jonker C, Deeg DJH, et al. Depression and risk of cognitive decline and Alzheimer's disease: Results of two prospective community-based studies in The Netherlands. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2000; 176:568–75. [PubMed: 10974964]
49. Koenen KC, Moffitt TE, Roberts AL, Martin LT, Kubzansky L, Harrington H, et al. Childhood IQ and adult mental disorders: a test of the cognitive reserve hypothesis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2009; 166:50–7. [PubMed: 19047325]
50. Barnett JH, Salmond CH, Jones PB, Sahakian BJ. Cognitive reserve in neuropsychiatry. *Psychol Med*. 2006; 36:1053–64. [PubMed: 16854246]
51. Ceci SJ. How much does schooling influence general intelligence and its cognitive components? A reassessment of the evidence. *Dev Psychol*. 1991; 27:703–22.
52. Karp A, Kareholt I, Qiu C, Bellander T, Winblad B, Fratiglioni L. Relation of education and occupation-based socioeconomic status to incident Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004; 159:175–83. [PubMed: 14718220]
53. Turrell G, Lynch JW, Kaplan GA, Everson SA, Helkala E-L, Kauhanen J, et al. Socioeconomic position across the lifecourse and cognitive function in late middle age. *Journal of Gerontology: Series B Psychological sciences and social sciences*. 2002; 57B:S43–51.
54. Tuokko H, Garrett DD, McDowell I, Silverberg N, Kristjansson B. Cognitive decline in high-functioning older adults: Reserve or ascertainment bias? *Aging & Mental Health*. 2003; 7:259. [PubMed: 12888437]
55. Liao YC, Liu RS, Teng EL, Lee YC, Wang PN, Lin KN, et al. Cognitive reserve: a SPECT study of 132 Alzheimer's disease patients with an education range of 0–19 years. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*. 2005; 20:8–14. [PubMed: 15832030]
56. Scarmeas N, Stern Y. Cognitive reserve: Implications for diagnosis and prevention of Alzheimer's disease. *Current Neurology and Neuroscience Reports*. 2004; 4:374–80. [PubMed: 15324603]
57. Bennett DA, Wilson RS, Schneider JA, Evans DA, Mendes de Leon CF, Arnold SE, et al. Education modifies the relation of AD pathology to level of cognitive function in older persons. *Neurology*. 2003; 60:1909–15. [PubMed: 12821732]
58. Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Wilson RS, Bienias JL, Arnold SE. Education modifies the association of amyloid but not tangles with cognitive function. *Neurology*. 2005; 65:953–5. [PubMed: 16186546]
59. McDaniel MA. Big-brained people are smarter: A meta-analysis of the relationship between in vivo brain volume and intelligence. *Intelligence*. 2005; 33:337–46.
60. Churchill JD, Galvez R, Colcombe S, Swain RA, Kramer AF, Greenough WT. Exercise, experience and the aging brain. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2002; 23:941–55. [PubMed: 12392797]
61. Costa DA, Cracchiolo JR, Bachstetter AD, Hughes TF, Bales KR, Paul SM, et al. Enrichment improves cognition in AD mice by amyloid-related and unrelated mechanisms. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2007; 28:831–44. [PubMed: 16730391]
62. Siedlecki KL, Stern Y, Reuben A, Sacco RL, Elkind MSV, Wright CB. Construct validity of cognitive reserve in a multiethnic cohort: The Northern Manhattan Study. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*. 2009; 15:558–69. [PubMed: 19573274]
63. Stern Y. Cognitive Reserve. *Neuropsychologia*. 2009; 47:2015–28. [PubMed: 19467352]

64. Zarahn E, Rakitin B, Abela D, Flynn J, Stern Y. Age-related changes in brain activation during a delayed item recognition task. *Neurobiology of Aging*. 2007; 28:784–98. [PubMed: 16621168]
65. Steffener J, Brickman AM, Rakitin BC, Gazes Y, Stern Y. The impact of age-related changes on working memory functional activity. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*. 2009; 3:142–53. [PubMed: 19536354]
66. Stern Y, Moeller JR, Anderson KE, Lubner B, Zubin NR, DiMauro AA, et al. Different brain networks mediate task performance in normal aging and AD: Defining compensation. *Neurology*. 2000; 55:1291–7. [PubMed: 11087770]
67. Park DC, Polk TA, Park R, Minear M, Savage A, Smith MR. Aging reduces neural specialization in ventral visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2004; 101:13091–5. [PubMed: 15322270]
68. Logan JM, Sanders AL, Snyder AZ, Morris JC, Buckner RL. Under-recruitment and nonselective recruitment: Dissociable neural mechanisms associated with aging. *Neuron*. 2002; 33:827–40. [PubMed: 11879658]
69. Lustig C, Snyder AZ, Bhakta M, O’Brien KC, McAvoy M, Raichle ME, et al. Functional deactivations: Change with age and dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2003; 100:14504–9. [PubMed: 14608034]
70. Stern Y, Zarahn E, Hilton HJ, Flynn J, DeLaPaz R, Rakitin B. Exploring the neural basis of cognitive reserve. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. 2003; 25:691–701. [PubMed: 12815506]
71. Habeck C, Hilton HJ, Zarahn E, Flynn J, Moeller J, Stern Y. Relation of cognitive reserve and task performance to expression of regional covariance networks in an event-related fMRI study of nonverbal memory. *NeuroImage*. 2003; 20:1723–33. [PubMed: 14642482]
72. Habeck C, Rakitin BC, Moeller J, Scarmeas N, Zarahn E, Brown T, et al. An event-related fMRI study of the neural networks underlying the encoding, maintenance, and retrieval phase in a delayed-match-to-sample task. *Cognitive Brain Res*. 2005; 23:207–20.
73. Toffanin P, Johnson A, de Jong R, Martens S. Rethinking neural efficiency: Effects of controlling for strategy use. *Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2007; 121:854–70. [PubMed: 17907818]
74. Jaeggi SM, Buschkuhl M, Etienne A, Ozdoba C, Perrig WJ, Nirkko AC. On how high performers keep cool brains in situations of cognitive overload. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci*. 2007; 7:75–89. [PubMed: 17672380]
75. Stern Y, Rakitin B, Habeck C, Gazes E, Steffener J, Kumar A, et al. Task difficulty modulates young –old differences in network expression. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. (In Revision).
76. Scarmeas N, Zarahn E, Anderson KE, Hilton J, Flynn J, Van Heertum RL, et al. Cognitive reserve modulates functional brain responses during memory tasks: a PET study in healthy young and elderly subjects. *NeuroImage*. 2003; 19:1215–27. [PubMed: 12880846]
77. Stern Y, Habeck C, Moeller J, Scarmeas N, Anderson KE, Hilton HJ, et al. Brain networks associated with cognitive reserve in healthy young and old adults. *Cereb Cortex*. 2005; 15:394–402. [PubMed: 15749983]
78. Stern Y, Zarahn E, Habeck C, Holtzer R, Rakitin BC, Kumar A, et al. A common neural network for cognitive reserve in verbal and object working memory in young but not old. *Cereb Cortex*. 2008; 18:959–67. [PubMed: 17675368]
79. Scarmeas N, Habeck CG, Zarahn E, Anderson KE, Park A, Hilton J, et al. Covariance PET patterns in early Alzheimer’s disease and subjects with cognitive impairment but no dementia: Utility in group discrimination and correlations with functional performance. *NeuroImage*. 2004; 23:35–45. [PubMed: 15325350]
80. Solé-Padullés C, Bartres-Faz D, Junqué C, Vendrell P, Rami L, Clemente IC, et al. Brain structure and function related to cognitive reserve variables in normal aging, mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease. *Neurobiology of Aging*. 2009; 30:1114–24. [PubMed: 18053618]
81. Querbes O, Aubry F, Pariente J, Lotterie J-A, Demonet J-F, Duret V, et al. Early diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease using cortical thickness: Impact of cognitive reserve. *Brain*. 2009; 132:2036–47. [PubMed: 19439419]

82. Shaw LM, Vanderstichele H, Knapiak-Czajka M, Clark CM, Aisen PS, Petersen RC, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker signature in Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative subjects. *Annals of Neurology*. 2009; 65:403–13. [PubMed: 19296504]
83. Hua X, Leow AD, Parikshak N, Lee S, Chiang M-C, Toga AW, et al. Tensor-based morphometry as a neuroimaging biomarker for Alzheimer's disease: an MRI study of 676 AD, MCI, and normal subjects. *NeuroImage*. 2008; 43:458–69. [PubMed: 18691658]
84. Hebert LE, Scherr PA, Bienias JL, Bennett DA, Evans DA. Alzheimer disease in the US population: Prevalence estimates using the 2000 census. *Arch Neurol*. 2003; 60:1119–22. [PubMed: 12925369]
85. Stern Y. Cognitive reserve and Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*. 2006; 20:S69–S74. [PubMed: 16917199]
86. Gatz M, Reynolds CA, Fratiglioni L, Johansson B, Mortimer JA, Berg S, et al. Role of genes and environments for explaining Alzheimer disease. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2006; 63:168–74. [PubMed: 16461860]