

Loci influencing blood pressure identified using a cardiovascular gene-centric array

Santhi K. Ganesh^{1,†}, Vinicius Tragante^{2,3,†}, Wei Guo^{5,†}, Yiran Guo⁷, Matthew B. Lanktree⁸, Erin N. Smith⁹, Toby Johnson^{10,11}, Berta Almoguera Castillo⁷, John Barnard¹², Jens Baumert¹⁴, Yen-Pei Christy Chang^{17,18}, Clara C. Elbers^{3,4}, Martin Farrall¹⁹, Mary E. Fischer²⁰, Nora Franceschini²¹, Tom R. Gaunt²², Johannes M.I.H. Gho², Christian Gieger¹⁵, Yan Gong²³, Aaron Isaacs²⁵, Marcus E. Kleber^{27,28}, Irene Mateo Leach²⁹, Caitrin W. McDonough²³, Matthijs F.L. Meijs², Olle Mellander^{36,37}, Cliona M. Molony³⁸, Ilja M. Nolte³⁰, Sandosh Padmanabhan³⁹, Tom S. Price⁴⁰, Ramakrishnan Rajagopalan⁴¹, Jonathan Shaffer⁴², Sonia Shah⁴³, Haiqing Shen¹⁷, Nicole Soranzo⁴⁴, Peter J. van der Most³⁰, Erik P.A. Van Iperen^{46,47}, Jessic A. Van Setten³, Judith M. Vonk³⁰, Li Zhang¹², Amber L. Beitelshes¹², Gerald S. Berenson⁵⁰, Deepak L. Bhatt⁵¹, Jolanda M.A. Boer⁵², Eric Boerwinkle⁵³, Ben Burkley²³, Amber Burt⁴¹, Aravinda Chakravarti⁵⁵, Wei Chen⁵⁰, Rhonda M. Cooper-DeHoff²³, Sean P. Curtis⁵⁷, Albert Dreisbach⁵⁸, David Duggan⁶⁰, Georg B. Ehret⁵⁵, Richard R. Fabsitz⁶¹, Myriam Fornage⁵³, Ervin Fox⁵⁸, Clement E. Furlong⁴¹, Ron T. Gansevoort³¹, Marten H. Hofker³², G. Kees Hovingh⁴⁸, Susan A. Kirkland⁶³, Kandice Kottke-Marchant⁶⁴, Abdullah Kutlar⁶⁵, Andrea Z. LaCroix⁶⁶, Taimour Y. Langaee²³, Yun R. Li⁷, Honghuang Lin⁶⁷, Kiang Liu⁶⁹, Steffi Maiwald⁷⁰, Rainer Malik^{71,72}, CARDIOGRAM, METASTROKE, Gurunathan Murugesan⁶⁴, Christopher Newton-Cheh^{73,74}, Jeffery R. O'Connell¹⁸, N. Charlotte Onland-Moret^{3,4}, Willem H. Ouwehand⁴⁵, Walter Palmas⁴², Brenda W. Penninx⁷⁵, Carl J. Pepine⁷⁶, Mary Pettinger⁶¹, Joseph F. Polak⁷⁷, Vasan S. Ramachandran^{67,68}, Jane Ranchalis⁴¹, Susan Redline⁷⁸, Paul M. Ridker⁷⁸, Lynda M. Rose⁷⁸, Hubert Scharnag^{1,80}, Nicholas J. Schork⁸¹, Daichi Shimbo⁴², Alan R. Shuldiner^{18,82}, Sathanur R. Srinivasan⁵⁰, Ronald P. Stolk³⁰, Herman A. Taylor⁵⁸, Barbara Thorand¹⁴, Mieke D. Trip⁴⁹, Cornelia M. van Duijn²⁵, W. Monique Verschuren⁵², Cisca Wijmenga³³, Bernhard R. Winkelmann⁸³, Sharon Wyatt⁵⁹, J. Hunter Young⁵⁶, Bernhard O. Boehm⁸⁴, Mark J. Caulfield¹⁰, Daniel I. Chasman⁷⁸, Karina W. Davidson⁸⁶, Pieter A. Doevendans², Garret A. FitzGerald⁸⁷, John G. Gums²⁴, Hakon Hakonarson⁷, Hans L. Hillege²⁹, Thomas Illig^{16,88}, Gail P. Jarvik⁴¹, Julie A. Johnson²³, John J.P. Kastelein⁴⁸, Wolfgang Koenig⁸⁵, LifeLines Cohort Study³⁴, Winfried März^{28,89}, Braxton D. Mitchell¹⁸, Sarah S. Murray⁹⁰, Albertine J. Oldehinkel³⁵, Daniel J. Rader⁹¹, Muredach P. Reilly⁹¹, Alex P. Reiner⁶⁶, Eric E. Schadt⁹², Roy L. Silverstein^{6,13}, Harold Snieder³⁰, Alice V. Stanton⁹³, André G. Uitterlinden²⁶, Pim van der Harst²⁹, Yvonne T. van der Schouw⁴, Nilesh J. Samani^{94,95}, Andrew D. Johnson⁶⁸, Patricia B. Munroe^{10,11}, Paul I.W. de Bakker^{3,4,73,79,†}, Xiaofeng Zhu^{5,†}, Daniel Levy^{62,†}, Brendan J. Keating^{7,*,†} and Folkert W. Asselbergs^{2,3,4,46,*,†}

*To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Department of Pediatrics, University of Pennsylvania & Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Office 1016 Abramson Building, 3615 Civic Center Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19104-4318, USA. Tel: +1 2677604507; Fax: +1 2674260363; Email: bkeating@mail.med.upenn.edu (B.J.K.); Department of Cardiology, Division Heart & Lungs, University Medical Center Utrecht, Room E03.511, PO Box 85500, 3508 GA Utrecht, The Netherlands. Tel: +31 887553358; Fax: +31 887555423; Email: f.w.asselbergs@umcutrecht.nl. (F.W.A.)

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

¹Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, ²Department of Cardiology, Division Heart and Lungs, ³Department of Medical Genetics and ⁴Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands, ⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Medicine and ⁶Department of Molecular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA, ⁷Center for Applied Genomics, Abramson Research Center, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA, ⁸Departments of Medicine and Biochemistry, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada, ⁹Department of Pediatrics and Rady's Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA, ¹⁰Clinical Pharmacology and Barts and The London Genome Centre, William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and ¹¹The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ, UK, ¹²Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA, ¹³Department of Cell Biology, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic, ¹⁴Institute of Epidemiology II, ¹⁵Institute of Genetic Epidemiology and ¹⁶Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany, ¹⁷Department of Medicine and ¹⁸Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Nutrition, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA, ¹⁹Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK, ²⁰Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA, ²¹Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA, ²²MRC Centre for Causal Analyses in Translational Epidemiology, School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Bristol BS8 2BN, UK, ²³Department of Pharmacotherapy and Translational Research and Center for Pharmacogenomics and ²⁴Departments of Pharmacotherapy and Translational Research and Community Health and Family Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA, ²⁵Genetic Epidemiology Unit, Department of Epidemiology and ²⁶Departments of Epidemiology and Internal Medicine, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, ²⁷LURIC Study nonprofit LLC, Freiburg, Germany, ²⁸Mannheim Institute of Public Health, Social and Preventive Medicine, Mannheim Medical Faculty, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany, ²⁹Department of Cardiology, ³⁰Department of Epidemiology, ³¹Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, ³²Molecular Genetics, Medical Biology Section, Department of Pathology and Medical Biology, ³³Department of Genetics, ³⁴LifeLines Cohort Study and ³⁵Interdisciplinary Center Psychopathology and Emotion Regulation, Groningen University, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ³⁶Hypertension and Cardiovascular Disease, Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden, ³⁷Centre of Emergency Medicine, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden, ³⁸Department of Genetics, Rosetta Inpharmatics, Seattle, WA, USA, ³⁹BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Glasgow, 126 University Place, Glasgow G12 8TA, UK, ⁴⁰MRC SGDP Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, London, UK, ⁴¹Department of Medicine, Division of Medical Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA, ⁴²Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA, ⁴³UCL Genetics Institute, Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, Kathleen Lonsdale Building, Gower Place, London WC1E 6BT, UK, ⁴⁴Human Genetics and ⁴⁵Department of Haematology, University of Cambridge and NHS Blood and Transplant, Cambridge and Human Genetics, Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK, ⁴⁶Durrer Center for Cardiogenetic Research, ⁴⁷Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, ⁴⁸Department of Vascular Medicine and ⁴⁹Department of Cardiology, AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ⁵⁰Department of Epidemiology, Tulane University, 1440 Canal Street, Suite 1829, New Orleans, LA, USA, ⁵¹VA Boston Healthcare System, Brigham and Women's Hospital, and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, ⁵²National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands, ⁵³Human Genetics Center and Institute of Molecular Medicine and Division of Epidemiology, University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, TX, USA, ⁵⁴The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA, ⁵⁵Center for Complex Disease Genomics, McKusick-Nathans Institute of Genetic Medicine and ⁵⁶Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA, ⁵⁷Merck Research Laboratories, PO Box 2000, Rahway, NJ 07065, USA, ⁵⁸Department of Medicine and ⁵⁹School of Nursing, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA, ⁶⁰Translational Genomics Research Institute, Phoenix, AZ, USA, ⁶¹Division of Cardiovascular Sciences, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA, ⁶²Center for Population Studies, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Framingham, MA,

USA, ⁶³Department of Community Health and Epidemiology, Dalhousie University, Canada, ⁶⁴Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA, ⁶⁵Medical College of Georgia, Augusta, GA, USA, ⁶⁶Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA, ⁶⁷Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA, ⁶⁸National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study, 73 Mt. Wayte Avenue Suite #2, Framingham, MA, USA, ⁶⁹Department of Preventive Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA, ⁷⁰Department of Vascular Medicine, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ⁷¹Institute for Stroke and Dementia Research and ⁷²Neurologische Klinik, Klinikum Grosshadern, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, München, Germany, ⁷³Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA, ⁷⁴Center for Human Genetic Research, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA, ⁷⁵Department of Psychiatry/EMGO Institute, VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ⁷⁶Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL, USA, ⁷⁷Department of Radiology, Tufts Medical Center, ⁷⁸Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School and ⁷⁹Division of Genetics, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, ⁸⁰Clinical Institute of Medical and Chemical Laboratory Diagnostics, Medical University of Graz, Austria, ⁸¹The Scripps Translational Science Institute and The Scripps Research Institute, 3344 N. Torrey Pines Ct. Ste 300, La Jolla, CA, USA, ⁸²Geriatric Research and Education Clinical Center, Veterans Administration Medical Center, Baltimore, MD, USA, ⁸³Cardiology Group, Frankfurt-Sachsenhausen, ⁸⁴Division of Endocrinology and ⁸⁵Department of Internal Medicine I—Cardiology, University of Ulm Medical Centre, Ulm, Germany, ⁸⁶Departments of Medicine & Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA, ⁸⁷The Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics, School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA, ⁸⁸Hannover Unified Biobank, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany, ⁸⁹Synlab Academy, Mannheim, Germany, ⁹⁰Scripps Translational Science Institute and Scripps Health, 3344 N. Torrey Pines Ct. Ste 300, La Jolla, CA, USA, ⁹¹Cardiovascular Institute, The Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, PA, USA, ⁹²Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA, ⁹³Molecular & Cellular Therapeutics, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, 123 St Stephens Green, Dublin 2, Ireland, ⁹⁴Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester and ⁹⁵Leicester NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Cardiovascular Disease, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester LE3 9QP, UK

Received July 13, 2012; Revised November 30, 2012; Accepted December 27, 2012

Blood pressure (BP) is a heritable determinant of risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD). To investigate genetic associations with systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), mean arterial pressure (MAP) and pulse pressure (PP), we genotyped ~50 000 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that capture variation in ~2100 candidate genes for cardiovascular phenotypes in 61 619 individuals of European ancestry from cohort studies in the USA and Europe. We identified novel associations between rs347591 and SBP (chromosome 3p25.3, in an intron of *HRH1*) and between rs2169137 and DBP (chromosome 1q32.1 in an intron of *MDM4*) and between rs2014408 and SBP (chromosome 11p15 in an intron of *SOX6*), previously reported to be associated with MAP. We also confirmed 10 previously known loci associated with SBP, DBP, MAP or PP (*ADRB1*, *ATP2B1*, *SH2B3/ATXN2*, *CSK*, *CYP17A1*, *FURIN*, *HFE*, *LSP1*, *MTHFR*, *SOX6*) at array-wide significance ($P < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$). We then replicated these associations in an independent set of 65 886 individuals of European ancestry. The findings from expression QTL (eQTL) analysis showed associations of SNPs in the *MDM4* region with *MDM4* expression. We did not find any evidence of association of the two novel SNPs in *MDM4* and *HRH1* with sequelae of high BP including coronary artery disease (CAD), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) or stroke. In summary, we identified two novel loci associated with BP and confirmed multiple previously reported associations. Our findings extend our understanding of genes involved in BP regulation, some of which may eventually provide new targets for therapeutic intervention.

INTRODUCTION

Blood pressure (BP) is a cardinal risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD). Systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) levels are associated with increased risk of atherosclerotic vascular disease and other cardiovascular causes of death (1). Much of the excess CVD risk imparted by BP elevation can be ameliorated through interventions to decrease BP (2). The identification of novel genes and pathways involved in BP regulation may highlight new ways of reducing BP and CVD risk associated with hypertension. The mean arterial pressure (MAP) and pulse pressure (PP, the difference between SBP and DBP) are single BP components associated with CVD risk (3–5). The latter is an indicator of conduit artery stiffness and is known to increase with age, as aortic elasticity decreases. To date, ~50 common genetic variants associated with BP and hypertension have been reported, largely through genome-wide association studies (GWAS), meta-analyses and admixture mapping approaches (6–16). The identification of common variants associated with BP may be enriched through further gene-centric approaches (17–19). Accordingly, we tested the hypothesis that candidate gene analysis would identify known and novel associations with SBP, DBP, MAP and PP and would confirm previously reported associations. To further investigate and discover associations with BP, we genotyped approximately 50 000 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on a gene-centric array (ITMAT-Broad_CARE [IBC] array, Illumina San Diego, CA, USA) that captures variation in ~2100 candidate genes for cardiovascular traits including BP (20) in 61 619 individuals of European ancestry. We identified two novel BP-associated loci, at the candidate genes *MDM4* and *HRH1* and have validated these associations through *in silico* replication analysis in a large set of independent samples.

RESULTS

Discovery association analyses

In the primary discovery meta-analysis, four BP traits were analyzed in 61 619 individuals from 27 cohorts, as described in Table 1. We analyzed SBP, DBP, MAP and PP as continuous traits. Cohort characteristics, including age, sex, BP values and the proportion of individuals treated with BP lowering medications, are provided in Table 1. The details of the cohorts are provided in the Supplementary Material, Table S1 and Supplementary Materials.

Association analyses were successfully carried out for up to 48 372 SNPs, and summaries of the quality-control (QC) steps and numbers of SNPs removed at each step are provided in Supplementary Material, Table S2A. Cohort-specific genomic control inflation factors, λ_{GC} , did not suggest the presence of inflation (Supplementary Material, Table S3). Meta-analysis quantile–quantile plots are shown in Supplementary Material, Fig. S1 and *P*-values for association for all SNPs are provided in Supplementary Material, Table S4. We identified 22 significant SNP-trait associations with SBP, DBP, MAP and PP at 12 different loci ($P < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$), including two novel loci near *HRH1* and *MDM4* for BP traits and one novel SNP-trait association in the *SOX6* locus, a region previously described in association with MAP.

Replication analyses

Replication testing was performed in 65 866 additional individuals, including 43 266 individuals in seven cohorts with genome-wide SNP genotypes imputed to HapMap (Supplementary Material, Table S2B) and 22 600 individuals genotyped on the same IBC chip used for the discovery analyses. Through the joint analysis of SNPs considered relevant during the discovery phase combined with replication data, we identified robust association of 22 SNP-trait associations at 12 independent loci meeting our array-wide significance threshold of $P < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$: six loci were associated with DBP (*MTHFR*, *MDM4*, *HFE*, *SH2B3/ATXN2*, *CSK*, *FURIN*), nine loci were associated with SBP (*MTHFR*, *HRH1*, *CYP17A1*, *LSP1*, *SOX6*, *ATP2B1*, *SH2B3/ATXN2*, *CSK*, *FURIN*), six loci were associated with MAP (*MTHFR*, *ADRB1*, *ATP2B1*, *ATXN2*, *CSK*, *FURIN*) and one locus associated with PP (*CYP17A1*). The association findings are summarized in Table 2.

We confirmed previously reported BP associations at 10 loci, and identified two novel loci: rs347591 associated with SBP (chromosome 3p25, in an intron of *HRH1*, $P = 1.57 \times 10^{-8}$) (Fig. 1A); rs2169137 associated with DBP (chromosome 1q32, in an intron of *MDM4*, $P = 5.9 \times 10^{-8}$) (Fig. 1B). We additionally found evidence of association of rs281413 (chromosome 19p13 in an intron of *ICAM3*) with DBP, in our discovery analysis, although it was not confirmed in the replication analysis ($P = 3.08 \times 10^{-6}$ in discovery, $P = 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ in the joint analysis). Finally, one of the SNP-trait associations we identified was novel in our analysis, with the association of rs2014408 with SBP (chromosome 11p15, in an intron of *SOX6*, $P = 5.71 \times 10^{-10}$), whereas previously only association with MAP had been reported. A second Bonferroni correction of our results for testing four traits did not result in a change in the overall results, so we present the original results here, as the four traits are highly correlated. Full association results for SNPs in our discovery analysis with association $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ are reported in Supplementary Material, Table S5. Despite ascertainment biases in some of the discovery cohorts, due to inclusion or exclusion based upon BP or hypertension status (as noted in Supplementary Material, Table S1), we show replication of the key findings. We comprehensively compared the results of our analysis with all published associations at the time of this report (6–13,19) (Supplementary Material, Table S6). We reviewed 77 previously reported loci for our BP traits of interest and found that 43 were represented on our genotyping array, with one region containing a proxy SNP ($r^2 = 0.66$) rather than the index SNP previously reported (Supplementary Material, Table S4). At a nominal association threshold ($P = 0.05$), 32 SNPs were associated with one or more BP traits in our study, and with a multiple testing correction ($P < 0.00116$), we observed 21 SNPs with BP associations.

Sex interaction

In a secondary sex-specific analysis of our discovery sample (Supplementary Material, Table S7), we had no new significant associations. To follow-up possible sex differences in the two novel associations identified in our discovery efforts, we tested for interactions of rs347591 (*HRH1*) and rs2169137 (*MDM4*) with sex and identified a modest sex-specific effect for all four continuous BP traits at rs2169137

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of discovery and replication cohorts

	Age	Female/ male	SBP	DBP	MAP	PP	BMI	Taking anti- hypertensive medication
Discovery cohorts								
AMC-PAS	42.9 ± 5.3	180/563	128.6 ± 17.9	79.9 ± 10.7	96.1 ± 12.1	48.8 ± 13.1	26.9 ± 4.1	33.2%
Amish	47.6 ± 15.0	713/691	121.9 ± 16.5	75.3 ± 9.4	90.8 ± 10.8	46.6 ± 12.2	27.3 ± 5.0	16.4%
ARIC	54.2 ± 5.7	5124/4453	118.3 ± 17	71.5 ± 10	87.1 ± 11.3	46.7 ± 12.7	26.9 ± 4.9	25.1%
BHS	22.5 ± 4.4	291/228	111.5 ± 10.2	71.8 ± 8.5	85 ± 8.3	39.7 ± 8	24.7 ± 6.1	2.7%
CARDIA	40.6 ± 4.1	703/623	102.2 ± 30.5	71.9 ± 11.2	84.5 ± 11.4	37.7 ± 8.8	26.9 ± 6.4	3.7%
CCCS	64.2 ± 9.7	555/1402	136.94 ± 19.04	78.33 ± 10.61	156.48 ± 23.57	58.61 ± 15.69	29.2 (4.8)/ 29.8 (6.5)	88.7%
CFS	40.9 ± 19.9	302/252	121.1 ± 16.6	71.8 ± 11.7	88.2 ± 12.2	49.3 ± 12.4	30.1 ± 8.8	8.8%
CHS	72.6 ± 6.3	2208/1722	135.3 ± 21.5	69.9 ± 11.6	91.7 ± 12.9	65.4 ± 18.6	26.3 ± 4.8	39.7%
CLEAR	67.8 ± 9.6	0/1365	151.4 ± 22.4	82.2 ± 12.3	105.3 ± 13.8	69.2 ± 18.4	28.1 ± 5.0	67.0%
EPIC_NL	54.06 ± 10.11	4057/1137	133.13 ± 21.22	80.46 ± 10.93	97.98 ± 13.20	52.65 ± 15.68	26.77 ± 4.45	N/A
FHS	40.9 ± 9.1	3775/3134	118.6 ± 14.3	76.4 ± 9.8	90.5 ± 10.6	42.2 ± 9.4	26.1 ± 5	5.5%
GIRaFH	44.5 ± 11.7	882/812	134.9 ± 19.2	82.0 ± 10.5	99.7 ± 12.3	52.9 ± 14.4	25.1 ± 3.5	9.3%
GQ2	65.5 ± 10.5	385/93	130.51 ± 22.40	72.71 ± 12.89	149.78 ± 27.66	57.81 ± 18.47	29.7 (7.7)/ 29.5 (6.3)	76.2%
INVEST	69.4 ± 9.5	467/580	160.8 ± 17.4	90.6 ± 10.1	114.0 ± 10.6	70.1 ± 15.9	29.0 (4.7)/ 28.4 (6.2)	82.6%
LURIC	58.1 ± 8.6	558/1480	151.2 ± 24.4	89.8 ± 12.1	110.3 ± 15.0	61.4 ± 17.6	27.7 ± 4.2	85.6%
MEDAL	62.9 ± 9.0	1178/2820	136.8 ± 16.0	81.7 ± 9.6	94.9 ± 8.6	52.9 ± 11.5	30.5 ± 6.3	1.4%
MESA	62.7 ± 10.3	1199/1097	123.5 ± 20.8	70.1 ± 10.2	87.9 ± 12.3	53.4 ± 16.7	27.8 ± 5.1	33.3%
MONICA/ KORA F3	57.6 ± 8.1	755/649	131.8 ± 19.4	83.3 ± 10.3	99.5 ± 12.6	48.5 ± 13.2	27.8 ± 4.5	30.1%
MONICA/ KORA S12	51.8 ± 9.9	431/549	133.6 ± 19.1	81.5 ± 11.1	98.8 ± 12.5	52.1 ± 14.6	27.2 ± 4.0	16.6%
NSHS95	49.4 ± 18.4	857/899	126.6 ± 17.7	76.7 ± 11.6	93.3 ± 11.8	49.9 ± 15.8	27.1 ± 5.5	N/A
PEAR	50.1 ± 9.4	194/244	151.8 ± 12.4	98.0 ± 5.7	115.9 ± 6.9	53.7 ± 10.8	[30.3 (4.4)/ 30.4 (6.1)]	0%
PennCAC	56.0 ± 8.0	631/1145	132 ± 23.2	72.4 ± 11.2	52.6 ± 12.6	59.5 ± 19.7	29.8 ± 5.9	N/A
PennCath	52.0 ± 9.0	739/1386	127 ± 15.1	76.7 ± 9.5	62.9 ± 10.5	51.9 ± 12.3	30.1 ± 5.9	32.8%
SMART	59.36 ± 12.25	206/299	158.64 ± 18.57	94.76 ± 11.80	116.06 ± 12.87	63.88 ± 13.78	27.35 ± 4.62	39.4%
WHI	68.0 ± 6.6	7606/0	133.0 ± 18.8	75.0 ± 9.7	94.3 ± 11.0	58.0 ± 16.2	28.3 (6.2)	33.3%
Replication cohorts								
AIBIII	52.8 (9.2)	249/209	119.9 (13.7)	75.4 (7.6)	90.2 (8.8)	44.5 (10.3)	25.7 (3.6)	100.0%
ASCOT	63 (8.1)	224/1015	161.4 (17.8)	92.9 (9.9)	115.7 (10.6)	68.5 (16.1)	29.1 (4.6)	52.9%
BRIGHT (controls)	58.7 (8.9)	1088/647	123 (10.5)	76.4 (7.2)	91.9 (7.5)	46.7 (8.3)	25.3 (3.3)	100.0%
BRIGHT (cases)	58 (10.3)	1144/775	154.3 (21.1)	93.9 (11.3)	114 (13.3)	60.4 (15.7)	58 (10.3)	51.8%
BWHHS	68.85 (5.51)	3373/0	146.53 (26.59)	79.16 (12.85)	102 (15)	67.6 (19)	27.25 (5.95)	76.8%
GRAPHIC	39.30 (14.50)	1004/1020	127.09 (17.84)	79.12 (10.96)	95.1 (12.5)	48 (11.9)	26.11 (4.61)	93.7%
LIFELINES	47.3 ± 11.2	4640/3483	127.9 ± 15.7	75.1 ± 9.1	52.7 ± 11.8	92.7 ± 10.3	26.3 ± 4.3	15.5%
MDC	57.8 (5.9)	1074/772	115.6 (5.8)	73.6 (5.3)	87.6 (4.7)	42 (6)	24.3 (3.3)	100.0%
NBS	41.36 (12.37)	1183/1169	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NESDA	41.5 ± 12.7	1166/551	135.3 ± 20.2	81.7 ± 11.8	99.6 ± 13.9	53.6 ± 12.7	25.5 ± 4.9	12.8%
NORDIL	56 (4)	979/940	177.3 (14.6)	105.9 (5.5)	129.7 (7.1)	71.5 (13.9)	28.3 (4.6)	100.0%
PREVEND	49.6 ± 12.5	1752/1869	129.1 ± 19.9	74.1 ± 9.9	54.9 ± 13.9	92.4 ± 12.5	26.1 ± 4.3	14.2%
Procardis	59.34 (9.93)	1634/1564	130.75 (17.11)	79.63 (10.03)	96.7 (11.2)	51.1 (13.4)	26.81 (4.37)	83.8%
Rotterdam Study	69.4 (9.1)	3327/2327	144.1 (24.2)	76.9 (12.6)	99.3 (15.0)	67.1 (18.5)	26.3 (3.7)	32.5%
TRAILS clinical cohort	15.8 ± 0.6	97/217	119.1 ± 12.6	61.0 ± 6.6	80.4 ± 7.3	58.1 ± 11.4	21.5 ± 3.6	N/A
TRAILS population cohort	16.2 ± 0.7	693/642	118.1 ± 12.4	61.1 ± 6.9	80.1 ± 7.4	57.7 ± 10.6	21.2 ± 3.2	N/A
WGHS	54.2 ± 7.1	22 625/0	125.5 ± 16.4	78.0 ± 10.7	93.8 ± 11.9	47.6 ± 10.4	25.9 ± 5.0	12.9%
WHII	60.83 (6.0)	1845/3210	128.1 (16.7)	74.6 (10.5)	92.4 (11.8)	53.5 (11.2)	26.7 (4.3)	81.4%

Mean + standard deviation is given for each phenotype, except % were indicated. BP values shown are actual values without modification for medication treatment.

(*P*-value for interaction was 0.0058 for SBP; 0.055 for DBP; 0.014 for MAP and 0.033 for PP) (Supplementary Material, Table S8), with the association observed in women but not

in men (in females only SBP beta was 0.041, se 0.322, and in males only SBP beta was 0.82, se 0.307, *P*-value for interaction of rs2169137 with sex was 0.0058).

Table 2. Loci associated with hypertension traits using discovery and replication data (Betas and SEs corresponding to the trait are highlighted in bold)

Gene	SNP	CHR	BP	A1	A2	CAF	Beta	SE	Discovery results			Replication results				Discovery + replication results				
									DBP	MAP	PP	SBP	DBP	MAP	PP	SBP	DBP	MAP	PP	SBP
DBP																				
MTHFR	rs13306561	1	11788391	G	A	0.154	-0.5224	0.0852	8.641E-10	9.93E-12		1.33E-09	2.6E-13	6.91E-14	8.51E-04	3.82E-11	1.75E-21	4.49E-24		3E-19
MDM4	rs2169137	1	202764536	G	C	0.271	-0.3552	0.0702	4.201E-07				0.007492				5.86E-08			
HFE	rs1799945	6	26199158	G	C	0.146	0.406	0.0864	2.615E-06				6.34E-12				2.78E-16			
ATXN2	rs10774625	12	110394602	A	G	0.505	0.4929	0.0764	1.103E-10	4.61E-10		1.56E-07	5.98E-09	8.88E-11		9.37E-10	4.94E-18	2.4E-19		8.12E-16
CSK	rs7085	15	72882536	T	C	0.281	0.4403	0.0677	7.936E-11	5.01E-11		6.68E-11	1.58E-05	3.54E-08		1.33E-05	2.5E-14	2.14E-17		3.48E-14
FURIN	rs2071410	15	89221944	G	C	0.371	0.3661	0.066	2.882E-08	4.30E-08		0.00013	1.19E-08	2.27E-09		2.36E-09	1.78E-15	5.31E-16		2.92E-12
MAP																				
MTHFR	rs13306561	1	11788391	G	A	0.154	-0.6665	0.0979	8.641E-10	9.93E-12		1.33E-09	2.6E-13	6.91E-14	8.51E-04	3.82E-11	1.75E-21	4.49E-24		3E-19
ADRB1	rs7076938	10	115779365	C	T	0.282	-0.3869	0.0776		6.05E-07			9.72E-09				3.14E-14			
ATP2B1	rs2681472	12	88533090	G	A	0.172	-0.612	0.1129		5.87E-08		3.99E-09		6.95E-10		3.3E-11		2.24E-16		7.68E-19
ATXN2	rs10774625	12	110394602	A	G	0.505	0.5343	0.0857	1.103E-10	4.61E-10		1.56E-07	5.98E-09	8.88E-11		9.37E-10	4.94E-18	2.4E-19		8.12E-16
CSK	rs7085	15	72882536	T	C	0.281	0.5109	0.0778	7.936E-11	5.01E-11		6.68E-11	1.58E-05	3.54E-08		1.33E-05	2.5E-14	2.14E-17		3.48E-14
FURIN	rs6227	15	89226236	T	C	0.316	0.764	0.1506	4.081E-08	3.65E-09		3.88E-07	1.86E-06	1.02E-10		3.77E-09	9.83E-13	3.35E-18		8.01E-15
PP																				
CYP17A1	rs3824755	10	104585839	C	G	0.096	-0.6438	0.1289			5.93E-07	2.46E-07		6.06E-04	0.000384				1.52E-09	3.77E-10
SBP																				
MTHFR	rs13306561	1	11788391	G	A	0.154	-0.8657	0.1428	8.641E-10	9.93E-12		1.33E-09	2.6E-13	6.91E-14	8.51E-04	3.82E-11	1.75E-21	4.49E-24		3E-19
HRH1	rs347591	3	11265122	G	T	0.345	-0.5284	0.1071				8.14E-07				0.001192				1.57E-08
CYP17A1	rs3824755	10	104585839	C	G	0.096	-0.6438	0.1289			5.93E-07	2.46E-07		6.06E-04	0.000384				1.52E-09	3.77E-10
LSP1	rs661348	11	1861868	C	T	0.437	0.472	0.1038				5.43E-06				7.55E-11				3.39E-15
SOX6	rs2014408	11	16321858	T	C	0.21	0.5571	0.1246				7.74E-06				1.03E-05				5.71E-10
ATP2B1	rs2681472	12	88533090	G	A	0.172	-0.9733	0.1654		5.87E-08		3.99E-09		6.95E-10		3.3E-11		2.24E-16		7.68E-19
ATXN2	rs10774625	12	110394602	A	G	0.505	0.6614	0.1261	1.103E-10	4.61E-10		1.56E-07	5.98E-09	8.88E-11		9.37E-10	4.94E-18	2.4E-19		8.12E-16
CSK	rs7085	15	72882536	T	C	0.281	0.7412	0.1135	7.936E-11	5.01E-11		6.68E-11	1.58E-05	3.54E-08		1.33E-05	2.5E-14	2.14E-17		3.48E-14
FURIN	rs6227	15	89226236	T	C	0.316	0.764	0.1506	4.081E-08	3.65E-09		3.88E-07	1.86E-06	1.02E-10		3.77E-09	9.83E-13	3.35E-18		8.01E-15




Figure 1. Regional association plots of the (A) *HRH1* and (B) *MDM4* loci are shown with negative $\log_{10}(P\text{-value})$ on the y-axis and chromosomal position on the x-axis.

Conditional analyses

For the loci described in Table 2, except those containing fewer than three genome-wide significant SNPs, conditional analyses were conducted using the allele dosage of the SNPs within a 500 kb (± 250 kb) window around the most significant SNP per locus as a covariate in a subset of discovery cohorts (ARIC, CARDIA, CHS and MESA). Statistical models were identical to those used in the discovery analyses except for the additional SNP covariates. No SNPs remained significant in these conditional models after correcting the total number of tests (SNPs) in the 500 kb window, suggesting that only the strongest signal of association at each locus explained our findings. The complete results for these analyses are shown in Supplementary Material, Table S9.

Annotations of the identified loci

Annotations for the 12 loci associated with BP traits in our study showed that the majority of the variants we identified were located within an intron of the corresponding gene (rs13306561 in *MTHFR*; rs2169137 in *MDM4*; rs3824755 in *CYP17A1*; rs661348 in *LSP1*; rs2014408 in *SOX6*; rs2681472 in *ATP2B1*; rs4766578 and rs10774625 in *ATXN2*; rs8032315 and rs2071410 in *FURIN*; rs347591 in *HRH1*). In aggregate, the associated SNPs accounted for 0.4–0.6% of the phenotypic variance in SBP, DBP, MAP and PP (Supplementary Material, Table S10). These estimates are in line with other association studies in which $<1\%$ of the overall phenotypic variance was explained by the common variants identified (6).

Expression QTL (eQTL) analysis showed that several of our associated SNPs (or proxy SNPs that were highly correlated with our lead SNP of interest [$r^2 > 0.8$]) were associated with the expression levels of nearby genes, as assessed by the microarray analysis of RNA expression in various tissues, including blood, liver, lymph tissues, lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) and peripheral blood T cells and monocytes, brain, adipose tissue and liver. These results are summarized in Supplementary Material, Table S11. Testing for eQTL associations at our novel loci showed no eQTL associations in the *HRH1* region, but we did observe significant eQTL associations in the *MDM4* region. Expression of *MDM4* transcripts in lymph tissues, LCLs and monocytes was associated with rs4951401 ($P = 1.59 \times 10^{-7}$ in lymph tissue) and rs4245739 ($P = 9.9 \times 10^{-11}$ in LCLs and $P = 6.68 \times 10^{-12}$ in monocytes). Both rs4951401 and rs4245739 are highly correlated with the lead SNP we identified in our association analyses, rs2169137 in *MDM4* (r^2 0.963 and 0.927, respectively). Additional eQTL associations were identified for rs129128 with the *HFE* transcript (chromosome 6p22, $P = 3.03 \times 10^{-8}$), rs3184504 and rs653178 with *SH2B3* and *ATXN2* transcripts (12q24, $P = 3.5 \times 10^{-7}$); rs2470893 with the *CSK* transcript (15q24, $P = 4.6 \times 10^{-9}$).

Additionally, we queried the ENCODE database (<http://www.regulomedb.org/>). We examined the annotations in the region of *MDM4* SNPs (these SNPs include rs4245739, rs4951401, rs2169137). These SNPs show no new ENCODE regulatory annotations. We also queried *HRH1* (rs347591) which did not have an eQTL association. This SNP does show overlap via position weight matrix for the HTF (*HER2*) transcription factor and also via DNA footprinting. This is potentially encouraging but no ChIP-seq data are available for HTF, so this is not necessarily strongly confirmed. The SNPs which do overlap with features are those with eQTL associations in *HFE* (rs129128), *SH2B3* (rs3184504) and *CSK* (rs2470893).

Pleiotropy evaluations

We tested association of rs347591 (chromosome 3p25, *HRH1*) and rs2169137 (chromosome 1q32, *MDM4*) with traits known to be associated with hypertension, including coronary artery disease (CAD), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and stroke. In these analyses, no additional trait associations with these SNPs were identified (Supplementary Material, Table S12).

DISCUSSION

Using a genotyping array that covers common genetic variation in ~2100 candidate genes for several cardiovascular traits including BP, we identified robust associations at 11 known and two novel loci associated with continuous BP traits. In our primary discovery experiment, we identified 21 loci associated with our traits of interest in an analysis of 61 619 individuals ($P < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$). Through the joint analysis of SNPs considered relevant during the discovery phase combined with replication data, in a total of 127 485 individuals, we identified robust association of 22 SNP-trait associations at 12 independent loci meeting our significance threshold

of $P < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$. Associations at two of these loci were not previously known to be associated with BP. The association of SBP with variants in the *HRH1* locus, and the association of DBP with variants in the *MDM4* locus were novel and replicated *in silico* in an additional 65 866 individuals. Additionally, the *SOX6* locus contains a novel SNP-trait association for SBP with rs2014408; previously an association with MAP was shown for this locus (19). Finally, one additional region, chromosome 19p13.2 containing *ICAM3*, was significant in our discovery experiment but was not replicated in the joint analysis of discovery and replication samples. Only one of the replication cohorts had data available for this SNP (UK, $P = 0.466$). Although still meeting the array-wide significance criterion in the combined analysis, we do not consider this association to be robust in the replication experiment.

The histamine receptor H1 (*HRH1*) gene product is expressed in numerous tissues, such as smooth muscle and neurons. *HRH1* is expressed in the nucleus tractus solitarius, where it has a role in regulating arterial pressure in rats (21). Congenic mapping in a rodent model linked *HRH1* to autoimmune T-cell responses and vascular responses regulated by histamine after Bordetella pertussis toxin sensitization (22), and this locus appears to have a role in regulating blood brain barrier permeability (23). In a mouse model of atherosclerosis, apolipoprotein E-null mice treated with a histamine H1 receptor selective antagonist developed 40% fewer aortic plaques compared with mice treated with a H2 receptor antagonist and higher levels of inflammatory markers within the plaques and higher numbers of inflammatory cells, despite equivalent plasma lipoprotein levels, suggesting that the H1 receptor enhances low density lipoprotein cholesterol permeability into the intimal space of the artery (24). *HRH1* was selected for the IBC array as a lower priority gene based on the presence in a Protein ANalysis Through Evolutionary Relationships (PANTHER) inflammatory/immune response pathway. The *HRH1* gene is located ~249 kb from the *ATP2B2* gene with no linkage disequilibrium (LD) detected in HapMap CEU between common variants in these genes. Although *ATP2B2* has not been directly implicated in BP or hypertension, it is one of the Ca(2+)-ATPases, a family of plasma membrane pumps encoded by at least three additional genes: *ATP2B1* on chromosome 12q21; *ATP2B3* on Xq28 and *ATP2B4* on 1q25. *ATP2B1* contains a robust SNP association for SBP, DBP and hypertension (6). Mice null for *Atp2b1* are embryolethal (25), but recently a mouse with conditional knockout for the *Atp2b1* in vascular smooth muscle cells was generated and found to have significantly elevated BP (26). In humans, no associations have been found between variants in the *ATP2B2* gene and BP or other vascular traits. Additionally, within the recombination interval containing *HRH1* and the peak association signal, SNPs within the *ATG7* gene were associated but well below the statistical significance threshold for association, decreasing the likelihood that variants in *ATG7* are driving the signal in this region.

Mouse double minute 4 homolog (*MDM4*) encodes a nuclear protein that is a critical regulator of p53 tumor suppressor protein by binding to this protein and inhibiting its activity, promoting cell viability and growth. *MDM4* does not have a described vascular function, but it has a critical role in regulating p53, a transcription factor which plays an

important role in regulating target genes that induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis and cell senescence (27). *MDM4* was also selected for the IBC array as a lower priority gene, based on the presence in a PANTHER apoptosis pathway. Mice null for p53 shows a variety of aging-related phenotypes (28). *MDM2*, another regulator of p53, has been shown to have a functional role in aldosterone-induced vascular remodeling (29), and *MDM2* and *MDM4* have been shown to have non-overlapping but a similar regulation of p53 pathways (30–32). A genome-wide linkage analysis for loci associated with PP has shown suggestive linkage on chromosome 1 (BP QTL 77, LOD = 2.7) for blacks in the HyperGEN Network (33), with *MDM4* overlapping with this linkage peak. An overlap with the *MDM4* region has also been shown for 10 quantitative trait loci (QTL) related to BP in rats (Human *MDM4*: <http://rgd.mcw.edu/rgdweb/report/gene/main.html?id=1319584>; Rats *MDM4*: [http://rgd.mcw.edu/rgdweb/search/qtls.html?term=Mdm4\[gene\]&speciesType=3](http://rgd.mcw.edu/rgdweb/search/qtls.html?term=Mdm4[gene]&speciesType=3)). The sex interaction we observed is of unclear significance since it was not confirmed in independent samples. *MDM4* has also been associated with measures of cognitive performance in a GWAS (34). Within the recombination interval containing *MDM4*, correlated SNPs in *PIK3C2B* were associated, so we cannot exclude that the association signal may in part be due to variants in this gene. *PIK3C2B* has no known function related to BP or vascular disease.

The association of the *SOX6* locus with SBP expands our prior knowledge of associations in this region with associated BP traits, with this locus previously reported as associated with MAP (11). MAP is derived from a calculation incorporating SBP and therefore these traits are highly correlated. Additionally, we replicated previous reports of 32 SNP-trait associations with BP, with acknowledgement that some of the cohorts in the published literature were genotyped on multiple platforms and have therefore been included in not only our IBC analysis but also previous reports.

The strengths of this study include the large size ($n = 61\,619$) of the discovery meta-analysis with replication in an additional 65 866 individuals and access to gene expression data from humans subjects. This meta-analysis has led to the identification of two novel signals not previously detected by prior association studies. Another unreported locus was found in the discovery phase, e.g. *ICAM3*, which could not be replicated due to the inadequate sample size in the replication cohorts with high-quality genotypes for this SNP. The non-replication may also be explained by GWAS with less dense coverage used for the replication phase. In addition, future functional studies are needed to fully comprehend the underlying mechanisms responsible for the detected associations. However, we did find that the *MDM4* locus was related to expression of *MDM4* transcripts in several tissues, and the lead SNP identified in our study is in tight LD with the expression-associated SNPs, suggesting a transcriptional effect of the associated SNP we identified. Finally, it needs to be emphasized that the results were generated in European ancestry populations and that additional studies are needed in other ethnic groups.

In summary, our study has identified two novel loci containing the *HRH1* and *MDM4* genes associated with BP traits of clinical significance. The identification of these loci expands our understanding of the genetic determinants of BP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study subjects

The phenotype and genotype data of 61 619 individuals of European ancestry, belonging to 27 participating studies (Supplementary Material, Table S1), were analyzed in the discovery phase, and additional 65 866 individuals of European ancestry from 18 additional studies were used in the replication phase. Individuals of European ancestry, as confirmed by principal component analysis of genetic ancestry, were analyzed in this study. All individuals in these studies provided informed consent, and each study was approved by its own local ethics committee. More detailed information about each participating cohort is provided in the Supplementary Material.

Phenotype

BP ascertainment in each study was performed according to the protocols described in the Supplementary Material. PP was defined as SBP minus DBP, and MAP was defined as 2/3 DBP plus 1/3 SBP. In the discovery analyses, each cohort provided regression models for its data, adjusted for age, age-squared, body mass index (BMI) and study-specific corrections for population substructure (based on principal component analysis). For individuals taking BP lowering medications, the BP values were adjusted by adding 15 mmHg to the SBP and 10 mmHg to the DBP in the discovery and replication cohorts. These adjustments were also implemented prior to the calculation of estimated off-treatment MAP and PP.

Genotyping and quality control

A total of 51 859 SNPs were genotyped and after filtering for an mismatches >30% with HapMap, removing SNPs without an rsID in dbSNP129 and SNPs with more than two possible bases for a single SNP, 48 372 SNPs included in the discovery meta-analyses, all present in at least one of the three versions of the Illumina HumanCVD BeadChip ('Cardiochip', ITMAT-Broad_CARE [IBC] array, Illumina San Diego, CA, USA) (20), which was used by all cohorts participating in the discovery analysis.

For the IBC array, gene and specific SNP information was assimilated from 2400 published studies systematically analyzed up until May 2007. An emphasis was placed on the sample size, data quality and strength of the described associations. Genes with known or putative association with phenotypes for sleep, lung and blood diseases were also nominated. Several pathway-based tools were used to identify additional biologically plausible candidate genes: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; PANTHER and BioCarta. These tools were employed to collate additional genes from key pathways including lipid metabolism, thrombogenesis, circulation and gas exchange, insulin resistance, metabolism, and inflammation, oxidative stress and apoptosis.

Early access was provided to a number of unpublished mouse atherosclerosis expression QTL (eQTL) datasets. Genes predicted to be causal for the atherosclerotic lesion size in genetic crosses of mice with differing susceptibility to atherosclerosis were identified. Early access was provided to a number of key findings from a number of CVD-related GWASs.

Genotypes were called using Beadstudio (Illumina) and the data were processed using stringent QC filters, as summarized in Supplementary Material, Tables S2 and S3. Variants with minor allele frequencies <1% were excluded from the analysis. Further details on genotyping methods are provided in the Supplementary Material, Tables S2 and S3.

QC measures were taken during the various steps of this work. Individuals with <90% call rate (completeness) across all SNPs were removed. SNPs with <95% call rate (completeness) or SNPs causing heterozygous haploid genotype calls were removed across all remaining individuals. SNPs with $P < 1 \times 10^{-7}$ for the Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium test were also removed. In the NHLBI Candidate Gene Association Resource (CARE) samples (35), SNPs associated with chemistry plate effects were also removed.

Statistical association and meta-analysis

Initial association analyses were calculated within each cohort for males and females separately, adjusting for age, age-squared, BMI and study center when appropriate. In each cohort, except FHS, CFS and Amish, association analysis was performed using PLINK (36) using linear regression under an additive genetic model. The family structure was modeled using a linear mixed effects model implemented in R (37) in FHS and CFS, and the Mixed Model Analysis for Pedigrees software program in the Amish (38).

Meta-analysis was conducted using the summary statistics contributed by each discovery study, using an inverse variance weighted, fixed-effects method. At the meta-analysis stage of analysis, SNPs with frequencies incompatible with HapMap frequencies were removed (defined as >30% difference in the allele frequencies). Two analysis groups independently performed the meta-analysis using different software packages: METAL (39) and MANTEL (40); both applied a fixed-effects model weighted by inverse variance. The results from both the groups were compared and a concordance check was performed as a validation of the results (data not shown). Genomic control (41) was applied to each study result and then to the meta-analysis summary data to control effects possibly due to population stratification or cryptic relatedness. Quantile–quantile plots are shown for each trait in Supplementary Material, Fig. S1. Previous studies using the IBC array have used different significance thresholds from $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ to 3×10^{-6} [(42) and (43), respectively]. The CARE IBC array studies (35), which are included in this meta-analysis, determined that after accounting for LD, the effective number of independent tests was ~20 500 for Europeans producing an experimental or ‘array-wide’ statistical threshold of $P = 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively, to maintain a false-positive rate of 5% (44) and thus, we have adopted these thresholds for this study. Since we have analyzed four traits, although highly correlated, we examined the effect of further Bonferroni correction for four tests. For each associated locus, the LD patterns were examined and independence between the loci identified in this study was verified using SNAP (45) ($r^2 < 0.3$).

For loci with multiple SNPs showing association with the traits, we also conducted conditional analyses to evaluate independent signals. At each locus with variants associated with

BP traits, we added the most significant SNP within the locus as a covariate in the association tests in each cohort in the NHLBI CARE consortium. Then, we performed meta-analysis of cohort-specific conditional analysis results. This conditional analysis was performed for the SNPs within a 500 kb region around the most significant SNP. The P -values for SNP association testing were then recorded, respectively, for associated locus for each trait.

Replication analysis

Independent SNPs with $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ in the discovery analysis were carried forward for replication analysis using independent samples for each trait. The significance threshold for association in the replication phase was a Bonferroni-corrected P -value based on an $\alpha = 0.05$ and the final number of independent ($r^2 < 0.3$) SNPs tested, and we also combined the discovery and replication data in a meta-analysis, in which evidence of positive replication was defined by $P < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ in the meta-analysis of combined discovery and replication samples. Associated loci were tested for replication by carrying forward to replication testing the lead SNP (minimum P -value) at each locus.

Interaction testing

For the lead SNP identified in novel genes, we tested for interactions with sex by first calculating the residual after adjusting for age, age-squared, BMI, sex and 10 principle components, and then performed sex-specific linear regression on a SNP for each cohort separately. This analysis was done using the PLINK $G \times E$ function. The sex-specific estimates were further combined by meta-analysis for men and women separately, using METAL (39). The interaction between gene and sex was tested by comparing the regression coefficients in men and women. That is, we calculated a T statistic: $T = (\hat{\beta}_M - \hat{\beta}_F) / \sqrt{\hat{s}_M^2 + \hat{s}_F^2}$, where $\hat{\beta}_M$, \hat{s}_M^2 , $\hat{\beta}_F$ and \hat{s}_F^2 are the estimated regression coefficients and their standard errors from male and female meta-analysis, respectively. T follows the standard normal distribution.

Variance explained

The proportion of the trait variance explained by the discovered associations was calculated by first obtaining the residuals after adjusting for age, age-squared, BMI and 10 PCs and then performing linear regression on all 21 identified associated variants together in a subset of the cohorts comprising the NHLBI CARE consortium cohorts (ARIC, CHS, MESA, CARDIA, CFS). The variance explained was calculated by a standard analysis of variance.

Annotation

eQTL analysis

We identified alias rsIDs for significant index SNPs using SNAP (45). Further proxy SNPs in high LD ($r^2 = 1.0$) were identified with SNAP using multiple HapMap CEU builds. Current and alias rsIDs were searched for primary SNPs and LD proxies against a collected database of expression SNP (eSNP) results including the following tissues: fresh lymphocytes (46), fresh

leukocytes (47), leukocyte samples in individuals with celiac disease (48)), LCLs derived from asthmatic children (49), HapMap LCL from three populations (50), a separate study on HapMap CEU LCL (51), peripheral blood monocytes (52,53), omental and subcutaneous adipose (54,55), stomach (55) and whole blood samples (54,56), endometrial carcinomas (57), brain cortex (52,58), three large studies of brain regions including prefrontal cortex, visual cortex and cerebellum, respectively (Emilsson, personal communication), liver (55,59,60), osteoblasts (61), skin (62) and additional fibroblast, T-cell and LCL sample datasets (63). The collected eSNP results met the criteria for statistical thresholds for association with gene transcript levels as described in the original papers. In each case, where an index or proxy SNP was associated with a transcript, we further examined the strongest eSNP for that transcript within that dataset (best eSNP), and the LD between the best eSNP and BP-selected eSNPs to assess the concordance of the BP association and expression signals. Annotation of SNPs in the region was done with the SNAP web-based tool (45).

Evaluation of pleiotropy of BP variants with cardiovascular disease

We evaluated the effect of the novel loci identified in our study with traits known to be related to elevated hypertension including CAD, LVH and stroke. The definitions of the CAD, LVH and stroke phenotypes and association tests were carried out as described in the Supplementary Material. Evidence of association for the additional traits was alpha of 0.05 adjusted by the two SNPs tested for association ($P = 0.025$).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at *HMG* online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute and the research institutions, study investigators and field staff for their support in creating the CARE program for biomedical research. We also thank the study participants, without whom this endeavor would not have been possible. DNA samples were genotyped in part through the Broad Institute (N01-HC-65226).

Discovery cohort Acknowledgements

AMC-PAS: Funding for PAS was provided by Ipse Movet; Bloodomics (LSHM-CT-2004-503485).

Amish: The Amish studies were funded by the National Institutes of Health (R01 HL088119, R01 AG18728, U01 HL72515, U01 GM074518 with additional funding for cardiochip analysis provided by an American Heart Association Scientist Development grant (0830146N to H.S.). Genotyping of cardiochip was carried out in the Genomics Core at the University of Maryland, Baltimore with support from the Mid-Atlantic Nutrition and Obesity Research Center (NIH P30 DK072488).

ARIC: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study is carried out as a collaborative study supported by National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute contracts (HHSN268201100005C,

HHSN268201100006C, HHSN268201100007C, HHSN268201100008C, HSN268201100009C, HHSN268201100010C, HHSN268201100011C and HHSN268201100012C), R01HL-087641, R01HL59367 and R01HL086694; National Human Genome Research Institute contract U01HG004402 and National Institutes of Health contract HHSN268200625226C. Atherosclerotic Risk in Communities: University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (N01-HC-55015, N01-HC-55018), Baylor Medical College (N01-HC-55016), University of Mississippi Medical Center (N01-HC-55021), University of Minnesota (N01-HC-55019), Johns Hopkins University (N01-HC-55020), University of Texas, Houston (N01-HC-55022). The authors thank the staff and participants of the ARIC study for their important contributions. Infrastructure was partly supported by Grant Number UL1RR025005, a component of the National Institutes of Health and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

BHS: ENS, SSM and NJS are supported in part by NIH/NCRR Grant Number UL1 RR025774. The BHS was supported by grants HD-061437 and HD-062783 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, and AG-16592 from the National Institute on Aging.

CARDIA: Coronary Artery Risk in Young Adults: University of Alabama at Birmingham (N01-HC-48047, N01-HC-95095), University of Minnesota (N01-HC-48048), Northwestern University (N01-HC-48049), Kaiser Foundation Research Institute (N01-HC-48050), Tufts-New England Medical Center (N01-HC-45204), Wake Forest University (N01-HC-45205), Harbor-UCLA Research and Education Institute (N01-HC-05187), University of California, Irvine (N01-HC-45134, N01-HC-95100).

CCCS: CCCS was supported by grant P50HL81011 from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, US Department of Health and Human Services. The authors thank the CHARISMA investigators for phenotype and sample collection.

CFS: Cleveland Family Study was supported by funding from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, US Department of Health and Human Services and Case Western Reserve University (R01-HL-46380, M01-RR-00080).

CHS: Cardiovascular Health Study: University of Washington (N01-HC-85079, N01-HC-55222, U01-HL-080295), Wake Forest University (N01-HC-85080), Johns Hopkins University (N01-HC-85081, N01-HC-15103), University of Pittsburgh (N01-HC-85082), University of California, Davis (N01-HC-85083), University of California, Irvine (N01-HC-85084), New England Medical Center (N01-HC-85085), University of Vermont (N01-HC-85086), Georgetown University (N01-HC-35129), University of Wisconsin (N01-HC-75150).

CLEAR: CLEAR support (GPJ) came from R01 HL67406, the Northwest Institute of Genetic Medicine and the State of Washington Life Sciences Discovery Fund. The CLEAR investigators sincerely thank the participants for their efforts.

EPIC-NL: The EPIC-NL study was funded by 'Europe against Cancer' Program of the European Commission (SANCO), Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch Cancer Society; ZonMW the Netherlands Organization for Health

Research and Development, World Cancer Research Fund (The Netherlands). Genotyping of the IBC-chip was funded by IOP Genomics grant IGE05012 from NL Agency.

FHS: The Framingham Heart Study research included in this study is funded by NIH grant/contract N01-HC-25195, R01-HL-092577, R01-HL-076784, R01-AG-028321 and by the NIH Intramural Research Program.

INVEST: The International Verapamil SR Trandolapril (INVEST) genetic substudy was funded by NIH grants HL074730, HL69758, HL077113, GM074492 and RR017568, a grant from Abbott Pharmaceuticals and the Florida Opportunity Fund and NIH/NCRR clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) to University of Florida UL1 TR000064. INVEST and Pharmacogenomics Evaluation of Antihypertensive Responses (PEAR) studies thank the participants and the investigators who made this collection possible.

LURIC: LURIC thanks their participants and researchers and acknowledges that it has received funding through the sixth Framework Program (integrated project Bloodomics, grant LSHM-CT-2004-503485) and seventh Framework Program (integrated project Atheroremo, Grant Agreement number 201668) of the European Union.

MEDAL: MEDAL was supported by Merck & Co (Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA). The authors thank Amarjot Kaur and the current or former employees of Merck Research Laboratories who contributed to the conduct and analysis of the MEDAL data.

MESA: Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis: support for MESA is provided by contracts N01-HC-95159 through N01-HC-95169 and CTSA UL1-RR-024156. Funding for genotyping was provided by NHLBI Contract N02-HL-6-4278 and N01-HC-65226.

MONICA/KORA: The MONICA/KORA Augsburg studies were financed by the Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany and supported by grants from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF). Part of this work was financed by the German National Genome Research Network (NGFNplus, project number 01GS0834), by the German Research Foundation (TH-784/2-1 and TH-784/2-2), by the European Foundation for the Study of Diabetes and through additional funds from the Helmholtz Zentrum München, the German Diabetes Center and the University of Ulm. Furthermore, the research was supported within the Munich Center of Health Sciences (MC Health) as part of the Ludwig Maximilians University innovative.

NSHS95: Nova Scotia Health Survey 1995 (NSHS95) was supported by grants HL-091099, HL-080665, HL-076857, HL-084034, HL-088117, HL-07854 and HL-072866 from the NHLBI; by the National Health and Welfare of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario; by the Nova Scotia Department of Health, Halifax and by the Heart and Stroke Foundation of New Brunswick, Saint John.

PEAR: PEAR was supported by the NIH Pharmacogenetics Research Network grant U01-GM074492; and CTSA grants UL1-TR000064 (University of Florida), UL1-TR000454 (Emory University) and UL1-TR000135 (Mayo Clinic); and funds from the Mayo Foundation.

PennCAC: The University of Pennsylvania Coronary Artery Calcification Study (PennCAC) gratefully acknowledges internal funding from the University of Pennsylvania and the

participation of the study subject and is indebted to the investigators on these teams.

PennCath: The University of Pennsylvania Catheterization study program (PennCATH) gratefully acknowledges internal funding from the University of Pennsylvania and the participation of the study subject and is indebted to the investigators on these teams.

PROCARDIS: This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation; by the European Community Sixth Framework Program (grant number LSHM-CT-2007-037273) and by AstraZeneca AB. R.C., M.F. is supported by the British Heart Foundation Center for Research Excellence.

SMART: Folkert W. Asselbergs is supported by a clinical fellowship from the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw grant 90700342). M.F.L.M. was financially supported by EUGeneHeart, grant number LSHM-CT-2005-018833. The authors acknowledge all MR technicians, research nurses and medical students involved in SMART Heart for valuable support.

WHI: The Women's Health Initiative (WHI) program is funded by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, US Department of Health and Human Services through contracts HHSN268201100046C, HHSN268201100001C, HHSN268201100002C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268201100004C and HHSN271201100004C.

Replication cohort acknowledgements

This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation (grant number PG/07/131/24254 to P.B.M.) for HumanCVD BeadChip genotyping for the AIBIII, ASCOT, BRIGHT, MDC and NORDIL cohorts; by the Wellcome Trust (grant number 093078/Z/10/Z to T.J.) and in part by a VIP award from the Wellcome Trust to Queen Mary University of London in the 2009/2010 academic year.

AIBIII: The Allied Irish Bank workers III study was supported by the Higher Education Authority (Ireland), Program for research in Third-Level Institutions Cycle 3, Program for Human Genomics. We thank the Allied Irish Bank and their employees for facilitating the study.

ASCOT: This work was supported by Pfizer, New York, NY, USA, for the ASCOT study and the collection of the ASCOT DNA repository; by Servier Research Group, Paris, France; and by Leo Laboratories, Copenhagen, Denmark. We thank all ASCOT trial participants, physicians, nurses and practices in the participating countries for their important contribution to the study. In particular, we thank Clare Muckian and David Toomey for their help in DNA extraction, storage and handling.

BRIGHT: "This work was supported by the Medical Research Council of Great Britain (grant number G9521010D) and by the British Heart Foundation (grant number PG/02/128). A.F.D. was supported by the British Heart Foundation (grant numbers RG/07/005/23633, SP/08/005/25115) and by the European Union Ingenious HyperCare Consortium: Integrated Genomics, Clinical Research, and Care in Hypertension (grant number LSHM-C7-2006-037093). The BRIGHT study is extremely grateful to all the patients who participated in the study and the BRIGHT nursing team. We would also

like to thank the Barts Genome Center staff for their assistance with this project. This work forms part of the research themes contributing to the translational research portfolio for Barts and the London Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit, which is supported and funded by the National Institute for Health Research.

BWHHS: The British Women's Heart and Health Study is supported by funding from the British Heart Foundation and the Department of Health Policy Research Program (England). We thank the BWHHS data collection team, general practitioners who helped with recruitment of participants and the participants. We thank all of the participants and the general practitioners, research nurses and data management staff who supported data collection and preparation. The BWHHS is coordinated by Shah Ebrahim (PI), Debbie Lawlor and Juan-Pablo Casas, with genotyping funded by the BHF (PG/07/131/24254, PI Tom Gaunt). The BRIGHT study was supported by the Medical Research Council of Great Britain (G9521010D) and the British Heart Foundation. (PG/02/128).

GRAPHIC: This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation (grant numbers RG/2001004, PG/07/132/24256) for recruitment and genotyping of the GRAPHIC cohort. N.J.S. was supported by a British Heart Foundation Chair of Cardiology (grant number CH/03/001). This study is part of the research portfolio supported by the Leicester National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Unit in Cardiovascular Disease.

Lifelines: The LifeLines Cohort Study, and generation and management of GWAS genotype data for the LifeLines Cohort Study, is supported by the Netherlands Organization of Scientific Research NWO (grant 175.010.2007.006), the Economic Structure Enhancing Fund (FES) of the Dutch government, the Ministry of Economic Affairs, the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science, the Ministry for Health, Welfare and Sports, the Northern Netherlands Collaboration of Provinces (SNN), the Province of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, the University of Groningen, Dutch Kidney Foundation and Dutch Diabetes Research Foundation.

We thank Behrooz Alizadeh, Annemieke Boesjes, Marcel Bruinenberg, Noortje Festen, Ilja Nolte, Lude Franke, Mitra Valimohammadi for their help in creating the GWAS database, and Rob Bieringa, Joost Keers, René Oostergo, Rosalie Visser and Judith Vonk for their work related to data collection and validation. The authors are grateful to the study participants, the staff from the LifeLines Cohort Study and Medical Biobank Northern Netherlands, and the participating general practitioners and pharmacists. LifeLines Scientific Protocol Preparation: Rudolf de Boer, Hans Hillege, Melanie van der Klauw, Gerjan Navis, Hans Ormel, Dirkje Postma, Judith Rosmalen, Joris Slaets, Ronald Stolk, Bruce Wolffenbuttel; LifeLines GWAS Working Group: Behrooz Alizadeh, Marike Boezen, Marcel Bruinenberg, Noortje Festen, Lude Franke, Pim van der Harst, Gerjan Navis, Dirkje Postma, Harold Snieder, Cisca Wijmenga, Bruce Wolffenbuttel.

MDC: This work was supported by the Swedish Medical Research Council; by the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation; by the Medical Faculty of Lund University, Malmo University Hospital; by the Albert P_ahlsson Research Foundation; by the Crafoord foundation; by the Ernhold Lundstroms

Research Foundation, the Region Skane; by the Hulda and Conrad Mossfelt Foundation; by the King Gustaf V and Queen Victoria Foundation; by the Lennart Hanssons Memorial Fund and by the Marianne and Marcus Wallenberg Foundation.

NBS: This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust (grant number 076113/C/04/Z); by the National Institute for Health Research (grant number RP-PG-0310-1002) program grant to NHSBT, and a National Institute for Health Research grant to the Cambridge Comprehensive Biomedical Research Center; and by the British Heart Foundation (grant number PG/07/132/24256) for HumanCVD BeadChip genotyping for the UKBS-CC cohort. We acknowledge use of DNA from the UK Blood Services collection of Common Controls (UKBS-CC collection), funded by the Wellcome Trust and by the National Institute for Health Research. The collection was established as part of the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (WTCCC 2007).

NESDA: The infrastructure for the NESDA study is funded through the Geestkracht program of the Dutch Scientific Organization (ZON-MW, grant number 10-000-1002) and matching funds from participating universities and mental health care organizations. Genotyping in NESDA was funded by the Genetic Association Information Network of the Foundation for the US National Institutes of Health. Statistical analyses were carried out on the Genetic Cluster Computer (<http://www.geneticcluster.org>), which is financially supported by the Netherlands Scientific Organization (NWO 480-05-003) along with a supplement from the Dutch Brain Foundation.

NORDIL: This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation (grant number CH/98001 to A.F.D., RG/07/005/23633 to A.F.D., S.P. and C.D.) and a Special Project, for genotyping of the Swedish extremes from the NORDIL and MDC cohorts; and by Pharmacia. We thank Professor Thomas Hedner (Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Sahlgrenska Academy, Gotheburg, Sweden) and Professor Sverre Kjeldsen (Ullevaal University Hospital, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway), who are investigators of the NORDIL study. Professor Kjeldsen is also an investigator of the ASCOT trial.

PREVEND: PREVEND genetics is supported by the Dutch Kidney Foundation (Grant E033), the National Institutes of Health (grant LM010098), The Netherlands organization for health research and development (NWO VENI grant 916.761.70) and the Dutch Inter University Cardiology Institute Netherlands.

Procardis: This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation; by the European Community Sixth Framework Program (grant number LSHM-CT-2007-037273) and by AstraZeneca AB. R.C., M.F. is supported by the British Heart Foundation Center for Research Excellence.

Rotterdam Study: The contributions of inhabitants, general practitioners and pharmacists of the Ommoord district to the Rotterdam Study are gratefully acknowledged. The Rotterdam Study is supported by the Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam, the Erasmus University Rotterdam, the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMW), the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (RIDE), the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science, the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports, the European Commission (DG XII), and the Municipality of Rotterdam.

TRAILS: TRAILS (Tracking Adolescents' Individual Lives Survey) is a collaborative project involving various departments of the University Medical Center and University of Groningen, the Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, the University of Utrecht, the Radboud Medical Center Nijmegen, and the Parnassia Bavo group, all in the Netherlands. TRAILS has been financially supported by grants from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research NWO (Medical Research Council program grant GB-MW 940-38-011; ZonMW Brainpower grant 100-001-004; ZonMW Risk Behavior and Dependence grants 60-60600-98-018 and 60-60600-97-118; ZonMW Culture and Health grant 261-98-710; Social Sciences Council medium-sized investment grants GB-MaGW 480-01-006 and GB-MaGW 480-07-001; Social Sciences Council project grants GB-MaGW 457-03-018, GB-MaGW 452-04-314, and GB-MaGW 452-06-004; NWO large-sized investment grant 175.010.2003.005; NWO Longitudinal Survey and Panel Funding 481-08-013); the Sophia Foundation for Medical Research (projects 301 and 393), the Dutch Ministry of Justice (WODC), the European Science Foundation (EuroSTRESS project FP-006), the Dutch Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI-NL CP32) and the participating universities. We are grateful to all adolescents, their parents and teachers who participated in this research and to everyone who worked on this project and made it possible. Statistical analyses were carried out on the Genetic Cluster Computer (<http://www.geneticcluster.org>), which is financially supported by the Netherlands Scientific Organization (NWO 480-05-003) along with a supplement from the Dutch Brain Foundation.

WGHS: Women's genome health study: The WGHS is funded by the Donald W. Reynolds Foundation (Las Vegas, NV), the Fondation LeDucq (Paris, France), the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI; HL043851) and the National Cancer Institute (NCI; CA047988). Funding for genotyping and collaborative scientific support was provided by Amgen.

WHII: This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation (grant numbers PG/07/133/24260, RG/08/008, SP/07/007/23671), Senior Fellowship to A.D.H. (grant number FS/2005/125), Chair for S.E.H.; by the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (grant number HL36310) for M.Kivimaki's and M.Kumari's contributions to this work; by the Medical Research Council (grant number G0802432) Population Health Scientist Fellowship to M.V.H.; by the Health and Safety Executive; by the Department of Health; by the National Institute on Aging in the USA (grant number AG13196); by the Agency for Health Care Policy Research (grant number HS06516); by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Research Networks on Successful Midlife Development and Socioeconomic Status and Health.

Conflict of Interest statement. C.M.M. and S.C. are employees of Merck Pharmaceuticals, USA.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceived and designed the experiment, alphabetically F.A., P.deB., S.G., B.K., D.L., X.Z. Performed the experiments:

individual cohorts conducted genotyping and cohort-level analyses, except for the NHLBI CARE program samples were genotyped under a contract with the Broad/MIT. Analyzed the data: F.A., P.deB., S.G., W.G., B.K., D.L., V.T., X.Z. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: all authors. Wrote the paper: F.A., P.deB., S.G., W.G., B.K., D.L., V.T., X.Z., with contributions from all authors.

FUNDING

The grants and contracts that have supported CARE are listed at <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/resources/geneticsgenomics/programs/care.htm>. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Lewington, S., Clarke, R., Qizilbash, N., Peto, R. and Collins, R. (2002) Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet*, **360**, 1903–1913.
- Turnbull, F. (2003) Effects of different blood-pressure-lowering regimens on major cardiovascular events: results of prospectively-designed overviews of randomised trials. *Lancet*, **362**, 1527–1535.
- Franklin, S.S., Larson, M.G., Khan, S.A., Wong, N.D., Leip, E.P., Kannel, W.B. and Levy, D. (2001) Does the relation of blood pressure to coronary heart disease risk change with aging? The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*, **103**, 1245–1249.
- Franklin, S.S., Khan, S.A., Wong, N.D., Larson, M.G. and Levy, D. (1999) Is pulse pressure useful in predicting risk for coronary heart disease? The Framingham heart study. *Circulation*, **100**, 354–360.
- Franklin, S.S., Gustin, W.T., Wong, N.D., Larson, M.G., Weber, M.A., Kannel, W.B. and Levy, D. (1997) Hemodynamic patterns of age-related changes in blood pressure. The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*, **96**, 308–315.
- Ehret, G.B., Munroe, P.B., Rice, K.M., Bochud, M., Johnson, A.D., Chasman, D.I., Smith, A.V., Tobin, M.D., Verwoert, G.C., Hwang, S.J. *et al.* (2011) Genetic variants in novel pathways influence blood pressure and cardiovascular disease risk. *Nature*, **478**, 103–109.
- Levy, D., Ehret, G.B., Rice, K., Verwoert, G.C., Launer, L.J., Dehghan, A., Glazer, N.L., Morrison, A.C., Johnson, A.D., Aspelund, T. *et al.* (2009) Genome-wide association study identifies loci associated with hypertension. *Nat. Genet.*, **41**, 677–687.
- Newton-Cheh, C., Johnson, T., Gateva, V., Tobin, M.D., Bochud, M., Coin, L., Najjar, S.S., Zhao, J.H., Heath, S.C., Eyheramendy, S. *et al.* (2009) Genome-wide association study identifies eight loci associated with blood pressure. *Nat. Genet.*, **41**, 666–676.
- Newton-Cheh, C., Larson, M.G., Vasan, R.S., Levy, D., Bloch, K.D., Surti, A., Guiducci, C., Kathiresan, S., Benjamin, E.J., Struck, J. *et al.* (2009) Association of common variants in NPPA and NPPB with circulating natriuretic peptides and blood pressure. *Nat. Genet.*, **41**, 348–353.
- Salvi, E., Kutalik, Z., Glorioso, N., Benaglio, P., Frau, F., Kuznetsova, T., Arima, H., Hoggart, C., Tichet, J., Nikitin, Y.P. *et al.* (2012) Genomewide association study Using a high-density single nucleotide polymorphism array and case–control design identifies a novel essential hypertension susceptibility locus in the promoter region of endothelial NO synthase. *Hypertension*, **59**, 248–255.
- Wain, L.V., Verwoert, G.C., O'Reilly, P.F., Shi, G., Johnson, T., Johnson, A.D., Bochud, M., Rice, K.M., Henneman, P., Smith, A.V. *et al.* (2011) Genome-wide association study identifies six new loci influencing pulse pressure and mean arterial pressure. *Nat. Genet.*, **20**, 2273–2284.
- Padmanabhan, S., Melander, O., Johnson, T., Di Blasio, A.M., Lee, W.K., Gentilini, D., Hastie, C.E., Menni, C., Monti, M.C., Delles, C. *et al.* (2010) Genome-wide association study of blood pressure extremes identifies variant near UMOD associated with hypertension. *PLoS Genet.*, **6**, e1001177.

13. Johnson, A.D., Newton-Cheh, C., Chasman, D.I., Ehret, G.B., Johnson, T., Rose, L., Rice, K., Verwoert, G.C., Launer, L.J., Gudnason, V. *et al.* (2011) Association of hypertension drug target genes with blood pressure and hypertension in 86,588 individuals. *Hypertension*, **57**, 903–910.
14. Ho, J.E., Levy, D., Rose, L., Johnson, A.D., Riddker, P.M. and Chasman, D.I. (2011) Discovery and replication of novel blood pressure genetic loci in the Women's Genome Health Study. *J. Hypertens.*, **29**, 62–69.
15. Fox, E.R., Young, J.H., Li, Y., Dreisbach, A.W., Keating, B.J., Musani, S.K., Liu, K., Morrison, A.C., Ganesh, S., Kutlar, A. *et al.* (2011) Association of genetic variation with systolic and diastolic blood pressure among African Americans: the Candidate Gene Association Resource study. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **20**, 2273–2284.
16. Zhu, X., Young, J.H., Fox, E., Keating, B.J., Franceschini, N., Kang, S., Tayo, B., Adeyemo, A., Sun, Y.V., Li, Y. *et al.* (2011) Combined admixture mapping and association analysis identifies a novel blood pressure genetic locus on 5p13: contributions from the CARE consortium. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **20**, 2285–2295.
17. Lehne, B., Lewis, C.M. and Schlitt, T. (2011) Exome localization of complex disease association signals. *BMC Genomics*, **12**, 92.
18. Yang, W., de las Fuentes, L., Davila-Roman, V.G. and Charles Gu, C. (2011) Variable set enrichment analysis in genome-wide association studies. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.*, **19**, 893–900.
19. Johnson, T., Gaunt, T.R., Newhouse, S.J., Padmanabhan, S., Tomaszewski, M., Kumari, M., Morris, R.W., Tzoulaki, I., O'Brien, E.T., Poulter, N.R. *et al.* (2011) Blood pressure loci identified with a gene-centric array. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **89**, 688–700.
20. Keating, B.J., Tischfield, S., Murray, S.S., Bhangale, T., Price, T.S., Glessner, J.T., Galver, L., Barrett, J.C., Grant, S.F., Farlow, D.N. *et al.* (2008) Concept, design and implementation of a cardiovascular gene-centric 50 k SNP array for large-scale genomic association studies. *PLoS One*, **3**, e3583.
21. Bhuiyan, M.E., Waki, H., Gouraud, S.S., Takagishi, M., Kohsaka, A. and Maeda, M. (2011) Histamine receptor H1 in the nucleus tractus solitarius regulates arterial pressure and heart rate in rats. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.*, **301**, H523–H529.
22. Ma, R.Z., Gao, J., Meeker, N.D., Fillmore, P.D., Tung, K.S., Watanabe, T., Zachary, J.F., Offner, H., Blankenhorn, E.P. and Teuscher, C. (2002) Identification of Bphs, an autoimmune disease locus, as histamine receptor H1. *Science*, **297**, 620–623.
23. Lu, C., Diehl, S.A., Noubade, R., Ledoux, J., Nelson, M.T., Spach, K., Zachary, J.F., Blankenhorn, E.P. and Teuscher, C. (2010) Endothelial histamine H1 receptor signaling reduces blood–brain barrier permeability and susceptibility to autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **107**, 18967–18972.
24. Rozenberg, I., Sluka, S.H., Rohrer, L., Hofmann, J., Becher, B., Akhmedov, A., Soliz, J., Mocharla, P., Boren, J., Johansen, P. *et al.* (2010) Histamine H1 receptor promotes atherosclerotic lesion formation by increasing vascular permeability for low-density lipoproteins. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.*, **30**, 923–930.
25. Okunade, G.W., Miller, M.L., Azhar, M., Andringa, A., Sanford, L.P., Doetschman, T., Prasad, V. and Shull, G.E. (2007) Loss of the Atp2c1 secretory pathway Ca(2+)-ATPase (SPCA1) in mice causes golgi stress, apoptosis, and midgestational death in homozygous embryos and squamous cell tumors in adult heterozygotes. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **282**, 26517–26527.
26. Kobayashi, Y., Hirawa, N., Tabara, Y., Muraoka, H., Fujita, M., Miyazaki, N., Fujiwara, A., Ichikawa, Y., Yamamoto, Y., Ichihara, N. *et al.* (2012) Mice Lacking Hypertension Candidate Gene ATP2B1 in Vascular Smooth Muscle Cells Show Significant Blood Pressure Elevation. *Hypertension*, **59**, 854–860.
27. Parant, J., Chavez-Reyes, A., Little, N.A., Yan, W., Reinke, V., Jochimsen, A.G. and Lozano, G. (2001) Rescue of embryonic lethality in Mdm4-null mice by loss of Trp53 suggests a nonoverlapping pathway with MDM2 to regulate p53. *Nat. Genet.*, **29**, 92–95.
28. Tyner, S.D., Venkatachalam, S., Choi, J., Jones, S., Ghebranious, N., Igelmann, H., Lu, X., Soron, G., Cooper, B., Brayton, C. *et al.* (2002) p53 mutant mice that display early ageing-associated phenotypes. *Nature*, **415**, 45–53.
29. Nakamura, Y., Suzuki, S., Suzuki, T., Ono, K., Miura, I., Satoh, F., Moriya, T., Saito, H., Yamada, S., Ito, S. *et al.* (2006) MDM2: a novel mineralocorticoid-responsive gene involved in aldosterone-induced human vascular structural remodeling. *Am. J. Pathol.*, **169**, 362–371.
30. Biderman, L., Poyurovsky, M.V., Assia, Y., Manley, J.L. and Prives, C. (2012) MdmX is required for p53 interaction with and full induction of the Mdm2 promoter after cellular stress. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **32**, 1214–1225.
31. Toledo, F., Krummel, K.A., Lee, C.J., Liu, C.W., Rodewald, L.W., Tang, M. and Wahl, G.M. (2006) A mouse p53 mutant lacking the proline-rich domain rescues Mdm4 deficiency and provides insight into the Mdm2-Mdm4-p53 regulatory network. *Cancer Cell*, **9**, 273–285.
32. Toledo, F. and Wahl, G.M. (2007) MDM2 and MDM4: p53 regulators as targets in anticancer therapy. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*, **39**, 1476–1482.
33. Bielinski, S.J., Lynch, A.I., Miller, M.B., Weder, A., Cooper, R., Oberman, A., Chen, Y.D., Turner, S.T., Fornage, M., Province, M. *et al.* (2005) Genome-wide linkage analysis for loci affecting pulse pressure: the Family Blood Pressure Program. *Hypertension*, **46**, 1286–1293.
34. Need, A.C., Attix, D.K., McEvoy, J.M., Cirulli, E.T., Linney, K.L., Hunt, P., Ge, D., Heinzen, E.L., Maia, J.M., Shianna, K.V. *et al.* (2009) A genome-wide study of common SNPs and CNVs in cognitive performance in the CANTAB. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **18**, 4650–4661.
35. Musunuru, K., Lettre, G., Young, T., Farlow, D.N., Pirruccello, J.P., Ejebe, K.G., Keating, B.J., Yang, Q., Chen, M.H., Lapchyk, N. *et al.* (2010) Candidate gene association resource (CARE): design, methods, and proof of concept. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.*, **3**, 267–275.
36. Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A., Bender, D., Maller, J., Sklar, P., de Bakker, P.I., Daly, M.J. *et al.* (2007) PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **81**, 559–575.
37. Chen, M.H. and Yang, Q. (2010) GWAf: an R package for genome-wide association analyses with family data. *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 580–581.
38. Shuldiner, A.R., O'Connell, J.R., Bliden, K.P., Gandhi, A., Ryan, K., Horenstein, R.B., Damcott, C.M., Pakyz, R., Tantry, U.S., Gibson, Q. *et al.* (2009) Association of cytochrome P450 2C19 genotype with the antiplatelet effect and clinical efficacy of clopidogrel therapy. *JAMA*, **302**, 849–857.
39. Willer, C.J., Li, Y. and Abecasis, G.R. (2010) METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 2190–2191.
40. de Bakker, P.I., Ferreira, M.A., Jia, X., Neale, B.M., Raychaudhuri, S. and Voight, B.F. (2008) Practical aspects of imputation-driven meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **17**, R122–128.
41. Devlin, B. and Roeder, K. (1999) Genomic control for association studies. *Biometrics*, **55**, 997–1004.
42. Talmud, P.J., Drenos, F., Shah, S., Shah, T., Palmen, J., Verzilli, C., Gaunt, T.R., Pallas, J., Lovereing, R., Li, K. *et al.* (2009) Gene-centric association signals for lipids and apolipoproteins identified via the HumanCVD BeadChip. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **85**, 628–642.
43. (2011) Large-scale gene-centric analysis identifies novel variants for coronary artery disease. *PLoS Genet.*, **7**, e1002260.
44. Lo, K.S., Wilson, J.G., Lange, L.A., Folsom, A.R., Galarnau, G., Ganesh, S.K., Grant, S.F., Keating, B.J., McCarroll, S.A., Mohler, E.R. III *et al.* (2011) Genetic association analysis highlights new loci that modulate hematological trait variation in Caucasians and African Americans. *Hum. Genet.*, **129**, 307–317.
45. Johnson, A.D., Handsaker, R.E., Pulit, S.L., Nizzari, M.M., O'Donnell, C.J. and de Bakker, P.I. (2008) SNAP: a web-based tool for identification and annotation of proxy SNPs using HapMap. *Bioinformatics*, **24**, 2938–2939.
46. Goring, H.H., Curran, J.E., Johnson, M.P., Dyer, T.D., Charlesworth, J., Cole, S.A., Jowett, J.B., Abraham, L.J., Rainwater, D.L., Comuzzie, A.G. *et al.* (2007) Discovery of expression QTLs using large-scale transcriptional profiling in human lymphocytes. *Nat. Genet.*, **39**, 1208–1216.
47. Idaghdour, Y., Czika, W., Shianna, K.V., Lee, S.H., Visscher, P.M., Martin, H.C., Mioclaus, K., Jadallah, S.J., Goldstein, D.B., Wolfinger, R.D. *et al.* (2010) Geographical genomics of human leukocyte gene expression variation in southern Morocco. *Nat. Genet.*, **42**, 62–67.
48. Heap, G.A., Trynka, G., Jansen, R.C., Bruinenberg, M., Swertz, M.A., Dinesen, L.C., Hunt, K.A., Wijmenga, C., Vanheer, D.A. and Franke, L. (2009) Complex nature of SNP genotype effects on gene expression in primary human leukocytes. *BMC Med. Genomics*, **2**, 1.
49. Dixon, A.L., Liang, L., Moffatt, M.F., Chen, W., Heath, S., Wong, K.C., Taylor, J., Burnett, E., Gut, I., Farrall, M. *et al.* (2007) A genome-wide association study of global gene expression. *Nat. Genet.*, **39**, 1202–1207.
50. Stranger, B.E., Nica, A.C., Forrest, M.S., Dimas, A., Bird, C.P., Beazley, C., Ingle, C.E., Dunning, M., Flicek, P., Koller, D. *et al.* (2007) Population genomics of human gene expression. *Nat. Genet.*, **39**, 1217–1224.

51. Kwan, T., Benovoy, D., Dias, C., Gurd, S., Provencher, C., Beaulieu, P., Hudson, T.J., Sladek, R. and Majewski, J. (2008) Genome-wide analysis of transcript isoform variation in humans. *Nat. Genet.*, **40**, 225–231.
52. Heinzen, E.L., Ge, D., Cronin, K.D., Maia, J.M., Shianna, K.V., Gabriel, W.N., Welsh-Bohmer, K.A., Hulette, C.M., Denny, T.N. and Goldstein, D.B. (2008) Tissue-specific genetic control of splicing: implications for the study of complex traits. *PLoS Biol.*, **6**, e1.
53. Zeller, T., Wild, P., Szymczak, S., Rotival, M., Schillert, A., Castagne, R., Maouche, S., Germain, M., Lackner, K., Rossmann, H. *et al.* (2010) Genetics and beyond—the transcriptome of human monocytes and disease susceptibility. *PLoS One*, **5**, e10693.
54. Emilsson, V., Thorleifsson, G., Zhang, B., Leonardson, A.S., Zink, F., Zhu, J., Carlson, S., Helgason, A., Walters, G.B., Gunnarsdottir, S. *et al.* (2008) Genetics of gene expression and its effect on disease. *Nature*, **452**, 423–428.
55. Greenawalt, D.M., Dobrin, R., Chudin, E., Hatoum, I.J., Suver, C., Beaulaurier, J., Zhang, B., Castro, V., Zhu, J., Sieberts, S.K. *et al.* (2011) A survey of the genetics of stomach, liver, and adipose gene expression from a morbidly obese cohort. *Genome Res.*, **21**, 1008–1016.
56. Fehrmann, R.S., Jansen, R.C., Veldink, J.H., Westra, H.J., Arends, D., Bonder, M.J., Fu, J., Deelen, P., Groen, H.J., Smolonska, A. *et al.* (2011) Trans-eQTLs reveal that independent genetic variants associated with a complex phenotype converge on intermediate genes, with a major role for the HLA. *PLoS Genet.*, **7**, e1002197.
57. Kompass, K.S. and Witte, J.S. (2011) Co-regulatory expression quantitative trait loci mapping: method and application to endometrial cancer. *BMC Med. Genomics*, **4**, 6.
58. Webster, J.A., Gibbs, J.R., Clarke, J., Ray, M., Zhang, W., Holmans, P., Rohrer, K., Zhao, A., Marlowe, L., Kaleem, M. *et al.* (2009) Genetic control of human brain transcript expression in Alzheimer disease. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **84**, 445–458.
59. Schadt, E.E., Molony, C., Chudin, E., Hao, K., Yang, X., Lum, P.Y., Kasarskis, A., Zhang, B., Wang, S., Suver, C. *et al.* (2008) Mapping the genetic architecture of gene expression in human liver. *PLoS Biol.*, **6**, e107.
60. Innocenti, F., Cooper, G.M., Stanaway, I.B., Gamazon, E.R., Smith, J.D., Mirkov, S., Ramirez, J., Liu, W., Lin, Y.S., Moloney, C. *et al.* (2011) Identification, replication, and functional fine-mapping of expression quantitative trait loci in primary human liver tissue. *PLoS Genet.*, **7**, e1002078.
61. Grundberg, E., Kwan, T., Ge, B., Lam, K.C., Koka, V., Kindmark, A., Mallmin, H., Dias, J., Verlaan, D.J., Ouimet, M. *et al.* (2009) Population genomics in a disease targeted primary cell model. *Genome Res.*, **19**, 1942–1952.
62. Ding, J., Gudjonsson, J.E., Liang, L., Stuart, P.E., Li, Y., Chen, W., Weichenthal, M., Ellinghaus, E., Franke, A., Cookson, W. *et al.* (2010) Gene expression in skin and lymphoblastoid cells: Refined statistical method reveals extensive overlap in cis-eQTL signals. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **87**, 779–789.
63. Dimas, A.S., Deutsch, S., Stranger, B.E., Montgomery, S.B., Borel, C., Attar-Cohen, H., Ingle, C., Beazley, C., Gutierrez Arcelus, M., Sekowska, M. *et al.* (2009) Common regulatory variation impacts gene expression in a cell type-dependent manner. *Science*, **325**, 1246–1250.