

ISERP

Working Paper 07-08

Simultaneous Estimation of Hedonic Equations with Unbalanced Data

VALERIE A. MUELLER

EARTH INSTITUTE
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

GLENN SHERIFF

SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY



COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

SEPTEMBER 2007

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC RESEARCH AND POLICY

PIONEERING SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH AND SHAPING PUBLIC POLICY

SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF HEDONIC EQUATIONS WITH UNBALANCED DATA

Valerie A. Mueller¹

Earth Institute
Columbia University

Glenn Sheriff²

School of International and Public Affairs
Columbia University

ISERP Working Paper 07-08

September 2007

¹ Center on Globalization and Sustainable Development, The Earth Institute at Columbia University, B-19 Hogan Hall, 2910 Broadway, New York, NY, 10025, USA. Email: vam2105@columbia.edu.

² School of International and Public Affairs, Columbia University, 1405 International Affairs Building, 420 West 118th Street, New York, NY, 10027, USA. Email: gs2096@columbia.edu.

Simultaneous estimation of hedonic equations with unbalanced data

Abstract

Hedonic non-market valuation often requires estimating housing and labor market regressions. We show how to accommodate unbalanced data in hedonic regressions. In addition to efficiency gains, the method allows consistent estimation of confidence intervals for amenity values. We illustrate by estimating the implicit price of a temperature increase in urban Brazil.

Key words: hedonic, unbalanced data, seemingly unrelated regression, non-market valuation

JEL Classification: C31, Q51

1 Introduction

Hedonic techniques are commonly used to estimate the implicit price of non-market amenities such as local environmental conditions. The basic model posits that workers are attracted to cities with greater amenities. The influx of workers depresses wages and increases housing rents. To obtain an implicit price, studies typically estimate cross-sectional variations in urban wages or rents attributable to differences in amenities (see Bartik and Smith (1987) and Palmquist (1991) for reviews of this literature). Where migration is possible, it is important to calculate amenities' effects on both rents and wages. Estimates obtained from hedonic wage models alone can overstate the compensation required for living in areas with less desirable amenities, since firms also adjust wages to account for differences in housing prices (Cropper, 1981).

In spite of this potential bias, relatively few studies estimate effects of amenities in both labor and housing markets (Roback, 1982; Blomquist et al., 1988; Srinivasan and Stewart, 2004). Even these, however, estimate labor and housing market equations individually, in what we call separate equation estimation (SEE). Although consistent, SEE is generally less efficient than Zellner's (1962) seemingly unrelated regression (SUR).¹ Moreover, inference on the amenity value drawn from SEE standard errors is not valid, except under the assumption that cross-equation error correlation is zero. Since data from the same observational unit are used in both equations, this assumption is especially restrictive.

A challenge to using SUR in this setting is that standard software routines are designed for balanced data (i.e., an identical number of observations in each equation). In practice, data may be missing variables appearing in one equation. Schmidt (1977) examines several methodologies for estimating a SUR model with unbalanced data. These methods use consistent estimators for the covariance matrix that, while asymptotically equivalent, generate different values in finite samples. Monte Carlo experiments by Schmidt (1977), Baltagi et al. (1989), Hwang (1990), and Im (1994) suggest that the Hocking and Smith (1968) estimator (HS) has efficiency advantages in finite samples. To our knowledge, however, this method has not yet been applied using real data. Thus, there has been no research indicating the practical importance of these issues in an actual problem, hedonic or otherwise.

The main contributions of this paper are i) to show how the HS framework can be adapted for estimation of hedonic models, and ii) indicate practical implications of using SUR versus SEE methods. Building a data set that matches household surveys with local climate characteristics, we estimate the implicit price of a temperature change in urban Brazil. In our application, SUR generates a noticeable, yet modest, reduction in standard errors; point estimates differ between the two methods, but not significantly; and SEE generates a confidence interval for the implicit price of the amenity that is too narrow in the presence of cross-equation correlation.

¹SEE and SUR are equivalent if cross-equation disturbances are uncorrelated or all explanatory variables are identical in both equations.

2 Theoretical Model

We adapt the standard inter-urban location equilibrium model (Roback, 1982; Blomquist et al., 1988). Cities differ by exogenous endowments of amenity a . A representative individual earns income from selling one unit of labor. Let h and q respectively indicate the quantity consumed of housing and a composite numeraire good. Being freely traded, the price of q is the same everywhere, but prices of labor w and housing p vary by location, depending on a .

The individual chooses a consumption bundle and location to maximize utility $U(q, h; a)$ subject to budget constraint $w(a) - p(a) \cdot h - q$. The indirect utility function $V(w, p; a)$ is then a function of wages, housing prices, and amenities. With costless migration, equilibrium utility is equal across all cities, $V(w(a_j), p(a_j); a_j) = \bar{u}$ for each city j . This condition, combined with Roy's identity, allows derivation of the amount of wealth necessary to compensate the individual (the implicit price) for a small change in the amenity:

$$\frac{\partial V / \partial a}{\partial V / \partial w} = h \cdot \frac{dp(a)}{da} - \frac{dw(a)}{da}. \quad (1)$$

From the demand side alone, one would expect $dp/da > 0$ and $dw/da < 0$. In general equilibrium, however, the amenity may also affect production. As noted by Roback (1982), if the amenity reduces production costs, the equilibrium effect of its marginal increase would be to increase the cost of real estate, while its overall effect on wages would be ambiguous. Conversely, an amenity that increases costs reduces wages and has an ambiguous effect on property values.

3 Empirical Model

Using the common semi-log specification, we formulate a reduced-form system of housing and wage equations:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \text{ where}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_2 & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Each row corresponds to an observation. Here, \mathbf{y}_1 is a vector of log housing expenditures, \mathbf{y}_2 is a vector of log wages, \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 are matrices of L city amenities, \mathbf{B} is a matrix of M residential characteristics, \mathbf{D} is a matrix of N worker demographic characteristics, $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$ are parameter vectors of dimensions $L + M$ and $L + N$, respectively, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2$ are idiosyncratic error vectors. A data point in \mathbf{y}_1 , \mathbf{y}_2 , \mathbf{A}_1 , \mathbf{A}_2 , \mathbf{B} , and \mathbf{D} corresponds to each

individual survey respondent. Due to missing housing expenditure responses, in our sample, there are unequal observations in the two equations. Accordingly, T denotes the number of observations in the housing equation (\mathbf{y}_1 , \mathbf{A}_1 , \mathbf{B} and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$), and $T + E$ denotes the number in the wage equation (\mathbf{y}_2 , \mathbf{A}_2 , \mathbf{D} and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2$).

We assume $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is i.i.d. and uncorrelated with the regressors. Its covariance matrix is

$$\text{cov}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] = \boldsymbol{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{I}_T & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \sigma_{22} \mathbf{I}_E \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

and \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix with dimensions indicated by the subscript. The SEE estimator for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{SEE}$, is then equivalent to the system ordinary least squares (OLS) estimator:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{SEE} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}).$$

A consistent estimator for the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS}$ is

$$\text{Av}\hat{\text{ar}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS}) = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\mathbf{X}) (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1},$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}$ consistently estimates $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ (see, e.g., Wooldridge, 2002). Let \mathbf{e}_i denote the OLS residuals from equation $i = 1, 2$. SEE implicitly calculates $\text{Av}\hat{\text{ar}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS})$ using

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{SEE} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{SEE} \otimes \mathbf{I}_T & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{I}_E / (T + E) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{SEE} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{e}_1 / T & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{e}_2 / (T + E) \end{bmatrix}.$$

This estimator is consistent if there is no cross-equation correlation ($\sigma_{12} = 0$).

Let us partition \mathbf{e}_2 as

$$\mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_2^* \\ \mathbf{e}_2^0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{e}_2^* contains the first T elements of \mathbf{e}_2 (i.e., residuals from individuals appearing in both equations) and \mathbf{e}_2^0 contains the remaining E residuals. The feasible generalized least squares procedure suggested by Schmidt (1977) calculates the SUR estimator, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{SUR}$, using

the HS covariance estimator, $\hat{\Omega}_{HS}$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{SUR} &= \left(\mathbf{X}'\hat{\Omega}_{HS}^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}'\hat{\Omega}_{HS}^{-1}\mathbf{y}\right), \text{ where} \\ \hat{\Omega}_{HS} &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\Sigma}_{HS}\otimes\mathbf{I}_T & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{e}_2\mathbf{I}_E/(T+E) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and} \\ \hat{\Sigma}_{HS} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{e}'_1\mathbf{e}_1}{T} - \frac{E((\mathbf{e}'_1\mathbf{e}_2^*)/(\mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{e}_2^*))^2(\mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{e}_2^*/T - \mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{e}_2^0/E)}{T+E} & \frac{(\mathbf{e}'_1\mathbf{e}_2^*)(\mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{e}_2)}{\mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{e}_2^*(T+E)} \\ \frac{(\mathbf{e}'_1\mathbf{e}_2^*)(\mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{e}_2)}{\mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{e}_2^*(T+E)} & \mathbf{e}'_2\mathbf{e}_2/(T+E) \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic variance of $\hat{\beta}_{SUR}$ is then consistently estimated by (Wooldridge, 2002)

$$\text{Av}\hat{\text{r}}\left(\hat{\beta}_{SUR}\right) = \left(\mathbf{X}'\hat{\Omega}_{HS}^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}.$$

Unlike the SEE model, this variance estimator is consistent under arbitrary cross-equation correlation.

In sum, regardless of the validity of the assumption of zero cross-equation correlation of errors, SEE consistently estimates wage and housing price differentials and, by Eq. (1), the amenity's implicit price. SEE does not allow consistent calculation of standard errors for the implicit price if the zero correlation assumption is violated.² This shortcoming is unfortunate for hedonic models since obtaining the implicit price is often the primary motivation for the analysis, and one would like to construct valid confidence intervals around its point estimate. SUR does not suffer from this problem and has the advantage of asymptotic efficiency (Wooldridge, 2002). Further, $\hat{\beta}_{SUR}$ and $\text{Av}\hat{\text{r}}\left(\hat{\beta}_{SUR}\right)$ are easy to calculate using the HS estimator, and straightforward to program in a matrix-based software such as GAUSS.

4 Data and Results

Data on individual demographic and residential characteristics come from the 1995 Brazilian National Household Sample Survey. Each observation corresponds to a single-family head of household, age 18 to 65, in eleven metropolitan areas.³ Lack of information prevented imputation of rents for home owners. Our final sample is consequently unbalanced, with 18,943 workers and 4,199 rental residences. We use a Brazilian health ministry DATASUS database, and the Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE) Municipality Database to construct population density, distances from São Paulo and Brasilia, and metropolitan

²Since by Eq. (1) the implicit price is a function of housing and wage differentials, its standard error depends on their estimated covariance.

³These areas are Belem, Belo Horizonte, Brasilia, Curitiba, Fortaleza, Goiania, Porto Alegre, Recife, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador, and São Paulo.

fixed effects. Climate data come from the University of East Anglia Climate Research Unit Global 0.5° Monthly Time series, Version 2. We use the Data Library of the International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI) to construct thirty-year average monthly temperature and precipitation variables. GIS data provided by IBGE allow georeferencing of climate variables by municipality.

Table 1 presents results for average temperature, the amenity of interest. Monetary values are in 1995 Reais (R\$). Consistent with Im (1994), estimates for the two models differ most in the equation with fewer observations, housing expenditure. Efficiency gains from SUR are also most pronounced in this equation. Implicit prices and differentials are calculated at the mean housing expenditure and wage. Although the SEE implicit price for a 1°C increase is about 10 percent higher than that calculated by SUR, the difference is not statistically significant. The same is true for the differences in wage and price differentials. For both models, the implicit price and wage and rent differentials suggest that preferences and production in urban Brazil are consistent with the hypothesis that a temperature increase is an amenity (implicit price is positive) that reduces productivity (wage differential is negative and housing differential is negative/indeterminate).

An important shortcoming of SEE is that, in the presence of cross-equation correlation (the HS estimate of the correlation coefficient between the two equations is 0.24), it provides a confidence interval for the implicit price that is too narrow. By assuming no correlation, SEE rejects the null hypothesis that the marginal amenity value is zero at less than 95 percent significance, while SUR rejects only at less than 90 percent.

5 Conclusion

Simultaneous estimation of hedonic equations results in efficiency gains and enables consistent estimation of confidence intervals for marginal amenity values compared with estimating each equation separately. We show that it is straightforward to estimate hedonic systems even with unbalanced data. In our application, cross-equation correlation is small, resulting in statistically similar point estimates. This correlation is large enough to affect inference, however. Using an inconsistent covariance matrix, estimating equations separately identifies a statistically significant (at 95 percent) positive welfare effect of a temperature increase for urban residents of Brazil, whereas the consistent SUR method does not.

Acknowledgements. We thank Marc Nerlove for helpful discussions and Michael Bell at IRI for his assistance in using the Data Library.

Table 1: Parameter estimates, housing and wage differentials, and full implicit price of a change in average annual temperature in urban Brazil

Estimate (unit)	SEE Model			SUR Model		
	Housing equation ^{a,b}	Wage equation ^{b,c}	Full implicit price ^d	Housing equation ^{a,b}	Wage equation ^{b,c}	Full implicit price ^d
Parameter (%/°C)	-0.0179 (0.0627)	-0.0759 ^{***} (0.0286)		-0.0397 (0.0607)	-0.0776 ^{***} (0.0286)	
Differential ^e (R\$/°C)	-34.51 (120.87)	-410.69 (154.75)	376.18 ^{**} (196.36) ^f	-76.57 (117.40)	-419.71 (154.75)	343.14 [*] (203.06)
R^2	0.48	0.52				
observations	4,199	18,943		4,199	18,943	

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses. *P-value < 0.10, **P-value < 0.05, ***P-value < 0.01.

^aIncludes dummies for housing characteristics (flush toilet, filtered water, 1,2,3,3+ bedrooms, with apartment and house interactions). ^bIncludes other amenities (population density, March and August precipitation, distances from São Paulo and Brasilia, and regional fixed effects).

^cIncludes demographic variables (work experience, experience squared, and dummies for level of education, race, gender, and occupation). ^dCalculated from Eq. (1). ^eCalculated with means of annual rent (R\$1,927.80) and wage (R\$5,410.94). ^fAssumes zero cross-equation correlation.

References

- Baltagi, B. H., Garvin, S., Kerman, S., 1989. Further monte carlo evidence on seemingly unrelated regressions with unequal number of observations. *Annales D'Économie et de Statistique* 14, 103–115.
- Bartik, T. J., Smith, V. K., 1987. Urban Amenities and Public Policy. Vol. 2 of *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics*. Elsevier, Ch. 31.
- Blomquist, G. C., Berger, M. C., Hoehn, J. P., March 1988. New estimates of quality of life in urban areas. *American Economic Review* 78 (1), 89–107.
- Cropper, M., August 1981. The value of urban amenities. *Journal of Regional Science* 21 (3), 359–373.
- Hocking, R. R., Smith, W. B., March 1968. Estimation of parameters in the multivariate normal distribution with missing observations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 63 (321), 159–173.
- Hwang, H., August 1990. Estimation of a linear sur model with unequal numbers of observations. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 72 (3), 510–515.
- Im, E. I., December 1994. Unequal numbers of observations and partial efficiency gain. *Economics Letters* 46 (4), 291–294.
- Palmquist, R. B., 1991. *Measuring the demand for environmental quality*. Elsevier Science, New York, U.S., Ch. Hedonic Methods.
- Roback, J., December 1982. Wages, rents, and the quality of life. *Journal of Political Economy* 90 (6), 1257–1278.
- Schmidt, P., May 1977. Estimation of seemingly unrelated regressions with unequal numbers of observations. *Journal of Econometrics* 5 (3), 365–377.
- Srinivasan, S., Stewart, G., 2004. The quality of life in England and Wales. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 66 (1), 1–22.
- Wooldridge, J. M., 2002. *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*. MIT Press, Cambridge, U.S.
- Zellner, A., 1962. An efficient method of estimating seemingly unrelated regressions and tests for aggregation bias. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 57, 348–368.

ISERP Working Papers

2007

07-07: "Poverty Analysis Based on Kernel Density Estimates from Grouped Data," Camelia Minoiu, Economics, Columbia University

07-06: "Does Gaming the System Affect Students' Academic Achievement?" Jennifer Booher-Jennings, Sociology, Columbia University, Andrew A. Beveridge, Sociology, Queens College and CUNY Graduate Center

07-05: "Differential Effects of Graduating During a Recession across Race and Gender," Ayako Kondo, Economics, Graduate Fellow, ISERP, Columbia University

07-04: "PowerPoint Demonstrations: Digital Technologies of Persuasion," David Stark, Sociology, Columbia University, Verena Paravel, Center on Organizational Innovation, ISERP, Columbia University

07-03: "No Entiendo: The Effects of Bilingualism on Hispanic Earnings," Jeronimo Cortina, Political Science, Columbia University, Rodolfo de la Garza, Political Science and International Affairs and Public Affairs, Columbia University, Pablo Pinto, Political Science, Columbia University

07-02: "The Assessment of Poverty and Inequality through Parametric Estimation of Lorenz Curves," Camelia Minoiu, Economics, Columbia University, Sanjay Reddy, Barnard Economics

07-01: "Implementing Second-Best Environmental Policy under Adverse Selection," Glenn Sheriff, School of International and Public Affairs, Columbia University

2006

06-01: "The Impact of Parental Marital Disruption on Children's Performance in School," Christopher Weiss, ISERP, Columbia University, Kathleen Foley, University of Pennsylvania

06-02: "The Choice of Index Number: Part I, Valuation and Evaluation," Sanjay Reddy, Barnard Economics, Benjamin Plener, Yale University

06-03: "Real Income Stagnation of Countries, 1960-2001," Sanjay Reddy, Barnard Economics, Camelia Minoiu, Economics, Columbia University

06-04: "Chinese Poverty: Assessing the Impact of Alternative Assumptions," Sanjay Reddy, Barnard Economics, Camelia Minoiu, Economics, Columbia University

06-05: "Spaghetti Politics," Paolo Parigi, Sociology, Columbia University, Peter Bearman, Sociology, Columbia University

06-06: "Attention Felons: Evaluating Project Safe Neighborhoods in Chicago," Andrew Papachristos, University of Chicago, Tracey Meares, University of Chicago, Jeffrey Fagan, Law, Columbia University

06-07: "Dynamics of Political Polarization," Delia Baladassarri, Columbia University, Peter Bearman, Columbia University

06-08: “Why do Some Countries Produce So Much More Output per Worker than Others?”
Emmanuel Pikoulakis, University of Hull Business School, Camelia Minoiu, Economics, Columbia University

06-09: “Trivers-Willard at Birth and One Year: Evidence from U.S. Natality Data 1983-2001,”
Douglas Almond, Economics, Columbia University, Lena Edlund, Economics, Columbia University

06-10: “Forecasting House Seats from General Congressional Polls,” Robert Erikson, Political Science, Columbia University

06-11: “From Drafts to Checks: The Evolution of Correspondent Banking Networks and the Formation of the Modern U.S. Payments System, 1850-1914,” John James, Economics, University of Virginia, David Weiman, Economics, Barnard College, and History, Columbia University

2005

05-01: “Social Construction of Flows: Price Profiles Across Producers Gear to Market Context Upstream, Downstream and Cross-Stream,” Harrison White, Sociology, Columbia University

05-02: “Temporality and Intervention Effects: Trajectory Analysis of a Homeless Mental Health Program,” Mary Clare Lennon, Public Health, Columbia University, William McAllister, ISERP, Li Kuang, Public Health, Columbia University, Daniel Herman, Public Health, Columbia University

05-03: “Do Parents Help More Their Less Well-off Children?: Evidence from a Sample of Migrants to France,” François-Charles Wolff, Université de Nantes, Seymour Spilerman, Sociology, Columbia University, and Claudine Attias-Donfut, Caisse Nationale d’Assurance Vieillesse

05-04: “Politics, Public Bads, and Private Information,” Glenn Sheriff, International and Public Affairs, Columbia University

05-05: “Determinants of Justification and Indulgence,” Ran Kivetz, School of Business, Columbia University, Yuhuang Zheng, School of Business, Columbia University

05-06: “Political Competition and Policy Adoption: Market Reforms in Latin American Public Utilities,” Victoria Murillo, International and Public Affairs, Columbia University, Cecilia Martinez-Gallardo, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económica

05-07: “In Search of Lost Memories: Domestic Spheres and Identities in Roman Amheida, Egypt,” Anna Lucille Boozer, Anthropology, ISERP Graduate Fellow, Columbia University

05-08: “Global Links, Local Roots: Varieties of Transnationalization and Forms of Civic Integration,” David Stark, Sociology, Columbia University, Balazs Vedres, Central European University, Laszlo Bruszt, European University Institute

05-09: “Socio-Technologies of Assembly: Sense-Making and Demonstration in Rebuilding Lower Manhattan,” Monique Girard, ISERP, Columbia University, David Stark, Sociology, Columbia University

2004

04-01: “Reducing Bias in Treatment Effect Estimation in Observational Studies Suffering from Missing Data,” Jennifer Hill, International and Public Affairs, Columbia University

04-02: “Production Markets Broker Upstream to Downstream, balancing their volume and quality sensitivities to firms through an oriented market profile of signals,” Harrison C. White, Sociology, Columbia University

04-03: “Measuring Economic Disadvantage During Childhood: A Group-Based Modeling Approach,” Robert L. Wagmiller, Jr., SUNY Buffalo, Mary Clare Lennon, Public Health, Columbia University, Philip M. Alberti, Public Health, Columbia University, and J. Lawrence Aber, New York University

04-04: “Policymaking and Caseload Dynamics: Homeless Shelters,” William McAllister, ISERP, and Gordon Berlin, Columbia University

04-05: “Fresh Starts: School Form and Student Outcomes,” Christopher Weiss, ISERP, Columbia University and Peter S. Bearman, Sociology, ISERP, Columbia University

04-06: “Parental Wealth Effects On Living Standards and Asset Holdings: Results From Chile,” Florencia Torche, Sociology, Queens College, Center for the Study of Wealth and Inequality, Columbia University and Seymour Spilerman, Sociology, Center for the Study of Wealth and Inequality, Columbia University

04-07: “Routes into Networks: The Structure of English Trade in the East Indies, 1601-1833,” Emily Erikson, Sociology, ISERP, Columbia University and Peter Bearman, Sociology, ISERP, Columbia University

2003

03-01: “The Plasticity of Participation: Evidence From a Participatory Governance Experiment,” Shubham Chaudhuri, Economics, Columbia University, and Patrick Heller, Sociology, Brown University

03-02: “Factional Politics and Credit Networks in Revolutionary Vermont,” Henning Hillmann, Sociology, Columbia University

03-03: “ ‘Active Patients’ in Rural African Health Care: Implications for Welfare, Policy and Privatization,” Kenneth L. Leonard, Economics, Columbia University

03-04: “Living at the Edge: America’s Low-Income Children and Families,” Hsien-Hen Lu, Public Health, Columbia University, Julian Palmer, Younghwan Song, Economics, Union College, Mary Clare Lennon, Public Health, Columbia University, Lawrence Aber, Public Health, Columbia University

2002

02-01: “Alternative Models of Dynamics in Binary Time-Series-Cross-Section Models: The Example of State Failure,” Nathaniel Beck, Political Science, UC San Diego, David Epstein, Political Science, Columbia, Simon Jackman, Political Science, Stanford and Sharyn O’Halloran, Political Science, Columbia

02-03: “Link, Search, Interact: The Co-Evolution of NGOs and Interactive Technology,” Jonathan Bach, Center on Organizational Innovation, Columbia University and David Stark, Center on Organizational Innovation, Columbia University

02-04: “Chains of Affection: The Structure of Adolescent Romantic and Sexual Networks,” Peter Bearman, Institute for Social and Economic Research and Policy, Columbia University, James Moody, Sociology, Ohio State, Katherine Stovel, Sociology, University of Washington

02-05: “Permanently Beta: Responsive Organization in the Internet Era,” Gina Neff, Center on Organizational Innovation (COI), Columbia University, and David Stark, Center on Organizational Innovation (COI), Columbia University

02-06: “Negotiating the End of Transition: A Network Approach to Political Discourse Dynamics, Hungary 1997,” Balázs Vedres, Columbia University, Péter Csígó, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales

02-07: “The Influence of Women and Racial Minorities Under Panel Decision-Making in the U.S. Court of Appeals,” Sean Farhang, Political Science, Columbia University, Gregory Wawro, Political Science, Columbia University

02-08: “The Role of Effort Advantage in Consumer Response to Loyalty Programs: The Idiosyncratic Fit Heuristic” Ran Kivetz, Business, Columbia University, Itamar Simonson, Business, Stanford University

2001

01-01: “Pathways of Property Transformation: Enterprise Network Careers in Hungary, 1988-2000 Outline of an Analytic Strategy,” David Stark, Sociology, Columbia and Balázs Vedres, Sociology, Columbia

01-02: “Policy Space and Voting Coalitions in Congress: the Bearing of Policy on Politics, 1930-1954,” Ira Katznelson, John Lapinski, and Rose Razaghan, Political Science, Columbia

01-03: “Doing Fractions: An Analysis of Partisan ship in Post-Socialist Russia,” Andrew D. Buck, Sociology, Columbia

01-04: “Opposite-Sex Twins and Adolescent Same-Sex Attraction,” Peter Bearman, Sociology/ISERP and Hannah Brückner, Sociology, Yale

01-05: “On the Uneven Evolution of Human Know-How,” Richard R. Nelson, Business/SIPA, Columbia

01-06: “Self-Control for the Righteous: Toward a Theory of Luxury Pre-Commitment,” Ran Kivetz, Business, Columbia and Itamar Simonson, Business, Stanford

01-07: “Distributing Intelligence and Organizing Diversity in New Media Projects,” Monique Girard, ISERP, Columbia and David Stark, Sociology, Columbia

01-08: “Agricultural Biotechnology’s Complementary Intellectual Assets,” Gregory D. Graff, Agricultural and Resource Economics, Berkeley, Gordon C. Rausser, Agricultural Economics, Berkeley and Arthur A. Small, SIPA/Earth Institute, Columbia

ISERP

INSTITUTE FOR
SOCIAL AND
ECONOMIC
RESEARCH
AND POLICY

Institute for Social and Economic Research and Policy
Columbia University in the City of New York
420 West 118th Street
8th Floor, Mail Code 3355
New York, NY 10027
Tel: 212-854-3081
Fax: 212-854-8925
Email: iserp@columbia.edu
www.iserp.columbia.edu

EDITORIAL BOARD

Karen Barkey, Sociology
Peter Bearman, Sociology/ISERP
Alan Brinkley, History
Alessandra Casella, Economics
Ester Fuchs, Political Science/SIPA
John Huber, Political Science
Ira Katznelson, Political Science/History
Herbert Klein, History
Mary Clare Lennon, Sociomedical Sciences
Mahmood Mamdani, Anthropology/SIPA
Marianthi Markatou, Biostatistics
William McAllister, ISERP
Kathryn Neckerman, ISERP
Richard Nelson, Business/SIPA
Elliott Sclar, Urban Planning/SIPA
Seymour Spilerman, Sociology
Charles Tilly, Sociology
Harrison White, Sociology

ADMINISTRATION

Peter Bearman, Director
Kathryn Neckerman, Associate Director