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ABSTRACT

Old Myths and New Forms of Orientalism:
Gauguin, Toorop, van der Leck, and Mondrian

Mina S. M. Roustayi

More than fifty examples of works by Paul Gauguin, Jan Toorop, Bart van der Leck, and Piet Mondrian demonstrate the significant influence of artifacts, newly revealed since the early nineteenth century, from the ancient Orient, that is, Pharaonic Egypt and biblical lands. The individual interest of these artists is analyzed in four monographic chapters, which indicate enough similarities in their interpretation and use of the ancient motifs to constitute a new departure in Orientalism. Their particular approaches bring out as well particular biographical, symbolic, and iconographic insights into their artistic development.

As a leading symbolist painter, Gauguin pioneered the revival of sacred art involving old and new myths of Orientalism, which were critical also for Toorop, van der Leck, and Mondrian. Characteristically, all four artists assimilated ancient Oriental artistic conventions as a form of primitivism -- a return to original purity -- to create radical images about personal and social renewal. The primordial figured also in the narrative and symbolic synthesis of their art. Stylistically, all of their work became more decorative, two-dimensional, and more compatible with the architectural plane; line and color were released from mimetic servitude,
and a pictographic aspect was added.

Gauguin, Toorop, van der Leck, and Mondrian all integrated ancient Oriental motifs into their work, but each to his own ends. While Gauguin appropriated Achaemenid Persian and Egyptian art, van der Leck studied Egyptian, Assyrian, and Sumerian art, and Toorop and Mondrian relied on Egyptian art. Though Gauguin discussed music as a paradigm in the new art, the musical quality of Egyptian and Assyrian (and even Sumerian) art made a greater impact on the work of the Dutch artists. Likewise, they embraced the social and mural tradition of ancient Oriental art as a model for their own attempts to reintegrate architecture with utopian art.

The dissertation has answered my original question regarding the impact of ancient Oriental art on progressive artists at the turn of the century. The project has also brought surprises, in the form of many unexpected connections between artists and other members of the European intelligentsia, which merit further exploration.
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I.1 Study for Self-Portrait, Les Misérables 197
8 October 1888
Ink drawing in a letter
formerly, Collection of Roger Marx, Paris.

I.2. Crouching Tahitian Girl c. 1892 198
pencil and charcoal and paste
55.3 x 47.8 cm
The Art Institute of Chicago.

I.3 Be in Love and You Will be Happy 1889 199
painted wood relief
Museum of Fine Arts, Boston
Arthur Tracy Cabot Fund, 57.582

I.4 Oviri 200
Stoneware; glazed in parts
red and black, H. 74 cms
Signed near the figure’s left foot
P G Q; inscribed on the front of
the base: OVIRI.
Musée d’Orsay.

I.5 Guardian Figures 201
Palace of Sargon II, 721-705 B.C.
Dur-Sharrukin (Khorsabad)
Gypsum, 15'4"
The Louvre

I.6 Banquet scene 202
Wall painting
XVIII Dynasty, New Kingdom
Tomb of Nebamun in Thebes
British Museum BM 37986

I.7 The Market (Ta Matete) 1892 203
oil on canvas
28 3/4 x 35 7/8" (73 x 91.5 cm)
Kunstmuseum Basel, Switzerland
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oil on wood
31 1/2 x 20 1/2" (79.2 x 51.3 cm)
National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.,
Chester Dale Collection

I.9 Her name is Vaïräumati 205
(Vaïräumati tei oa) c. 1892
oil on burlap? (91 x 60 cm)
Hermitage Museum, Leningrad.

I.10  
The Seed of the Areois  
(Tee aa no areois) 1892  
Oil on burlap  
(36 1/4 x 28 3/8" (92.1 x 72.1 cm)  
signed and dated center bottom P. Gauguin 1892  
The William S. Paley Collection.

I.11  
Photograph of Achaemenid lion relief  
and double bull-head capital from  
palace of Darius, Susa, Persia  
6th century B.C.  
Louvre, Paris.

I.12a  
Sketch of the double-headed bull capital  
c. 1887-1889  
Album Walter, p. 41 recto  
Inv. R.F.30.569 fol 41r  
Cabinet de Dessin, Louvre.

I.12b  
Photograph of an Achaemenid capital  
from palace of Darius, Susa, Persia  
6th century B.C, Louvre.

I.12c  
Sketch of an Egyptian man  
c. 1887-1889  
Album Walter, p. 41 recto  
Inv. R.F.30.569 fol 41v  
Cabinet de Dessin, Louvre.

I.13  
Engraving of lion frieze from Susa  
L'Illustration 30 October 1886

I.14  
Frontispiece of exhibition pamphlet  
Exposition de 1889 Missions Archéologiques,  
Ethnographiques, Littéraires et Scientifique  
at the 1889 Exposition Universelle in Paris.

I.15  
Stele of the priest playing the harp.  
Third intermediary period  
c. 1070-712  
Stucco and paint on wood.  
H. 29,5 cm.  
Louvre, Acquired in 1826, N3657

I.16  
Hathor and Sethos I  
Wall painting  
XIX Dynasty, New Kingdom  
1318-1304 B.C.  
Louvre, acquired in 1826

I.17  
Be in Love and You will be Happy
sketch in Gauguin letter to van Gogh
van Gogh Museum
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

I.18 Egyptian hieroglyphs
written in reverse
note the hand and two snake signs

I.19 Study for Vaïraũmati tei oa
Letter to Sérusier, Papeete,
March 23, 1892.

I.20 Puvis de Chavannes

Hope 1872
oil on canvas (27 7/8 x 32 1/4) 70.7 x 82 cm
Musée du Louvre, Paris

I.21 Female Mourners
 painted relief
Theban Tomb of the vizier Ramose
Dynasty XVIII, New Kingdom

I.22 Breton Calvary 1898-1899
woodcut
Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York
Harris Brisbane Dick Fund, 1936

I.23 Christmas Eve 1902-1903
oil on canvas
H. 0,72 x L 0,83
signed
Private collection.

I.24 Mother and Two Children with Cattle
 c. 1900
monotype, transfer drawing
in black and brown on paper
585 x 450 mm (3/4 x 17 1/2)
signed
Private collection, France

I.25 Herded Cattle
New Kingdom wall painting
British Museum 37976
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II.1 The Sphinx (Souls Around the Sphinx) 1892-1897
Black chalk, pencil and wax crayon on linen
126.0 x 135.0 cm
Haags Gemeentemuseum, inv. T1-X-1931
(on loan from H. van Warmelo)

II.2 The Three Brides 1893
Pencil, black and coloured crayon
78 x 98 cm
Signed and dated bottom right:
J. Th. Toorop 1893
Rijksmuseum Kröller-Müller, Otterlo

II.3 Angels Bearing the Eucharist 1923
Black chalk with colour, 41.5 x 41.0 cm
Signed and dated bottom right:
J. Th. Toorop 1923
Private Collection

II.4 Three Generations 1927
Watercolour, 32.0 x 24.0 cm
Signed and dated bottom left:
J. Th. Toorop 1927
Private Collection

II.5 Courtiers
Tomb of Haremhab
New Kingdom
XVIIth Dynasty
Saqqara

II.6 Three women and attendant
Tomb of Nakht, New Kingdom
VIIIth Dynasty
Tomb 52, Thebes

II.7 Sketch for "La femme éternelle"
(O thou, my spirits mate), 1891.
Pencil on cardboard, 162 x 205
Signed and dated, J. Th. Toorop 1891
Inscribed 'O, Though my spirits mate,
Schets voor La Femme Eternelle.'
Coll. Rijksmuseum Kröller-Müller, Otterlo

II.8 Great Sphinx of King Khephren
Old Kingdom
IVth Dynasty, c. 2500 B.C.
Limestone
Giza
II.9 Cécile, Thebes, Luxor, view of the palace gates 1798-1801
Watercolor
Paris, Musée du Louvre

II.10 David Roberts, Great Entrance of the Temple at Luxor
color lithograph
in Egypt and Nubia 1846-1850

II.11 Cécile, Perspective de l’Égypte, d’Alexandrie à Philae
Frontispiece for Description de l’Égypte (1809-1822)
Engraving

II.12 Michel Rigo, The Sphinx near the pyramids
engraving in Vivant Denon
Voyage dans la Basse et la Haute Égypte 1802

II.13 a,b The Great Sphinx at Giza
Two engravings
Description de l’Égypte
vols. V, plates 11 and 12

II.14 Maxime du Camp
Le Sphinx vu de face, 1850
11.1 x 16.8
Égypte, Nubie, Palestine et Syrie...en 1849, 1850, 1851

II.15 Female Mourners, New Kingdom
Tomb of Ramose wall painting
Reign of Amenophis III, (1411-1375 BCE)
XVIIIth Dynasty
Thebes (No. 55)

II.16 Colossi of Memnon in Thebes
Amenophis III, 1391-1393 B.C.
New Kingdom, XVIIIth Dynasty
Thebes

II.17 Study for The Sphinx
pencil, red, green and blue crayon
86 x 143
signed J. Toorop, and inscribed ‘aan mijne groote Eugenie’[?]
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

II.18 Woman with Snakeheads and Sylphids
formerly collection H. J. Donkers, Nijmegen.
II.19  
Study for "The Three Brides"  
Pen and ink, blue and yellow chalk on yellowed, lined paper  
18.6 x 26.0 cm  
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

II.20  
Las Vegas Airport
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III.1  Study Head of an Assyrian undated watercolour 215 x 143 mm unsigned and undated Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.2  Head of winged figure wearing a Diadem, Reign of Ashurnasirpal II (883-859 B.C.) Northwest Palace, Kalhu Austen Henry Layard, Monuments of Nineveh, plate 92

III.3  Warriors Fighting in Chariots pencil, pen and ink, and washes in water-color on paper 39 x 28.7 cm unsigned and undated Private collection

III.4  Warriors Fighting in Chariots Reign of Ashurnasirpal II (883-859 B.C.) Northwest Palace, Kalhu Sir Austen Henry Layard, Monuments of Nineveh, plate 27

III.5  Study of Amenophis II and His Governess pencil and watercolor on paper 39 x 28.4 cm unsigned and undated Private collection

III.6  E. Prisse d'Avennes copy of Amenophis II and His Governess Tomb of Ken-Amun, Thebes Dynasty XVIII, New Kingdom Atlas de l'art égyptien, pl. II.53

III.7a  Study for Composition 1916 no. 2 (formerly known as Man with Cows) charcoal on paper 78.5 x 117 cm Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.7b  Study for Composition 1916 No. 2 gouache on paper 11.5 x 17 cm unsigned Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo
III.7c  Study for Composition 1916 No. 2  253
gouache on paper
75,5 x 111,5 cm
unsigned
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.7d  Study for Composition 1916 No. 2  253
1916
gouache on paper
83,3 x 120 cm
unsigned
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.7e  Composition 1916 No. 2  253
1916
casein on masonite
76 x 116 cm
signed on the back BVD'16 no. 2
Private Collection

III.8  Agricultural scene  254
Tomb G 6020
Old Kingdom
2571-2134 B.C.

III.9  Agricultural scene  255
Mastaba of Ti
Old Kingdom ca. 2450 B.C.
Saqqara

III.10  Hornless Cattle  256
Old Kingdom
2571-2134 B.C.
Lepsius, Denkmaeler, ii. 9

III.11  The Sower  257
1921
Canvas
16 1/2 x 12 1/2"
signed and dated
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.11 a  Vincent van Gogh  258
The Sower  1889-1890
Oil on canvas
80.8 xx 66 cm
Stavros S. Niarchos Collection, London

III.12 a  Nebamun  259
New Kingdom painting
Reign of Tuthmosis IV  1401-1391
British Museum  50702

III.12 b  Guardian figures  260
Dur-Sharrukin (Khorsabad)
Palace of Sargon II,  721-705 B.C.
Gypsum, 15'4"
The Louvre

III.13
Hussar on Horse 1902
drawing
40 x 22.5
signed and dated
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.14
Courier c. 1913
Caseïne on asbestos-cement
56 x 65 cm
unsigned and undated
Mrs. A.R.W. Nieuwenhuizen Segaar-Aarse, The Hague

III.15a
Assyrian Horsemen Pursuing
a Man on a Camel
North-West Palace, Kalhu (Nimrud)
Layard, Monuments of Nineveh pl. 57
British Museum

III.15b
Dress ornaments
Layard, Monuments of Nineveh pl. 50

III.16
The Horseman c. 1918
oil on canvas
94 x 40 cm
unsigned and undated
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.17
Captives Constructing a Temple of Amun
Tomb of Rekhmire, Thebes
Dynasty XVIII
Prisse d’Avennes, Atlas de l’art égyptien
pl. II.59

III.18
Four Arabs
undated
Pastel and watercolor on what?
26.9 x 52.2 cm
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.18a
Bart van der Leck
Five sketches of donkeys and riders
Zaccar Sketchbook 1914
Pencil and watercolor
Kröller-Müller, Otterlo

III.19
Arabs 1915
signed and dated on the back BvdL ’15
casein on asbestos cement
31 x 57 cm.
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.21 Study for Composition 5 c. 1917 Gouache 65 x 159 cm J.P. Smit, Amsterdam

III.22 Study for Composition 5 1917 signed and dated Gouache, 65 x 150 cm J.P. Smit, Amsterdam

III.23 Study for Composition 5 1917 signed and dated Gouache 61 x 150 cm J.P. Smit, Amsterdam

III.24 Composition 5 1917 signed and dated oil on canvas 64 x 152 cm Private Collection

III.25 Composition 6 1917 signed and dated oil on canvas 59 x 147 cm Ellen en Jan Nieuwenhuizen Segaar

III.26 Study for Composition 6 Gouache 68 x 131 cm J.P. Smit, Amsterdam

III.27 Study for Composition 6 Gouache 625 x 121 cm J.P. Smit, Amsterdam

III.28 The Sick One 1912 oil on canvas 90 x 120 cm Haags Gemeentemuseum, The Hague

III.29 Ashurbanipal and his Queen in the Garden Ashurbanipal’s palace Nineveh, c. 645 B.C. Bonomi, *Nineveh and Its Palaces*, 401
III.30  Figure holding a vase
        New Kingdom, 1500-1450
        Tomb of Rekhmire
        (no. 100) Thebes

III.31  The Accident 1913
        signed and dated
        oil on canvas
        90 x 120 cm
        Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.32  Guardians of a doorway
        in Ashurbanipal’s
        palace
        British Museum, 118911
        Gadd, The Stones of Assyria;
        The Surviving Remains of Assyrian Sculpture
        and their Recovery and Their Original
        Position, 1936

III.33  Looking at Prints 1915
        Casein on fibro ciment, 50 x 117
        signed BvdL’15
        Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.34  Stele of Haus vorstehers Sesostris
        Middle Kingdom
        Antiquities Museum, Leiden
        Former collection of J. D’Anastasy

III.35  View of the South Wall
        ca. 1422-1411 B.C.
        Tomb of Nakht
        (no. 52) Thebes.

III.36  Study for Looking at Prints
        pencil, gouache, 62 x 134 mm
        not signed
        Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.37  Study for Looking at Prints c. 1915
        Gouache
        Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Smit.

III.38  Mason’s Aid 1914
        paint on fibro ciment
        50 x 51
        signed and dated BvdL’14
        Municipal Museum, Amsterdam

III.39  deleted

III.40  The Beggars 1914
        oil on asbestos
III.41 Ur-Nanshe and his family seated for a banquet
king of Lagash and builder of temples
Early Dynastic plaque from Telloh
c. 2500
Limestone, height 18 1/2"
Louvre, Paris

III.42 Study for Fire Insurance
pencil and gouache
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.43 Study for Life Insurance 1914
pencil and gouache
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.44 Composition 3
(Leaving the Factory) 1917
Canvas, 95 x 102 cm
signed and dated on the back
"BvdLeck no. 3'1917
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.45 Leaving the Factory 1908
ink 133 x 202 mm
signed Bottom right Bvd 1908
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.46 Leaving the Factory
canvas 120 x 140 cm
signed bottom right BvdLeck'10
Museum Boymans van Beuningen, Rotterdam

III.47 Study for Composition 3 and 4
information not available

III.48 Study for Composition 3 and 4
information not available

III.49 Study for Composition 3 and 4
information not available

III.50 Study for Composition 3 and 4
information not available

III.51 Composition 4 (Leaving the Factory) 1917
canvas 94 x 100 cm
signed on the back: BvdLeck '17 no.4
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo
III.52  Mondrian
Composition no. 10 (Pier and Ocean) 1915 301
canvas
33 1/2 x 42 1/2"
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.53  Mondrian
Composition in Line (Black and White)
1916-early 1917
42 1/2 x 42 1/2"
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

III.54  Composition no. 1 (Noortje) 1916
caseïne on asbestos cement
50 x 45 cm
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo
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<th>Material</th>
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</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV.1</td>
<td>Evolution 1910-1911</td>
<td>1910-1911</td>
<td>Oil on canvas</td>
<td>178 x 85 cm, 183 x 87.5 cm, 178 x 85 cm</td>
<td>Haags Gemeente Museum, The Hague</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.2</td>
<td>Mertites and Khennu c. 2400 B.C.</td>
<td>2400 B.C.</td>
<td>Painted limestone, h. 69 cm</td>
<td>Saqqara, Old Kingdom, National Museum of Antiquities, Leiden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.3</td>
<td>Chaldean Diagram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in H. P. Blavatsky <em>Isis Unveiled</em> vol. II foldout after p. 264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.4</td>
<td>Study of a Female Nude</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chalk, charcoal, and wash</td>
<td>86 x 42 cm</td>
<td>Haags Gemeente Museum, The Hague</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.5</td>
<td>H. J. M. Walenkamp, Enlightened Figure</td>
<td>c. 1895</td>
<td>Watercolour on paper</td>
<td>61.5 x 44 cm</td>
<td>Nederlands Architectuurinstituut, Rotterdam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.6</td>
<td>The priestess Imertnebes</td>
<td>c. 1900 B.C.</td>
<td>Wood, painted and inlaid</td>
<td>h. 48 cm</td>
<td>Thebes, Middle Kingdom, National Museum of Antiquities, Leiden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.7</td>
<td>The priestess Toui</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wood of accacia or carob tree</td>
<td>34 cm</td>
<td>Thebes, New Kingdom, Musée du Louvre, Paris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.8</td>
<td>Sea (Starry Sky Above the Sea) 1914</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Charcoal/paper</td>
<td>51 x 63 cm</td>
<td>Blok 241, Seuphor 497, c.c. 237, Haags Gemeente Museum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV.9 Proportionate Diagram
in H. J. M. Walenkamp
"Vóór-historische wijsheid,"
Architectura 41 (October 8, 1904), 334.

IV.10 Lozenge with Grey Lines 1918
Carmean Diamond cat. # 1
diagonal 47 5/8
oil on canvas
signed and dated
Haags Gemeente Museum, The Hague

IV.11 Elemental Geometry
Babylonian Clay Tablet
Babylonian, c. 1700 B.C.
Trustees of the British Museum, London
WA 15285

IV.12 Diagram of Star-Clocks
Carl R. Lepsius, Denkmaeler Aethiopien
Part III, vols VII & VIII, pl. 228.

IV.13 Composition in Black and Gray
(Lozenge with Gray Lines) 1919
Carmean Diamond # 2
diagonal 33 1/4
oil on canvas
signed and dated
Philadelphia Museum of Art,
Louise and Walter Arensberg collection

IV.14 Composition: Bright Color Planes
with Gray Lines 1919
Carmean Diamond # 3
diagonal 33 1/16
oil on canvas
signed and dated
Rijksmuseum Kröller-Müller, Otterlo

IV.15 Composition in Diamond Shape 1919
Carmean Diamond # 4
diagonal 26 3/8
oil on canvas
signed and dated
Rijksmuseum, Kröller-Müller, Otterlo
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Carmean Diamond # 5
diagonal 33 1/4
oil on canvas
signed and dated
The Art Institute of Chicago
Gift of Edgar Kaufmann, Jr.
IV.17  Diamond Painting in a Square with Red, Yellow, and Blue 1921-1925  320
Carman Diamond # 6
diagonal 56 1/4" x 56"
oil on canvas
signed lower right
National Gallery of Art
Gift of Herbert and Nannette Rotschild 1971
Washington, D.C.

IV.18  Composition with Two Lines 1931  321
Carman, Diamond #13
diagonal 114 cm
oil on canvas
signed and dated
Stedelijk Museum Amsterdam
on loan from the Municipality of Hilversum
The Netherlands

IV.19  Composition with Four Yellow Lines 1933  322
Carman, Diamond #14
diagonal 113 cm
oil on canvas
signed and dated, lower left
Haags Gemeentemuseum, The Hague
Gift by admirers of the artist, 1933

IV.20  Composition in Line (Black and White) 1916-17  323
oil on canvas
42 1/2 x 42 1/2
signed and dated lower left
title inscribed on the back
Kröller-Müller Museum, Otterlo

IV.21  Triptych arrangement of Mondrian paintings Dutch Artists’ Circle Exhibition
Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam 1917  324
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Introduction

Among the questions that generated my topic were: Did ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern art provide innovative European artists at the turn of the century with pictorial alternatives to mimetic representation? How did they use it? What assumptions about the ancient art are reflected in their thinking, choices, and modes of representation? These questions led me to find little known aspects of Orientalism -- the legacy of the ancient Orient in fin-de-siècle France and Holland.

**Historiography**

For the most part, Orientalist studies in art history examine realistic paintings of the customs and the people of the Orient, the landscape and the monuments, the mythology and the history, biblical and fictive scenes. Most exhibitions and publications on Orientalism present pre- and post-Islamic subjects and images together, fusing the distinctive cultural and aesthetic entities. The earlier era had in fact developed over three millennia before the advent of Islam in 622 A.D. It carried associations of primordial beginnings, biblical and
classical times, whereas Islamic art and culture represented also a flourishing artistic culture, magic carpets, and living cultures in Egypt and the Near East, whose ancestors fought the crusaders.

The legacy of the ancient Orient is an integral part of the European tradition, intricately woven into its cultural fabric through esoteric, classical, and biblical sources. Though nineteenth-century Europeans discovered other civilizations, none came close to the ancient Orient in psychological, ethical, and spiritual significance.

Europeans were better acquainted with ancient Egypt than with the ancient Near East, because unlike the visible Pharaonic ruins, Mesopotamian and Persian sites remained buried until the nineteenth century. The visibility of ancient Egyptian monuments and the recurrence of Egyptian revivals since the Hellenistic period, during the Renaissance and the Baroque, meant greater familiarity with ancient Egypt than with the Near East.

Napoleon opened the flood gates of a new era in archaeology, with his impressive 1798 grand military expedition to Egypt. For accompanying his Egyptian campaigns was a large cultural and scientific corps of luminaries exploring many encyclopedic aspects of Egypt. Napoleon inaugurated extraordinary opportunities to excavate in the ancient Orient and an era where archaeological discoveries and concessions were coveted trophies among the colonial rivals. France,
England, Germany, the Netherlands and Italy tried to outdo each other in collecting and studying antiquities from the ancient Orient. Both hieroglyphic and cuneiform writing were deciphered, and the silence of the ancient texts was thereby released.

The intellectual and artistic impact of the ancient Orient has recently emerged in interdisciplinary publications on Orientalism. Edward Said's book Orientalism was important in dismantling the assumed truths of Orientalism. His work inspired me to examine cultural assumptions underlying borrowed artistic motifs and subject references to the Orient.

My approach differs from that of dissertations produced in the last two decades by Hannelore Künzl, Fereshteh Daftari, and Frederick Bohrer, though we have in common an interest in the influence and reception of ancient Oriental art. Künzl's thesis, "Der Einfluß des alten Orients auf die europäische Kunst besonders im 19 und 20 Jahrhundert" (Cologne University, 1973), examines the influence of ancient Near Eastern art -- separate from Egyptian or any other Asian art. She traces a continuous Occidental interest in themes and artistic motifs from pre-Islamic Near Eastern art and architecture of Syria/Palestine, Mesopotamia, Persia, Anatolia, excluding Egypt in nearly six hundred European examples from medieval to contemporary times. While the huge time span of her topic may seem a handicap, Künzl's study is a valuable overview.

Daftari's dissertation, "The Influence of Persian Art on
Gauguin, Matisse, and Kandinsky" (Columbia University, 1988), examines the effect of Persian art, both pre- and post-Islamic, on three modern artists. She finds more (and more specific) pre-Islamic influences on Gauguin than on Kandinsky and none on Matisse, and a surprising degree of interest in, and ingenious use of, Islamic Persian art by all three artists.

In "A New Antiquity: The English Reception of Assyria" (University of Chicago, 1979), Bohrer explores the public reception and government support of Assyrian excavations in 19th-century Britain by discussing the involvement of Parliament, the reaction of the Royal Academy, and the installation of Assyrian artifacts in the British Museum. While Bohrer notes Mesopotamian images in 19th-century British art and architecture, his dissertation emphasizes social history rather than aesthetic concerns.

Selection of artists

The aesthetic legacy of ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern art among progressive European artists remains an untold story. Inevitably, given the popularity of Oriental themes and the remarkable quality of monuments from ancient Egypt and the Near East, many artists felt their influence and thus came under consideration for this dissertation. My original list numbered eleven: Georges Seurat, Paul Sérusier, Gustav Klimt, Ferdinand Khnopff, Frantisek Kupka, Franz Marc, Vassily Kandinsky, Paul Gauguin, Jan Toorop, Bart van der Leck, and
Piet Mondrian. In the end, the last four had the most substance for the monographic study presented here.

Each of these four artists met my fundamental criterion of integrating -- not just copying or merely illustrating -- ancient Egyptian and/or Near Eastern motifs into an original aesthetic synthesis. In so doing, these artists produced a subtle new form of Orientalism. Theirs went beyond surface appearances of things Oriental to inspired transitions from mimetic representation to degrees of abstraction in order to express their brand of universalism. I found unpublished material that documents van der Leek's and Mondrian's interest in Egyptian or Assyrian art. Corresponding motifs in images by all four artists were found in ancient Oriental art.

The prominence of three Dutch artists Toorop, van der Leck, and Mondrian and only one Frenchman Gauguin in this dissertation was curious. That the four selected artists of different temperaments, backgrounds and divergent styles would have in common such archaic sources of inspiration may be surprising. What else did these artists have in common? My angle shows new insights into the personal significance of many enigmatic images and aesthetic developments. Moreover, the prominence of Dutch artists is a reminder of the long-standing Orientalist tradition in Dutch art and publishing since the seventeenth century, and calls attention to the early concerted efforts by modern Dutch artists to transcend realistic art.
The artistic and intellectual concerns of the four artists reveal an obscure nexus of personalities and ideas within the international Symbolist subculture. Acknowledged leader of Symbolist painters, Gauguin emphasized art as original synthesis and primitive art as model rather than mimesis of academic art. His direction opened valuable options for the social and artistic aspirations of the three Dutch artists in question.

**Parameters**

Geographically and chronologically, this dissertation is limited to influences from pre-classical and pre-Islamic art in Egypt, Mesopotamia, and Persia. This is not to deny continuity in subject matter and formal motifs between pre- and post-Islamic art, but rather to focus on the distinctive art in Egypt and the Near East and its aesthetic impact among progressive artists spanning the period from 1880 to 1920.

The "ancient Orient" to the Graeco-Romans, the Bible, and later European culture was first this region of Egypt, Mesopotamia, and Persia, before European explorers ventured farther east on the Asian continent. In accordance with the Eurocentric nature of my topic, I have decided to use the Orientalist phrase "ancient Orient." Despite the rich and distinctive artistic heritages in Egypt and the Near East that evolved over three millennia, cross-cultural contacts blended artistic traditions that Europeans associated with Egyptian or Assyrian art. Unlike Egyptology, Assyriology designated the
study of diverse ethnic cultures, separated by mountains, deserts, and rivers.

Why the four decades in Europe that I have chosen to focus on? The simple answer is that ancient Oriental motifs appeared in Gauguin’s work from the mid-1880s. He was the first not just to admire the art but to integrate Achaemenid- and Egyptian-inspired decorative conventions of color, form, and line. And 1921 marks the year the last of the four chosen artists, Mondrian, formulated Neo-Plasticism. The chapter on him will show the importance of Egyptian motifs for the transition to abstraction at the end of World War I.

During these four decades, European interest in cross-cultural subjects and recognition of the aesthetic value of non-Western, pre-Renaissance, and especially medieval art increased greatly. The expressive options needed for the new art broadened, as reported by Maurice Denis, leader and chronicler of the Nabis:

The reaction against naturalism, which grew strong around 1889, is at the source of the theories, paradoxical models and most of the systems which have taken place in the arts over the last fifty years. Without doubt the taste for nature, the love of the real that the Impressionists had brought to such a high level of refinement, was not abolished by that. But, on the whole, it is towards ideological constructions, towards the abstractions that the arts of imitation ....were superseded by the new doctrines. 5

New concerns in art coincided with unprecedented opportunities for artists to see large numbers of artifacts from around the world without ever leaving Europe. The mystery, adventure, and exoticism surrounding the archaeologi-
cal discoveries of ancient Egypt and the Near East appealed to the romantic spirit of nineteenth-century Europe.

Ancient Oriental art on view and on sale in Europe

Since the beginning of the nineteenth century, enterprising diplomats, adventurers, and scholars from France, England, Germany, and Italy sent shiploads of art treasures and architectural monuments from major archaeological sites in Egypt and the Near East to Europe. The antiquities market thrived in Italian cities and in Paris, where noteworthy museum and private collectors from all over Europe bought or sold often entire collections on a wholesale basis. The National Antiquities Museum in Leiden, Holland, had its own agents, who assembled a world-class collection of Egyptian art by the mid-nineteenth century, when other major collections in Paris, London, Berlin, Florence, Turin, and Rome were also forming.

Universal expositions, a staple of the second half of the nineteenth century for exhibiting international commercial and industrial products, also showcased cultural and scientific achievements of the colonial powers. These nations protected their vested interests in Egyptian and Near Eastern antiquities through diplomatic channels. The French government "annually assigned archaeological, literary, or scientific missions to artists, scholars, residents or mere travelers," according to the Ministry for Public Education and the Fine Arts. The latest harvest of such missions since 1878 were on
view in a special exhibit in the Palais des Arts Libéraux at the 1889 Exposition Universelle in Paris. The frontispiece for the brochure [I.14] displays the multicultural array of exotic arts and architecture from around the world, including the palace facade of the Achaemenid king Darius behind the Nike of Samothrace in the center, and several statues of Gudea, the Babylonian ruler and builder, in the lower right.

Although the ancient lands of Egypt and the Near East continue to yield the material culture of bygone civilizations, including the forgotten Sumerians, by the 1880s innumerable sites had been not only excavated, but also dismantled and looted, largely for lucrative sales in the West. 

**Popular appeal**

Fortunately, the early explorers painstakingly recorded and illustrated the art and architecture, landscape and people, flora and fauna in encyclopedic folio editions and other publications, which European artists, such as those discussed here, consulted. Widespread coverage in periodicals kept the European public informed with illustrated reports of such explorations. The Europeans who made the discoveries were celebrities, who in addition to their scholarly publications wrote popular articles, novels, travelogues, biographies, and at least one stage work about the ancient Orient.

And thus, what was at first the province of antiquarians and philologists, became accessible to broad audiences and for private study. Amateurs and students took classes in the
religion, mythology, history, anthropology, archaeology, art, and architecture of ancient Egyptian and/or Near Eastern cultures at universities in England, France, Germany, and Italy. European and American men and women, scholars and travelers, toured the ancient sites. Since the 1870s, Baedeker published guides to Egypt and adjacent sites with articles by German Egyptologists, notably Carl Lepsius, who lectured on special tours in Egypt, such as the one Charles Blanc attended. He and other influential authors on aesthetics -- Owen Jones, Humbert de Superville, and Jan van Vloten (in Holland) -- discussed and illustrated ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern art, architecture, and decorative arts.

The appeal of ancient Oriental aesthetics:

An early champion of the pictorial qualities of Egyptian art was the German Benedictine monk-artist Father Desiderius Lenz. His aesthetic canon taught, at the School of Sacred Art at Beuron, Germany, sought nothing short of renewing ecclesiastical art based on ancient Egyptian art. Lenz wrote a treatise of his precepts in 1865, shortly after his profound experience of Egyptian art in museums in Munich and in Berlin.

He was not the first Catholic to consider Egyptian art of sacred significance for the Church. In his influential books, Anastasius Kircher, the preeminent seventeenth-century Jesuit authority on Egypt was the first to incorporate and expand the esoteric significance of Egyptian art and hieroglyphs in Catholicism; for he believed in the fundamental unity of a
"God-centred universe, dominated by a timeless emanation of divine truth, pervading it as an elementary dynamic force."

Although both Kircher and Lenz viewed Egyptian art as timeless and embodying universal truths, their interests were different. While Kircher was concerned with the symbolic meaning, Lenz studied the pictorial qualities of Egyptian art -- its elemental forms and musical nature. These conveyed the sacred and mystical beyond anything in Christian art, according to the monk who disparaged medieval and especially Gothic art as too sentimental. Lenz urged a return to a hieratic art made up of basic geometries, the building blocks he believed God used to create His universe. Architectonic by nature, the monumental art Lenz visualized would reduce ecclesiastic art to universal theological concepts acceptable to other religions besides Christianity. Despite accolades from Pope Leo XIII and Kaiser Wilhelm II and commissions in Germany, Italy, Belgium, and Prague, the Church opposed the publication of Lenz's cannon in toto.

Instead it appeared as a secular booklet in 1905, translated into French by the Nabi painter and confidant of Gauguin, Paul Sérusier, and with an introduction by Maurice Denis. By then, Lenz's aesthetic canon was already known among the Nabis in Paris and other artists working in Vienna, Munich, and Amsterdam, who were exposed to Desiderian art, either through Sérusier or Jan Verkade, a Dutch former Nabi and now Benedictine monk working with Lenz. Though some
criticized Desiderian art as too radical, cold, and geometric, others found it unrivaled in imparting the spirit of repose in God,¹⁷ "a character of eternity and immutability," and "an ascetic and contemplative sensation of God’s power."¹⁸

Lenz’s taste for the linearity, geometry and monumentality of Egyptian art as a model for utopian art is an important precedent for its similar appeal among the four artists of this dissertation. The idea of oneness and world unity is central to their work and is a fundamental reason for their use of ancient Oriental motifs in their images. Yet just as important are the differences between Lenz and the four artists. They were more inventive and had greater freedom to express the idiosyncrasies of their soul or psyche.

Whether spiritual or social, their invented myths and original styles fulfilled the promise of art presaged by Richard Wagner in 1880:

One might say that where Religion becomes artificial, it is reserved for art to save the spirit of religion by recognising the figurative value of the mythic symbols which the former would have us believe in their literal sense, and revealing their deep and hidden truth through an ideal presentation. Whilst the priest stakes everything on the religious allegories being accepted as matters of fact, the artist has no concern at all with such a thing, since he freely and openly gives out his work as his
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own invention.19

The new role of the artist Wagner described indicated a loss of faith in the Church and neo-religious stirrings at this time, which involved ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern archaeology in more than one way. For the unearthed cuneiform and hieroglyphic texts from the ancient Orient were finally decoded, bringing into question ancient history based on biblical and classical sources. Moreover, new chronologies proposed by archaeologists and geologists, together with Darwin's influential theory of evolution, undermined the already diminished credibility and influence of the Church.

Alternative explanations of the spiritual and physical evolution of humans proposed by revived occult and related doctrines used newly revealed archaeological material from the ancient Orient, for those primordial traditions were sacrosanct sources of esoteric wisdom. The internationally popular Theosophical Society, co-founded by the notorious Helena Blavatsky and affiliated writers, made ancient Egypt and the Near East germane to contemporary concerns. Edouard Schuré, the poet Gerald Massey, the anthroposophist Rudolf Steiner, and the architect H. J. M. Walenkamp were some of the intermediaries who influenced the thinking of the artists I discuss.

Another prominent personality in the fin-de-siècle occult movement was Sár Josephin Péladan, the infamous art critic, novelist, and founder of the Rosicrucian salon in Paris.
Péladan mixed Catholicism with Egyptian and Near Eastern symbolism and cultivated an Assyrian alter ego in his novels and in real life by giving himself the title Sâr. Not only did his aesthetic theories influence Albert Aurier’s criteria for Symbolist painting, but his writings were read in Dutch cultural circles before his 1892 lectures in The Hague and in Leiden. In Leiden he was a guest of H. P. Bremmer, the art advisor of the Kröller-Müller collection and early promoter of Mondrian and van der Leck. Toorop, DerKinderen, and Roland Horst became cult members and joined other international artists, including Khnopff and Jean Delville, in the hand-picked group exhibition at the first Rose & Croix salon of that same year. The Sâr also impressed Walenkamp, who joined the Dutch Theosophical Society in 1897.

Erudite and prolific, Blavatsky’s and Péladan’s fanciful synthesizes incorporates copious, if historically inaccurate, interdisciplinary information. Their books and lectures referred extensively to the esoteric wisdom of the Egyptians, Chaldeans, Aryans, Assyrians and Persians, citing supportive evidence discovered in Egypt and the Near East. Blavatsky titled her two famous books *Isis Unveiled: A Master-Key to the Mysteries of Ancient and Modern Science and Theology* (1877) and *The Secret Doctrine: The Synthesis of Science, Religion, and Philosophy* (1888), in keeping with the Society’s charter to encourage comparative studies in these fields. Her references to the expanding field of sciences added a
scholarly and progressive veneer to the arcane universal theories of Theosophy. The wording of Blavatsky's subtitles calls attention to the vogue for interdisciplinary and cross-cultural studies.

By 1874, Holland was among the earliest countries to have four university chairs for the comparative study of religion. Theosophy made inroads among progressive Dutch artists and architects by the 1890s. The "internationalist, pacifist, and socially progressive" message of Theosophy influenced reformist and utopian thought. These concerns were particularly topical in Holland, where artists and architects had debated the social and moral role of art for society since the 1880s in a revival of monumental art. The widespread appeal of Theosophy and related beliefs, most notably Rudolf Steiner's Anthroposophy, among the intelligensia appears to lie in its emphasis on personal enlightenment and insight into universal truths within each person, who must then contribute to spiritually advance humanity.

Although Gauguin merely pays lip service to the social purpose of art, and his work is essentially egocentric, social emphasis is crucial to the three Dutch artists and their interest in ancient Oriental art. For much of this art was inherently public: the depictions enveloped the interior and exterior of architectural monuments. To the list of commonly mentioned sources inspiring Dutch monumental or social
art, including Puvis de Chavannes, William Morris, and medieval art, should be added the art of the ancient Orient.

The selected works by Gauguin, Toorop, van der Leck, and Mondrian to be discussed in this dissertation reflect many old positive and negative myths about the ancient Orient. On the positive side are the received truths about the ancient Orient as primal, the cradle of civilization, the dawn of spiritual consciousness and wisdom; and on the negative side are pejorative stereotypes based on biblical and classical sources. On balance, however, the four selected artists valued ancient art as an antidote or counterbalance to Western decadence. In this sense, the formal inspiration takes on an unprecedented symbolic value that would recharge their utopian images with primal renewal.

The dissertation necessarily begins with Gauguin, the consummate myth-maker and co-creator of Synthetism, the new style known also as Symbolism. In many ways the other three fulfill Gauguin’s dream to free art. His new artistic direction emphasized the artifice of art as a construct of the mind instead of an imitation of nature, and he noted the abstract, cerebral qualities of Egyptian and Persian art as a suitable model for the new art. Many of Gauguin’s views on and use of the ancient art reverberate in the work of the other three artists. The Egyptian and Near Eastern components in works by Gauguin and the three Dutch artists contribute to the fundamental synthesis no less in conception than in execution.
Since Gauguin sowed the seeds of a new kind of Orientalism that bore fruit in the work of the other three artists, the chapter on him furnishes the contextual framework for the dissertation.

Hardly known today outside Holland, except among art historians, the subject of Chapter Two, Toorop, was in his heyday a prominent artist, the only Dutch member of the exclusive Belgian art circle "Les XX" in Brussels, and a harbinger of new artistic directions in the Netherlands, Germany and Austria. A gregarious man, prodigiously energetic, he traveled widely and established contacts with people in the arts. In Vienna he was a cult figure, as in Germany, and in France he was a friend of Maurice Denis\textsuperscript{27} and surely knew Sérusier. Thus Toorop was connected circuitously, to Gauguin, although the latter's relevance to him has not been examined. Toorop invited his foreign colleagues to cultural events and exhibitions at home and abroad, many of which he organized. He knew Mondrian from at least two artists' associations the former headed -- Moderne Kunstkring (1910) in Amsterdam and Walcheren Schilders (1911) in Domburg, where Mondrian periodically vacationed beginning in 1908.

Toorop promoted the arts with missionary zeal, believing in their spiritually uplifting potential for society and dedicating his research in art toward such an end. Although his solutions were unique, his views about the social mission of art and his desire to integrate art with architec-
ture reflect the monumental movement in Dutch art since mid-century that culminated in de Stijl. Chapter Two shows how Toorop's search for a spiritually expressive art, comprised of universal symbols and a linear style that was both musical and decorative on a monumental scale, made Egyptian art an important source of inspiration.

Chapter Three, on van der Leek, another artist not known well outside Holland, offers fresh material concerning the achievement of this artist. Van der Leek developed his distinctive style essentially by studying Egyptian and Mesopotamian art. These sources inspired him to make his own work more reductive, geometric, and architectonic. He abstracted scenes of daily life to a point beyond recognition, reducing the empirical world to geometric abstractions that suggest an ideal of universal equality.

Inherently reclusive and mainly supported by the Kröller-Müllers, van der Leek appeared out of nowhere, according to Mondrian, who had neither heard of him or seen his work before 1916. Their ensuing exchange of artistic ideas benefitted their respective work and the formative ideology of de Stijl. Although Mondrian admitted van der Leek's influence on him, details about their inspiration are controversial. Studying the effect of Egyptian and Mesopotamian art on van der Leek's work does, however, shed light on his artistic development relative to Mondrian's.

Chapter Four describes the importance of Egyptian
motifs for Mondrian, an icon of modernism. Mondrian's interest in ancient Egyptian art confirms the esoteric and autobiographical basis of his utopian ideals and his transition from figurative to abstract art. This chapter discusses the symbolic and pictorial significance of the ancient art for the condensed form and content of Mondrian's primary geometric color compositions, which his writings declare as equivalent relationships to essential universal truths. This chapter also addresses the elusive relevance of theosophy for the utopian content of his work and for the viewing public.

The four monographic chapters detail the Orientalism of each artist, leaving a discussion of the similarities and the differences in their approaches for the conclusion. Each chapter presents the research in more or less the same sequence of introductory comments, review of pertinent scholarship, information concerning the artist's opinion on, and sources for, ancient art, and stylistic and iconographic analysis of selected pictures. If the exclusive concern with ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern motifs creates a misleading impression that those sources were the most important for these artists, such an assumption would be contrary to the ecumenical spirit of their work. Rather, the goal of the dissertation is to report the nuances of ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern motifs in the work of these influential European artists, and to restore an overlooked interdisciplinary facet of art history.
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I. Introduction

Gauguin’s interest in the ancient Near East and Egypt is two-fold -- stylistic and thematic -- and it is part of an elaborate personal myth about himself and his mission as an artist. The Egyptian and Persian motifs are also part of a new expressive and spiritual art he advocated¹ that would free artists from the realistic conventions of the Academy. To him, academic art was a dead end, as spiritually impoverished as the Western culture that produced it, and salvation lay in a return to principle guided by the example of the primitives.²

Gauguin ranked Egyptian and Persian art among the highest examples of primitive art, not only because of their artistic merit, but also because of their purity and origin at the dawn of civilization. Such thinking conflates occult notions about Egypt and Persia as the oldest sources of sacred wisdom with the philosophical concepts of Jean-Jacques Rousseau about primitive man. Thus Egyptian and Persian motifs served a dual purpose -- as aesthetic models for anti-
naturalistic art and as symbolic evocations of renewal -- in Gauguin's work. During the course of my research, a pattern emerged in his use of "Oriental" motifs in several self-portraits that indicated a personal significance and an insightful way to study Gauguin's Orientalism.

Scholars note the stylistic influence of and thematic references to Egypt and the Near East in almost three dozen works by the artist, which will be reviewed in section II. But surprisingly few writers examine the symbolic value of the ancient motifs, which his comments in Section III reveal. Gauguin's alter egos as various "Orientals" in his writings and paintings and a few other works are the subject of Section IV.

II. Review of scholarship on ancient Near Eastern and Egyptian influences on Gauguin's art

Vincent van Gogh was perhaps the first to note Gauguin's preference for the Persians in 1888, by reporting his friend's reference to Study for Self-Portrait, Les Misérables 1888 [I.1] as Persian. To van Gogh, Gauguin's distinctive style in the self-portrait was derivative from the art in the Dieulafoy galleries in the Louvre, named after the famous couple who excavated pre-Islamic Achaemenid art in Susa, in south-west Iran.

A. The influence of Persian art

The influence of Persian art on Gauguin's art is noted by Fereshteh Daftari, who corrects Merete Bøndesen's
misidentification of the Achaemenid lion relief in the Louvre as Assyrian. Bodelsen links van Gogh’s remarks and these reliefs to the new stylistic feature -- the rendering of the human face and body as a sum of decorative expressive units -- in Study for Self-Portrait [I.1] and again in the drawing Crouching Tahitian Girl, c. 1892 [I.2].

Daftari indicates the influence of Persian ceramics on Gauguin’s work in clay, which in turn, as Bodelsen shows, influenced the artist’s new style -- cloisonism and synthetism -- in his two-dimensional work as well. Moreover, Achaemenid reliefs may have spurred Gauguin to introduce color in his relief sculpture, writes Daftari. However, other ancient polychromatic mural and decorative art from Babylon, Egypt, and Assyria may have served as models for the artist as well. And finally, Daftari proposes Near Eastern cylinder seals as a possible source for similar motifs and compositional arrangements in Hina Te Fatou, c. 1891-1893 (Gray 96) and Que sommes-nous?, c. 1897 (Gray 126).

Daftari’s study of Persian influences on Gauguin’s work does not sufficiently differentiate the pre-Islamic from subsequent Greek, Roman, and Islamic artistic eras in Iran, distinctions many Western readers may not be aware of. It is unclear, for example, whether the aforementioned Near Eastern seals from Persia are pre-Islamic or from the Greco-Roman period, and a similar problem applies to the noted references by Gauguin to Persian religions -- Zoroastrianism, Mithraism,
ple". Within this context, his interest in pre-Islamic ancient Near Eastern and Egyptian art is not just a question of style but integral to his concept of the primitive.

Given the scope of Gauguin’s Orientalism, this chapter on him is complementary to Daftari’s research. While she concludes her investigation on the mystery surrounding the identity of Vehbi Zunbul Zade/Mani, the purported author of a treatise on painting, with the comment: “It is not unlike Gauguin to have identified himself with a persecuted painter-prophet, and, in the guise of an exotic spiritual leader surrounded by his disciples, to have exposed his own theories of art...,” my dissertation explores Gauguin’s motives for choosing Mani over other personae and examines whether the Achaemenid-inspired style in Study for Self-Portrait has a symbolic value as well.

B. Works with Mesopotamian influences or themes

Gauguin identified the upper left-hand corner of the polychromatic wood relief Be in Love and You Will Be Happy, 1889 (Gray 79) [I.3] as "Babylon pourrie" in his letters. Jirat-Wasiutynski believes the reference serves to compare the decadence of the West with that of Babylon. Further analysis of this work reveals alternative interpretations with intriguing implications about Gauguin’s Orientalism, to be discussed in Section IV.

Ziva Amishai-Maisels attributes a Neo-Assyrian inspiration for the awkwardly clutched animal in Savage
29

(Oviri), c. 1895 (Gray 113) [I.4], citing the guardian figures [I.5] in the Louvre, from the palace of Sargon II, which Gauguin undoubtedly had seen. Believed to be a self-portrait, Savage represents the symbolic death of Gauguin's civilized self and his rebirth as a "savage" Maori. Although the sculpture would have supported my hypothesis about Near Eastern motifs and Gauguin's primitivism, the alleged Neo-Assyrian inspiration in Savage is too indistinctive for further consideration.

Jirat-Wasiutynski proposes another Mesopotamian inspiration for a work by Gauguin, claiming that the artist derived the round shape of Wood Cylinder with Christ on the Cross, c. 1896-97 (Gray 125) from the Stele of Hammurabi in the Louvre. This is unlikely, because Jacques de Morgan discovered the stele in 1901 and shipped it to the museum sometime thereafter.

C. Egyptian stylistic influences or thematic references

While five works by Gauguin reveal Persian and Mesopotamian influences, more than a dozen other images are noted for Egyptian influences. Barbara Landy and Vojtech Jirat-Wasiutynski are among the few to consider the iconographic significance of Gauguin's Egyptian motifs.

Gauguin knew Egyptian art and owned several reproductions of ancient reliefs, according to an anonymous source who reports Victor Segalen found several photographs of Egyptian wall paintings (and of other works of art) in 1938
among the artist's salvaged belongings in Tahiti. Only one photograph of guests at a banquet [I.6] from the painted tomb of the 18th Dynasty official Nebamun in Thebes (BM 37986) was reproduced in Bernard Dorival's article on the reproductions Gauguin owned. He notes this image as a source for the artist's painting The Market (Ta Matete), 1892 (W 476) [I.7] because of similarities in the gestures and the poses of the figures and also in the rhythmic organization of pictorial elements. Christopher Gray adds another reproduction of a Theban wall painting from the British Museum found among Gauguin's possessions, which the writer identifies as the source for motifs in a wood panel carving (Gray 123).

Barbara Landy observes the formal correspondences between Gauguin's ceramic pot c. 1888 (Gray 49) -- protruding ears and a swollen stomach belted by a snake biting its own tail -- with an Egyptian limestone statue of Bes in the Louvre. In addition to finding a Peruvian inspiration for the pot, she thinks that Gauguin adapted the caryatid figure of the Egyptian Bes for it, by using the feline tail, an attribute of Bes, as a handle. Furthermore, the writer suspects a symbolic purpose in Gauguin's choice of Bes. The fact that the face on the pot resembles Mme Schuffenecker, a former lover of Gauguin's whom he called a harpy, leads Landy to interpret the ceramic as either a grotesque caricature of Mme Schuffenecker or an expressive metaphor for temptation.

In support of her argument, Landy notes the artist's
reference to another (unidentified) pot as the "Cléopâtre pot" and that he was familiar with Barbey d'Aurevilly, *Le bonheur dans le Crime*, where the adulteress-heroine is compared to "la grande Isis noire du Musée Égyptien." Landy's observations show precedents linking Egyptian motifs to the theme of temptation, which recurs in Gauguin's *Self-Portrait with Halo*.

Richard Field adds to the list of works with Egyptian influence, including *Her Name Is Vaïräümati (Vaïräümati Tei Oa, (W 450) 1892 [I.9], and The Seed of the Areois (Te Aa no Areois, (W 451) 1892 [I.10]. He also disproves the Egyptian inspiration in *Mysterious Water*, (W 498) 1892 [and variations on the image in other media, such as a relief carving and works on paper] after discovering an identical image in a photograph of a Tahitian drinking water.

Although Field records other Egyptian art Gauguin may have seen or owned in reproduction, the information is insufficiently documented to be of use other than to suggest Gauguin's visual repertoire of such art was larger than the surviving evidence. Field's sensitive analysis of Egyptian influences on Gauguin's art is purely stylistic, which is surprising, because he considers the symbolic value of other non-Western sources in Gauguin's work, in relation to the artist's thoughts on primitivism, on Polynesian art and religion. Field's opinion that Egyptian art had no symbolic role in Gauguin's imaginary resurrection of Tahiti, "the central theme of [his] most important works in all media and the raison
d'être of *Noa Noa*,"27 needs to be reevaluated in view of contrary indications in three paintings: *Her Name Is Vaï raūmati*, *The Seed of the Areois*, and *The Market*.

In the exhibition catalogue *Gauguin and Exotic Art*, Field proposes additional works with Egyptian influence, including the enormous seated idol Hina in *Amusements (Arearea)*, 1892 (W 468), *Thanks to Hina (Hina Maruru)*, 1893 (W 500) and related images, such as the woodcut *Thanks (Maruru)*, 1894 (Guérin 23).«US 1»

28 Field attributes the walking figure, the fish, and the papyrus flower in the woodcut *L'univers est créé* (Guérin 25) to Egyptian painted pottery and murals.29 He also calls attention to Egyptian artistic traits in Gauguin’s illustration of Maori myths.

Amishai-Maisels observes Egyptian motifs in *Joan of Arc*, c. 1889 (W 329), *Ictus* c. 1889, *Parau Hina Tefatou (Words of Hina to Fatou)* 1892, *Last Supper* 1897, *Breton Calvary* 1898-1899 (Gu 68) [I.22], *Christmas Eve* 1902-1903 [I.23], and *Mother and Two Children with Cattle* 1900 [I.24].30 She notes the Theban painting *Cattle* [I.25] in the British Museum to be particularly important for the last three works she mentions, and another Theban painting of an unspecified hunting scene in the British Museum as a source for the figure of *Joan of Arc*. The famous Old Kingdom Red Scribe (N2290) in the Louvre is supposed to be a source for the watercolor *Ictus*, and the Theban painting of guests at a banquet [I.6] the one for the
gestures of Hina and Fatou in *Words of Hina to Fatou* [which Gauguin rendered in several versions and in different media]. Amishai-Maisels does not assign a symbolic role to the Egyptian motifs in her otherwise penetrating analysis of Gauguin’s religious themes and primitivism.

Among the first to note a link between Gauguin’s Egyptian style and spiritual themes is Jirat-Wasiutynski. He proposes a symbolic intention for the hieroglyphic style of *Self-Portrait with Halo*, 1889 [I.8] to portray Gauguin as a Magus, based on the perceived role of the artist as an initiate by his contemporaries and on the formal similarities with Egyptian art. Jirat-Wasiutynski’s hypothesis about Gauguin’s self-portrait needs to be reconciled with the conventional interpretation of the self-portrait as Lucifer, given the explicit symbols of temptation — the halo, the snake, apples. These issues and other considerations will be discussed in Section IV.

Some of the author’s comparisons with Egyptian art are problematic as well. In the absence of a specific Egyptian example or illustrated comparison for the long hair in Gauguin’s self-portrait, Jirat-Wasiutynski’s observation of the artist’s hair as being represented in the manner of a ceremonial wig of Egyptian kings and court officials is too vague to be substantiated. The Egyptians rendered hair as a black and often textured form, as in the painting of Hathor and Sethos I [I.16] from Thebes, New Kingdom, c. 1306-1290
Jirat-Wasiutynski’s other comparison of the short snake, with protruding tongue held by Gauguin, to the Egyptian hieroglyph for the pharaoh on the Old Kingdom Stele of Djet, Dynasty I, c. 3200-2980 B.C., in the Louvre, is unconvincing, because the museum only acquired it in 1904, the year after the artist died. The writer’s insight about the snake as a hieroglyphic sign, however, is important for another reason to be discussed in Section IV. And finally, the comparison of Gauguin’s unusually rendered hand to that of the goddess Hathor in [I.16] is also unpersuasive.

More plausible, however, is the Egyptian sculpture of the Old Kingdom official Nekthorheb in the Louvre that Jirat-Wasiutynski’s proposes as a source for the kneeling pose of Gauguin’s ceramic *Femme Noire*, 1889 (Gray 91).

At least thirty works by Gauguin are noted for Egyptian or ancient Near Eastern motifs, which is not surprising in view of the artist’s high regard for the Orient.

III. Documentation of Gauguin’s interest in ancient Oriental art and his notion of Primitivism

A. Copies of Persian and Egyptian Art.

Gauguin copied Persian and Egyptian motifs on two pages in the Album Walter at the Louvre. One shows a double-headed bull capital [I.12 a] from the Palace of Darius at Susa and a detail of the decorative folds around its eye. The capital had been brought to the Louvre [I.12b] and also appears on
the frontispiece of the *Exposition de 1889 Missions Archéologiques*... brochure [I.14]. At first glance, Gauguin’s profile rendering of the ear, horn, and eye, and frontal muzzle of the bull are curiously plant-like forms, whose loose arrangement on the page evokes a rebus.

On the back of this page, and probably chronologically later, he copied the image of an as yet unidentified Egyptian figure [I.12c]. A minimum of lines define the noble profile of a man, and the shading around the lips and nostril suggests that a sculpture rather than a painting was his point of departure.

B. Ancient Oriental art and Gauguin’s notion of Primitivism

Gauguin considered Egyptian, Persian, and Assyrian art as Oriental, and Oriental art as primitive art. For him, primitive art appears to be a catch-all for non-Western and pre-Renaissance art and an extension of the savage persona he cultivated for himself since 1887. On one level Gauguin’s primitivism was in reaction to “civilized” Europe and the Academy, which in his opinion reflected the decadence of Western society; but on a realistic level, his primitivism was a scrim, an escapist fantasy, and a denial of his alienation, lack of success, and impoverishment.

Nevertheless, Gauguin’s criticism of the Academy is not to be underestimated, as his repeated caustic remarks about academic painters indicate. He detested their realistic art, preferring instead to explore the expressive and evoca-
tive potential of line and color. Freeing painting from its academic failings became a cause he championed and wished posterity to remember him for.

Gauguin couched his mission in utopian terms, wishing to purify Western art and society with inspiration from the primitive. The notion of the purity of primitive man in contrast to the decadence of European society attests to the pervasiveness of Rousseau's influence in French thought. Gauguin, however, constructed his own mythic primitive, with inevitable inconsistencies. The culture of Brittany (whose inhabitants were Westerners like him), of the pre-Columbian Americas, the Orient, and Polynesia all served as "primitive" sources for Gauguin. He called Cézanne, whom he admired greatly, an Oriental from the Levant. And he thought that painters of ancient times were happy because they had no Academy. Which ancient painters did Gauguin mean, one wonders -- Egyptian, Assyrian, Babylonian, Persian, Greek, or Roman? Artists in all of these cultures followed prescribed aesthetic canons.

For Gauguin the term "primitive" meant primal, close to the origins, uncivilized, savage. In primitive art he found "sustenance and vital strength," and studying Egyptian art made him feel healthy, whereas Greek art disgusted him, gave him "a vague feeling of death without the hope of rebirth." The contrast implies that hope for rebirth was to be found in Egyptian art, an important point he reiterates several times.
Later, in Tahiti, Gauguin in effect used Egyptian art to portray Vaïraumati, the consort of the god Oro in Maori mythology, in the symbolic rebirth of the Maori.

Gauguin viewed Egyptian art as an ideal artistic model for reasons he explained in a speech to Symbolist writers who had gathered in his honor:

Primitive art proceeds from the soul and uses nature. So-called refined art proceeds from sensuality and serves nature. Nature is the servant of the former and the mistress of the latter. But the servant cannot forget her origin and degrades the artist by allowing him to adore her. This is how we have fallen into the abominable error of naturalism which began with the Greeks of Pericles. Since then the more or less great artists have been only those who in one way or another reacted against this error. But their reactions have been only awakenings of memory, gleams of common sense in a movement of decadence which... has lasted uninterrupted for centuries. Truth is to be found in purely cerebral art, that is primitive art - the most erudite of all - that of Egypt. There lies the principle. The only possible salvation from our present misery is a reasoned and frank return to principle. And this return is the necessary action to be accomplished by symbolism in poetry and in art.49

In other words, the main advantage of Egyptian art over naturalistic art lay in its expression of the imagination and not the simulation of the natural world. Created by the human psyche at the dawn of time, Egyptian art was closer to the truth and a model for salvation from the erroneous path of Western art. The necessary shift from Greek to Egyptian art as a return to principle was a message that the Symbolist writers in Gauguin’s audience endorsed.50

It is interesting to note the inaccuracies in Gauguin’s notion of Egypt as a primitive ideal. He distinguished Egyptian art from "the arts of fully developed civilizations" of
the West, for example, as if the Egyptian civilization was underdeveloped. For Gauguin, European academic art lacked merit and was repetitive, and he overlooked the fact that Egyptian art could be just as repetitive. In any event, he had changed his mind about Egyptian art by 1897, when he advised his painter friend Georges-Daniel de Monfreid always to look at the Persians and the Cambodians, "and to a small extent the Egyptians."52

Gauguin made many more statements about Egypt and its art than about Mesopotamian or Persian art. But his comments about Achaemenid Persian art are his only recorded comments about abstraction observed in a specific work of art. Impressed by the decorative abstraction of Achaemenid art, Gauguin assimilated it in a self-portrait for reasons proposed next.

IV. Ancient Near Eastern and Egyptian motifs in Gauguin's alter egos

A. The role of Pre-Islamic Persia in Gauguin's writing: The aesthetic treatise of Vehbi Zunbul Zadi/Mani

One of Gauguin’s earliest alter egos was an oriental painter–teacher, Vehbi Zunbul Zadi/Mani, through whom the artist voiced his own aesthetic principles in a handwritten text, "Tiré du livre des métiers de Vehbi-Zunbul-Zade. Ainsi parle Mani, le peintre donneur de préceptes", c. 1886.53

H.R. Rookmaaker notes analogies between this manuscript and Nietzsche’s Thus Spake Zarathustra, published in 1883.54 Indeed, Gauguin’s subtitle "Thus spake Mani" echoes
the book’s title; but, instead of Zarathustra, Gauguin chose another Persian prophet -- Mani. The historical Mani, known as Manes by the Greeks and Romans, was the founder of the Manichaean religion in pre-Islamic Persia and he was also famous as an artist. Born around A.D. 216 in Ctesiphon, Persia, he preached a new faith, synthesized from Gnosticism, Zoroastrianism, Christianity, and Marcionism, during the reign of the pre-Islamic Persian king Shapur I (A.D. 241-272) of the Sassanian dynasty. Although the Persian prophet rejected the Old Testament and parts of the New, he claimed to be the "Apostle of Christ" and a descendant of Buddha, Zoroaster, Hermes, and Plato. His lineage is the same as that of the initiates cited in books on the esoteric tradition, such as Edouard Schuré’s The Great Initiates.

The name switch from Zadi to Mani in Gauguin’s manuscript and the location of the story in the Levant, not in the Occident, make Gauguin’s autobiographical parable relevant to the artist’s interest in the pre-Islamic Near East. It is in character for Gauguin to think of art in spiritual terms and to present himself as a martyred messenger of a new art. He cast himself as Christ in works such as the ceramic Cup in the Form of a Head (c. 1887, Gray; 1889, Bodeisen) and the painted Self-Portrait as Christ in Gethsemane, 1889 (W 326).

Mani, who died a martyr around A.D. 276, was a suitable choice for Gauguin’s alter ego. For one, Mani was renowned as an artist as well as a prophet -- an appealing
combination for Gauguin -- and Mani's critical stance toward Christianity would also have appealed to the artist. Furthermore, the prophet's dualist philosophy about the realm of God -- spiritual enlightenment and light -- and that of Satan -- materialism and darkness -- brings to mind Gauguin's dual portrayal of himself as both saint and sinner.

The Manichaeans believed that a corrupt will came about from contact with matter, and this same notion is found in Gauguin's prescription for the new art. It must nourish the soul, he said, and must be freed from material reality; art was a matter of divine revelation and must not be burdened with the exact rendering of material reality. Gauguin advised his painter friend Schuffenecker that art was an abstraction and that one should extract "from nature while dreaming before it, and think more of the creation than of the result." This was "the only means of ascending toward God in doing as our Divine Master does, creating."

Abstraction, therefore, was for Gauguin an expression of divine art, and accordingly he chose a prophet to announce the new art. That he selected a Persian heretic rather than, say, Girolamo Savonarola or any other religious martyr, to be the messenger of the new art may reflect the artist's enchantment with ancient Persia, perhaps because of its legacy in the occult. This manifests itself again, I believe, in Study for Self-Portrait, Les Misérables [I.1].

B. The influence of Achaemenid art in Study for Self-
Portrait, Les Misérables

Not only did Gauguin present the harbinger of the new art in his treatise as a Persian, but the new style of the study in fig. [I.1] was also inspired by Persian art. He explained to van Gogh and Schuffenecker that he had depicted himself as Jean Valjean, the persecuted protagonist in Victor Hugo’s novel Les Misérables. Amishai-Maisels, however, believes that the artist conflated his growing identification with Christ and that of Jean Valjean in the Self-Portrait, Les Misérables. While this may be true in the painting, in the study [I.1] he may have initially merged the characters of Jean Valjean and Mani. If, as Amishai-Maisels argues, Gauguin chose Christ as an alter ego under the influence of van Gogh, who had selected the Eastern alter ego of a Buddhist monk for his, then it seems that Gauguin would have chosen a figure more exotic than Christ. Mani seems a likely candidate: he was Oriental and a martyr, a saint and a sinner, and Gauguin had already used him as an alter-ego messenger of the new art in his above-mentioned treatise.

Stylistic details in the sketch for the self-portrait and Gauguin’s comments about it to his friends tend to support this hypothesis about the conflated identities of Jean Valjean and Mani as the artist’s alter ego in the sketch [I.1]. In letters to friends, Gauguin writes that he depicted himself as Jean Valjean and that the fictive character’s plight as an outcast reminded Gauguin of his own as an
Impressionist painter; he also calls attention to the new stylistic feature -- "complete abstraction" in his words -- around the nose and eyes. "The design around the eyes and nose resembling floral patterns of Persian carpets recapitulate an abstract and symbolic art."  

Moreover, thick, adjoining eyebrows are a common Persian feature, and would have been appropriate for envisaging Mani. If he was representing himself as Mani, then the Persian-inspired style was appropriate for the meaning of the image as an artist struggling outside the academic mainstream; lastly, the non-Western inspiration suited his preferred identity as a primitive.

Although he likened the stylization around the eyes to Persian carpets, actually Gauguin had borrowed the floral pattern from the newly installed lion friezes from the palace of Darius I at the Louvre [I.11]. Gauguin was most impressed by the decorative abstraction of these reliefs:

Why real roses, real leaves? What poetry is evoked by decoration... It requires a tremendous imagination to decorate any surface tastefully and an art more abstract than the servile imitation of nature. Examine closely the bas-reliefs of the lions in the Dieulafoy galleries at the Louvre. I maintain that enormous genius was required to imagine flowers that are the muscles of animals or muscles that are flowers. All of the mystic and dreamy Orient is to be found in them.

It is remarkable how many key words in the quoted passage (my emphasis in bold print) are the ones Gauguin used to communicate his new artistic direction to his friends; they are, I think, a measure of how closely Achaemenid art came to his
ideal.  

Gauguin had studied the decorative verve of this ancient art closely, as indicated in his two copies of patterns around the eye of the double-headed bull capital from Susa [I.12a]. But exactly when he could have sketched these Persian works is uncertain. Neither he nor van Gogh were in Paris when the Dieulafoy galleries opened to the public in the summer of 1888. Gauguin spent most of that year in Brittany, and was then in Arles with van Gogh, yet the latter was quite specific when he identified the source in the Dieulafoy galleries for Gauguin’s self-portrait.

Gauguin probably heard about the Dieulafoy excavation in Persia when the cargo first arrived in Paris in 1886, and he may have seen the elaborate engraving in L’Illustration [I.13]. While this engraving shows the floral design of the lion’s eye that Gauguin liked so much, most of the other details, including the lion’s stunning tail, are inaccurate when compared to the original lions [I.11]. The article accompanying the illustration referred to ancient Persia as "primitive" and described Dieulafoy’s expedition as a search for the origins of the decorative arts. In view of Gauguin’s concern with things ancient, Persian, and decorative, he would have noticed the article after his return to Paris from Brittany.

While the sketch for his self-portrait [I.1] marks a new stylistic direction, it was an extension of his experi-
ments with Émile Bernard that led to synthetism or cloisonism a few months earlier in Pont-Aven. However, even at this point Bernard reported that Egyptian, Assyrian, and Gothic art were models for their new art:

It would be the art of our times by virtue of its simplicity....It concerned the reconstruction of a system, a style, like that of the Gothic, the Egyptians, the Assyrians; a type of modern hieratism, adaptable to our architecture and our homes. 76

Clearly ancient Near Eastern and Egyptian art inspired novel ways to use color, line, space, and compositional arrangement, in addition to the regularly cited sources in Japanese and Medieval art.

The new art -- called Synthetism, Idéist, or Symbolist -- was unofficially on view on the grounds of the 1889 Exposition Universelle in the exhibition "Groupe Impressionniste et Synthétiste" at the Café Volpini. 77 A model of the facade of the Achaemenid palace of Susa, Persia, was featured at the Exposition Universelle in an ethnographic and anthropological display of treasures discovered by French explorers. 78 Highlights of this display were depicted in the frontispiece of an exhibition pamphlet [I.14], which shows the palace of Darius in the center, behind the Victory of Samothrace. Perhaps it was this exhibit that inspired Gauguin to write admiringly about the Louvre Achaemenid lions in his review of the 1889 Exposition Universelle. 79

In sum, Gauguin probably saw the illustration of the lion relief in L’Illustration sometime between 30 October 1886
and 8 October 1888, the date of the self-portrait. Sometime after he returned from Arles in 8 May 1889, he saw the original lion relief in the Louvre, which he reviewed in 4 July 1889.

Gauguin’s review also presented for the first time in print his new sensibility in painting and poetry. The Symbolist poets-cum-critics proclaimed him the leading Symbolist painter, because some, such as Albert Aurier, thought along the same lines as Gauguin. In Aurier’s 1891 manifesto for Symbolist painting, he distinguished Symbolism from Impressionism and Realism, and presented five guidelines for the new art, and upheld Egyptian art as an ideal, as Gauguin had done in the previous year. The new art, according to Aurier, was idéiste — concerned with truth to ideas as opposed to truth to nature; symboliste — concerned with ideas expressed by symbolic forms rather than illusionistic representations; synthétique — based on artificial synthesis of plastic elements; subjective — dealing with expressive rather than mimetic forms; and décorative — depending on non-illusionistic compositions in the manner of the art of “the Egyptians and quite probably the Greeks and the Primitives.”

For Aurier the decorative nature and abstract sign language of the new art revealed the primordial idea of an object as in a sublime alphabet of ideographic writing, which he likened to Egyptian hieroglyphs. Idéiste art, he maintained, had to be justified with abstract and complex argu-
ments to "our decadent civilization," because the latter had forgotten all the initial revelation. He valued the new art as authentic and absolute, because it was identical to primitive art, which "was divined by the instinctive geniuses of humanity's first ages."82

The parallel views of Aurier and Gauguin are worth noting for insights about the reception of Egyptian art at the turn of the century. Both viewed Egyptian art as primitive art and as a model for the new art, preferring its anti-naturalistic qualities to realistic art, which they renounced as decadent; and both thought that salvation lay in a return to truth and divine revelation embodied in primitive works of art.

Despite all the discussions about the ideal model of Egyptian art, it is noteworthy that the abstract patterns of Achaemenid art inspired Gauguin before any Egyptian sources. And he considered abstraction a release from material reality and a means of creating spiritual art. Therefore, by casting himself as the painter-priest Mani, he assumed the persona of a divinely inspired Oriental initiate with the pure knowledge of "humanity's first ages." Gauguin in the guise of Mani in Study for Self-Portrait, Les Misérables, would have represented himself as a Persian, a primitive, a prophet of a new spirituality, an outlawed martyr, and an artist. If this hypothesis is true, it would make Gauguin's Persian-inspired new style not only innovative, but symbolically relevant to
the picture as well.


The following year, Gauguin alluded to the Orientalist myth of Babylonian decadence in another ingenious self-portrait, a polychrome wood relief *Be in Love and You Will Be Happy*, 1889 [I.3]. Gauguin identified the upper left area as Babylon and the upper right corner as the lair of a lying seducer, and in the center he located the woman he desired. The artist further explained that the fox represents perversity and that the other figures admonish the woman not to believe the demon’s luring advice: "Be in love and you will be happy."83

Nude and reclining women appear in the area of Babylon; the head of a brunette, which may or may not belong to the reclining figure, breaks the boundary line and looks toward the large nude in the middle area. Her hand is pulled into Babylon by the demon, who reaches beyond the frame of the right compartment into the one on the left; his left thumb dangles from his mouth and his hand extends into the center area, pointing toward the large nude. Gauguin signed his name on the traversal directly beneath the mask-like face with a broken nose, which identifies the demon as a self-portrait.

Thus Gauguin’s alter ego in *Be in Love and You Will Be Happy* is a demon of temptation and the scene of seduction is Babylon. Of all the places in the world, Babylon would be
a peculiar choice were it not for its pejorative Biblical reputation as a city of sin and decadence:

And upon her forehead was a name written, MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH.
And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints, and with the blood of the martyrs of Jesus.84

In Gauguin's wood relief, it is the demon who is tempting the woman and not the other way around. Perhaps the artist invoked Babylon to create his "own little Sardanapalus",85 conflating two popularly represented Orientalist fantasies about sexual excess, violence, and death in that part of the world. Whatever the specific reasons were, the allusion to "decadent Babylon" appears to pertain to the persona of the artist as a sinner.

The risqué subject and brazen presentation of Be in Love and You Will Be Happy have been compared to Manet's Olympia and Delacroix's Death of Sardanapulus, but the disjunctive narrative and compositional structure of this wood relief, which Gauguin favored as one of his best sculptures, suggest Egyptian and Persian precedents. The tripartite composition in Gauguin's work corresponds to a similar one in the Egyptian 3rd Intermediary Period painted object stele of a priest playing a harp, c. 1070-712 [I.15], in the Louvre since 1826. Both compositions depict unattached body parts in the two small areas at the top and place an inscription above the large central area with two figures; their bodies bracket the composition with the larger of the two in the left corner,
carefully aligned within the rectilinear border; symbolic animals and stylized flowers represent additional similarities.

But there are also major differences between Gauguin's work and the Egyptian image. In the former, the figures are more naturalistic. Gauguin modeled and foreshortened the bodies and posed them in three-quarter views, unlike the flattened, angular figures in the Egyptian painting. Furthermore, Gauguin's composition is crowded and chaotic, while the Egyptian painting is ordered.

*Be in Love and You Will Be Happy* displays other features in common with ancient Egyptian and Persian mural art, such as the use of color on the wood-relief, though with a different expressive intent.\(^8\) And finally, the leaf-like patterns on the knuckles of the large woman's left hand bring to mind Gauguin comments about the decorative Achaemenid lion reliefs in the Louvre. Even the disregard for naturalistic scale of the figures may have been reinforced by examples in ancient Egyptian or Near Eastern art, although this quality exists in many other sources as well.

The demon persona of Gauguin in *Be in Love and You Will Be Happy* is unusually unredeemed compared to his other Orientalist alter egos. Perhaps he offset his indulgence in this picture by his divine persona in *Self-Portrait as Christ in Gethsemane*, 1889; a sketch of the painting appears below the one for the wood relief composition [I.17] in his letter
to Vincent. Of the two works, the sculpture is by far the more innovative. Because Gauguin recycled an Orientalist myth but in a novel context and in an original style, also inspired by Persian and Egyptian sources, the wood relief is a notable example of the new Orientalism.

D. **Egyptian stylistic influences in Gauguin's paintings**

1. **Self-Portrait with Halo, 1889 (W 323)**

   Ancient Egyptian motifs in the unusual style and iconography of this painted self-portrait [I.8] suggest a persona for Gauguin as both initiate and devil. The allusion to Gauguin exists not only in the face, but also in the snake hieroglyph he holds in front of him. It resembles the cobra rather than the horned viper in Egyptian hieroglyphs encircled in fig. [I.18]. The dark curves of the rearing snake in the self-portrait echo the "G" in the artist's prominent initials on the left, juxtaposing alphabetic and hieroglyphic forms.

   Gauguin's disembodied head and hand may have been inspired by two other hieroglyphs -- of a face and a hand -- which admittedly are not identical to their counterpart in Egyptian hieroglyphs but close enough to show similar underlying concepts. Two hieroglyphs of such faces appear near the crown of the goddess Hathor [I.16] and a cobra hieroglyph is on the far right above the head of Sethos. The hand hieroglyph in this picture resembles the shape of Gauguin's hand in **Self-Portrait with Halo** more so than the Egyptian way of rendering
hands in their mural art. But the hand hieroglyph is written
usually horizontally, unlike the vertical position of
Gauguin’s hand in the picture. Despite these minor differences
between the self-portrait and hieroglyphic texts, an underly-
ing compositional similarity exists in the arrangement of dis-
connected abstract and naturalistic signs afloat on a flat
upright plane.

Gauguin’s simulation of hieroglyphs would not have
been lost on his circle of friends and acquaintances.91 He
painted the self-portrait in the company of artist-disciples
and admirers,92 many of whom were familiar with the occult to
varying degrees. Among them, Sérusier idolized Gauguin, as
his mentor and prophet of new artistic revelations, and
founded the Nabiim -- a Hebrew word for prophets -- a group
of artists inspired by Gauguin’s concepts.

Aurier recognized Gauguin’s new style as
hieroglyphic and ascribed special powers to the artist,

the man who, because of his innate genius and acquired
virtues, can in the face of nature read the abstract sig-
nificance, the primordial and underlying idea of every
object, the man who, by his intelligence and his skill,
knows how to use objects as a sublime alphabet to express
the Ideas that are revealed to him, would he truly be the
Artist? Is he not, rather, a genuine scholar, a supreme for-
mulator who knows to write Ideas in the manner of a math-
ematician? Is he not some kind of algebraist of Ideas,
and is his work not a marvelous equation, or rather a
page of ideographic writing that recalls the hieroglyphic
texts of obelisks from ancient Egypt?93

The words and phrases (in bold print is my emphasis) of
Aurier’s exalted comments tacitly acknowledge Gauguin as an
initiate and his art as divine revelation.

Aurier called Gauguin’s new styleécriture du signe and stressed its paradigmatic shift from representing the material, exoteric world to expressing the absolute, esoteric reality -- the symbolic Idea -- of worldly objects.94 His choice of words -- "ideographic writing" instead of "pictographic writing" -- and his tendency to capitalize "ideas" underscore the "idéiste" or conceptual basis of the new art instead of the facsimile reproduction of objective reality.

Gauguin had already pondered the potential of art as language in 1885,95 which is particularly close and often combined in the art and writing of ancient Egypt. It is likely that Gauguin found the Egyptian pictographic forms instructive for him to combine art and language96 and further his research in abstraction.

But there still remains the problem of reconciling the proposed identity of Gauguin as initiate with that of him as devil. Given the esoteric context, Hermes seems to be a perfect candidate for Gauguin’s alter ego as both saint and sinner. By the sixteenth century the mythic stature of Hermes had grown to such an extent that he was thought to have existed as a real person, a priest or a prophet as ancient as Moses.97 The Greeks identified him with Thoth, the Egyptian God of wisdom and inventor of hieroglyphs, but he also came to be known as Hermes Trismegistus or Mercurius.

Spiritualists in the esoteric tradition revered
Hermes as the initiate from Egypt, but Christians feared him as an accomplice of the devil. St. Augustine, for one, called him the confederate of the Devil because of his supposed authorship of a text on magic and for advocating idolatry. Since classical times, Hermes was thought to have authored the practical Hermetica, concerning astrology, magic, and the occult sciences, and the philosophical Hermetica, regarding the creation of the world, matters of the soul, and Egyptian religion. The unquestioned Egyptian pedigree of Hermes validated the Hermetic tradition as authentic, pure, and close to original truth, and Hermes as one of the two ancient sources of arcane wisdom.98

Both the controversial reputation of Hermes and his Egyptian pedigree would have appealed to Gauguin as an alter ego, and he would have learned about Hermes from his symbolist friends and Sérisier. The date of this self-portrait is also the year Schuré’s influential book, The Great Initiates, was published, presenting Hermes as the initiate from Egypt.99 If Gauguin were indeed presenting himself as Hermes Trismegistus, then the Egyptian references in the style and subject-matter would once again be integrated. For to present himself as Hermes, the divine messenger and formulator of pictographic writing, would accord with Gauguin’s perceived role as the visionary of the new spiritual art and inventor of a new ideographic expression.

2. Her Name is Vaïraûmati(Vaïraûmati tei oa)
Hermes as the initiate from Egypt, but Christians feared him as an accomplice of the devil. St. Augustine, for one, called him the confederate of the Devil because of his supposed authorship of a text on magic and for advocating idolatry. Since classical times, Hermes was thought to have authored the practical Hermetica, concerning astrology, magic, and the occult sciences, and the philosophical Hermetica, regarding the creation of the world, matters of the soul, and Egyptian religion. The unquestioned Egyptian pedigree of Hermes validated the Hermetic tradition as authentic, pure, and close to original truth, and Hermes as one of the two ancient sources of arcane wisdom. 98

Both the controversial reputation of Hermes and his Egyptian pedigree would have appealed to Gauguin as an alter ego, and he would have learned about Hermes from his symbolist friends and Sérusier. The date of this self-portrait is also the year Schuré’s influential book, The Great Initiates, was published, presenting Hermes as the initiate from Egypt. 99 If Gauguin were indeed presenting himself as Hermes Trismegistus, then the Egyptian references in the style and subject-matter would once again be integrated. For to present himself as Hermes, the divine messenger and formulator of pictographic writing, would accord with Gauguin’s perceived role as the visionary of the new spiritual art and inventor of a new ideographic expression.

2. Her Name is Vaïraúmati (Vaïraúmati tei oe)
The myth of the primordial purity of ancient Egypt also pertains to the symbolic role of the Egyptian-inspired features in Gauguin's Tahitian paintings from 1892, such as The Market, Her Name is Vairaümati and The Seed of the Areois. Although these hieratic works show the artist's attempts to come to grips with primitivism, according to Field, "they did not attempt the complete integration of meaning, form and nature..." 101

On the contrary, the esoteric content of these works reveals Gauguin's careful synthesis of meaning, form, and nature to depict the "primitive" Maori of his imagination. Disappointed at finding neither the primitive Maori nor the unspoiled paradise he had hoped to encounter in Tahiti, 102 Gauguin compensated for the tarnished reality by reinventing the Maori in his art. 103 The Market represents a scene from daily life, and the other two paintings, Her Name is Vairaümati and The Seed of the Areois, are based on the foundation myth of the Maori.

Symbolically linking the Maori to the ancient Egyptians may seem far-fetched today, but it was proposed by others in the nineteenth century. Gauguin recounted the story
of Oro and Vaïraŭmati in his illustrated manuscript on Polynesian mythology, "Ancien Culte Mahorie" (c. 1893), and he retold it in his autobiographical book Noa Noa, where he credited Tehura, his thirteen-year-old wife, as his source on Tahitian theology. Actually Gauguin’s chief reference was J.A. Moerenhout’s Voyages aux îles du Grand Océan (1837), whose Maori text and French translation of the Marquesan cosmogeny Gauguin copied verbatim into "Ancien Culte Mahorie". The artist omitted Moerenhout’s description of the secret cult of the Areois as "an initiation into the mysteries of the god named Oro," which he likened to the secret sects of "the Eleusian mysteries in Greece and of Sais in Egypt (the mysteries of Ceres and Isis)." Another nineteenth-century author, Gerald Massey, a British theosophist and poet, proclaimed Egypt as the birthplace of world myths, mysteries, symbols, religion and languages, including those of "the Hebrew, Akkado-Assyrian and Maori" in A Book of the Beginnings.

These authors may have reinforced, if not inspired, Gauguin’s decision to depict the renewal of the Areois in Her Name is Vaïraŭmati and The Seed of the Areois in an Egyptian style. In addition to linking Vaïraŭmati, the progenitor of the Areois, visually and symbolically to ancient Egypt, Gauguin implicitly connected Oro to himself and thereby indirectly to Toth/Hermes.

Gauguin explained in Noa Noa, for example, that Oro
was looking for a wife to create a superior race, a claim that Moerenhout never made in his book. Although the Areois were the most privileged in Maori society, the fictional detail reflects Gauguin’s project to resurrect in his art the pre-colonial primitive Maori, who lived harmoniously in beautiful, unspoiled Tahiti. Furthermore, William Rubin notes that the story of Oro’s love for the beautiful young Vaïraûmati in Noa Noa echoes an earlier passage, where Gauguin described his own infatuation with his attractive teenage wife Tehura. It is Tehura’s face, Rubin notes, that Gauguin portrayed as Vaï-raûmati’s in The Seed of the Areois. The implication, according to Rubin, is that Gauguin was casting himself as Oro. Now, it seems, Gauguin’s divine alter egos -- Mani, Christ, and Thoth-Hermes -- culminate in Oro, and once again the stylistic inspiration contributes to the symbolic synthesis of the image.

By Gauguin’s own admission to Sérusier, discovering Maori myths in Moerenhout’s book overstimulated his imagination:

What religion the ancient oceanic religion. What wonder! It is overworking my mind and all the possibilities will be certainly frightening. If one doubted my old works in a living room, what will they say about the new ones. Accompanying his enthusiastic report was a sketch for Vaï-raûmati [I.19], the central figure in Her Name is Vaïraûmati and The Seed of the Areois, which is clearly Egyptian and
adapted from the Theban banquet scene [I.6], which Gauguin owned in reproduction in Tahiti.

The sketch is identical to *Her Name is Vaïraûmati*, except for the unidentified man behind her; in both sketch and painting, Gauguin portrayed Vaïraûmati in an ordinary setting, smoking a cigarette in front of a hut and a marquesan-inspired sculpture. The prosaic subject of a young girl smoking outdoors is similar to the women chatting in *The Market*, in contrast to ceremonial theme and iconic nature of *The Seed of the Areois*.

Although Vaïraûmati is in a virtually identical pose in *The Seed of the Areois*, Gauguin eliminated the hut, the sculpture, and the man behind her in the earlier painting, and instead placed her in an open, uninhabited, lush landscape. Her face is now in three-quarter view and her proportions are elongated. Replacing the cigarette in her hand is a germinating kernel, an allusion to the new life she bears within and the regeneration of the revered Areois.

Such a symbolic young nude in a similar pose and setting brings to mind Puvis de Chavannes’s painting *Hope*, 1872 [I.20]. While it is tempting to consider *Hope* as Gauguin’s point of departure for the Vaïraûmati paintings, he may well have begun with an Egyptian-inspired figure from the outset and then added details from Puvis’ painting, such as the position of the feet, the cloth-covered mound in an open landscape.
The Vairāumati figure is mostly inspired by Egyptian rather than Puvis' art. Unlike the relaxed pose of Hope, where the young woman leans on one arm, Vairāumati sits erect with her shoulders, back, leg, and arms axially aligned. While the figure in Hope holds her arms away from her body, Vairāumati presses hers against her body. Her hands are also rendered in an Egyptian manner: Puvis painted the thumb of the resting hand proportionate to the rest of fingers, while Gauguin drew the black crease of what would normally be the thumb too short, as if it were the little finger. The Egyptians often drew one view for both hands, as in the female mourners [1.21], rather than separate views for each hand; they displaced the thumb and the last digit. Black contours accentuate the rectilinear configuration of Vairāumati and even the sprout held by Vairāumati in The Seed of the Areois may be compared to Egyptian precedents, such as the Theban banqueting scene [I.6], where the women hold lotus blossoms. Furthermore, the planar construction of Vairāumati's shifting frontal and profile views and the parallel relationship of her body to the picture plane is in stark contrast to the volumetric modeling and spiraling movement of Puvis' figure.

In the end, Gauguin's personification of hope is a synthesis of Western and non-Western sources in that Vairāumati is at once evocative of an archetypal Egyptian figure and of a Western allegorical nude in a landscape. While the Egyptian figurative convention enabled Gauguin to fashion a
decorative composition, the Egyptian style may have an additional significance of linking Vaïraumati with Isis.

As extraordinary as this linkage may seem, it reflects the monism in Moerenhout’s and Massey’s theories that connect, in one way or another, the Areois and the Maori to ancient Egypt. In this context, and given Vaïraumati’s pose, she is reminiscent of seated images of Isis, an allusion that makes even more sense as mothers of divine offspring -- the Horus child and the Areois. For that matter, the comparison may be extended to the Virgin Mary,\textsuperscript{115} because the occult emphasizes the interrelatedness and cyclical nature of life through reincarnation.

Other pictures with religious themes by Gauguin cast Mary as a Maori without evoking ancient Egypt, and later works with Christian subject-matter, such as *Breton Calvary* 1898-1899 (Guérin 68) [I.22] and *Christmas Eve* 1902-1903 (W. 519) [I.23] and *Mother and two Children with Cattle* 1900 (Guérin 23) [I.24], incorporate Egyptian motifs without portraying the Maori.

V. Conclusion

Although Gauguin shows a predilection for New Kingdom art in Egypt and Achaemenid art in Persia, these sources were part of a larger synthesis of other exotic sources, including Japanese, Javanese, Peruvian art and Western art. However, the Egyptian and Persian sources appear to have a special significance for him as revealed by the
self-portraits analyzed.

Except for *Be in Love and You will be Happy*, the Oriental motifs in Gauguin's work symbolize renewal -- both spiritual and artistic -- as epitomized by the imaginary resurrection of the elite untainted Maori through the intervention of the artist's divine surrogate Oro. The Egyptian influence in *The Market* imparts dignity to the Maori in a quotidian scene and represents the Maori as they used to be long ago, according to Gerald Massey -- akin to the ancient Egyptians.

The idea of renewal by invoking ancient Egypt is also implicit in some of Gauguin's images with Christian themes -- *Breton Calvary* [I.22], *Christmas Eve* [I.23], and *Mother and two Children with Cattle* [I.24] -- since these works date from the time he wrote his diatribe against the Catholic Church. But unlike his self-portraits, these works use Egyptian motifs less ingeniously.

Gauguin's view of Egyptian art as primitive and important for the rebirth of art is an old Orientalist myth Giorgio Vasari mentioned in his book *Lives of Artists*. Gauguin recycled this and related myths about Persia and Babylon in daring new images, whose pictorial inspiration may be traced to ancient Egyptian and Persian sources as well, and made them significant in his theories on abstraction. The combination of old myths and new aesthetic inspirations produced an innovative synthesis and a new departure in the ancient
tradition of Orientalism.
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Examinez attentivement au Louvre, dans la galerie Dieulafoy [sic], les bas-reliefs des Lions. Je prétends qu'il a fallu un immense génie pour imaginer des fleurs qui soient des muscles d'animaux ou des muscles qui soient des fleurs. Tout l'Orient mystique rêveur se retrouve là-dedans." Gauguin, "l'Exposition Universelle", 86.

69 For a discussion of the significance of these words for Gauguin and synthetist art see Rookmaaker, Synthetist Art. These words recur in Aurier's article on Gauguin; Albert Aurier, "Le Symbolisme en Peinture: Paul Gauguin" Mercure de France (March 1891), 155-165.

70 Daftari notes Gauguin's interest in the Achaemenid monuments not only as a painter but also as a ceramicist. Daftari, "Persian Influences," 80-81.

71 Album Walter is thought to contain Gauguin's drawings from c. 1885-1887, but the date of the sketchbook ought to be extended to 1888-1889, because of his copies of the Achaemenid capital. The Dieulafoy galleries were not on public view until the inauguration in June 1888, and Gauguin only came back to Paris around Christmas of that year.


73 The enthusiastic report announcing the arrival of the cargo drew attention to the enormous dimension and unusual coloring of the lion frieze. P. Laurencin, "La mission de

The reporter praised the collection as "precious not for its monetary value...but for its archeological interest and the light that it throws on some controversial historical points and the idea that it gives of what decoratif art used to be like." P. Laurencin, "La mission de Suziane," L'Illustration (October 30, 1886), 284-285, 296.

The dates of Gauguin's letters to Mette from Pont-Aven, c. October 1886, and to Félix Bracquemond from Paris, c. end of October 1886 indicate his approximate whereabouts at that time; Merlhès, Correspondance, 140-141.

"Ce serait l'art de notre temps par sa simplicité même....Il s'agissait de réconstruire un système, un style, comme en eurent les Gothiques, les Egyptiens, les Assyriens; sorte d'hiératisme moderne, adaptable à notre architecture et à nos demeures." Emile Bernard "L'Ecole Symboliste ou Synthétique," L'Occident, 1902, reprinted in Émile Bernard, Souvenirs inédits sur l'artiste peintre Paul Gauguin et ses compagnons lors de leur séjour à Pont-Aven et au Pouldu (L'Orient, n.d. [1939]), 11-12.


Bernard felt cheated that Gauguin was getting all the credit, since it was he Bernard who had introduced Gauguin to the idea of cloisonnisme, and had arranged the meetings with Paul Sérusier and Albert Aurier, the symbolist critic, Bernard, Souvenirs, 10-12. "Au banquet donné à Jean Moréas j'eus le plaisir de voir réussir mon idée. Paul Gauguin fut acclamé chef de l'Ecole picturale symboliste." Emile Bernard, "Note sur l'Ecole dite de Pont-Aven," Mercure de France (Dec. 1903), 681. Later in Tahiti, Gauguin changed his mind about Symbolism and disassociated himself from it. Gauguin's letter to Daniel de Monfreid, November 1901, Gauguin à Monfreid, 185.

82 Ibid., 163-164.

83 Gauguin’s letter to Vincent van Gogh, c. 8 November 1889, Gauguin, 45 Lettres, 285-287; and another letter from Gauguin to Théo van Gogh, c. 20 or 21 November 1889, Ibid., 161-163.

84 Book of Revelation 17:5-6.

85 He wrote this comment years later in Tahiti; Gauguin, Intimate Journals, 87. Gauguin’s metaphorical reference to Sardanapalus recycled another Orientalist myth about the sexual decadence of the ancient Near East; this one was not Biblical in origin, as was the stereotype of Babylon, but classical. Ctesias, a fifth-century B.C. Greek historian and physician who lived in the Persian court of Artaxerxes, mentioned Sardanapalus in his book Persica. He described an Assyrian king, who, when besieged by the Medes, set fire to his palace in Nineveh, and burned himself and his courtiers to death. No Assyrian king by that name is known historically; some have identified him as Assurbanipal, while others think that Sardanapalus may have been invented by Ctesias. Over the centuries, in art, music, and literature, the myth of Sardanapalus came to represent debauchery. He was particularly appealing to nineteenth-century Romantics; Lord Byron’s 1821 play Sardanapalus inspired Delacroix to paint what is a favorite Orientalist fantasy of sex and violence.

86 Gauguin’s letter to Vincent van Gogh, c. 8 November, 1889, Gauguin, 45 Lettres, 285-287.

87 Gauguin’s letter to Vincent van Gogh, 8 November 1889; ibid., 285-287.

88 Vojtech Jirat-Wasiutynski, "Paul Gauguin’s ‘Self-Portrait with Halo and Snake.’ The Artist as Initiate and Magus." Art Journal 46 (Spring 1987), 22-23. The author’s examples, meant to illustrate that according to Gauguin’s contemporaries -- Albert Aurier, Émile Schuffenecker, and Odilon Redon -- Gauguin regarded himself as an initiate and magus, are not as self-evident as the author suggests. Aurier’s statements are coded; Aurier, "Symbolisme: Gauguin". It is unclear what leads Jirat-Wasiutynski to interpret Gauguin as a magus in an 1888 drawing of him by Émile Schuffenecker, in which Gauguin holds a cross in front of a woman in flames. The

89 The hieroglyphs in this figure could be written either from left to right or right to left.

90 Daftari notes the motif of unattached heads in Near Eastern cylinder seals; Daftari, "Persian Influences," 108.

91 The Symbolists subscribed to the neo-platonic view, popular during the Renaissance, that hieroglyphs represent a system of ideas, a natural language. For an in-depth discussion of the Renaissance concept of hieroglyphs as divine language, see Thomas Conway Singer, Sir Thomas Browne and "The Hieroglyphical Schools of the Egyptians": A Study of The Renaissance Search for the Natural Language of the World (Ann Arbor: UMI, 1985).

92 Gauguin painted Portrait of Jacob Meyer de Haan (W 317) (Museum of Modern Art, New York) as a pendant to Self-Portrait with Halo, both of which he executed as part of a collaborative project to decorate the inn of Mary Henry at Le Pouldu in 1889. For a reconstruction of the art work inside the house, see Marie-Amélie Anquetil et al., Le Chemin de Gauguin: genèse et rayonnement (Saint-Germain-en-Laye: Musée Départemental du Prieuré, 1985), 118. Charles Filiger, Meyer de Haan, and Paul Sérusier were the other artists involved in the project, and they, especially Sérusier, would have appreciated the esoteric significance of Gauguin's portrait.

93 "Cet homme qui, grâce à son génie natif, grâce à des vertus acquises, se trouve, devant la nature, sachant lire en chaque objet la signification abstraite, l'idée primordiale et supplanante, cet homme qui, par son intelligence et par son adresse, sait se servir des objets comme d'une sublime alphabet pour exprimer les Idées dont il a la révélation, serait-il vraiment, l'Artiste?
N'est-il pas plutôt un génial savant, un suprême formuleur qui sait écrire les Idées à la façon d'un algébriste des Idées et son oeuvre n'est-elle point une merveilleuse équation, ou plutôt une page d'écriture idéographique rappelant les textes hiéroglyphiques des obélisques de l'antique Egypte?" Aurier, "Symbolisme: Gauguin," 160-164.

94 Ibid., 160.
95 Gauguin’s letter to Schuffenecker 14 January 1885, Merlhes, Correspondance, 88; see in bibliography under Egyptian art and writing.

96 Feliz Burhan discusses the growing interest in linguistics as an independent field as an important factor in the increasing perception of art as language. Burhan, Vision and Visionaries, 193.

97 see footnote 98.

98 Hermes Trismegistus and Zoroaster are the two oldest sources of wisdom according to the esoteric tradition. Despite the fact that seventeenth-century scholarship debunked the erroneous dating of the Corpus to pre-Christian times, nineteenth century authors such as Schuré and Eliphas Levi [pseudonym for Alphonse-Louis Constant] revived the mythic association of Egypt with the occult tradition of the mysteries, the initiation rites, and esoteric cults. Yates, Hermetic Tradition, 1-61.

99 Even if Gauguin had not read the book, he would have heard about it through Sérusier, who may have known Schuré personally at this time. In any case, this was a widely read book in the occult revival and is still in print today.

100 L. - J. Bouge, a former governor of Tahiti, translates the title to mean that the women did not want to go to the market, in "Traduction et interprétation des titres en langue tahitienne inscrits sur les œuvres océaniennes de Paul Gauguin," in Gauguin, sa vie, son œuvre (Paris, 1958), 161-164, cited and discussed by Field, First Voyage to Tahiti, 88-93.

101 Ibid., 74 -75, 88-97.

102 Gauguin expressed his disappointment by the following comment: "Was I to have made this far journey, only to find the very thing which I had fled?" Paul Gauguin with collaboration of Charles Morice, Noa Noa 1893-1897, Musée du Louvre, Département des Arts Graphiques; trans. by O.F. Theis (New York 1919), intro. by Alfred Werner (New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 1957), 7. The corrupting influence of the West on the Maori is evident in the following remark: "Thus we are witnessing the spectacle of the extinction of the race, a large part of which is tubercular, with barren loins and ovaries destroyed by mercury. Seeing this leads me to think, or rather to dream, of the time when everything was absorbed, numb, prostrate in the slumber of the primordial, in

103 In real life, Gauguin became the self-appointed advocate of the Maori and their primitive ways against the changes imposed on them by the clergy and the colonialists.

104 Gauguin, *Noa Noa*, 93, 114.


108 Massey and Moerenhout were not the only ones to compare Maori mythology to that of the ancient Near East and Egypt. Edward Shortland noted that the creation stories of the Maori and that of the Aryans, Egyptians, and the Phoenicians were similar; however, Massey’s discussion was the most detailed. Edward Shortland, *Maori Religion and Mythology* (London: Longmans, Green, and Co., 1882).

109 Moerenhout’s account of the myth does not mention Oro’s intent to create a superior race. However, the Areois were the most privileged among the Maori and received preferential treatment everywhere they went.
110 William Rubin and Matthew Armstrong, *The William S. Paley Collection* (New York: The Museum of Modern Art, 1992), 53. I am grateful to Mr. Rubin for letting me see his manuscript before it was published.


113 In "Ancien culte Maori", Vaïraûmati’s pregnancy is more notable.

114 Puvis’ picture was evidently significant for Gauguin, because he carried a reproduction of it with him to Tahiti, copied it in a drawing that was published with a poem by Charles Morice in *Mercure de France* (1895) and then used it again in the painting *Nature Morte à L'Espérance* (1901).

115 The parallels between the motifs of Isis and Mary were recognized long ago even outside the esoteric tradition, see E. A. Wallis Budge, *The Gods of the Egyptians: or Studies in Egyptian Mythology* (London 1904; New York: Dover Publications, 1969), 220 – 221.

116 In a way, Gauguin’s mission is ironic, because Gauguin, who was very ill, spread disease among the Maori.

Chapter II
Jan Toorop

I. Introduction

Jan Theodoor Toorop experimented with different modes of representation, but only his Symbolist works from the eighteen nineties are truly innovative. Two important pictures -- The Sphinx (Souls Around the Sphinx), 1892-1897 and The Three Brides, 1893 [II.1 and II.2] -- and related sketches present thematic and stylistic links to the legend and art of ancient Egypt. Less inspired borrowings from Egyptian art appear in his later pictures -- Angels bearing the Eucharist, 1923 and Three Generations, 1927 [II.3 and II.4]. As in his Symbolist images, the Egyptian appropriations are thoroughly integrated in the twenties as well, but the style is realistic. The similarities to Egyptian art in these works may be detected in the austere monumentality of the rigidly aligned and overlapping profiles and in the repetitive uniformity of the sharply delineated hair, features, and contours, which together with the crossed arm gestures are comparable to New Kingdom art, such as the courtiers from the tomb of Haremhab [II.5] and the three women from the Tomb of Nakht [II.6]. However, the realism of the late images by Toorop and their diminished inventiveness precludes them from the parameters of this dissertation.
Despite Toorop's documented interest in Egyptian art, it is difficult to trace specific sources of inspiration in his Symbolist work. Such influences blend into an eclectic synthesis of Eastern and Western inspiration to produce an ecumenical style for the universal themes in his work, such as good and evil, life and death, spirit and matter, and mind and body. Proposed comparisons with Egyptian art in this chapter serve to illustrate the potential role of Egyptian art in Toorop's "new research in line" to make it "spellbinding."

The mysterious, elongated figures and linear verve in Toorop's work bring to mind Art Nouveau, and the Egyptian connections in his work reflect the Egyptian revival in the 1880's and 1890's among Dutch artists and architects. For Toorop, Egyptian art appears to serve a primordial role to create a sense of time and to impart universality to his art by presenting archetypal motifs and pictorial alternatives to academic art. I propose that the combination of figurative and abstract signs in Egyptian art would have presented a viable option for him to combine the two in his work; that the inherent verticals and horizontals in Egyptian art, which he admired, presented a visual structure for his dualistic themes; and finally, that the rhythmic linearity of Egyptian art inevitably contributed to the development of his innovative "sound lines."

II. Review of scholarship

Only Bettina Polak and Robert Siebelhoff note a
symbolic and stylistic link with Egyptian art in Toorop’s Symbolist images. Their findings lead to further insights into Toorop’s stylistic and iconographic development and into his so-called “Orientalist sphere of thought”, that medley “of spellbinding signs.”9 Most writers discuss Far Eastern influences on Toorop; partly Chinese, he was born and raised in Indonesia, and he acknowledged the formative effect of those heritages and that of Japan.10 This chapter examines the role of ancient Egypt in Toorop’s iconography and style and the implications for his Orientalism.

Polak finds Egyptian motifs in three of Toorop’s images, and Siebelhoff proposes Egyptian inspiration for Toorop’s new style. In a sketch for La femme éternelle [II.7], Polak thinks that the obelisk is Egyptian, and that the head is based on the Great Sphinx at Giza.11 To most, the resemblance to the Egyptian precedents is clear, but others find discrepancies. It is not apparent, for example, that the head belongs to a sphinx, at least not to the sphinx as it appears today [II.8]; in Toorop’s sketch the form around the head resembles hair more so than a nemes; the ears and recumbent body of the Egyptian sphinx are absent from Toorop’s; by contrast, Toorop’s sphinx has a distinctive nose, which is notably missing from the Great Sphinx; and finally neither the Great Sphinx nor other Egyptian sphinxes come to mind with an obelisk near them.12 These differences create some doubt and questions of whether the head in front of the obelisk is an
evocation in Toorop's work of Egypt and will be addressed in Section IV.

The Sphinx [Souls Around the Sphinx] [II.1] has two more Egyptian motifs, according to Polak -- the hard-to-see seated figures in the dark background and the sphinx. The former she believes to represent Egyptian priests; and the latter, the Great Sphinx at Giza. A comparison of the two sphinxes [II.1 and II.8], however, also reveals their dissimilarity, most notably in gender, which will be discussed in Section IV as well.

Polak documents attributes or qualities that Toorop specified as Egyptian in The Three Brides, 1893 [II.2] in his writings; a uraeus-like headdress with entwined snakes was to make the material bride on the right evoke Cleopatra, and the eyes of her retinue were made to look Egyptian as well. Polak enumerates altogether six appropriations of Egyptian art in three of Toorop's works.

The style of The Three Brides, particularly the seraphim, remind Siebelhoff of Egyptian wall paintings, but no formal comparison with Egyptian art is proposed. He confirms Toorop's interest in Egyptian art with more documentation in the artist's notebooks. In addition, Toorop's signatures in the registration book for the Museum of Antiquities in Leiden suggest to Siebelhoff that Toorop visited the Egyptian collection, which is likely though not certain, because the museum displays Etruscan, Greek, Roman, Medieval, Dutch,
and German artifacts as well.

III. Documentation of Toorop’s interest in Egyptian art

Toorop’s rambling thoughts are difficult to translate, at times allegedly even confusing to him and to his listeners. Whenever possible, he would play the piano instead, hoping that music would convey the meaning of his pictures better than words. Music was an important paradigm for his art, and I believe that the rhythmic linearity of Egyptian art provided means to enhance stylistically the expressive power of his symbols. His struggle to formulate signs and a pictorial expression to reveal his all-encompassing concept of the symbol is best described in his own words. He tried "various ways of bringing together the great being, doing, feelings and ideas out of Pan-nature [Al-natuur: ‘the totality of that which is’] into one great shape, severe and expressive [sprekend] in line, shape and color, which I call symbol."

In his diaries Toorop praised the power of line and color in Egyptian art to produce psychological and psychic effects, and while from an Egyptological point of view it may seem odd to impute such qualities to Egyptian art, his perception corroborates the potential role of Egyptian art in Toorop’s attempt to represent intangible auras, sounds, smells, as well as concepts of good and evil. A basic correlation exists in the way line, shape, and color form mimetic and
non-mimetic signs in Toorop's symbolist work and in Egyptian art, and in the way such signs serve pictorial, symbolic and phonic roles in both cases. Superficial differences aside, the combination of abstract and representational signs depicts tangible and intangible concepts in both Egyptian art and Toorop's work, especially in his most successful endeavor, *The Three Brides*.

Other references to Egyptian art in his journals and papers document where and what he saw and his reactions to it. Toorop's visual repertoire of Egyptian art must have been fairly large, judging by his writings and visits to the British Museum in London, the Egyptian Museum in Berlin, and the Jacobson collection in Copenhagen, and other opportunities during his extensive travels in Europe.

A journal entry from c. 1896, where Toorop admires "the old-Egyptian low reliefs and high reliefs and those large bright sculptures of a man and a woman next to each other," shows his familiarity with the world class Egyptian collection in the National Museum of Antiquities in Leiden. Elsewhere in his journal, Toorop observes the simplicity of the enormous proportions and the strong horizontals and verticals in Egyptian art. He viewed the square implicit in the grid of ancient Egyptian art as an archetypal form. Toorop traced the beginning of art four or five millennia ago to Egypt, and believed that the cult of Isis transmitted the artistic tradition to future generations. Polak thinks that Toorop bor-
rowed this idea from *The Great Initiates* by Schuré, although Isis as guardian of sacred wisdom was no secret among Toorop's fellow "Les XX" artists in Brussels and among Sâr Joséphin Péladan's coterie in Paris, to which Toorop briefly belonged.

The name of Father Desiderius Lenz, the founder of the School of Beuron, in Germany, appears several times in Toorop's papers, and their positive perceptions of Egyptian art concur. Lenz championed Egyptian art as a model for the renewal of sacred art, and he also considered Egyptian art to be psychologically expressive and conducive to religious feelings, due to its inherently musical harmonies and mathematical relationships.

Whether it was through Lenz or other intermediaries, the musical value of Egyptian art for Toorop's "sound lines" needs to be considered, even though the enormous influence of Charles Henry's dynamogenic theories on art must have affected Toorop as well. He knew Seurat's work and painted in a Neo-Impressionist manner prior to, during, and after his Symbolist period, but Toorop's interest in Charles Henry's theories remains an open question. Although Henry's scientific orientation differs from Toorop's intuitive mysticism, both of them explored analogies between painting and music and the expressive potential of color and line to produce emotive effects in the viewer.

IV. **Stylistic and iconographic analysis of Egyptian**
influences on Toorop's work

A case for Toorop's tendency to present the negative polarity of good and evil with motifs evocative of ancient Egyptian art may be argued in the sketch for *La femme éternelle* ("O, though, my Spirit's mate") 1891 [II.7]. Already at this early stage, these motifs appear in juxtaposition to a positive concept represented by the young woman. In the absence of a finished picture titled *La femme éternelle* in Toorop's oeuvre, it seems likely that the sketch pertains to the eternal feminine bride in the center of *The Three Brides*.34

The semi-nude *femme éternelle* in the sketch [II.7] appears on a mound of open and closed, scent-puff-emitting flowers; the frieze of lotus flowers at the base of the scene is a common Egyptian decorative motif. Curious telegraph and railroad lines35 separate the young woman from an obelisk and colossal bust on the left. In the dim background are steeples, spires, and church facades. The obelisk and bust look incongruous in a setting that is reminiscent of Dutch towns. Row houses in front of the ocean appear in other pictures, such as *Katwijk* 1892, where Toorop lived from 1890 until 1892,36 but the obelisk and monumental head are of course figments of Toorop's imagination.

Precedents for colossal heads, but not sphinxes, in conjunction with obelisks exist in depictions of the unexcavated statues of Ramsses II in front of his pylon in
Luxor by Cécile (Thebes, Luxor, view of the palace gates 1798-1801 [II.9]) and by David Roberts (Great Entrance of the Temple at Luxor [II.10] for his book Egypt and Nubia, 1846-1850). Colossal heads and obelisks appear in illustrations of assembled principal monuments, such as the frontispiece of Description de l’Égypte 1809 [II.11]. Notice the head in the middle ground of the engraving, buried in sand up to its neck; the shape of the head, eyes, and lips are hardly recognizable as that of the Great Sphinx. It is rendered similarly in The Sphinx near the pyramids [II.12] by Michel Rigo in Vivant Denon’s Voyage dans la Basse et la Haute Égypte in 1802. Two more images of the sphinx in Description... [II.13 a,b] reveal other subjective discrepancies, which were common until the exact appearance of the sphinx was documented in photographs, such as the one by Maxime du Camp, c. 1850 [II.14].

These and other artistic renderings of a half-submerged sphinx address the doubts raised earlier in section II and make it plausible for the colossal bust and obelisk in Toorop’s sketch to allude to Egypt. Since Toorop never visited Egypt, he had to rely on the copiously illustrated Egyptological tomes that had mushroomed since the publication of Description... In any event, an iconographic connection to ancient Egypt in Toorop’s work makes sense on account of his documented interest in Egyptian art and in universal symbols.

In the sketch for La femme éternelle, the obelisk and disfigured bust contrast with the wholesome young nude;
her central and illuminated position render the ruins marginal, lurking in the dark behind the delicate nude, who looks at the viewer. Similar antithetical juxtapositions recur in *The Sphinx* and in *The Three Brides* as well.

The androgynous sphinx in the picture with its namesake [II.1] forms a structural and narrative opposition to the couple on the globe in the foreground. Auke van der Woud believes that this couple represents a self-portrait of the artist and his wife, and that the enigmatic drawing is autobiographical.

Reclining on extended paws and sporting a *nemes*-like shape around its head, the sphinx in Toorop’s work has an element of caricature absent from the abraded but monumental dignity of the Great Sphinx in Giza. A slight Adam’s apple on the muscular neck of Toorop’s sphinx contrasts with the coquettish feminine features -- exposed breasts, down-cast eyes, delicate nose, puckered mouth, and lack of eyebrows -- which produce an effect quite different from the one in Giza.

The burdensome presence of the breasted sphinx in Toorop’s work, however, is more Greek, because Egyptian art rarely depicts the sphinx with breasts. Moreover, the oppressive power the sphinx, indicated by its bared claws and the crushed beings of a lower order beneath it struggling with the mystery of life, brings to mind the Greek myth of Oedipus and the sphinx. The combination of Egyptian and Greek traits can be viewed as part of Toorop’s attempt to find sym-
bols for universal themes that transcend temporal and cultural barriers. Indeed, Heinz Demisch regards the ubiquitous sphinx as archetypal.40

A third and contemporary allusion may have been to Sâr Josephin Péladan, which would explain the caricatured representation of the sphinx. Although the sphinx motif is common in nineteenth-century art and literature, the connection to Péladan has intriguing implications in Toorop's work.41 The dates of The Sphinx (1892-1897) span Péladan's 1892 lecture tour in the Hague, when Toorop met him,42 and the annual salons of the Rose-Croix that the Sâr sponsored in Paris over the next five years. During these years Toorop at first endorsed and submitted works to the 1892 Rose-Croix Salon,43 but then rejected the Sâr's teaching by 1896, calling "the entire movement of the Rose + Croix in Paris" an immoral "bunch of impotents" of "abominable decadence."44 The prominence of the sphinx in Toorop's picture brings to mind the importance of the androgyne and the sphinx in Péladan's novels L'androgyne 1891 and La terre du sphinx (Egypt) 1899.45 The latter is an account of his 1898 voyage to Egypt, which was a spiritual journey to the ancient (pre-Islamic) country.46 For him the sphinx represented the quintessential masterpiece of Egyptian art and an icon of the Mysteries,47 and his book ends with a scene in which Péladan spends the last night of his trip talking to the Great Sphinx about the secret doctrine.48 But Péladan also paid homage to the Greek myth of the sphinx
in his play *l'Oedipe-Roi* 1897, and presented the version by Sophocles as a prologue. The sphinx and its variants gracing the title pages of the Sâr's publications identify it closely with Péladan.

If such is the case, then the caricature of the sphinx in Toorop's work may lampoon Péladan, whom the artist had come to dislike. Toorop's disdain was also shared by the French press. It parodied Péladan as a seducer of women, and mocked his outlandish persona as Magus, occult leader, and descendant of the ancient Babylonians to be a charlatan's ruse. Such notoriety suggests that the mysterious women with raised arms and closed eyes near the sphinx are the Sâr's idolaters. Their distinctive grouping and outstretched arms bring to mind Egyptian motifs of mourning women, depicted in a New Kingdom wall painting [II.15]. Delineated rather than modeled, both groups appear in overlapping layers within an impossibly shallow space; their mostly homogeneous appearance and trance-like state distinguish them from other groups in each picture.

The third Egyptian motif is the faintly visible seated couple next to a gothic church and a Buddha figure in the dark background. The monumentality of the seated pair, compared to the church, brings to mind the oft-reproduced Colossi of Memnon in Thebes [II.16]. Seated figures are common in Egyptian art, but only pharaohs and gods of ancient Egypt were commemorated in gargantuan sculptures. In this context,
the religious significance of the figures in the background of The Sphinx could allude to ancient Egypt, which along with the other two monuments allegedly reflect Toorop's spiritual path that included Buddhism, the Occult, and Christianity.⁵⁴

The vulture may count as a fourth Egyptian motif. As one of the oldest motifs in Egyptian art and hieroglyphs, the perched vulture is an emblem of Nekhbet, the goddess of the South. Among her multiple roles as a protective deity, Nekhbet represents primal mother nature and guardian of the Underworld, and is thus associated with death.⁵⁵ The prominent vulture with a reddened beak in Toorop's picture, however, suggests a malevolent symbolism -- as a devourer rather than protector of corpses -- contrary to its benevolent role in Egyptian art. Whether Toorop knew about the Egyptian symbolism of the bird but still decided to use it as a grim specter of death is debatable.

A fifth Egyptian motif -- the pyramid -- also has occult connotations, and it appears in a study for The Sphinx [II.17], in the same place where the sphinx dwells in the final work. The study depicts a morbid individual on a mound of skulls, which contrasts with the spiritual elation of those in the foreground of the final picture. The changed outlook between the study and the final work may reflect the artist's own improved disposition through his involvement in Catholicism.⁵⁶

Also different from the study is the unusual space
in the final The Sphinx. The spiritual condition of the figures is externalized by the verve of lines and by their location. Toorop described the process of spiritual evolution as "floating" to a higher level, but in this picture the spiritually elevated appear below the oppressed. Also unconventional is the symbolic value of the pictorial space, which goes counter to that of the upper and lower areas and of vertical and horizontal orientations in Western religious art. The vertical direction of those under the sphinx in The Sphinx seems to suggest encumbrance, while the horizontal in the flowing tresses of the foreground figures convey elated buoyancy.

Toorop's new linear style replaces volumetric mass and illusionistic space with a novel ethereal region of sound lines. An underlying grid provides an axial structure for the orchestration of sound patterns. The pictorial field is divided into three horizontal areas in The Sphinx, symbolizing the physical, spiritual, and sequential stages of the religious drama. In the murky background is the only visible terra firma, to judge by the clouds on the upper left, the trees, and the bank around the lake with two swans. The darkness of this region is suggestive of the distant past. The rest of the space is more difficult to determine, although the globe of the earth under the couple in the foreground appears to be viewed from outer space.

The three horizontal areas are connected with
transitional elements. The swans in the background lead to the spatially ambiguous middle ground, where the sphinx, the vulture, and victims of materialism pre-side. Below the supplicant women and to the left of the sphinx is a field of higher aspirations, where a bearded ascetic, nuns, four mystical roses, and an angel playing the lute appear. The vulture and the nudes under the sphinx direct the eye to the foreground, where positive spiritual forces freed from the sphinx and earthly battles dwell.

The angel with the lute and the man with the lyre indicate the celestial significance of music. Lutes are common in images of angel concerts, and the lyre is an attribute of Orpheus, Apollo, and even Christ. The man on the globe assumes a familiar pose of the dead Christ. By evoking the personae of Christ and Orpheus and Apollo for his self-portrait as the man on the globe, Toorop’s created allegorical self portraits in the guise of spiritual luminaries akin to Gauguin’s discussed in Chapter I.

In addition to the tangible instruments, the iconography of the lute and lyre conjures the intangible sense of hearing, which Toorop developed with sound lines. The abstracted patterns of sound waves add an emotive dimension to the composition and to line. The dual role of line to define material objects and to convey abstract concepts also characterizes the use of line in Egyptian art and may explain Toorop’s impression that such art is psychologically express-
ive. The mourner motif [II.15] and the courtiers from Harem­hbab's tomb [II.5] exemplify the descriptive, rhythmic and fluid lines in Egyptian art, and even though the intervals, patterns, and orientation of Toorop's lines produce different cadences from those in Egyptian art, the underlying concept of line is similar.

The hybrid symbolism and the expressive style of The Sphinx are developed further in The Three Brides [II.2]. The scene takes place in contemporary times, according to Toorop, but the brides are timeless. The composite persona of the "material" bride in the guise of Cleopatra on the right is juxtaposed to the "innocent virgin" in the center and the "mystical" bride in nun's garb on the left.

Of the three brides, the multiple personae of the material bride is the most developed and intriguing. Besides identifying her as Cleopatra, Toorop also discloses her other femme fatale identities in his writings and by her attributes in the picture. At one point he refers to this bride as "Hetaera of Aphrodite Pendamos" [sic], an obscure reference to Aphrodite as goddess of love and of prostitution. In addition, the platter of blood and viperous snakes summon references to Salome and Medusa as well. Medusa also appears in Woman with Snake Heads and Sylphids [II.18], a study believed to be for The Three Brides. The composite femme fatale identities of this bride accentuate the evil seductress and they represent different eras -- Egyptian,
Greek, and Biblical, and the modern period -- results in an archetypal figure of evil.

Toorop, however, emphasizes the Cleopatra persona of the material bride by portraying her as a powerful Egyptian Queen, complete with her uraeus and subjugated dominion below her, to her left. They hold an urn, presumably containing the blood of her ruthlessly murdered adversaries, which accords with the myth of Cleopatra. The artist also specified that her diaphanous dress and necklace of skulls are meant to present her alluring, avaricious, and deadly character.66 Angrily distracted, she ignoresthe large platter of liquid poured from the large urn, while her hissing uraei express her displeasure at the divine sound lines from the bells.67

Another study for The Three Brides68 [II.19] links the Cleopatra-figure with the slain sphinx, which reveals Toorop's pejorative use of Egyptian motifs. For while the forces of good eliminate the sphinx in this study, the personification of materialism recurs as the Cleopatra-figure in the final picture.69 In the study [II.19], the androgynous sphinx with bowed head lies defeated near the bottom of the drawing; seraphim plunge their daggers into its body and blood oozes from both ends. The blood from its head seeps into a hole with three skulls, from which three disembodied figures arise with upraised arms, on the extreme right. The pooled blood in the rear of the sphinx flows by a tree enlivened with figures and flowers.
The extended lines from the figurative motifs create a profusion of abstract patterns, rhythmic movement and dynamic energy, which may convey the intangible forces of "pan-nature" Toorop sought to express. Also represented are universal oppositions -- life and death, fear and hope, good and bad -- in ascending and descending lines from the axis of the sphinx. The rising lines define the uplifting, positive forces -- the radiant choir, the ethereal seraphim, the uprising spirits, and the animated tree -- while the lines below the sphinx show the downward forces of decline and death. The thorny maze of chaotic earthly existence in the middle ground overpowers two brittle beings -- one near the trunk of the tree and another left of center, below the chorus at the top. The long arm of a camouflaged envoy on the right reaches toward one of the ensnared victims. Born by the sacred sound lines of the bell to the right, and crowned with three singing choir members, the messenger seems to offer deliverance from the sphinx and the thorny vines and partakes in the antithetical forces combating the sphinx.

The abstract, decorative, and expressive role of line is fully orchestrated in The Three Brides. Toorop explained the nuances of the sound lines as functioning "either as scenery or ornamental filling." But a symbolic significance of line is also suggested in the horizontal alignment of "that weak world doomed to eternal matter" next to the Cleopatra-figure. The horizontal line also defines the
sphinx, death, and decline in the study [II.18]. Thus the connection between the sphinx and Cleopatra is also implied by the symbolic value of the horizontal line in both the study and the final The Three Brides.

The sound lines of the brides and their followers and the enormous bells in the upper corners of the picture produce other abstract patterns. The attendants of the "material bride" emit "shrill, voluptuous and piercing laughter and shrieks," that rise "upward in ascending lines against the ideal spiritual and mystical sounds"75 of the bell tied to the crucified hand of Christ on the right. The gnarled sound lines of the chorus of the "mystical bride" rise up only to "fall down again exhausted and beaten, producing a weary hymn to their struggle against evil."76 Meanwhile, the "eternally feminine" bride stands amidst the two sound currents; her innocence is as ephemeral as the butterflies around her, for before long she will fall prey to the spiritual anguish of material forces, or resist by becoming a nun.77

V. Conclusion

Three Brides and The Sphinx both illustrate the old and the new, the negative and the positive polarities in Toorop's Orientalism. Egypt served as an archetypal locus, a fount of figurative motifs and stylistic expression for Toorop's spiritual allegories. While the role of Cleopatra, the sphinx, and the mourning women recycle trite myths of Orientalism and reveal a pejorative slant in Toorop's
"Orientalist sphere of thought," the Egyptian stylistic inspiration in his sound lines represents the positive extreme. It is the unique combination of subject references and linear inspiration that characterizes his Orientalism.

Old myths are put to new use -- as archetypes -- to express what Toorop considered universal forces of good and evil, shaping the psychological drama of humans. He combined personae from different eras, including Egypt, to form composite characters for his timeless subjects.

Egyptian art inspired Toorop to devise non-mimetic settings and means to express intangible universal spiritual forces. The bold, incisive, and repetitive distinctiveness of line in the ancient art emboldened his own and also made his work synaesthetic and especially musical.

In addition, Toorop integrated the axial order of Egyptian art. Vertical and horizontal lines formed an underlying structure for the duality of Toorop's universe in his art. This hidden feature makes him an important predecessor for van der Leck's and Mondrian's achievements in the twentieth century.
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I. Introduction

Two recently discovered drawings by Bart van der Leck show his careful study of ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern art. Subsequently he imitated the ancient art and assimilated fundamental features of it to structure his own utopian work. The ancient sources contributed to the universal and integrated nature of his art, which depicted unity and oneness, eradicated social and national differences, and made pictorial space compatible with the architectural plane. The mural art of Egypt and Mesopotamia served as instructive models for van der Leck to reduce the empirical world to flat and colorful geometries that portrayed generic people, animals, and objects. Moreover, the compositional structure van der Leek discovered in the ancient art provided a framework for "free and fixed" compositional elements, the fundamental duality he felt existed in the universal order.

These critical changes took place in van der Leck's transitional work from 1912 to 1917, when he experimented with pictorial problems, such as unmodeled color surfaces, non-volumetric figures, and figure-ground relationships. Ancient Egyptian and Neo-Assyrian art inspired him to rethink color,
space, and figuration into flat, non-illusionistic, and architectonic pictures.\(^3\)

The goal of this chapter is to explore the formal influence of ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern art on van der Leck's work and to understand what he and his commentators meant by "Egyptian" art. He spoke of "Egyptian" art, but the scope of his concern and its effect on his art are greater than assumed in the existing scholarship, as reviewed in Section II. New visual evidence for the artist's interest in ancient Egyptian and Neo-Assyrian art and his remarks on "Egyptian" art are presented in Section III.\(^4\) How he assimilated the pictorial conventions and their impact on his development from realism to abstraction are analyzed in Section IV.

II. Review of scholarship

Although van der Leck was an early pioneer of abstraction and a founding member of de Stijl, the literature on him is not as extensive as on some of the other members of the group.\(^5\) His interest in Egyptian art and its formal influence on his art have been acknowledged but rarely compared to specific Egyptian examples to show the nature of the influence. Additionally, such comments are scattered in different publications and need to be consolidated to assess the impact of Egyptian and Near Eastern art on van der Leck's work.

H. P. Bremmer finds an affinity with Egyptian art in the reductive clarity and rhythmic repetition of forms in The
Fire, The Sick One [III.28], and The Arabs [III.19]. Michel Seuphor names three more works with Egyptian influences, including Mason’s Aid 1914 [III.38], Still Life 1913, and The Beggars 1914 [III.40], because of the schematic arrangement of the pictorial elements.

W. C. Feltkamp, who knew the artist personally, confirms van der Leek’s interest in Egyptian art, and he finds similarities with Egyptian art in the way van der Leek used the groundline, rendered the figure in a planar fashion with interchangeable left and right limbs, and placed objects in space. He is the only writer on the artist to reproduce an Egyptian example, although it is unclear whether the artist knew this image or whether the comparison is merely the writer’s choice. But Feltkamp does report van der Leek’s view of the Egyptian mode of representation as spiritual, because it did not seek to duplicate the material world.

Rudolf Oxenaar argues that van der Leek’s reasons for using “Egyptian” art were not spiritual but social, to create an “ideal of a new art for a new society...for a new man, a simple, universally valid and understandable identity that would stress the unity of mankind.” Oxenaar also documents van der Leek’s visit to the Egyptian collection in the Louvre in 1907, which the writer believes affected van der Leek’s artistic development over the next decade. The writer names three works with Egyptian influences, including The Harvest and David with His Harp, c. 1904, and The Accident, 1913.
Except for The Accident, whose flat and unmodulated colors of the figures remind Oxenaar of Egyptian art, the first two works he mentions seem more akin to archaic Greek vase paintings and medieval art than Egyptian art.

Cees Hilhorst and Irene Veenstra also see Egyptian influence in the way van der Leck renders figures. Hilhorst notes such influence in paintings from 1912 to 1915, but names only The Arabs, 1915 [III.19]. The assumed "Egyptian" influence in van der Leck’s art serves Veenstra in her argument for van der Leck as a modernist in a dialectic opposition of old and new. She explains his choice of Egyptian art by its legacy in the European historical consciousness as "The Beginning" and holding the promise of renewal. Although Veenstra approaches van der Leck’s interest in Egyptian art from a new angle, her essay lacks documentation.

Seven of the nine works cited by the above-mentioned authors do indeed exhibit "Egyptian" influences in the way the figures are depicted, in the use of flat and unmodulated colors, in the reductive clarity, in the rhythmic repetition of pictorial elements, and in the use of groundlines to position objects in space. These and other pictures will be compared with Egyptian and Mesopotamian examples to determine the specific nature of the ancient influence, van der Leck’s sources and motifs and their transformation in his work.

III. Van der Leck’s interest in Egyptian and Ancient Near Eastern art
Evidence of van der Leek's familiarity with Egyptian and Neo-Assyrian art exists in four undated and unsigned studies. These copies of Neo-Assyrian and Egyptian art are different in content, style, and medium. Two previously unpublished copies are thought to be student works, done while attending in Amsterdam the State Academy of Fine Arts and the State Academy of Arts and Crafts from 1900-1904. In his last year at these institutions he experimented with artistic conventions outside the Western canon.

A. Copies of Egyptian and Neo-Assyrian art

Van der Leek copied the two Neo-Assyrian images from illustrations in Austen Henry Layard, Monuments of Nineveh I. Although at first the Assyrian Head looks identical to the bearded figure from Ashurnasirpal's II (883-859 B.C.) palace in Layard's book, van der Leck omitted some details, including the decorative design of the necklace and the curls in the beard and hair; he made the hair into a large black plane and straightened the curve of the forehead and nose of the Assyrian to vertical and diagonal lines. These subtle changes attempt to apply verticals, horizontals, and diagonals in the representation of the human form. Van der Leek's copy looks flatter than Layard's, because he transformed the modeling in the book illustration into patterns of light and dark.

One of the recently found images is a watercolor, which van der Leek copied from the same book.
The copy displays other ideas that van der Leck developed in his later work. This pencil and ink drawing reproduces an alabaster mural relief from the North-West palace of Ashurnasirpal II in Nimrud in the British Museum, showing the dramatic pursuit of warriors in chariots shooting arrows at their enemies.

The artist duplicates virtually all the details of Layard's line drawing, except the lower left chariot wheel. The missing circle around the spokes accentuates the vertical, horizontal, and diagonal orientations, along which the rest of the composition is structured: the hemlines, beards, eyes, arms, legs, and bows and arrows of the men. The harness, heads, bodies, tails, and legs of the horses follow these "essential orientations", which van der Leck considered critical to asserting the planar surface of a painting.17 This meticulous copy of a Neo-Assyrian bas-relief was an opportunity for van der Leck to study the strong rhythmic effect produced by the repetition of compositional alignments in restricted directions. Both these lessons are essential to van der Leck's subsequent abstractions.

In contrast to the emphasis on line in his copy of the relief from the palace of Ashurnasirpal, van der Leck studied color in the second newly found image, another watercolor rendering of Amenophis II and his Governess [III.5] from Prisse d'Avennes's Atlas de l'art égyptien [III.6]. He cropped the image, deleted the decorative design around the
border, the goose, and the hieroglyphs above the figures. He deliberated on the color planes, particularly the white ones, by leaving certain areas and details unfinished, including the subjugated prisoners, the dog, the color pattern on the legs of the chair, and fingers. The hard-edged, opaque, and reductive forms in Egyptian painting appear to be a point of departure for his later loosely connected and crisply defined color planes. Aggregates of flat chromatic shapes appear in van der Leek's transitional works, such as The Sick One 1912 [III.28]. These solid color planes evolve into the disconnected chromatic forms in Composition 5 [III.24] and Composition 6 [III.25].

Unlike his other copies of Egyptian and Neo-Assyrian art, the fourth drawing Composition 1916 no.2 (Man with Cows) [III.7a] is large and full of erasures. The style of this scene of men and cattle suggests an Old Kingdom inspiration of similar scenes, such as [III.8 and III.10].

Although the details in van der Leek's drawing accord with such Egyptian scenes, but no one source with all the particulars comes to mind. Perhaps van der Leek created a composite based on Egyptian art. A herdsman with raised switch behind three hornless cows and a calf turning its head appears in the lower register of the famous Old Kingdom mural from the famous mastaba of Ti [III.8] in Saqqara c. 2500 B.C.; another example of a small group of hornless bovines with one turning its head back [III.11] is illustrated in Karl Lepsius' acclaimed
Denkmäler aus Aegypten und Aethiopien (1849-1858), a source van der Leck surely knew. In any event, the stocky forms of the Old Kingdom style must have appealed to van der Leck for the sizable geometric planes, which he reworked into more parallel and angular delineations.

This drawing used to be called Man with Cows, but has been renamed a study for Composition 1916 no.2 [III.7e]. Since the painting is an early abstraction, the study establishes Egyptian art as essential to the initial transition from figurative motifs to abstracted representations, as the intermediary studies [III.7 a-e] demonstrate in a self-explanatory manner.

The Egyptian and Near Eastern inspiration in the other works to be discussed in Section IV is less obvious, because van der Leck went beyond readily-recognizable, superficial motifs to find fundamental parameters for his new art.

B. Artist’s statements on Egyptian art and new art

Van der Leck’s grandson recalls his grandfather’s great interest in Egyptian art and his repeated assertion that “Egyptian art was the product of real understanding of the function of art and that since the Egyptians there had been confusion in this respect.”

He studied the way the Egyptians applied flat color with little or no modeling -- as in his copy of Amenophis II and his Governess [III.5]:

I arrived at a new basis for plastic colorism via Egyptian, medieval and realistic naturalism [seventeenth-
The inherent geometry of Egyptian and Neo-Assyrian art is important in reconstructing the spatial solutions van der Leek discovered to integrate painting with architecture. The latent geometry of Egyptian and Neo-Assyrian art imposed a welcomed order on nature, which he found "chaotic from the point of view of painting and in conflict with the essence of the plane" if rendered illusionistically. The reductive, delineated, unmodeled, flat color shapes in the ancient mural art were more harmonious with the planar geometry of architecture.

The imposed order on nature revealed a fundamental universal structure that represent an essential truth for van der Leek:

For the first time in the history of the world it is possible, through an insight actuated by the need for truth, or, in fact, through a more comprehensive insight into reality, to take things from the divine in nature and fashion them into human forms. For the square, the triangle and forms derived from them, plus opposing guidelines and the diagonal, are human. They are forms discovered or created by man and they do not rank as cosmic reality.

Without denying the divine origins of nature, van der Leck nevertheless believed the geometric abstractions derived from the empirical world represent an essentially anthropocentric reality.

Since it was the Egyptians and the Mesopotamians who pioneered the application of geometry in figurative art, and
in view of van der Leck’s remark to his grandson, it was logical for the artist to examine the ancient sources to rediscover the function of art. The Egyptian and Mesopotamian models applied geometry -- the square, the triangle, the axes, and the diagonal -- to representations of daily life and served as models for van der Leck to condense the vagaries of everyday life into "free and fixed" compositional elements. Although he did not explain the meaning of this concept, the "fixed" is probably represented by the grid and the "free" by the variables of color and geometric configurations.

Van der Leek called his new art "extended realism" -- despite its abstract appearance -- since it was rooted in the physical world. The concept of "extended realism" was in contradistinction to the hierarchical "corporeality" of the classical art. But abstraction in and of itself was never a goal for van der Leck.

Neither was art for art’s sake. Van der Leek’s art represented a utopian vision, a new beginning and an original equivalent for "experiencing reality as a loose cohesion." Van der Leek explained that we are confronted afresh by the universe, which demands and compels a new image of man’s experience of time and space. We stand at the beginning, we are in this sense primitives of the new era and what we are concerned with is finding the right image for the new spirit of the age.

The artist's radical style presents such an image and implicit egalitarian values by reducing human and social differences into anonymous synergies. Such social values may reflect his
impoverished childhood with a chronically unemployed father and his sensitivity to the plight of the working class. In his pictures he dignifies the common man with heroic poses adapted from ancient Near Eastern and Egyptian art.

IV. The influence of Egyptian and Mesopotamian art on van der Leek's work

A. Universal man

The Sower 1921 [III.11] exemplifies a new ecumenical image, distilled from ancient and modern, Eastern and Western sources. The famous sowers by Jean-François Millet and Vincent van Gogh [III.11a] come to mind by the title, pose, and gesture of the figure. A figure based on the sower appears in an earlier work as a framed picture on the wall in Looking at Prints [III.33]. Six years later and completely transformed, The Sower is also evocative of ancient Egyptian and perhaps even Neo-Assyrian precedents.

The wide cross-over stride, lifted heel, and narrow hips are akin to Egyptian models, such as Nebamun [III.12a] in the British Museum; but the frontal head, indicated by an orange rectangle, and bent arm enclosing something small within bring to mind the famous Neo-Assyrian lion tamers [III.12b] in the Louvre. And finally the flat rendering of the figure in shifting frontal and profile views parallel to the picture plane is common to both Egyptian and Mesopotamian artistic conventions.

Neither the spiralling volume nor the charged pathos
of the European sources is evident in the poised and heroic monumentality of the image by van der Leck. The latter considered emotive expression in art superficial and dispensible in representing the essence of humanity, because "in the last resort there is a deeper foundation than the purely emotional and that is being moved by the structure of the universe."30

Stripped of emotions, gender, social class, race, setting, and nationality, The Sower by van der Leck approximates a universal image of humans. With stylistic sources in ancient Egyptian and the Near Eastern art and modern European art, The Sower spans history, from the fourth millennium B.C. to the twentieth century A.D., and unites Oriental and Occidental representations into a new synthesis. Moreover, the anonymity of the non-contiguous linear components of the image bring to mind the fundamental atomic structure of the universe.

B. Single figure composition of rider

A comparison of three pictures of a mounted rider summarizes the stylistic changes in the artist's development from naturalistic to abstracted representations.

The first example is an academic drawing of a uniformed rider, Hussar on Horse 1902 [III.13], viewed from the back.31 The artist created an illusionistic space, using dramatic foreshortening, modeling, and an oblique placement of the rider on the page.

In contrast to the naturalism of the academic draw-
ing, Courier 1913 [III.14] appears stiff and flat. Van der Leck positioned this uniformed rider longitudinally across the picture. The unmodeled, opaque forms flatten the image of the messenger into shifting frontal and profile views that maintain a parallel relationship to the picture plane.

These essential orientations impose an order that recalls the stiff gallop of horses in Neo-Assyrian art [III.3]. Van der Leck would have seen many examples of riders in Layard’s book [III.15a and III.15b] before reaching the illustrations he copied. The style of Courier, however, is neither completely Neo-Assyrian nor Egyptian, but probably again a composite of sources. Courier dates to a time when van der Leck experimented with ways to integrate painting with the architecture in his house.32

The upright chromatic planes in Courier are intermediary to the next stage in the artist’s development, exemplified by The Horseman, 1918 [III.16]. It features the characteristics of van der Leck’s new art: flat, non-illusionistic, and geometric shapes arranged in discontinuous, open compositions against a white background. The fragmented motif is color-coded and arranged in horizontal, vertical, and diagonal directions. Yellow designates the rider and black the horse, while large blue and red horizontal bands frame the equestrian at the top and the bottom.33 The horizontal bands add rhythmic variety, enhance the color contrast, and balance the predominantly vertical composition. Nevertheless, The
Horseman is the artist’s own synthesis, above and beyond Egyptian and Neo-Assyrian sources. Few precedents for a prancing horse exist in those ancient arts, whereas it is a common motif in Renaissance and post-Renaissance art.

C. Group composition with riders

Riders are featured also in a group of five gouache studies and two paintings on canvas by van der Leck. These seven images are products of progressive stages in his work, starting with two representational pictures, Four Arabs and Arabs, 1915 [III.18 and III.19], and culminating in two abstractions Composition 5 and Composition 6, 1917 [III.24 and III.25]. The motif for Four Arabs and Arabs, 1915 originated in sketches van der Leck made in Algeria the year before. The peculiar arrangement of the donkey riders and a veiled woman on several groundlines was probably inspired by similar concepts illustrated in books, including Prisse d’Avennes (Captives Constructing a Temple of Amun [III.17]) and Layard (Spoil of a Captured City with Eunuchs Taking Count [III.20]).

Several details in van der Leck’s images corroborate Neo-Assyrian and Egyptian sources. The isolated palm tree and the discontinuous groundlines are distinctive features of Spoil of a Captured City with Eunuchs Taking Count, and Layard’s caption explains that one tree signifies landscape. Such abridgement would have suited van der Leck’s tendency to reduce details to a minimum.

The constant redrawing around the riders and donkeys
in *Four Arabs* displays similar concerns with parallel align-
ments noted in the reworked contours of *Composition 1916 (no.2
Man with Cows)* [III.7a]. Although the figures in both works
consist of shifting frontal and profile views, the girths and
blocky proportions of the riders are more akin to Neo-Assyrian
[III.4] than Egyptian figures; but van der Leek’s representa-
tion of the figure is more geometric and less realistic than
Egyptian prototypes.

The intermediary studies [III.21-23] illustrate van
der Leek’s decisions to consolidate the groundlines to one, to
eliminate curves, and to reduce the scene to opaque color
planes. The perimeters of the planes remain to form the
"extended realism" and unique combination of color and line in
*Composition No. 5* [III.24] and *Composition 6* [III.25].

D. Group composition with single groundline

The early stages of van der Leck’s "extended
realism" can be seen in works such as *The Sick One* 1912
[III.28], where the stocky figures, reclining man, and rhythm
of figure-ground relationships bring to mind a famous Neo-
Assyrian relief of Ashurbanipal and his queen by his side.
Cropped reproductions of this idyllic scene were published by
authors, such as Joseph Bonomi in *Nineveh and its Palaces*
[III.29]. Van der Leck appears to have deleted the opulent
garden setting and canopy of vines to transpose the motif of
the royal couple onto a prosaic scene of an ill man in bed
with visitors by his side.
Both images depict a man reclining on his left elbow and looking to the right. The frontal torso and profile head are rendered in the by now characteristic shifting planes, which is particularly distinctive when compared to the more conventional representation of a man in bed in Study for Life Insurance 1914 [III.43].

Another link between The Sick One and the Neo-Assyrian relief exists in the rhythmic overlapping forms in the background. The bed and the table divide both picture planes horizontally into upper and lower parts. Small positive and negative shapes below the bed complement the grand rising and falling contours of the seated, reclining, and standing figures above. A similar rhythmic contrast between large and small, simple and multi-layered spaces exists in the Neo-Assyrian work.

Dissimilarities between The Sick One and the scene with Ashurbanipal are noticeable in the modeled area around the eyes and ears and the folds in the red dress in the painting. The angle of the sick man's head could have been originally inspired by Neo-Assyrian or Egyptian examples, but the angle is more exaggerated in van der Leek's picture. Clasped hands are common in Egyptian and Mesopotamian art, but not the way van der Leck depicts them here. Some foreshortening can be seen in the ancient art, but not in the manner seen in the left arm of the seated woman and in the girl's doll. These dissimilarities transform the inspiration into a new
In The Sick One, van der Leek displays an interest in the planar potential of a full back view that is common in Neo-Assyrian and some Egyptian art. The pose of the little girl mirrors that of the woman in a detail from the famous painted tomb of the 18th Dynasty official Rekmire [III.30] from Thebes.\(^{37}\) The squat proportions of the figures are closer, once again, to Mesopotamian figures.

In hindsight, the three-quarters back view of Hussar on Horse, 1902 [III.13] is an early example of van der Leek’s penchant for this angle. Full back views appear in Fruit Seller, The Beggars [III.40], The Accident 1913 [III.31], and Looking at Prints 1915 [III.33]. In The Accident, van der Leek explored ambiguities between front and rear views that are common Neo-Assyrian and occasional examples in Egyptian art, which adds complexity to the reductive image without detracting from pictorial flatness. Such condensation of visual information seems to have been particularly appealing to and compatible with van der Leck’s sensibilities.

The two uniformed men shown from the front and the back in The Accident are reminiscent of heraldic Neo-Assyrian figures, such as the two anthropomorphic lion-headed guardian griffins from the palace of Assurbanipal in Nineveh [III.32].\(^{38}\) In both images, the figures stride towards each other until their feet touch; front and back views are difficult to tell apart, were it not for small details in the arms
and legs of the lion-headed figures and the buttons of the uniformed men. The adaptation of the ancient source is appropriate, given the protective role of the modern day custodians.

The front and back juxtaposition can be seen in *Looking at Prints*, 1915 [III.33] as well. The stiff poses of the adults and the youth in between and their placement in the composition are reminiscent of Egyptian funerary steles, particularly a Middle Kingdom example [III.34] in the National Museum of Antiquities, in Leiden, even though the Egyptian work shows fewer figures and in a different setting. Nevertheless, van der Leek appears to have adapted a ceremonial scene for an ordinary event. The inherent geometry in the figures and objects and their alignment is another point of comparison between the ancient and modern work. Instead of the table with an extraordinary still life offering in the Egyptian stele, van der Leck shows a large rectangular silhouette, a portfolio perhaps, next to the figure on the left. The table in the Egyptian stele and the portfolio in van der Leck’s painting accentuate the horizontal axis, which is repeated in the chairs as well. The simple rectangular form of the chairs may be compared to the chair of the governess in [III.6].

The kneeling pose of the youth is also rectilinear, and it too may be patterned after Egyptian models [III.35] and possibly Neo-Assyrian precedents, such as the winged guardians
[III.15b] reproduced in Layard's book. Van der Leck emphasized the triangle and the square configurations in the boy's legs and carefully made the youth's foot and knee contiguous rather than overlapping, for a flatter effect. Van der Leck also adjusted the negative spaces around the knees and ankle of the boy, and the arm and leg of the man on the right, in two intermediate studies for Looking at Prints [III.36 and III.37, the latter is unpublished], to make them follow diagonal, vertical, and horizontal directions. Even the hairline of the figures [III.33, III.36, and III.37] conforms to these orientations. Looking at Prints demonstrates the formative influence of Egyptian and possibly Neo-Assyrian figures in the emergence of the triangle and square in van der Leck's art.

E. Multiple groundlines: pinwheel composition

While most of van der Leck's compositions are organized on a single groundline, some have more than one. Arabs initially had several, but they were subsequently consolidated into one. Distinctive among the artist's multiple groundline compositions prior to 1915 is the pinwheel arrangement of The Beggars 1914 [III.40].

This composition may have been inspired by a famous Sumerian work, the Early Dynastic plaque of Ur-Nanshe [III.41], c. 2480 B.C. in the Louvre. The stone wall-plaque, commemorating a Sumerian ruler with his family, was found in the momentous archaeological discovery of the long forgotten Sumerians at modern day Tello in Iraq.40 Although the ancient
site of Girsu was excavated in the 1870s, its publication was not completed until decades later in 1912, the year van der Leck worked on *The Beggars*.41

At first the two compositions seem dissimilar, but they share basic features. Both represent adults and children, who are short and stocky. The matching rectangular silhouettes, and the repetition of their feet, ears, turned heads and facial features create a circular rhythm around the center.

The hollow core of the Ur-Nanshe plaque served to attach the decorative plaque to the wall, but is also a hub in the composition: the rectangular border around the hole corresponds to the one around the outer edge; it is linked to the center with a horizontal line, which separates the upper area from the lower one and which also serves as a groundline. On the right stands a small figure behind Ur-Nanshe, and both look toward the center. The large figure of Ur-Nanshe reappears on the left, this time looking to the right, and creating a transition between the two registers.

A close relationship between geometric forms and figures exists in the Ur-Nanshe plaque and in *The Beggars*. In van der Leck’s painting, the rectangles of the door frame and the door panels repeat the rectangular field of the picture and the blocky shape of the figures. The round doorknob seems an almost excessive detail in the otherwise austere composition; formally, however, the black circle and square border of
the doorknob correspond to the circle and square in the Ur-Nanshe plaque. While the circular arrangement in the Ur-Nanshe plaque revolves around the hole, the pin-wheel arrangement in The Beggars has a void as its center. Although the clothing and gestures of the two images are dissimilar, the patterns and hemlines of the Sumerian garments and the angles created by the arms and feet follow the same vertical, horizontal, and diagonal directions that are important for van der Leek.

The significant differences between the Early Dynastic plaque and van der Leck’s paintings keep The Beggars from being derivative. The woman positioned halfway in the doorway is without precedent in ancient Egyptian or Mesopotamian art. Three of the figures in van der Leck’s paintings are shown from the back, which is not the case in the Early Dynastic plaque. Unlike the stone plaque, no writing appears either on the figures or in the negative spaces in The Beggars. Nevertheless, the pinwheel arrangement is a unique approach to creating flat compositions, and variations on this circular arrangement on multiple groundlines can be seen in The Fire, 1913 and two other compositions from 1914, Study for Life Insurance [III.42] and Fire Insurance [III.43].

The adaptation of royal figures from the Early Dynastic plaque to depict commoners -- beggars in this case -- reveals a pattern in the way van der Leck used ancient art in his work. Consequently, despite bare feet and oversized
clothes, the beggars are monumental and their faces are as uniform and inexpressive as those of the Ur-Nanshe family. The resemblance of the beggar woman’s face to that of the woman behind the door could mark the beginning of van der Leck’s desire to eliminate social differences in his art.

F. A synthesis of Egyptian and Near Eastern artistic inspiration

Two of van der Leck’s early abstractions, Composition 3 and Composition 4 [III.44 and III.51], also show Egyptian influence in the intermediate stages from the realistic Leaving the Factory, 1908 [III.45] to the abstraction nine years later. Drawings of workers leaving a textile factory [III.45-49] in the industrial town of Glanerbrug,42 where he had settled in 1908, chronicle the process of abstraction of two ambitious group compositions.

The earliest version of this theme appears in a 1908 ink drawing [III.45] of workers walking away from factory buildings. By placing the masses at a diagonal to the picture plane, van der Leck made the perspective converge beyond the frame to the right, where presumably the door to the factory was located.

Two years later, he depicted a close-up of the same scene from a lower angle in Leaving the Factory 1910 [III.46], showing fewer workers and cropping the buildings. This picture modifies the earlier view with minor changes, which are more extensive in the next painting.
Here the artist abandoned the agitated line in the previous drawing for a more monumental and volumetric representation of the figures. The austere factory buildings behind the workers press in on them and create an oppressive mood in the shallow space. Despite the workers' greater presence, they are curiously anonymous, and their squarish faces repeat the shapes of the gaping windows behind them.

Van der Leek altered the architectural background in the studies for Composition 3 and Composition 4 again in [III.48]; the buildings are now directly behind the workers and parallel to the picture plane. He reversed the direction of the figures; now they move from left to right and are divided into a crowd on the left and a single female figure on the right. None of the workers is shown in the three-quarters view of the previous drawings; their stiff poses are rendered in the by-now familiar shifting planes adapted from ancient Egyptian and Mesopotamian sources.

As in the study of Egyptian art [III.7a], the study for Composition 3 and Composition 4 [III.48] also demonstrates attempts to alter the curves of the head and shoulders into angular forms. Van der Leek eliminates facial features still visible in the earlier versions and reduces other details in the gestures and clothing of the workers as well. The figures and the setting are merely outlined with vertical and horizontal lines, which not only simplify the image to bare essentials, but also form upright and sometimes overlapping planes.
He accentuates these planes by coloring them in the next study [III.49], where he determines the color scheme and alters the relationship between the architectural background and the figures in the foreground.

In the next study [III.50] only vestiges of the previous scene remain. The color planes are reduced to open contours and the perspective in the upper left area of windows and buildings is radically diminished. It disappears altogether in the final compositions [III.44 and III.51].

These nine works elucidate the formative role of artistic traits van der Leek noted in Egyptian and Mesopotamian art in transforming a realistic picture into an abstracted composition. By deleting more and more details, he released line and color from their descriptive role in Western art and featured them as discontinuous color bands arranged in rhythmic vertical and horizontal notations on a white ground.

G. Innovation: discontinuous strips of color on white

These colorful rhythmic notations were a hallmark of van der Leck's style in 1916. The step from closed, upright color planes to open, disconnected configurations in 1916 was groundbreaking, but it is generally credited to Mondrian's influence on van der Leck. When they met in late April or May of 1916, van der Leck allegedly saw Mondrian's Pier and Ocean, 1915 [III.52]. But van der Leck recalled
seeing "a large disc with vertical and horizontal [lines], with free elements and fixed elements," and he claimed that these "free and fixed" elements were the result of his influence on Mondrian. 44

Although van der Leck may have seen Pier and Ocean, apparently he meant another Plus-and-Minus painting, the famous Composition in Line, 1917 [III.53] that Mondrian had changed after they met. 45 Mondrian adapted the unified planes, pure colors, and the exact technique that he saw in his friend's work, 46 which also resulted in a new direction. Unlike Mondrian, van der Leck arrived at the grid, reductive geometry and color planes by studying the mural art of ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia, not Cubism. Even if Mondrian's Cubist-inspired Plus-and-Minus compositions encouraged van der Leck to use broken lines in his first abstractions, Composition no. 1 (Noortje) 1916 [III.54] and Composition 1916 No. 2, van der Leck never went through a black and white analytic Cubist phase. And finally, van der Leck's studies of Egyptian and Mesopotamian art laid the foundation for his unique open configurations with chromatic bands, preceding Mondrian's first union of color and line in the Diamond painting Composition with Yellow Lines, 1933 by seventeen years.

V. Conclusion

The artist's painstaking copies of Egyptian and Neo-Assyrian art document his close scrutiny of both artistic traditions, and at least eleven works demonstrate van der
Leck's interest in Egyptian art and its influence on his art. His three copies of ancient art and the analysis of his work indicate van der Leck's broad use of "Egyptian" art and that it actually included Mesopotamian art as well. His taste encompassed Old, Middle, and New Kingdom Egyptian art, Neo-Assyrian palace reliefs, and Sumerian art, which he saw in museums and studied in Orientalist publications. In sum, Egyptian and Mesopotamian art represented ideal artistic parameters for van der Leck -- upright color planes and emphasis on line, elemental colors and geometric shapes, the grid and diagonal orientations\(^{47}\) -- and a stepping stone for the transition from naturalism to an "extended realism" of rhythmic and colorful abstractions.

The ancient art also broadened the universal basis of his painting in terms of time and geography, contributing to the integrated oneness of van der Leck's utopian synthesis. And finally, the ceremonial peculiarities adapted from the ancient models ennobled the commonplace with heroic dignity -- an important aspect of his social ideals -- and inspired a monumental, dispassionate, and architectonic art.

Footnotes

1 I would like to thank J. J. Schonk and A. Schonk, grandsons of the artist, for bringing these as yet unnoted copies in the family papers to my attention and for patiently
answering my endless questions. I would also like to thank Mr. Ronald Feltkamp for suggesting that I look into the Egyptian influence in Bart van der Leck's art.


4References to Assyrian or Sumerian art may exist in unpublished materials. The primary sources of information about van der Leck are in restricted archives in the Netherlands Institute for Art History in the Hague and the Kröller-Müller Museum in Otterlo, both of which are presently inaccessible.


13 Letter from Mr. J. J. Schonk to author, summer 1993.


16 Although Oxenaar lists the study, it has as yet not been illustrated nor discussed. Oxenaar, "van der Leck" 1976, n.p.


18 The style was identified as Old Kingdom by Dr. James Romano, curator of Egyptian and Ancient Near Eastern Art, the Brooklyn Museum, and Dr. Maarten J. Raven, curator Egyptian art, the Antiquities Museum in Leiden, Holland.


20 Letter from A. Schonk to author, 16 August 1993.


22 Ibid.

23 Ibid.

24 Ibid.


27 Theo van Doesburg also reworked The Sower by van Gogh for Great Pastoral Scene 1921-1922, a stained glass commission at the School of Agriculture, in Drachten; the pencil and ink sketches and water color studies for "Great Pastoral Scene", 1921 are at the Rijksdienst Beeldende Kunst, The Hague. Van Doesburg was also interested in Egyptian art. He lived in Leiden and in 1917 he founded an artist group with a logo that included the Apis bull. Although both works render the body in shifting frontal and profile planes, van der Leck's is more symmetrical and heroic, while van Doesburg is interested in the interplay of planes to render a dynamic image of the
sower.

28 This figure was pointed out to me by Professor Theodore Reff.


31 Although not visible in our reproduction, an erased ghost of the same composition faces left, Oxenaar, *van der Leek 1976*, n.p.

32 van der Leek, "autobiographical memoirs," in Oxenaar, *van der Leek, 1976*, n.p. The mural art of Egypt and Assyria he had studied in the copies [III.1 and III.3] surely contributed new approaches to color and line.

33 Jim Romano noted that the van der Leck palette has the conventional colors of Egyptian New Kingdom tomb painting.

34 Composition 5 and Composition 6 were commissioned in 1914 as stained glass windows for the offices of Müller and Company, a shipping and mining enterprise. Figs. 26 and 27 seem to be studies for the stained glass, which never materialized. They are known as Study for Composition 5, but they seem to develop Composition 5 for the stained glass commission. The titles of these paintings were not given by the artist, according to Mr. J.P. Smit, who notes the different titles for the same work on the shipping labels glued to the back of the frames for the so-called Composition No. 5 and Composition No. 6. Interview with Mr. and Mrs. J. P. Smit, March 17, 1993. Van der Leck had a written contract with Mrs. Helene Kröller-Müller from 1912 until the end of World War II, which resulted in 42 paintings and almost 400 drawings in the collection of the Museum. Oxenaar, *van der Leek 1976*, n.p.

35 See fig. 18 a; and Abstraction: Towards a New Art: Painting 1910-1920, exh. cat. (London: Tate Gallery Publications, 1980), ill. 86d and 86e.


37 Carl Richard Lepsius, *Denkmaeler aus Aegypten und*
Aethiopien vol. 3 (Berlin: Nicolaische Buchverlag, 1849-59) pl. 42.

38 They were among the earliest discovered Neo-Assyrian reliefs, which were already shipped to Europe by mid-century.

39 Although Oxenaar notes the frieze-like quality of the painting and considers it to be a pure example of the artist's evolution toward a simple expression that is linear and almost geometric, he does not mention anything Egyptian about it. Oxenaar, Vdl: à la recherche, 41. The horizontal scene bracketed by two seated figures facing each other is a widespread compositional type decorating even some of the imported ivory plaques in Assyria and illustrated in Monuments of Nineveh, pl. 89. The funerary stele was reproduced in a publication by P.A.A. Boeser, Denkmäler des Neuen Reiches, vol. 3. Steilen. (The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1913), pl. 30.

40 News of the excavations of the world's oldest civilization was as sensational as the unearthing of ancient Nineveh. Louvre catalogue of 1901, quoted in Glyn Daniel, A Hundred and Fifty Years of Archaeology (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1976), 134.


43 For influence of one on the other, see Oxenaar, van der Leck 1976, n.p.


46 Piet Mondrian, "Van Doesburg," De Stijl dernier numéro (April 1932), 48-49.

Chapter IV
Piet Mondrian

I. Introduction

The "Egyptian" motifs in Mondrian's paintings are evident in Evolution 1910-11 [IV.1] and implicit in the Diamond paintings, especially the early ones, from 1918-1921 [IV.10, IV.13-IV.17], and offer insights into the enigma and purpose of these works. Moreover, the allusions to ancient Egypt suggest a continuity of artistic and iconographic concerns during and after Mondrian's critical transition from figuration to abstraction.

Such "Egyptian" motifs relate invariably to theosophy and its controversial role in Mondrian's art. The relevance of this doctrine for Mondrian's utopian art and aesthetic -- both figurative and abstract -- has remained elusive and seemingly inconsequential. Mondrian even stopped talking about theosophy except to sympathetic listeners, such as Charmion von Wiegand in the forties.2

In 1909, the year of his membership in the Theosophical Society, Mondrian indicated the importance of the spiritual in art:

...I believe that a painter’s conscious spiritual knowledge will have a much greater influence upon his art, and that it is merely a weakness in him -- or a lack of genius -- should this spiritual knowledge be harmful to his art. Should a painter progress so far that he attains definite knowledge of the finer regions through
development of the finer senses, then perhaps his art will become incomprehensible to mankind, which as yet has not come to know these finer regions.

His comments about "the painter" in all likelihood are autobiographical. Earlier in the letter he reported that he was working "within ordinary, generally known terrain" and that his art "remains still outside the occult realm". Since Mondrian was painting in a figurative style at the time he wrote the letter, his comments suggest a relationship between style and degree of spiritual awareness, equating the figurative with the comprehensible "generally known terrain," and the abstract style with the finer regions, incomprehensible to the uninitiated public.

Among the sources for this chapter are two that have received little or no attention in the literature on Mondrian. Steiner's 1908 lectures *Egyptian Myths and Mysteries* on the relevance of ancient Egypt for the modern period have been overlooked, although they correspond in important ways to Evolution. And the second source is the work of the architect Herman Walenkamp (1872-1933), Mondrian's contemporary and fellow Dutch theosophist, particularly his 1904 article on prehistoric wisdom with the diagram [IV.9] that seems pertinent to the innovative compositional design of Mondrian's first Diamond painting *Lozenge with Gray Lines*, 1918 [IV.10]. Mondrian probably knew Walenkamp personally, if not at least his work, because the architect was the vice president of the Theosophical Society and wrote articles on theosophy and art.
in esoteric magazines, such as *Theosophica* and *Architectura*.8

II. **Review of scholarship**

Four art historians note qualities in Mondrian’s painting evocative of ancient Egypt. Robert Rosenblum finds this artist’s self-portraits from 1909 reminiscent of Late Empire Faiyum portraits, and Robert Welsh attributes the spiritualized iconic expression of the self-portraits to Mondrian’s involvement with Theosophy.9 These self-portraits are precluded from this dissertation, because Faiyum portraits postdate Pharaonic Egyptian art and they are modeled, which reflects a Greek sensibility.10

Tim Threlfall proposes ancient Egyptian origins for the system of metaphysical geometry in Mondrian’s ‘plastic mathematics’,11 such as the "twelve number system" for the proportional relationship in *Lozenge Composition in a Square with Red, Yellow, and Blue* 1925 [IV.17].12 Threlfall cites Walenkamp’s above-mentioned article "Prehistoric Wisdom: On the Significance and the Aesthetic Working of the Twelve Number System," as a possible source for Mondrian’s knowledge of the system.13 However, it is unclear what this system was and what purpose it served in Egypt, and it is not clear how Threlfall formulates the corresponding proportions in Mondrian’s painting.14 Nonetheless, the writer attributes such an interest on behalf of the artist to a revival of “mystical mathematics” early in the century among Dutch artists and architects to visualize Theosophical concepts about
the cosmic order. An important distinction needs to be made, however, between the way architects use numbers to calibrate proportionate system and Mondrian's intuitive system:

As for this pure proportion, I think that when one talks about that in art it is already a foregone conclusion that that cannot be a pure mathematical proportion.  

Carel Blotkamp reports of postcards of Egyptian sculpture, in the Louvre and the National Antiquities Museum in Leiden, that Mondrian sent to the critic Steenhoff. They supposedly date from the period of Mondrian's preoccupation with Theosophy and ways to create art inspired by occult insight. Blotkamp also notes the importance of Egyptian art among Dutch theosophists and he proposes the group statue Mertitites and Khennu [IV.2], from the Old Kingdom in Leiden, as a possible source for Evolution. He observes the rigid frontality and geometric stylization these works have in common.

III. The context for Mondrian's interest in Egyptian art

A. Mondrian's statements about Egyptian art, primitive art, primitive man, the Orient, and the utopian mission of art

Even though modern Western man is the protagonist and modern Western society the setting in Mondrian's writings, he expressed himself in a dialectical manner, and his references to ancient (Egyptian) art, primitive man, and the Orient serve as antithetical points in his discussion.
Mondrian's concept of the "primitive" pertains to "Egyptian" influences, because, although he acknowledged the exceptional purity of Egyptian art, he nevertheless considered it intuitive and primitive. He used the word "primitive" in both positive and negative ways. Mondrian employed the adjectives "oppressive" and "primitive" interchangeably as he discussed the art of the past. Only one other category of art existed for him and that was the new or modern art, which he pioneered. It differed from the ancient art, because "the plastic means no longer have the natural appearance of things, and relationships no longer follow naturalistic composition." He was critical of the primitive conception of reality, because it was limited to natural, physical appearances. In his opinion naturalistic forms weaken pure plastic expression by obscuring the inherent and pure formal relationships between line, plane, and color.

Mondrian used "primitive" in a positive sense, when he claimed that "integralely human man is perfect primitive man, but become conscious," and he cited the Biblical Adam as the perfect primitive man. Primitive man, Mondrian explained, lived in harmony with the rhythm of nature and expressed it in his art. He carried "in embryo man at its [his] apogee," and consequently contained his rhythm and expressed it in his art. As he evolved he lost his natural rhythm, which upset the equilibrium between his inner natural rhythm and his outer physical rhythm. The new mentality of
modern man, however, precludes "the reconstruction of primitive and oppressive forms." 23

Mondrian did not reject past art outright, because the "profound content of art" remained the same, 24 though it was barely recognizable in the new art, because of a different plastic expression. In the following passage, the artist discusses the transitional period, when the old and new modes of expression are merged: 25

Just as the new art is now manifested amid the art of the past prolonged into our time, we see the new life being born within the culture of the past. Art can reveal how this new life is born: while liberating itself from natural oppression it frees itself from the domination of particular forms of every kind and seeks the realization of pure and equivalent relationships. 26

The metaphor of birth in his writings conveys perpetuity and a related allusion to pregnancy is subtly indicated in the seemingly expectant figures in Evolution. This would be consistent with the quoted passage and iconography of the triptych, where old (figurative) art and new (geometric) art coexist on the occasion of the new art born to the ancient one. Moreover, this interpretation of Evolution equates the figurative with outer, exoteric appearances and the geometric abstraction with hidden inner and esoteric sensations.

One of Mondrian's generalizations about the Orient 27 credits the Occident with developing a sense of empirical reality, the intellect, and the external side of life, and the Orient with cultivating inner strength, spiritual practice, and the highest wisdom of the world. Mondrian considered both
East and West necessary for "the complete evolution of humanity, which will slowly achieve equivalence of spiritual and physical culture."  

His remarks reveal old Orientalist myths that stereotype the Occident as the land of doctors and the Orient as the land of prophets, Occidental culture as materialistic and Oriental culture as spiritual. Oriental art fell short of Occidental art, Mondrian felt, because the latter achieved "freedom from the oppression of particular form, the creation of neutral forms and pure relationships, and finally, equivalent expression of the two aspects of all existence."  

Mondrian expressed the fundamental duality of his utopian philosophy in the oppositional relationships of his Neo-Plastic compositions. He believed that the utopian power of art could cultivate "the superhuman element in man and hence become a means for humanity's evolution, of equal importance as religion," by passing along "the profound content" in art from generation to generation.  

B. Concerning art and the spiritual  

By 1909, Mondrian had defined his challenging mission as an artist to create art with "a deep substratum" that those with refined occult sensibilities would recognize and appreciate. But initially he did not know "how I should develop" other than "try to attain occult knowledge for myself in order better to understand the nature of things."  

C. Mondrian and Steiner
I believe that Steiner's teachings encouraged Mondrian to converge his spiritual and artistic goals. Although Mondrian may have heard of Steiner prior to the latter's 1908 lecture tour to The Netherlands, the theosophist was still on the artist's mind in 1921—after his critical transition from figuration to abstraction and Neo-Plasticism. He wrote to Steiner from Paris to declare Neo-Plasticism as the art of the future for all true anthroposophists and theosophists. Art expresses in plastic form the evolution of life: evolution of the spirit and (but in the opposite direction) evolution of matter. It is not possible to attain this relative equilibrium other than by destroying the form and replacing it by a new plastic means—the universal.

Perhaps Mondrian wrote to Steiner to "report back" to the man who had inspired him to undertake such a utopian mission in the first place and to inform him of the successful outcome, despite the risk of his art becoming incomprehensible for the general (uninitiated) public. Steiner reproved an artist's efforts as vain and useless were he to create art for pleasure and not for the ideal spiritual development of humanity, and Mondrian's wording "finer regions" in his 1909 letter echoes Steiner's phrase in the next sentence after he condemns the vanity of art for art's sake.

Mondrian's attempt to contact Steiner in the twenties corroborates an underlying continuity of concerns between Evolution and the initial Diamond paintings. Steiner, however, never responded to the artist's letter, Mondrian reported angrily to Theo van Doesburg, though he still
acknowledged Steiner's ability to write good books and reintegrated that Neo-plasticism was "purely a theosophical art (in a true sense)." Mondrian may have appreciated Steiner's ability to teach occult philosophy in a way that was applicable to daily life. Moreover, unlike Blavatsky's abstruse multivolume tomes full of arcane information, which also served Mondrian but in a different way during this transitional period, Steiner's writings and lectures were more focused, comprehensible and available in small brochures, such as the one on "Egyptian Myths and Mysteries".

1. Egyptian Myths and Mysteries

These lectures divulge the relevance of Egyptian myths and mysteries for modern times and their critical role during occult initiation as embodiments of cosmogonic and human evolution in a peculiar, ahistorical, and esoteric saga.

In the first lecture on "Egyptian Myths and Mysteries", Steiner introduced the "spiritual connections between the culture streams of ancient and modern times" by way of "superearthly connections." Then he demonstrated the multivalence of the Isis and Osiris myths as emblematic of the cosmogonic and spiritual evolution of humans. In other words, these primordial myths were archetypal embodiments of the secret wisdom impressed on the soul by way of enigmatic forms and retained in the collective consciousness. A prominent turning point in the initiation ritual occurs when the
initiate comprehends the meaning of these myths and occult wisdom is transmitted. The soul retains, however dimly, memories of a primeval past through reincarnation from generation to generation, according to Steiner, to be awakened only during initiation with the help of the "thought-picture".

2. The thought-picture

Steiner explains the primordial origin of the thought-picture and its role in creating a feeling of unity with the universe in the pupil:

No matter how the various men might be formed, there floated before their souls, as a picture, a thing that was already present as a spiritual picture when the sun was still united with the earth. This picture emerged more and more as the meaning of the earth, as what lies spiritually at the foundation of the earth. This picture did not appear to them as this or that form, as the picture of this or that race; it appeared to them as the universal ideal of mankind...This is the One who will at least appear as the high ideal of the earth.

While contemplating the image, the neophyte travels back in time during the three and a half days of sleep. He experiences earlier (Atlantean) times, when man, Steiner writes, was "the companion of gods." He would lose his ability to perceive divine spiritual beings in the post-Atlantean period as his ego developed and he became increasingly materialistic and tied to his physical surroundings. The neophyte experiences a replay of the evolving human consciousness up to the modern era in three stages, and each stage the human ideal in the thought-picture has a different identity: first, the Earth Mother, then Isis, and
finally the Virgin Mary.  

IV. Egyptian Influences in Mondrian’s Work:

A. Evolution: Egyptian sources for the style and iconic forms

Two years after joining the Theosophical Society Mondrian painted Evolution. That the painting has something to do with initiation has long been recognized, but now details of the initiation iconography emerge in light of Egyptian Myths and Mysteries. Steiner’s text corresponds so closely to Mondrian’s painting that his narrative reads as if it were a script for the triptych.

Among the many correlations between Steiner’s text and Mondrian’s painting is the emphasis on Egypt for the initiation ritual, rather than Babylon or India. Moreover, the critical role of the second Egyptian stage in Steiner’s lectures accords with the more pronounced Egyptian appearance of the center-panel figure and with the prominent size and height of the center-panel in relation to the smaller side panels. The shifting levels of consciousness at each of the three stages, outlined by Steiner, may explain the changing gradient of the heads in Evolution -- hardly noticeable between the left and right panel and easily overlooked unless the work is seen in the original -- and the triangles on the breasts and navels and beside the women’s heads. By featuring the same woman in basically the same pose in each of the three panels, Mondrian may have wished to convey the unity, the one-
ness, and interrelatedness of all phenomena through the "superearthly" connections of reincarnated souls, that Steiner mentioned. In sum, the visual synthesis in *Evolution* displays the essential features outlined in *Egyptian Myths and Mysteries*, including the "thought-picture", the three stages of initiation, and the transfer of esoteric knowledge on the soul via secret signs.

Given the critical role of the "thought-picture" and the three anthropic stages of evolution, the triptych format of altarpieces was perfect for Mondrian's initiation piece. The three-quarter length of the androgynous figure in *Evolution* accords with the ideal human in the "thought-picture" whom Steiner described as a "combination of man and woman in which the lower part is omitted." This important figure, explains Steiner, provides access to the collective consciousness and she guides the neophyte to cosmogonic beginnings and back to the present through the three anthropic stages.

The identity of the left-panel figure in *Evolution* matches the Steinerian earth mother. She personifies the remote past, when the human body first appeared as the flower-man. The small downward pointing triangles on her breasts and navel symbolize the female principle of earth and matter, and they strategically mark areas of the body affected the most during pregnancy. Presumably she is expecting the flower-man to whom the pair of crimson-and-black flower-shapes next to the head of the earth-mother appear to refer as well.
The triangles in the flower-shapes point in the same downward direction as those on the body of the earth-mother, and thereby visually link the iconic forms outside her body to her person in an intimate way. Moreover the black center of the crimson-shapes brings to mind Steiner’s comment about the dark but clairvoyant human consciousness at the time of the flower-man (the Lemurian period),\(^49\) when humans enjoyed the company of gods.\(^50\)

The Egyptian motifs in the center-panel parallel the next stage of initiation described by Steiner, even though the preferred sequence for reading the triptych has been: left, right, and then center. But the larger dimension and greater height of the center panel relative to the side-panels, the open eyes and triangular configurations around her head distinguish her from her neighbors; and finally the brilliant yellow and white colors in the center-panel evoke the eye-opening moment when the adept realizes the archetypal meaning of Egyptian myths.\(^51\)

The myth of Osiris and Seth personify light and air, writes Steiner, as well as anatomical changes in humans, enabling them to breathe:

This new thing, which previously was impossible was the breathing of air... Now man felt the light again in his dull consciousness. He could feel what streamed down in it as divine forces coming toward him. When the Egyptian soul experienced within itself how the formerly united stream divided itself into light and air, the cosmic event became a symbolic picture for the soul.\(^52\)

The initiate reenacts and remembers this phase of the
enlightened Egyptian soul as a symbolic picture in his mind, Steiner asserts, and Mondrian depicts this special awareness by portraying the center-panel figure with open eyes and with luminous geometric emanations around her head. Moreover, the brilliant yellow shaft of light funneling into the deep blue behind her and the way the yellow brightens into finer tonalities of white around her head is a dramatic image of enlightenment.

The sharp definition and prominence of geometry is unprecedented in Mondrian’s painting prior to this picture. Replacing the flower-emblems of the left-panel are two radiant white circles enclosing crisp upward-pointing triangles. Similar triangles represent the supreme, universal soul in Madame Blavatsky’s diagram of esoteric symbols [IV.3].

The "Egyptian" look of the figure in the center-panel is readily apparent when compared to a drawing of the same model in [IV.4]. The soft, rounded forms of her breasts, abdomen, and hair are solid and chiseled in the painted work. Instead of a fleshy face and fluffy coiffure, she has an angular face framed by translucent triangular configurations similar to the Enlightened Figure c. 1895 [IV.5] by Walenkamp. But her rigid pose and wide-eyed stare recall Egyptian statues, the likes of Mertitites and Khennu [IV.2] or the Theban priestess Imertnebes [IV.6], which date from the Old and Middle Kingdom, respectively, in the Antiquities
Museum in Leiden. Mondrian travelled frequently to Leiden for his freelance work and most likely knew these sculptures, which were among the earliest acquisitions by the Museum.\textsuperscript{56}\ The figures in the Egyptian sculpture and Mondrian's triptych have in common a pronounced geometry, which is rounded in the former and (tri)angular in the latter; the frontal view and rigidly held arms at their sides reveal additional similarities. Even the idea of triangles on the breasts and navels in \textit{Evolution} may be a variation on the clearly delineated navel, breasts, and pubic triangles showing through the dress of the Egyptian examples. But the women in \textit{Evolution} are all nude, for presumably their archetypal role as ideal universal humans would preclude clothing as too particular.

Moreover, the sameness of the poses and square expressionless faces and chin-length mass of hair of the women in Mertitites and Khennu are also evident in \textit{Evolution}, and two of the three figures in both threesomes are identical. The woman Meritites appears twice in the sculpture that portrays the \textit{ka} -- the vital spiritual force of the deceased -- a fact that would have made the sculpture all the more suitable as a model for Mondrian, assuming he knew about it, to depict the cycle of reincarnated souls encountered by the initiate during the ritual.

Imertebes also displays similarities with the center-panel figure, including her long neck, intelligent-
looking face, and smile. The artifice of the wig over Imertebes' hairless scalp is akin to the awkward way the triangular emanations hover around the bare head of the center-panel figure in *Evolution*. The fact that Imertebes was a priestess may have qualified her all the more as a model for the iconic "thought-picture" during the Egyptian cycle of initiation. Mondrian's attraction to such a figurine is suggested by a 1912 postcard of the Priestess Toui [IV.7], a New Kingdom sculpture in the Louvre, he sent to Steenhoff from Paris, a year after he completed the triptych.

The identity of the center-panel figure is doubtless Isis, the designated guardian and priestess of occult wisdom. Steiner explained her significance as embodyingies the spiritual consciousness of ancient Egypt and present with child:

A deep mystery, heavily veiled, manifests itself in the figure of Isis, the lovable goddess who, in the spiritual consciousness of the ancient Egyptian, was present with the Horus child as our Madonna is present today with the Jesus child. In the fact that this Isis is presented to us as something bearing the eternal within it, we are again reminded of our feeling in contemplating the Madonna. We must see deep mysteries in Isis, mysteries that are grounded in the spiritual. The Madonna is a remembrance of Isis: Isis appears again in the Madonna. This is one of the connections that I spoke of. We must learn to recognize with our feelings the deep mysteries that show a superearthly connection between ancient Egypt and our modern culture.

The quoted passage reveals the persona in the right-panel as the Virgin Mary with child. Unlike the single triangles on the neighboring figures, diamonds mark the breasts and navel of the Madonna figure and interlocked triangles --
the theosophical star\textsuperscript{59} -- emanate next to her head. The conjoined triangles in this panel suggest a union and balance of oppositional relationships, such as male and female and spirit and matter.

The right-panel figure is also the most visibly pregnant -- again best observed in the original, because the fine tonal gradations modeling her abdomen do not reproduce well. Although nobody else notes the allusion to pregnancy in Evolution, it is logical in view of the importance of procreation to the subject-matter of evolution and initiation; Steiner's and Mondrian's repeated reference to birth and new life; the three mother goddesses and their offsprings from early beginnings to the present; the three stages in the triptych and the three trimesters to pregnancy's term. Moreover, such a metaphor in the triptych serves Mondrian in several ways, to show that "art can reveal how this new life is born",\textsuperscript{60} to represent the transmission of the "profound content in art", and to reveal the relationship between new and past art.

Despite the prominence of the center-panel, the icons in the third panel signify completion of the occult initiation cycle and attainment of equilibrium at this final stage, which is in keeping with Mondrian's view that modern man is the most balanced and the most advanced. The artist invented his iconic equivalent for the six-pointed star -- adjoined upward and downward pointing triangles forming
diamonds or "lozenges", as he called them. Their nascent debut in the right-panel of Evolution ripen over the next seven years into full fledged Diamond paintings in 1918.61

B. The intervening years 1911 to 1917

Between Evolution and the first Diamond paintings, Mondrian experimented first with Cubism, but after mastering it, he criticized it for being limited to empirical reality, and embarked on a new course. The work and artistic ideas of his new friend Bart van der Leck, an unknown painter in 1916, provided Mondrian with intriguing alternatives that culminated in the distinctive diamond paintings. By reworking the important transitional Plus-and-Minus painting Composition in Line, 1916-17 [IV.20] Mondrian ended his Cubist phase and commenced a new course.62 The artist detailed the changes he made on the painting, "I took that black and white one in hand, made the ground whiter and made some changes in the lines," and expressed satisfaction that "...this work shows the spiritual so well etc. etc."63 But he did not explain how this abstraction expresses the spiritual, which is important for understanding the basis of Mondrian’s repeated assertion that Neo-Plasticism is theosophical art in 1921. How could these abstractions reflect Theosophy?

Welsh traces the cross and oval geometry in Mondrian’s Cubist-inspired Plus-and-Minus compositions from 1912 until 1917, such as Sea (Starry Sky above the Sea) 1914 [IV.8], to Blavatsky’s diagram, and shows the continued impor-
tance of theosophy in Mondrian’s work. Blavatsky specified the cross as a primordial Egyptian sign, an error Welsh corrected to be a Greek cross. For Mondrian, however, her mistake may have validated the cross as an ideal universal sign with the proper Egyptian pedigree to represent the super-earthly link between ancient Egypt and modern times, between East and West, and between the spiritual and physical cultures.

Blavatsky’s own words about the "Egyptian" cross are worth noting:

The vertical line being the male principle, and the horizontal the female, out of the union of the two at the intersection point is formed the CROSS; the oldest symbol in the Egyptian history of Gods.

How did she know that the cross is the oldest divine symbol of Egyptian gods? Because in fact it is not. But the factual accuracy of her statement is irrelevant, as long Mondrian believed the myth about the cross.

Mondrian’s continued preoccupation with Theosophy during his Cubist phase and his likely association of the cross with ancient "Egypt" may have been more important than has been assumed and indicative of the spiritual content he perceived in Composition in Line. For reworking this canvas, he eliminated distracting illusions of depth and crystallized the vertical and horizontal and cross motifs that he later expanded into the all-over pattern of the Diamond paintings.

C. Diamond Paintings 1918-1925

In this context and in view of the artist’s wish to
create art about the "deep substratum" underlying "the nature of things" and the interrelatedness of all things, it is difficult not to see the diamond as an ideal form. For it implicitly contains opposing triangles and the cross motif when opposite corners are connected and the perimeter erased, and vice versa in Lozenge with Grey Lines [IV.10].

While the play of geometry in the pattern may seem elemental, for Mondrian the design offered a synthesis of all the important motifs, the transcendental signs he had found over the years, and a new compositional approach to realize his artistic and spiritual concerns. The innovative abstract composition of Lozenge with Grey Lines marks a more radical departure than Composition in Line, because the point of departure of the former was an abstract pattern and because of its distinctive reductive and expansive potential. Moreover, the diamond painting manifests the fundamental structural principles of Neo-Plasticism that Mondrian developed in the next diamond paintings [IV.10, IV.13-IV.15].

Two "Egyptian" precedents for the distinctive design in Lozenge with Grey Lines [IV.10] come to mind in addition to the ones mentioned for the Diamond paintings by other writers. One of them is a diagram [IV.9] in Walenkamp's article on "Prehistoric Wisdom". The diagram outlines how the square bisected by horizontal, vertical, and diagonal lines generates a square and diamond grid based on the triangle as common denominator. In fact this geometric
progression pertains to the Great Pyramid at Giza, particularly when considered from an aerial perspective. I also found related geometric diagrams to [IV.9] in another primordial source for occult wisdom, a Babylonian clay tablet [IV.11] c. 1700 B.C., in the British Museum; the Akkadian text indicates the diagrams to be part of elemental mathematical exercises. Thus the common knowledge of these geometric principles in the ancient world may be the basis for Walenkamp's attribution of the proportionate design in fig. [IV.9] to Egypt.71

In any event, the diamond canvas in Lozenge with Grey Lines [IV.10] echoes the large diamond in the center of Walenkamp's illustration. Both Mondrian and Walenkamp create equivalent relationships between the triangle and the square and their patterned progression. Whereas Walenkamp's illustration remains a diagram, the ingenious nuances the artist introduced in the painting add visual complexity to the design and distinguish the work as one of Mondrian's best.

The visual equivalences of shapes, patterns, and black and white gradations in Lozenge with Grey Lines [IV.10], another work that must be seen in the original for the full visual impact, creates a strong rhythm of opposing relationships and afterimages from the color contrasts. This rhythm reproduces the pattern in the mind of the viewer even beyond the canvas onto the wall.72 Mondrian facilitated this imaginary transition by displaying his paintings on a platform
rather than enclosed within a frame. Opening his work in this manner to the exterior world is critical to the utopian aspect of his work, because of the inevitable micro-and macro-cosmic relationship between canvas and architectural plane and between the art and the viewer.\textsuperscript{73} \textit{Evolution} displays a similar interior-exterior relationship within the canvas between the geometric forms on the women and those next to her head, which the artist extended to occur in the environment outside the Diamond paintings.

Although the grid is a Cubist structural device, in Mondrian's work the grid is also mythic.\textsuperscript{74} The artist makes the implicit grid of Cubism explicit and into an all-over universal pattern in \textit{Lozenge with Grey Lines} that extends beyond the canvas both rhythmically and through afterimages on the wall behind it. In this painting, Mondrian developed van der Leck's concept of "free and fixed" compositional elements closer to his utopian philosophy about the duality of "permanence and change" as fundamental cosmic oppositions.\textsuperscript{75} The lines of varied thickness, and tone produce fugitive, twinkling emanations from their points of intersection, making it difficult to contemplate the whole painting all at once. Despite the "fixed" grid structure, the elusive "free" effects rouse the eye to an endless chase around the canvas.

The stellar effect of this composition has been linked to Mondrian's extensive discussion of stars in his writings.\textsuperscript{76} Although the analysis of this effect has been
primarily formal, Carmean suspects a cosmic significance in what he calls Mondrian's "starry sky" diamonds, which is plausible given the theosophical belief in the direct affinity between man and the stars. At death, the spirit returns to the astral world and the body disintegrates into earth. The Egyptians believed that the souls of the deceased went on a nightly journey and returned by dawn. Star clocks, found on coffin lids from the Ninth to Twelfth Dynasties and on astronomical ceilings in royal tombs, served the ritualistic purpose of telling the dead the time, based on the position of the stars, when the soul of the deceased embarked on its nightly journey. The Egyptians plotted their stars using a twelve-number system that produced designs like the one in fig. [IV.12]; the five-pointed stars on these expansive grids are anthropomorphic representations, in which the points correspond to the head, arms, and legs. Could the constant grid and changing star pattern of the Egyptian star clocks have inspired a viable conceptual and compositional solution for Mondrian to express the immutable and changeable and the significance of stars as human souls?

I think they did so, basing myself on the following statements by Mondrian and on the grid and star patterns in Lozenge with Grey Lines [IV.10], which could be compared to the Egyptian prototype. If the seated figure of the Egyptian model is disregarded, it is conceivable that the star and grid pattern served as a departure for the new figure-ground rela-
tionship Mondrian developed in the next five Diamond paintings.

Referring to the stars, Mondrian noted:

the starry sky shows us innumerable points not all equally emphasized: one star twinkles more than another. And now again these unequal light values engender forms. Just think of constellations: these too are forms.80

He also explained new compositional possibilities inspired by observing the stars and the way they fill the space, accentuating relationships more than individual forms:

...the stars are determinate sources of light no less than the moon. But the stars have the advantage of appearing as points and not as form, like the moon. The multitude of stars produces a more complete expression of relationship. As I said earlier, the primordial relationship must be plastically expressed in multiplicity to make us see it as living reality.81

At this stage Mondrian expressed his thoughts on how best to show the primordial relationships:

Simply to represent the horizontal and the vertical as a unity would naturally not be art: it would at best be a symbol. Furthermore, the primordial relationship in itself represents, for us at least, something relatively determined by ourselves.... Now we can see that there is another "reality" beyond trivial human activity. We now clearly see its insignificance, for here all separateness ceases. We see an individual whole; and in contrast to the changeability of human will, we now contemplate the immutable.... The universal now comes to the fore...82

This quoted passage reveals the cosmic significance of the grid and how the "fixed and free" elements would transform the grid into a universal form. The passage also discloses his reasons for abandoning the Cubist scaffold of Sea (Starry Sky Above the Sea) [IV.8] in favor of the extended grid in the Diamond paintings. The latter was better suited to represent-
ing the "immutable" universal for Mondrian, the iconic triangle and Diamond variants, and primordial significance of the night sky.

Mondrian's writings reflect the occult belief that stars are the souls of the deceased, and Steiner traced the souls of those living in the modern period to ancient Egypt during the Egyptian cultural epoch, that the same souls are in us which at that time looked up at the gigantic pyramids and the enigmatic sphinxes....Let us remember that our souls were in Egyptian bodies. This is quite correct; our souls were incorporated in these bodies that became mummies. We know that when man, after death, is freed from his physical and etheric bodies, he has a different consciousness; he is by no means unconscious in the astral world.83

The progressive grid based on a triangular module structures the next Diamond compositions as well. Using the reduced reproductions of the first six Diamond paintings, in Carmean's exhibition catalogue, I was able to compare their scaffolds by superimposing a photocopy of Lozenge with Gray Lines on the other five compositions. Carmean notes the obvious evolution of the second and third diamond canvases from the grid of Lozenge with Gray Lines,84 but despite superficial changes in design, canvas and grid dimensions,85 the proportions also apply to Composition in Diamond Shape, and only gradually change in Diamond Composition, 1921 [IV.16] and Diamond Painting in a Square with Red, Yellow, and Blue 1921-1925 [IV.17].

Having explored the new compositional approach in at least three consecutive works -- at first just in black and
white and then with color -- Mondrian internalized the grid and no longer needed to adhere to the earlier proportionate format, which would have been too limiting for him and out of character.

By 1921, Mondrian had formulated the principles of Neo-Plasticism and the iconic role of the diamonds shape, which he retained throughout his career, whether in his most reductive painting *Lozenge Composition with Two Black Lines*, 1931 [IV.18] or in his most complex epitomized by *Victory Boogie-Woogie*, 1942-44. Consistent with the last two examples, on the whole, the Diamond paintings are more audacious and disclose his new artistic revelations almost by a decade in advance, as *Lozenge Composition with Four Yellow Lines*, 1933 [IV.19] exemplifies. Here, the black verticals and horizontals are replaced by a pure equivalence of color and line relationships.

The spiritual significance of the Diamond paintings is also suggested in the way Mondrian hung the paintings on the wall. On the back of *Lozenge Composition with Four Yellow Lines* [IV.19], he specified that it should be hung "in such a way that the center is not lower than eye level when the viewer is standing -- if possible the lower angle should be at eye level -- PM."86 Based on this note and the way he installed *Lozenge with Grey Lines* [IV.10] in his studio, all of the Diamond paintings hang above eye level, which lifts the viewer's gaze upward and enhances the already intrinsic ela-
tion produced by these composition.

V. Conclusion

Mondrian also raised the center-panel of Evolution and Composition in Line [IV.21] in relation to his other paintings, which reinforces the connection of these two works with the Diamond paintings they have in common because of the "Egyptian". Moreover, these works highlight major turning points in Mondrian’s spiritual and artistic mission. The personal link between Mondrian and his art, proposed in this chapter, provide further insights into the role of theosophy in his work and the significance of his utopian art for the viewing public.

Theosophy provided both direction and reasons for Mondrian to seek esoteric sources that confirmed the Egyptian origin for the universal and archetypal motifs that he assimilated at a fundamental level in his work. By 1921, theosophy "had become implicit to his life" and to his art. And he appears to fulfill his artistic mission for humanity by creating ever finer rhythmic cadences of lines and colors. The synaesthetic effect in his paintings would open the viewer’s spirit to ever higher levels of refinement necessary to realize the oneness and unity of all things, and create peace and harmony among all.

The reductive and abstract nature of Mondrian’s art reflects the fundamental dynamic duality of this interrelatedness. Inspired by his own initiation and Steiner’s advice to
dedicate his art to the spiritual advancement of humanity, Mondrian appears to have painted Evolution, the largest work in his oeuvre, as an initiation piece for the public. The monumental triptych may be viewed as both a gateway for the public and a keystone in Mondrian's own spiritual and artistic evolution, separating figurative images for the uninitiated from abstract compositions for the initiates.

Despite the occult angle of Mondrian's Orientalism and interest in Egypt and the hermetic basis of his radical new style, his paintings have been regarded as icons of modernist purity. Thus while the esoteric references reflect old myths of Orientalism, at the same time Mondrian's innovative style is an outstanding manifestation of the new phase of Orientalism.
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Conclusion

The questions posed at the beginning of this dissertation led me to examine the compelling role of ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern motifs in the innovative art of Gauguin, Toorop, van der Leck, and Mondrian in Europe at the turn of the century. The images in their art are unlike those of previous Orientalist paintings, and mark important stages along a transition from realism to abstraction in European art. Though different motivations brought the four artists to use such motifs, their artistic syntheses reflect collective European notions about the ancient Orient. The motifs are integral to the artists' shared moral concerns and utopian ideals about the potential of art to communicate social and spiritual values. The refined aesthetic power, economy of means, and epic structure of ancient Oriental art made it no less appealing than the received truths about it -- the myths of Orientalism -- that had initially drawn the artists to it. The preceding chapters lay bare the intricate legacy of ancient Egyptian, Assyrian, Sumerian, and Persian art to the new form and inspirational content of the artists' images. Whatever their individualistic approach to the ancient motifs, in many ways their use of them echoes the sentiments and work of assorted 19th-century creative figures -- composers (Wag-
ner), philosophers (Nietzsche), spiritualists (Péladan, Blavatsky, Steiner, Massey), cleric (Lenz) -- together with savants in ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern archaeology (Lepsius, Ebers, Prisse d'Avennes, Layard, Champollion, and Dieulafoy). Rarely discussed in relation to the selected artists, the relevance of this international artistic and intellectual connection to Symbolist and de Stijl artist is one of the findings of this dissertation.

These four artists hitherto never associated, have enough in common to be linked. For the use of ancient motifs reveals personal and artistic insights that were integral to expressing their innermost beliefs, which emerged as a result of introspection and artistic transition. Formulated through self-reflection, the ancient motifs gained collective significance when the artists elevated their personal experience into utopian solutions for society at large.

The dichotomy between the individual and collective humanity concerned all four artists and was succinctly stated in the first de Stijl manifesto, which van der Leck and Mondrian helped formulate:

There is an old and a new consciousness of time.
The old is connected with the individual
The new is connected with the universal
The struggle of the individual against the universal
is revealing itself in the world war as well as in
the art of the present day.¹

The messianic tone of this passage characterizes the convictions all four artists, voiced in their self-appointed
capacity as visionary social reformers through their art. Gauguin and the three Dutch artists epitomize the popular role of artist as priest of a better universal culture at the turn of the century, a role predicted by Wagner, promoted by Sâr Pêladan, Theosophists, and other esoteric groups, and exemplified by Gauguin, the initiate.

Whether he was or was not an initiate, Gauguin and the others realised the metaphysical potential of his doctrine of Synthetism and primitivism. Ancient Oriental motifs were indispensable for novel forms and structures in the four artists' work. In addition to Gauguin’s shift from mimesis to invented forms that are not as comprehensible as in academic art, ancient Oriental motifs had connotative and artistic precedents for multicultural and pictographic syntheses.

Ancient Oriental art and the close relationship between art and writing, especially in Egyptian art, restored a primal pictographic role to the illusionistic model of art. The new, alternative mode offered a novel visual language sufficiently flexible to create pictorial equivalents for the multivalent forms of the psyche. From the seminally Symbolist images of Gauguin and Toorop to the geometric abstractions of van der Leck and Mondrian, ancient Oriental motifs were instrumental in progressing from mimetic form to abstracted notations.

However different, the resulting notations consisted of integrated and reductive elements, seen from various points
of view and concerned with universal truths and forms. Varied racial, social, and literary implications enrich the four artists' individual efforts and enhance the impact of their art on the viewer. Collectively, the work of these four artists reflects a fascination with the psyche from artistic, spiritual, or scientific vantages. Speculations about the origins of the psyche, its evolution, and susceptibility to abstract signs flourished in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. An essential goal of theirs was to reach and affect the public, contrary to the common identification of the four artists discussed here with a solipsistic attitude of "art for art's sake".

By restoring pictographic forms to art, the artists devised ways to reunite text and image to create novel pictorial syntaxes. The primordial connotation of the ancient Orient in European culture made it indispensable for representing eternal time and space both symbolically and structurally. The decorative grandeur, monumentality, and alternative use of color and line to academic art inspired new figuration and figure-and-ground relationships for representing the various realms of the psyche in flat and pictographic forms.2

The four artists used ancient Oriental motifs as an antithesis to decadent European culture and to give pictorial structure to an elemental duality common to all of them. Gauguin and Toorop manipulated ancient Oriental motifs to
represent the fundamental duality of their philosophy; Toorop went a step further by assimilating the axially inherent to much of ancient Oriental art. That axially remained submerged under Toorop's profuse linearity as a structural matrix of his work, then it emerged as an oppositional structure to the exclusion of recognizable figurative motifs in van der Leck's and finally in Mondrian's work.

Each of the four artists transformed the ancient Oriental motifs for his individual spiritual, ethical, and psychological needs. The resulting expressive schemes ranged from figurative symbols in the moral allegories of Gauguin, Toorop, and Mondrian, to iconic gestalts in the abstractions of van der Leck and Mondrian, to abstracted pictorial motifs of line and color in the works of all these artists.

All three schemes mentioned above are part of the ingrained European myth of the primordial Orient that Gauguin's call to principal truths and return to primitive purity to regenerate art and society triggered in Toorop, van der Leck, and Mondrian. And all three schemes contributed to the universal element in their work, whether in the form of archetypal motives or musical expression. Ancient Oriental art offered alternatives to the descriptive role of color and line, releasing them into expressive pictorial elements with subliminal powers.

**Dissimilarities in their Orientalism**

Individual differences in the use of ancient Orien-
tal motifs divide the four artists into two camps on generational, artistic, and religious grounds. Gauguin and Toorop, both Catholics of sorts, depicted universal spiritual themes in predominantly curvilinear allegorical images, while van der Leek and Mondrian, of Protestant background, stripped detail down to elemental rectilinear compositions.

Egyptian, Mesopotamian, and Persian motifs in Gauguin’s work served various symbolic and pictorial purposes, shaping his multiple alter egos as a primitive, a prophet, a demon, or a god. The Orient was part of his opposition to Western culture and his dualistic thinking: good versus evil, male versus female, Occidental versus Oriental, civilized versus primitive. Gauguin mined the pictographic character of Egyptian hieroglyphs and art and the decorative flair of line and color in Achaemenid art. He also used Egyptian motifs in an archetypal fashion. His egocentric fantasy of a new superior race involved casting his Maori mistress in an Egyptian pose as an archetypal goddess.

Toorop’s assimilation of Egyptian motifs was in some ways broader and deeper than Gauguin’s, although Toorop’s referents seem limited to ancient Egypt, not the ancient Near East. The motifs are evident in the multiple personae of his allegorical characters in specific images, including the lotus flowers, uraeus, mourning women, and the collosi. These motifs are based on clichés, universal by default and valued by Toorop as archetypal motifs. Appreciating the musical as well
as the hieroglyphic qualities of Egyptian art, he liberated line to flow, meander, and echo around an axial core. His frequent travels and tireless zeal in promoting social reform through art influenced Dutch, Belgian, and Austrian artists in their thinking.

Also influential, mostly among de Stijl artists, van der Leek made important contributions to modern art. More secular than spiritual compared to the other three artists, van der Leek shows the broadest assimilation of ancient Oriental art, due to his detailed study of ancient Egypt, Assyria, and Sumer.

His unique path to abstraction was primarily through the model of ancient Egyptian and Near Eastern art, instead of the more conventional route through Fauvism and Cubism. In search of elemental structures, purity in art, and reintegration of it with architecture, van der Leck at first painstakingly copied the ancient models and then used them to systematically peel away layers of representation of contemporary scenes, arriving at a geometric core suitable for the fundamental duality of his "free and fixed" concepts. By submerging figuration within this general artistic scheme, he fused East and West as well as past, present and future ideals.

Mondrian's interest in Egyptian art sheds additional light on the controversial esoteric factor in his work. His lifelong involvement in Theosophy was particularly intense
during his transition from figuration to abstraction. Theosophy helped him chart his personal and artistic mission in life to refine the senses and bring about individual and world unity and harmony. Egyptian motifs mark critical changes in his development, leading from an emphasis on external materialism to a different and integrated form of materialism. By treating art as matter, consisting of lines, forms, and colors in relation to one another, to the wall, and to the viewer, rather than an illusionistic representation, Mondrian paradoxically hoped to bring affect something as immaterial as the soul.

**A new Orientalism**

Though ancient Oriental sources were only one set of a variety of non-Western and non-classical conventions, Gauguin, Toorop, van der Leck, and Mondrian explored the potential of ancient Oriental art in unprecedented ways that distinguish their Orientalism from other Orientalist paintings and make it relevant to the primitivist impulse at the turn of the century. The distinguishing factors lie not so much in recognizable motifs or the popular myths as in the pictorial and symbolic significance of their synthesis, rooted in the ancient Orient.

**Future research**

The interdisciplinary nature of my topic has raised many more questions than the focus of the dissertation has allowed me to answer. The topic can thus be developed along
many lines. One such possibility is to eventually present the original eleven artists and their interest in the ancient Orient.

Another possibility is to examine the reception of ancient Oriental art by examining the aesthetic philosophy of Blanc, Owen, Hubert de Superville, Nietzsche, Jan van Vlooten, Prisse d'Avennes, and other important tastemakers. Such an examination would invariably clarify the significance of Orientalism within the broader impulse to primitivism at the turn of the century.
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