Personal exposures to fine particulate matter and black carbon in households cooking with biomass fuels in rural Ghana
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Evidence links biomass burning to increased risk of acute lower respiratory infections in children and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in adults, and epidemiologic studies have also linked biomass burning to lung...
cancer, asthma, tuberculosis, cardiac outcomes, cataracts, blindness, infant mortality, low weight babies and prenatal mortality (Bruce et al., 2000; Warwick and Doig, 2004; Boy et al., 2002; Ezzati and Kammen, 2002; von Shirindt et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2000; Malvalankar et al., 1991; : Albalak et al., 1999, 2001).

The continued reliance on solid cooking fuels is also an important environmental concern due to deforestation and emissions of black carbon and greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrogen dioxide (Ramanathan et al, 2007; Rehfues et al., 2006).

Black carbon, or soot, is emitted to the atmosphere from incomplete combustion of biomass and fossil fuels, and composed of fine particles of mostly elemental carbon that absorbs solar radiation thereby accelerating rising atmospheric temperatures as well as increasing snow and ice melt (Ramanathan et al, 2007; Highwood and Kinnersley, 2006; Ramanathan and Feng, 2008; Roden et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2009).

This study assessed the exposure to particulate matter and black carbon through detailed kitchen area and personal air monitoring, and related these exposures to cooking practices and behaviors in a rural area of Ghana. Although several prior studies have measured personal and kitchen area concentrations of particulate matter using a variety of methods (e.g., Ezzati et al., 2000; Dionisio et al., 2008), this study used both real-time and integrated techniques to investigate the levels and patterns of exposure in cooks who used a variety of kitchen types with regards to implied air exchange (enclosed, semi-enclosed and outdoor).

The study took place in rural central Ghana, where a significant fraction of people cook outdoors or in other well-ventilated spaces, which produce a wide range of exposures in a setting of fairly uniform fuel use and economic circumstances. The findings from the current study add to the small quantitative literature on cook-smoke exposures in sub-Saharan Africa, and lay a foundation for interventions to reduce exposures and corresponding adverse health impacts in this setting.

2. Materials and methods

The study protocol and questionnaires were approved by Columbia University Medical Center Institutional Review Boards and the Kintampo Health Research Centre's Scientific Review Board and Institutional Ethics Committee. All study participants provided written informed consent.

2.1. Study site

The study was carried out by a joint team from Columbia University and the Kintampo Health Research Centre, one of the three health research centers of the Ghana Health Service. The team worked in the Kintampo North and South districts of the Brong Ahafo Region in central Ghana, where the Kintampo Health Research Surveillance System was established in 2003 to determine and track the longitudinal dynamics of the resident population; documenting the age, sex distribution, population size and composition in the Brong Ahafo Region in central Ghana, where the Kintampo Health Research Centre is located.

2.2. Study design

A cooking survey was developed for 440 households. In a subset of 36 households, an exposure survey and detailed area and personal exposure monitoring of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon were conducted. All surveys were back translated into Twi and pre-tested for conceptual equivalence with bilingual English/Twi speakers. Data were gathered between July and December 2007 by a team of researchers from Columbia University and Kintampo Health Research Centre.

2.3. Household survey and population

A random sample of 440 households was selected. The selection procedure first randomly drew 22 villages from the 159 villages in the Kintampo Health and Demographic Surveillance System. Subsequently, 20 households were randomly selected in each village. A pre-tested and detailed questionnaire was administered by trained bilingual local field staff. The questionnaire captured kitchen, fuel and stove types, average lifetime and daily cooking time, other environmental exposures (including tobacco smoke, commercial or farm pilot cooking, coal production, kerosene lanterns and mosquito coils), and household demographic and economic measures.

A study sensitization team visited each village and explained the study to local leaders prior to the survey implementation. A trained fieldworker administered the household questionnaire to the head of household or his/her representative after three consecutive household visits, no further visits were made.

2.4. Exposure assessment and population

A subset of 36 households was selected using a two-stage sampling method. Ten of the 22 villages involved in the household survey were randomly selected, then 36 households were randomly sampled within those villages using a random number generator. The selected households were equally stratified based on kitchen location (enclosed, semi-enclosed, outdoor), thus representing 12 households from each of the kitchen location strata. An "enclosed" kitchen is defined as fully enclosed, with four walls reaching up to meet a ceiling/roof; a "semi-enclosed" kitchen is partially enclosed by walls (i.e. less than four walls) or a roof only; and an "outdoor" kitchen is completely and not surrounded by any walls and/or roof. The household head or his/her representative identified the primary adult cook of the household. Consent was obtained from the primary adult cook in each household.

In each of the 36 households, researchers administered a detailed survey both before and after exposure monitoring to capture kitchen, fuel and stove types, as well as cooking duration, behavior, weather and other smoke exposures during monitoring (including tobacco smoke, commercial cooking, charcoal production, kerosene lanterns, fish smoking and mosquito coils). While non-smoking households were given an eligibility criterion before exposure monitoring took place, no households were rejected if tobacco use was reported during the exposure monitoring period. In addition to the questionnaire data, the research team collected one integrated 24-h kitchen area sample of particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$), as well as one integrated and one real-time 24-h personal sample. The filter samples were collected on membrane filters (Gelman, Inc.). Air was pulled using a portable, battery-operated BGI air pump operating at 1.5 l/min ± 10%. Flow rates were checked and recorded at the beginning and end of each sampling event using a rotameter (SKC Inc.: sample durations were recorded both manually (digital watch) and directly (elapsed timer on the pumps). The area sampling equipment was housed in a weatherproof case, with tubing connected to the cyclone in a weatherproof cap made from conductive plastic. A格外的 filter was cut to collect an integrated 24-h PM$_{2.5}$ sample, and then by a battery operated TSI Sidepak SP530 (TSI Inc.) vacuum pump operating at 1.5 l/min ± 10% (Photo 2). The personal air sampling system was fitted in a pack and worn around the participant’s waist before and after exposure monitoring to the cyclone, filter to collect PM$_{2.5}$, and black carbon. The collection location of the ambient filters was removed from all biomass sources and provided a secure setting for multiple-day monitoring. All filter weights were adjusted using field blanks.

Personal concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ were assessed using a portable air sampling system worn by the primary cook. The personal sampling system consisted of a Triplex cyclone (BGI Inc.) clipped to the participant’s clothing near her breathing zone, with an inlet tube linking the cyclone to a real-time optical aerosol monitor, the Personal Data Pump (PDR 1200, Thermo Electron Corp) that recorded PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in 60-s intervals. The PDR was followed by a Teflon filter to collect an integrated 24-h PM$_{2.5}$ sample, and then by a battery operated TSI Sidepak SP530 (TSI Inc.) vacuum pump operating at 1.5 l/min ± 10% (Photo 2). The personal air sampling system was fitted in a pack and worn around the participant’s waist before and after exposure monitoring to the cyclone, filter to collect PM$_{2.5}$, and black carbon. The collection location of the ambient filters was removed from all biomass sources and provided a secure setting for multiple-day monitoring. All filter weights were adjusted using field blanks.

Personal concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ were assessed using a portable air sampling system worn by the primary cook. The personal sampling system consisted of a Triplex cyclone (BGI Inc.) clipped to the participant’s clothing near her breathing zone, with an inlet tube linking the cyclone to a real-time optical aerosol monitor, the Personal Data Pump (PDR 1200, Thermo Electron Corp) that recorded PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in 60-s intervals. The PDR was followed by a Teflon filter to collect an integrated 24-h PM$_{2.5}$ sample, and then by a battery operated TSI Sidepak SP530 (TSI Inc.) vacuum pump operating at 1.5 l/min ± 10% (Photo 2). The personal air sampling system was fitted in a pack and worn around the participant’s waist before and after exposure monitoring to the cyclone, filter to collect PM$_{2.5}$, and black carbon. The collection location of the ambient filters was removed from all biomass sources and provided a secure setting for multiple-day monitoring. All filter weights were adjusted using field blanks.

2.5. Data quality control

Data from the household questionnaire were checked for completeness, accuracy, and consistency prior to double data entry conducted at Kintampo Health Research Centre. After sampling, real-time data from each PDR were downloaded by a Kintampo Health Research Centre technician for analysis and quality assurance, ensuring sampling equipment had not malfunctioned and monitoring data had been successfully logged over the 24-h period. Filter cassettes were removed from the cyclone, sealed in the cassette, stored in double Ziploc bags and shipped to...
Columbia University, where filters were analyzed for mass (PM$_{2.5}$) and black carbon. Filters were weighed pre- and post-sampling on a microbalance (Mettler UMX2) after being conditioned in a HEPA-filtered, temperature controlled (72 ± 2°F)/humidity controlled (40 ± 2%RH) environment for at least 24 h (by cracking the Petri-slide cover) and statically discharged via a polonium source. The weighing protocol included daily use of class S calibration weights and weighing each filter until two consecutive weights agreed to within 2 mg of each other or until the individual weights were all within 2 mg of average of three consecutive weights. Pressure was recorded during both pre- and post-weighing to allow for barometric corrections. The filters were then analyzed for black carbon using a validated multi-wavelength reflectance method (Yan et al., 2011).

For both kitchen area and personal air sampling, all pertinent monitoring information (including filter numbers, monitoring duration, household number, distances of loggers from the fire, etc.) were recorded on field logs and monitoring forms.

2.6. Data analysis

Potential predictors of real-time personal and integrated kitchen air samples were analyzed, including cooking behavior, fuel, stove and kitchen ventilation characteristics (enclosed, semi-enclosed, outdoor). The field staff made careful observations of the position of the monitoring equipment and personal pack tubing after the 24-h monitoring period.

Sample sizes were too small for statistically meaningful multivariate analyses. Means and 95% confidence intervals are presented across variables for personal and kitchen area concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon. Very few households were missing data for key variables, so no effort was made to impute missing values.

3. Results

Of the 440 randomly selected households, 421 households were contacted. All contacted households responded to the survey. All 36 households selected for the exposure survey participated in the exposure assessment.

3.1. Household survey

As shown in Table 1, the results from the household survey ($n=421$) show a majority (81%; 336/413) of the households surveyed used wood as their primary fuel in an open, three-stone stove (77%; 323/421), although a substantial portion of secondary fuel users used charcoal as a secondary fuel (87%, 172/197, not shown). With regards to other sources of smoke pollution, 19% (78/421) of households reported that any member smoked cigarettes in the past month, 40% (167/421) of households reported use of mosquito coils, and reported use of kerosene lanterns was ubiquitous (93%; 390/421). Some households reported proximity to trash burning or a busy road, and approximately 30% (126/421) reported producing charcoal.
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Approximately 55% of primary cooks reported that children typically accompanied them while cooking; among those who were accompanied by children, the average number of children in tow was 1.6. About half of the female adults (over 18 years of age) worked in agriculture (48%), and about half of agricultural workers produced primarily for their own consumption. More than half (61%; 704/1153) of adults had not completed a primary education (responded either “no schooling” or “some primary”).

### 3.2. Exposure assessment

Valid 24-h real-time personal samples were obtained from 97% (35/36) of the households and 24-h integrated personal samples were obtained in 81% (29/36) of the households. For comparative analyses across personal and kitchen area samples, only households with valid integrated area, as well as real-time and integrated personal samples are used for analysis (n = 29). Of the 29 households with valid air samples, 11 (38%) households were in each of the outdoor and semi-enclosed kitchen categories, while seven of the households (24%) were cooking in entirely enclosed kitchens.

One heavily loaded filter from kitchen area sampling showed an extremely poor model fit to black carbon using the multi-wavelength data and also fell far from the trend of the remaining data for PM$_{2.5}$ versus black carbon; this outlier was removed from any black carbon plots and data analyses (n = 28). As shown in Table 1, the participants enrolled in the exposure assessment study were all females with an average age of 43 years (SD ± 11), who had been cooking for an average of 23 years (SD ± 13). During the 24-h monitoring period, the participants cooked for an average of seven household members (including children), and for 3 h and 37 min per day. While most (86%; 25/29) of the households cooked with unprocessed biomass fuels (i.e. wood) on open fires, the remaining households used charcoal in a charcoal stove for at least some cooking tasks. A majority (68%; 15/22) of the participants gathered their own firewood. One cook reported smoking tobacco herself during the monitoring period, while three cooks reported someone else smoking in their household. No difference in personal and kitchen area samples was observed between households reporting tobacco use and households not reporting tobacco use.

A majority (66%) of the monitored households reported using kerosene lantern(s) during the 24-h sampling period. Whereas mean personal and kitchen area concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon did not differ for the households using kerosene lamps during the sampling and those that did not, households reporting kerosene use had consistently higher personal and kitchen area concentrations of black carbon (~ 4 μg/m$^3$ higher). Fig. 1 illustrates the comparison of black carbon exposures as a function of PM$_{2.5}$ level indicating that both personal and kitchen area samples were similarly enriched by black carbon when kerosene use was reported.

There was no difference in mean personal exposures of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon between women who reported spending time on the farm during monitoring and women who did not (data not shown). Only two households (~ 7%; 2/29) reported using mosquito coils during the monitoring period, even though the larger survey suggested much wider use. Mean personal concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon in these two households did not differ from households that did not report the use of mosquito coils. Similarly, there were no differences in mean personal concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon in households reporting rain compared to households reporting no rain during the monitoring period.

As shown in Table 2, the ambient/background measurements resulted in a mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentration of 20 μg/m$^3$ (95% CI, 12.1–27.9) and a mean black carbon concentration of 2.0 μg/m$^3$ (95% CI, 1.1–2.9).

---

### Table 1 Demographics and cooking characteristics for household survey and exposure assessment households.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristic</th>
<th>Household survey (n=421)</th>
<th>Exposure assessment (n=29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of primary cook in years</td>
<td>Value ± SD</td>
<td>Value ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Female as primary cook</td>
<td>39 ± 19</td>
<td>43 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave. time cooked/day (mins)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave. years cooked in lifetime (years)</td>
<td>185 ± 86</td>
<td>217 ± 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave. household size or persons cooked for (dinner)</td>
<td>19 ± 15</td>
<td>23 ± 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Footnotes

4 Sample size varies between 366 and 421.
5 Sample size varies between 22 and 29.
6 For exposure assessment households, “other smoke exposures” pertain to 24-h monitoring period except “cook at farm plot” (ever).
7 For household survey, lantern use combines paraffin and kerosene; for exposure households, kerosene only, SD, standard deviation.

---

Forty percent (168/421) of households reported having a secondary cooking area (data not shown). Of these, 78% were semi-enclosed and 60% were reserved for use when it rains. Enclosed kitchens were largely made from thatched roofs and mud walls. A majority of households (59%; 247/421) also reported sometimes cooking at their farm plot. Cooking areas at the farm plot were nearly always fully outdoors or covered by a roof (less than 2% reported enclosed kitchens at their farm plot).

The population was diverse ethnically and culturally. Over 10 ethnic groups were sampled, and the two most prevalent ethnicities (Akan and Mo) account for just under half (47%; 198/421) of the households (data not shown). While the average household age was 24 years (SD, standard deviation ± 19), the average age of the main cook across households was 39 years (SD ± 19). The average household size was 5.5 people (SD ± 3), with an average of 2.5 (SD ± 1.9) children under the age of 15 years, and 8 (SD ± 0.9) children under the age of 5 years.

---
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Regarding personal versus kitchen area concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ (Table 2), the mean personal exposure to biomass smoke as measured by the integrated average 24-h PM$_{2.5}$ sampling (128.5 $\mu$g/m$^3$, 95% CI, 100.0–156.9) was lower than the integrated mean concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ in the kitchen area (446.8 $\mu$g/m$^3$; 95% CI, 297.8–595.7). The personal concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ showed considerable between-subject variability and spanned a range (16.6–364.6 $\mu$g/m$^3$) that is similar to the lower end of concentrations reported in past studies (e.g. Albalak et al., 2001; Dionisio et al., 2008; Ezzati et al., 2000; Painschab et al., 2013; Siddiqui et al., 2009); however, the mean personal concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ (128.5 $\mu$g/m$^3$) for this small Ghanaian cohort is somewhat lower than the mean 24-h personal exposure.
(266 μg/m³) reported in a subset of the RESPIRE cohort in Guatemala (McCracken et al., 2011).

We also observed that mean personal concentrations of black carbon were lower than matched kitchen area samples (8.8 μg/m³; 95% CI, 7.4–10.3 and 14.5 μg/m³; 95% CI, 12.0–16.9, respectively). There was no correlation between the personal and kitchen area concentrations of black carbon.

Results for kitchen area concentrations of PM₂.₅ across kitchen types (Table 3.1) showed that mean concentrations did not differ by cooking location (outdoor kitchen mean of 316.2 μg/m³; 95% CI, 194.7–437.7; enclosed kitchen mean of 474.9 μg/m³; 95% CI, 117.2–832.7; and semi-enclosed kitchen mean of 559.4 μg/m³; 95% CI, 208.0–910.7). Kitchen area concentrations of black carbon also did not differ across kitchen types. Similarly, personal concentrations of PM₂.₅ and black carbon across kitchen types did not differ by cooking location.

Households that report cooking with charcoal (and charcoal stoves) as their primary fuel had lower mean personal and kitchen area concentrations of PM₂.₅ when compared to those cooking with wood (Table 3.2) (personal mean of 141.9 μg/m³; 95% CI 112.5–171.3 for wood, and 44.6 μg/m³; 95% CI 18.8–74.7 for charcoal; kitchen area mean of 507.8 μg/m³; 95% CI 374.7–667.9 for wood, and 65.2 μg/m³; 95% CI –2.4 to 132.7 for charcoal). Similarly, both mean personal and kitchen area concentrations of black carbon in households cooking with charcoal fuel were lower when compared to households using wood fuel and traditional fires (personal mean of 9.7 μg/m³; 95% CI 8.4–11.1 for wood, and 3.2 μg/m³; 95% CI 0.6–5.8 for charcoal; kitchen area mean of 16.2 μg/m³; 95% CI 14.0–18.3 for wood, and 4.3 μg/m³; 95% CI 1.6–7.0 for charcoal).

Across kitchen and fuel types, the black carbon fraction of PM₂.₅ (black carbon/PM₂.₅) was higher in the personal exposure samples compared to the kitchen area concentrations (Table 3.1 and 3.2). The black carbon fraction across kitchen types constituted between 6.3% and 7.6% of the personal samples and 2.8% and 4.3% in the kitchen area samples (Table 3.1). Between the two fuel types, the difference in the black carbon fraction was greatest between the personal and kitchen area samples for households using wood (6.8% and 3.2%, respectively) while both personal and kitchen area samples for charcoal users were highly enriched with black carbon (7.2% and 6.6%, respectively, Table 3.2). The ambient samples had the highest black carbon fraction of PM₂.₅ at 10%.

Across ethnicities, we observed lower mean personal concentrations of PM₂.₅ and black carbon in the largest ethnic group (Akan, n = 11) compared to all other ethnic groups (n = 18) (PM₂.₅ personal mean of 77.0 μg/m³; 95% CI 56.3–97.6 for Akan, and 159.9 μg/m³; 95% CI 121.7–198.1 for all other ethnic groups; and black carbon personal mean of 6.3 μg/m³; 95% CI 4.7–7.9 μg/m³).

**Fig. 2.** Correlation between real-time and integrated measurements of PM₂.₅ concentrations (slope = 1.6, Spearman rho = 0.9, p < 0.00001; n = 29).

**Fig. 3.** Panel of 24-h real-time PM₂.₅ concentrations in nine households stratified by kitchen type (enclosed, semi-enclosed, outdoor/open).
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for Akan, and 10.4 µg/m³; 95% CI 8.5–12.2 for all other ethnic groups). There was no difference observed in mean kitchen area concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon between ethnicities, suggesting that behavior may mediate exposure.

Data supported a good correlation between PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon concentrations for both kitchen area and personal samples (Spearman rho=0.9 for kitchen area concentrations, p < 0.0000, and Spearman rho=0.79, p < 0.0000 for personal concentrations).

Inspection of the real-time personal data indicated prominent spikes in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations corresponding to cooking events superimposed on a very low background. Fig. 3 illustrates 24-h real-time personal PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in nine randomly selected households across the three kitchen types. All households reported using wood during the monitoring period. The panels of real-time concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ are intended for qualitative, not analytical purposes. Overall, spikes in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations accounted for the majority of exposure. When stripped of observations above the 95th percentile, the mean exposure based on the real-time data was only 56 µg/m³ (compared to 208 µg/m³ in the full data).

Although the Personal Data Ram real-time measurement had a positive bias of 55% higher values on average than the integrated personal exposure measurement, the 24-h PM$_{2.5}$ means were highly correlated across the real-time and integrated methods, with a Spearman correlation of rho=0.9 (p < 0.00001) (Fig. 2). Nephelometers are known to have different sensitivities to different types/sources of particulate matter due to the refractive index of specific sources (Karagulian et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2002; Xue et al., 2009). The nephelometer response may be corrected through division by the ratio of the gravimetric net filter weight, which averages the nephelometer reading over the duration of sample collection, and allows one to correct the nephelometer response for the average type of material collected onto the filter. In this pilot study, the correlation between the net gravimetric filter and the average real-time nephelometer data indicated a correction factor of 0.625 (the inverse of the slope of the best fit line in Fig. 2). This correction factor should be characteristic for the PDR 1200 for wood smoke and crop residue used in Ghana.

4. Discussion

Data from this cross-sectional survey demonstrate widespread cooking with wood across a variety of largely well-ventilated kitchen types in rural Ghana, and underscore the importance of characterizing personal exposures to cook smoke for future health assessment. The results of this study point to an important intermediate range of exposures critical in determining biomass exposure and health response relationships, as well as noteworthy potential determinants of biomass exposure. If exposure to biomass smoke is meaningfully affected by behavior, this could constitute a critical factor in the design and implementation of interventions and educational campaigns to alleviate exposures to biomass smoke in rural Ghana.

Personal samples had overall lower mean concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ than kitchen area samples reflective of less time exposed to the fire emissions overall, while personal samples contained a higher black carbon fraction of the PM$_{2.5}$. This enrichment of personal samples relative to the kitchen samples is consistent with the possibility of other, non-cooking combustion sources of personal exposure such as kerosene lamps and roadway traffic and further reinforces the importance of personal sampling to understand total exposure.

The mean personal exposure levels of both PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon are all between the mean seen for the kitchen sample and the ambient samples which had the highest black carbon fraction of PM$_{2.5}$, suggesting a simple two source mixing model could be used. A crude mixing ratio approach for PM$_{2.5}$ would suggest that the personal sample is made up of 75% ambient (20 µg/m³) and 25% kitchen area exposures (446.8 µg/m³). Application of this mixing ratio with the black carbon concentrations for ambient (2 µg/m³) and kitchen area (14.5 µg/m³) would suggest a personal exposure level to black carbon of 5.1 µg/m³, whereas the actual mean personal exposure level of black carbon measured was 8.8 µg/m³. This discrepancy suggests the presence of other source(s) of enriched black carbon such as kerosene lamps, emissions which are composed of nearly pure black carbon (i.e. mean black carbon/PM$_{2.5}$ = 0.95) (Lam et al., 2012a). Combustion of kerosene fuels for lighting and cooking is widespread in developing countries, and limited evidence shows emissions may impair lung function, exacerbate asthma, increase infectious illness (e.g. tuberculosis), cataract and cancer risks (Lam et al., 2012b). Future investigations into the PM$_{2.5}$ composition (elemental and/or trace organics) should help to elucidate other alternative sources and scenarios.

As expected since charcoal burns cleaner than wood fuel, using charcoal and a charcoal stove versus wood on an open/outdoor stove decreases both kitchen area and personal concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon. The observed differences across ethnic groups were present for personal exposures but not for kitchen area concentrations, further suggesting that behavior mediates exposure. While a larger sample size is needed to confirm these observations, user behavior could constitute a critical factor in the design and implementation of interventions to alleviate these exposures. Furthermore, ethnicity, as a proxy for a host of social and economic factors, may well be correlated with other plausible determinants of exposure.

Our real-time results point to the fact that short-term periods of elevated concentrations or spikes in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations constitute a substantial proportion of daily exposure. Our data indicate that mitigating the upper 1–5% of the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration distribution (and similarly 1–5% of the time of exposure) would reduce personal mean exposure by 49–75%. Other studies have noted that peaks in particulate matter concentrations may have important implications for health (Dionisio et al., 2008; Dasgupta et al., 2006).

The sample size in the exposure assessment study was quite small and therefore we can only note that these are interesting results worthy of further study. In addition, while size of household, number of people cooked for, cooking duration, kitchen type and other sources such as kerosene, charcoal production and proximity to roadway had low or no predictive power, these and other potential determinants of personal exposure, such as type of foods cooked, need to be further examined with a larger sample size. Aside from the small sample size, which hampers rigorous analyses and extrapolation of results, another weakness of the study is the short duration of sampling thereby limiting our ability to characterize long-term exposures, due to high variability within households.

In this study, we demonstrated the feasibility of successful implementation and use of portable personal air monitoring systems measuring integrated and real-time PM$_{2.5}$ and integrated black carbon concentrations over 24-h periods in rural villages of Ghana. The correlation between mean concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ measured via integrated and real-time sampling suggests that integrated measurements are a valid—as well as less costly, less invasive and less time-consuming—proxy for a 24-h mean. Furthermore, our findings underscore that kitchen area monitoring is not advisable as a proxy for personal air sampling of black carbon and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations.

Generally, a reduction in particulate matter emissions, especially black carbon, from household biomass combustion is of critical importance not only in improving health and air quality for millions of people in developing countries, but also in mitigating regional and global climate change (Smith et al., 2009; Venkataraman et al., 2005).
Black carbon is estimated to be the second or third largest warming agent after carbon dioxide (methane) in terms of direct radiative forcing, a metric used to compare the strength of greenhouse pollutants (Pokhrel et al., 2010; Lam et al., 2012a; Forster et al., 2007; Ramanathan and Feng, 2008; Sato et al. 2003; Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008; Jacobson, 2001; Ramanathan and Xu, 2010). Since the major anthropogenic sources of atmospheric black carbon is household combustion of biomass and coal, and black carbon is regionally distributed with a short atmospheric lifetime of days to weeks (unlike CO₂), geographically targeted reduction strategies present a low-risk, cost-effective and high-reward option in climate change mitigation (Smith et al., 2009; Ramanathan and Xu, 2010; Wallack and Ramanathan, 2009; Molina et al., 2009). Some estimates suggest reducing black carbon by 50% through the use of cleaner technologies could offset 10–20 years of global warming from CO₂ (Wallack and Ramanathan, 2009), and that replacing traditional cooking fires with clean-burning stoves could reduce climate warming from black carbon by 70–80% in southern Asia (Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008).

Thus, regional and national policies aimed at reducing particulate matter and black carbon through improving local cooking and lighting technologies are an effective strategy with far-reaching health, air quality and climate benefits and implications. The data from this study contribute to the international literature and inform such critical international mitigation strategies.
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