Depression is associated with significantly poorer medical prognosis after acute coronary syndrome (ACS) [1, 2]. Clinical trials of depression treatment in post-ACS patients have failed to improve event-free survival, and have for the most part shown only modest offsets in depression severity. Among the lessons learned from these efforts is that the intervention must be acceptable to trial participants [3]. The involvement of depressed medical patients in care decisions – e.g. between psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy [4] – enhances both patient engagement and treatment adherence [5, 6], and results in significantly greater reductions in depression symptom severity and major depression incidence [7], as we have recently found with ACS patients as well [8]. The treatment preferences of post-ACS patients with elevated depressive symptoms, and correlates of these preferences, have not previously been examined.

In the observational Coronary Psychosocial Evaluation Study (COPES) [9], patients at 3 northeast university hospitals were queried at the time of ACS concerning their treatment preferences for emotional distress and problems coping with their ACS. Patients were ≥ 18 years old, spoke English or Spanish, and had scores <5 or ≥ 10 on the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [10] within 1 week after the ACS. Depression diagnosis was assessed by the Depression Interview and Structured Inventory [11]. Only patients with a BDI score ≥ 10, that has previously been found to carry post-ACS prognostic significance [1], were included in the current analyses.

At baseline, patients were asked: (1) If your doctor advises you that you should either get counseling or take medication to help you cope, which one would you prefer? (2) Would you go to counseling, to talk about your emotions about your heart attack and coping with them, if it was offered without any costs for you? In addition, patients were questioned concerning their interest in talking about 3 common elements of the depression constellation: one’s self, one’s activities and one’s relationships.

Differences in treatment preferences – medication versus counseling – according to sociodemographic and diagnostic characteristics were evaluated by χ² and t test. Multivariate logistic modeling was used to calculate adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Answer options were coded into dichotomous categories for analyses regarding willingness to go to counseling (‘no’ or ‘probably not’ vs. ‘probably’ and ‘yes’) and analyses regarding interest in talking about specific topics (‘not at all’ or ‘very little’ vs. ‘somewhat’ or ‘a lot’).

Overall, 215 of 457 COPES patients had a BDI score ≥ 10, 143 of whom provided valid answers to the treatment preference questions. Those who did not were more likely to be Hispanic (14% vs. 26%, p = 0.03), and to have major depression (18% vs. 32%, p = 0.02). Of respondents, 63% (n = 90) had a BDI score of 10–16, and 37% (n = 53) had a BDI score ≥ 16; 33% (n = 47) met criteria for minor depression, and 18% (n = 26) for major depression; 55% (n = 78) were men, 14% (n = 20) Hispanic, 13% (n = 19) African American, and 78% (n = 112) Caucasian.

Fifty-two percent overall endorsed a preference for counseling; 66% with minor depression and 40% with major depression endorsed this preference (p = 0.061). More men versus women endorsed a preference for medication, and women for counseling (p = 0.047). This was the only significant factor in multivariate analyses (adjusted odds ratios = 2.06; 95% confidence intervals 1.04–4.10; fig. 1).

Fifty-nine percent of patients who had initially indicated a preference for medication said that they ‘would’ or ‘probably would’ go to counseling if it were offered for free. More patients with minor depression versus major depression indicated a willingness to utilize counseling if offered it at no cost (p = 0.061). In addition, more Hispanics than non-Hispanics indicated this willingness (p = 0.10). Patients who indicated a willingness to go to free counseling were slightly younger than patients who were unwilling (59.2 ± 12.7 years vs. 61.7 ± 15.7 years; p = 0.09). No other correlates emerged. In a logistic regression model, only initial patient preference was significant (adjusted odds ratios = 5.89; 95% confidence intervals 2.37–14.61).

Of the 106 patients who had indicated a willingness to go to counseling, the vast majority indicated an interest in talking about how they feel about themselves, their recreational activities, and their relationships. More non-Hispanics than Hispanics endorsed an interest in talking about their recreational activities (90% vs. 72%, p = 0.06) or their relationships (90% vs. 67%, p = 0.01). There were no other demographic differences in these interests, nor were they related to depression status.

Overall, an essentially equal number of patients with a prognostically significant level of post-ACS depression endorsed a preference for counseling and for medication. Of those who endorsed a preference for medication, over half stated that they would utilize counseling if offered it at no cost. While stated preferences do not necessarily translate to real choices [12], the importance of matching treatment to patients’ preference is highlighted by the overall success of the COPES Intervention Trial [8], along with recent research showing more rapid improvement in
depression symptoms among matched versus unmatched treatment recipients [13, 14]. These findings indicate the need for future clinical trials of post-ACS depression treatment to devise an intervention that accommodates participants’ treatment preferences, while providing for obstacles such as distance and travel (e.g. by providing treatment via phone or internet).

The preference for counseling among women is consistent with previous research [15]. The importance of this finding is underlined by 2 large depression treatment trials – neither of which utilized patient preference – that reported a trend towards harm for women in the treatment arm [16, 17], though for the most part these trials utilized counseling alone. In contrast to others which found older individuals resisted medication [12, 15], age did not affect preference for counseling versus medication. Similarly, while other trials have found African Americans less likely than Caucasians to use counseling [18], this was not the case here; education also had no effect.

Limitations include: the small sample size, exacerbated by the third who did not answer preference questions, and the relatively small number of individuals with major depression, though the percent observed was consistent with prior post-ACS depression studies. In addition, the sample was not geographically representative, and preferences stated may differ by location. Significant differences in preferences due to demographic characteristics might have emerged with larger representation. Also the question regarding treatment preference did not provide for a preference for neither counseling nor medication, or for both, or for an assessment of how insurance status affects preference, though the question concerning free counseling implies an effect for such coverage. Nonetheless, the findings of the current study, which are consistent with the findings of recent trials of depression treatment for medical populations [7, 12, 14, 15, 19, 20] remain to be implemented and tested in large trials.
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