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5.12.1 Introduction

As new seafloor is created at the ridge crest, it cools and acquires a thermoremanence that captures a record of past geomagnetic field variations. The dominant geomagnetic signal recorded in the ocean crust is the pattern of field reversals; the juxtaposition of normal and reverse polarity crust constitutes a large magnetization contrast that generates readily identifiable variations in the magnetic field measured at the sea surface. The lineated and globally correlatable character of these sea-surface magnetic anomalies has proven remarkably useful in documenting the pattern of geomagnetic reversals since ~160 Ma. This reversal pattern, calibrated with suitable numerical ages, provides the basis for the geomagnetic polarity timescale (GPTS) that has broad reaching applications throughout Earth sciences including mapping the age distribution of the ocean floor constituting more than half of Earth’s surface area.

The inferred pattern of geomagnetic reversals has changed relatively little since the pioneering work of Heirtzler et al. (1968), who used an assumption of constant seafloor spreading in the South Atlantic to derive the first GPTS. The stability of polarity interval widths in subsequent timescales testifies to the regularity of seafloor spreading, at least for carefully chosen spreading corridors. The anomaly profile in Figure 1, calculated from a simple block model with vertical polarity boundaries and for a full spreading rate of 140 km My⁻¹, illustrates the optimal resolution that is possible at the fastest spreading known from the present ocean basins. Note that the terms slow-, intermediate-, fast-, and superfast-spreading refer to full spreading rates of <40 km My⁻¹, 40–90 km My⁻¹, 90–140 km My⁻¹, >140 km My⁻¹, respectively. This simple model highlights some important aspects of magnetic anomalies measured at the sea surface. First, the depth of magnetization source (varying from about 2.6 km at the ridge crest to 5.6 km for the oldest seafloor (Stein and Stein, 1994) exerts a fundamental control on the wavelengths that can be resolved at the sea surface. The depth and thickness of the magnetized layer act as a bandpass filter (Earth filter of Schouten and McCamy, 1972; with the least attenuation at...
wavelengths of 8–15 km for typical seafloor depths). Polarity intervals corresponding to these spatial scales (~100–200 ky for fast-spreading crust) are particularly well represented in the sea-surface anomaly profile (e.g., Anomaly 2; 1.77–1.95 Ma). Significantly shorter polarity intervals (~10^4 years) are also recognizable in high-resolution profiles from fast-spreading ridges, although such brief magnetization changes appear as broader, substantially attenuated anomalies at the sea surface. Thus, the combination of rapid spreading and typical seafloor depths of 3–4 km is well suited to capture essentially all polarity intervals with durations exceeding ~10^4 years in sea-surface anomaly data. Indeed, independent magnetostratigraphic studies corroborate that the GPTS can be viewed as essentially complete at timescales greater than about 30 ky.

Establishing the origin and significance of anomaly fluctuations at scales both longer and shorter than the dominant timescale of reversals (10^4–10^6 years) can potentially provide valuable additional insights into geomagnetic field behavior. To the extent that the magnetization of the ocean crust is a thermoremanence, the magnetization of the ocean crust might...
be expected to preserve a broad spectrum of geomagnetic field variations, from brief ($<10^3$ years) excursions or intensity fluctuations to longer-term variations on the scale of superchrons ($10^7–10^8$ years). Documenting the relative importance of geomagnetic field fluctuations in controlling magnetic anomaly amplitudes has proven difficult, however, since these anomalies are the end product of the recording of a paleofield signal (e.g., paleointensity variations, directional excursions, and polarity reversals), modulated by crustal accretionary processes (e.g., variations in geochemistry or the pattern of lava accumulation), and geometry of the source region(s). Despite limitations in accounting for the recording medium, significant progress has been made in documenting short wavelength anomaly fluctuations that may be attributed to geomagnetic field behavior. A key element in many of these studies is the collection of anomaly data nearer the magnetic source layer to preserve higher frequency variations that are attenuated in sea-surface anomaly data. The coherence among near-bottom anomaly profiles and the similarity of these coherent fluctuations with independent records of field intensity from sediments suggest that a substantial geomagnetic intensity signal may be preserved in the ocean crustal magnetization.

Some longer-term variations in anomaly amplitudes may likewise have a geomagnetic origin although there has been less progress in differentiating this from other causes of variation in source properties. For example, the gradual increase in anomaly amplitudes following the Jurassic Quiet Zone (JQZ; crust older than $\sim 154$ Ma) has been attributed to an increase in paleofield intensity (Cande et al., 1978) whereas the amplitude envelope observed over some ridge axes has long been interpreted as evidence of low-temperature alteration of the source layer (e.g., Bleil and Petersen, 1983; Raymond and LaBrecque, 1987). The particular pattern of polarity reversals and the relative widths of adjacent polarity intervals (sometimes termed the sequence effect) can modulate anomaly amplitudes, for example, the variations in amplitudes that are apparent over the past 40 My in the simple model shown in Figure 1, so recognition of long-term trends is not straightforward. There are also first-order geomagnetic questions about the marked contrast in the character of magnetic anomalies in the Cretaceous Quiet Zone (KQZ; 83–120.6 Ma) and the JQZ, the former often associated with large amplitude anomalies and the latter with small amplitude anomalies, which may be related to significant differences in mean field strength in the Jurassic and the Cretaceous.

Despite more than 40 years of study, many aspects of the magnetization source responsible for lineated marine magnetic anomalies remain uncertain. Early studies (Atwater and Mudie, 1973; Talwani et al., 1971) indicated that the source layer is thin and dominated by extrusives whereas compilations of lava magnetizations suggest that additional deeper sources may be required and indeed in some cases (e.g., near Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Hole 735B; Dick et al., 1991) recognizable anomaly lineations are present where only the intrusive portion of the crust is preserved. Since the last review of crustal magnetization (Smith, 1990), there has been significant progress in sampling of the dikes, lower crustal gabbros, and upper mantle material exposed in tectonic windows, and these studies indicate that dike and gabbros are likely significant contributors to sea-surface magnetic anomalies. In addition to the need for a fundamental characterization of possible magnetization sources within the crust, some understanding of the details of the accretionary process (e.g., width of the neovolcanic zone, off-axis volcanism) is also essential since these provide an intrinsic limit on the fidelity of the crustal recording process. As our understanding of geomagnetic field variations improves (particularly over timescales on the order of $10^8$ years over which much of the ocean crust is constructed), near-bottom magnetic anomaly data can be used to constrain aspects of crustal accretion. Such studies can, in turn, be useful in evaluating the timescales at which geomagnetic information can be recovered from magnetic anomaly records.

In this chapter we will focus primarily on the promise and limitations of the ocean crust as a recorder of geomagnetic field variations, emphasizing the record of past geomagnetic field variations recorded in anomalies (and therefore in source magnetization) on timescales of $10^3$ years (excursions) to $10^5–10^6$ (reversals) and $10^7–10^8$ (superchrons). We review the origin of the magnetization in the various crustal source layers responsible for lineated magnetic anomalies and conclude by mentioning some applications to deciphering how oceanic crust formed and by speculating on future directions. The chapter is based mostly on published literature that appeared since the last major review of ocean crust magnetization by Smith (1990).
5.12.2 Magnetic Anomalies as Records of Geomagnetic Field Behavior

5.12.2.1 Polarity Reversals and the Geomagnetic Polarity Timescale

Marine magnetic anomalies (Vine, 1966; Vine and Matthews, 1963) provide a complete record of geomagnetic polarity reversals, making the age-calibrated geomagnetic polarity sequence the basis for global correlations and geochronology for the past 160 My (Figure 1). The relative widths of the magnetic polarity intervals for the Late Jurassic to Recent were determined from magnetic profiles initially by Heirtzler et al. (1968) for the Central Anomaly (Anomaly 1) to Anomaly 32 (C-sequence) and by Larson and Pitman (1972) for Anomalies M1–M22 (M-sequence). Larson and Pitman recognized that the M-sequence anomalies were bracketed by long intervals with apparently few or no reversals: the KQZ between the M-sequence and the ridge crest C-sequence of Heirtzler et al. (to which Larson and Pitman added Anomalies 33 and 34) and the JQZ prior to the M-sequence (which was soon extended to M0 at the younger end and to M25 at the older end by Larson and Hilde (1975) and to M29 by Cande et al. (1978). Key aspects of the geomagnetic interpretations were verified by magnetostratigraphic studies. For example, Helsley and Steiner (1969) documented an interval of constant normal polarity (the Cretaceous Normal Polarity Superchron, CNPS) corresponding to the KQZ observed in magnetic anomaly profiles. Similarly, Lowrie and Alvarez (1981) verified the overall correspondence between magnetostratigraphic polarity intervals and the ~100 My anomaly record from Anomaly 6C to Anomaly M3 including the CNPS and KQZ, and Ogg and Lowrie (1986) provided magnetostratigraphic confirmation for the central part of the M-sequence. Correlations between anomaly interpretations and magnetostratigraphy are being refined in land sections and marine cores (e.g., Billups et al., 2004; Channell et al., 2003; Lanci et al., 2004, 2005; Speranza et al., 2005) and provide the linkage to numerical ages based on radiometric dates or astronomical cyclicity in stratigraphic sections for age calibration of the anomaly sequence in the construction of geomagnetic polarity timescales.

Standard geomagnetic polarity chron nomenclature is based on the long-standing numbering schemes (sometimes with lettered additions) for prominent but irregularly spaced magnetic anomalies (Larson and Pitman, 1972; Pitman and Heirtzler, 1966; Pitman et al., 1968). Polarity subdivisions for the past ~5 My based on compilations of radiometric dating of discrete lavas (Cox et al., 1964) were initially labeled after prominent geomagneticians (Brunhes, Matuyama, Gauss, and Gilbert for chrons, formerly called epochs; Anonymous, 1979) and type localities (Jaramillo, Olduvai, Mammoth, etc., for subchrons, formerly called events) but this nomenclature system was impractical to be extended to the numerous older polarity intervals delineated by magnetic anomalies. By convention, identifiers usually refer to positive anomalies, which correspond to normal polarity for the C-sequence (Anomalies 1–34) but mostly to reverse polarity intervals for the M-sequence (M0–M29) because they formed in the Southern Hemisphere but are now in the Northern Hemisphere in the Pacific where the M-sequence is well developed. A chron corresponds to the interval from the younger boundary of the eponymous anomaly to the younger boundary of the preceding anomaly and has the prefix ‘C’ (e.g., Chron C3A or Chron CM20). However, each of these chron is usually subdivided into the two constituent intervals of predominantly normal and reverse polarity, which are designated by adding to the name the suffix ‘n’ for normal polarity and ‘r’ for the preceding reverse polarity interval (e.g., Chron C3An and Chron C3Ar, or Chron CM20n and Chron CM20r). When these polarity chron are further subdivided into shorter polarity intervals they are referred to as subchrons and identified by appending, from youngest to oldest, ‘1’, ‘2’, etc., to the polarity chron name, and adding an ‘n’ for normal polarity or an ‘r’ for reverse polarity (e.g., Chron C3An1r, or Chron CM20n1r). Finally, the designation ‘–1’, ‘–2’, etc., is used following a chron or subchron name to denote apparently brief geomagnetic features corresponding to short wavelength anomalies or ‘tiny wiggles’, which, upon calibration, convert to durations less than 30 ky. In view of their uncertain origin, these globally mapped geomagnetic features are referred to as cryptochrons (Cande and Kent, 1992a); they can be elevated to subchron status by the addition of ‘n’ for normal polarity and ‘r’ for reverse polarity if confirmed as polarity intervals in magnetostratigraphic studies (e.g., Chron C1r.2r-1n).

Anomaly spacings for the Central Anomaly (Anomaly 1) to Anomaly 34 (younger end of KQZ) were comprehensively refined by Cande and Kent (1992a) and for the M-sequence (M0–M29) by
Channell et al. (1995). Cande and Kent (1992a) used a combination of nine finite rotation poles to describe seafloor spreading in the South Atlantic and 61 stacked profiles distributed over the finite rotation pole intervals to develop a continuous framework for the anomaly sequence; finer scale information was derived from faster spreading rate ridges in the Pacific and Indian Oceans and inserted into the South Atlantic pattern. For age calibration, Cande and Kent (1992a) assumed that spreading rates in the South Atlantic were smoothly varying and fit a cubic interpolation spline function to a set of nine tiepoints that link radioisotopic ages with distances of correlative anomalies from the zero-age ridge axis to derive a GPTS for 0–83 Ma (Anomaly 34). The revised GPTS of Cande and Kent (1995) (CK95) includes astrochronological estimates for polarity reversals for the past 5.23 My (Hilgen, 1991; Shackleton et al., 1990), which are negligibly different (within 0.03 My) from the most recent retuning by Lourens et al. (2004). For the M-sequence, Channell et al. (1995) compared profiles from the Phoenix, Japanese, and Hawaiian lineation sets and derived a representative anomaly sequence for M0 to M29 from a new block model for the Hawaiian lineations. There are still relatively few age-diagnostic data available for calibration of M-sequence anomalies – Channell et al. (1995) used only three tiepoints that were regarded as reliable and consistent with constant spreading on the new model of the Hawaiian lineations to derive a GPTS (referred to by the authors as CENT94) for 120.6 Ma (M0) to 157.53 Ma (M29).

The listing of polarity intervals given in Table 1 is the same as used for statistical analysis by Lowrie and Kent (2004) and is basically a combination of CK95 for the C-sequence and CENT94 for the M-sequence. This polarity sequence for the past 160 My is believed to be complete to a resolution of better than 30 ky and includes those relatively few cryptochrons that have been detected as short polarity intervals in high-resolution magnetostratigraphic studies and elevated to subchrons, mostly in the Cenozoic (see summary by Krijgsman and Kent 2004). Small-scale magnetic anomalies have been identified beyond M29 (Handschumacher et al., 1988), most recently to M41 with an apparent age of ~167 Ma (Sager et al., 1998; Tivey et al., 2006). However, the character of the pre-M29 anomalies resembles small-scale magnetic anomalies or tiny wiggles in the Cenozoic, which have generally been attributed to paleointensity variations rather than geomagnetic reversals (e.g., Cande and Kent, 1992b). Accordingly, the pre-M29 anomalies along with the cryptochrons in the Cenozoic that have not been confirmed as polarity intervals are not included in Table 1 or shown in Figure 1.

A recently published timescale (GTS2004: Gradstein et al., 2004) utilizes the distances to anomalies in the South Atlantic from Cande and Kent (1992a, 1995) but with a somewhat different array of age calibration tiepoints. The largest difference between CK95 and GTS2004 is less than 1 My and occurs at chron C6Cn.2n, which was set at 23.8 ± 1 Ma in CK95 but has been recalibrated using astrochronology to 23.03 ± 0.04 Ma (Lourens et al., 2004; Shackleton et al., 2000). CK95 also maintains age registry with the compilation of Cenozoic cryptochrons by Cande and Kent (1992b), which were not migrated to the chronology of GTS2004. For the M-sequence, age calibration of M0 is a major difference: GTS2004 sets the age of Chron CM0 (base of Aptian) at about 125 Ma, which is 4 My older than in the CENT94 timescale of Channell et al. (1995) and needs to be corroborated because of the profound implications for the rate of seafloor spreading in the KQZ (e.g., Cogné and Humler, 2006).

On a plot of the age of each reversal against the order of its occurrence (Figure 2), the CENT94 data define a straight line whereas the CK95 C-sequence can be divided into two nearly linear segments that intersect near Chron C12r at about 32 Ma. The 37.6 My-long CNPS is evident as a abrupt discontinuity between the C-sequence and the M-sequence and is the longest polarity interval in the entire ∼160 My-long sequence. Polarity intervals C33n and C33r that immediately follow the CNPS are the 2nd and 3rd longest chrons and may have a closer affinity to the CNPS than to the rest of the reversal sequence. Linear segments imply that the reversal process is stationary and allow calculation of representative statistical parameters for each of the reversal frequency regimes. According to Lowrie and Kent (2004), mean polarity interval lengths are 0.25 My for C1r–C12r, 0.75 My for C13n–C32r, and 0.415 My for M0–M29. Despite the large differences in mean polarity interval length and taking into account a finite reversal transition time of a few thousand years, the distributions of chron lengths within each of the regimes are not significantly different from a Poisson or exponential distribution (Lowrie and Kent, 2004), which implies that the reversal process is essentially free of memory (Cox,
Table 1  Geomagnetic polarity chron from marine magnetic anomalies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age range (Ma)</th>
<th>Normal polarity Chrons</th>
<th>Age range (Ma)</th>
<th>Reverse polarity chron</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000–0.780</td>
<td>C1n</td>
<td>0.780–0.990</td>
<td>C1r.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.990–1.070</td>
<td>C1r.1n</td>
<td>1.070–1.240</td>
<td>C1r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.201–1.211</td>
<td>C1r.2r–1n</td>
<td>1.211–1.770</td>
<td>C1r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.770–1.950</td>
<td>C2n</td>
<td>1.950–2.140</td>
<td>C2r.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.140–2.150</td>
<td>C2r.1n</td>
<td>2.150–2.600</td>
<td>C2r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.180–4.290</td>
<td>C3n.1n</td>
<td>4.290–4.480</td>
<td>C3n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.480–4.620</td>
<td>C3n.2n</td>
<td>4.620–4.800</td>
<td>C3n.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.800–4.890</td>
<td>C3n.3n</td>
<td>4.890–4.980</td>
<td>C3n.3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.980–5.230</td>
<td>C3n.4n</td>
<td>5.230–5.894</td>
<td>C3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.894–6.137</td>
<td>C3An.1n</td>
<td>6.137–6.269</td>
<td>C3An.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.269–6.567</td>
<td>C3An.2n</td>
<td>6.567–6.935</td>
<td>C3Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.935–7.091</td>
<td>C3Brn</td>
<td>7.091–7.135</td>
<td>C3Br.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.135–7.170</td>
<td>C3Br.1n</td>
<td>7.170–7.341</td>
<td>C3Br.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.341–7.375</td>
<td>C3Br.2n</td>
<td>7.375–7.432</td>
<td>C3Br.3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.432–7.562</td>
<td>C4n.1n</td>
<td>7.562–7.650</td>
<td>C4n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.650–8.072</td>
<td>C4n.2n</td>
<td>8.072–8.225</td>
<td>C4r.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.225–8.257</td>
<td>C4r.1n</td>
<td>8.257–8.606</td>
<td>C4r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.606–8.664</td>
<td>C4r.2r–1n</td>
<td>8.664–8.699</td>
<td>C4r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.699–9.025</td>
<td>C4An</td>
<td>9.025–9.097</td>
<td>C4Ar.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.097–9.117</td>
<td>C4Ar.1r–1n</td>
<td>9.117–9.230</td>
<td>C4Ar.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.230–9.308</td>
<td>C4Ar.1n</td>
<td>9.308–9.580</td>
<td>C4Ar.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.580–9.642</td>
<td>C4Ar.2n</td>
<td>9.642–9.740</td>
<td>C4Ar.3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.740–9.880</td>
<td>C5n.1n</td>
<td>9.880–9.920</td>
<td>C5n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.920–10.949</td>
<td>C5n.2n</td>
<td>10.949–11.052</td>
<td>C5r.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.052–11.099</td>
<td>C5r.1n</td>
<td>11.099–11.167</td>
<td>C5r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.167–11.193</td>
<td>C5r.2r–1n</td>
<td>11.193–11.352</td>
<td>C5r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.352–11.363</td>
<td>C5r.2r–2n</td>
<td>11.363–11.476</td>
<td>C5r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.476–11.531</td>
<td>C5r.2n</td>
<td>11.531–11.555</td>
<td>C5r.3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.555–11.584</td>
<td>C5r.3r–1n</td>
<td>11.584–11.935</td>
<td>C5r.3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.933–12.078</td>
<td>C5An.1n</td>
<td>12.078–12.184</td>
<td>C5An.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.184–12.401</td>
<td>C5An.2n</td>
<td>12.401–12.678</td>
<td>C5Ar.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.678–12.708</td>
<td>C5Ar.1n</td>
<td>12.708–12.775</td>
<td>C5Ar.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.775–12.819</td>
<td>C5Ar.2n</td>
<td>12.819–12.991</td>
<td>C5Ar.3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.800–14.888</td>
<td>C5Bn.1n</td>
<td>14.888–15.034</td>
<td>C5Bn.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.034–15.155</td>
<td>C5Bn.2n</td>
<td>15.155–16.014</td>
<td>C5Br</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.014–16.293</td>
<td>C5Cn.1n</td>
<td>16.293–16.327</td>
<td>C5Cn.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.327–16.488</td>
<td>C5Cn.2n</td>
<td>16.488–16.556</td>
<td>C5Cn.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.556–16.726</td>
<td>C5Cn.3n</td>
<td>16.726–17.277</td>
<td>C5Cr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.277–17.615</td>
<td>C5Dn</td>
<td>17.615–17.793</td>
<td>C5Dr†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.793–17.854</td>
<td>C5Dr–1n</td>
<td>17.854–18.281</td>
<td>C5Dr†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.281–18.781</td>
<td>C5En</td>
<td>18.781–19.048</td>
<td>C5Er</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.768–21.859</td>
<td>C6AAn</td>
<td>21.859–22.151</td>
<td>C6AAr.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.151–22.248</td>
<td>C6AAr.1n</td>
<td>22.248–22.459</td>
<td>C6AAr.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.459–22.493</td>
<td>C6AAr.2n</td>
<td>22.493–22.588</td>
<td>C6AAr.3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.588–22.750</td>
<td>C6Bn.1n</td>
<td>22.750–22.804</td>
<td>C6Bn.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.804–23.069</td>
<td>C6Bn.2n</td>
<td>23.069–23.353</td>
<td>C6Bn.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.677–23.800</td>
<td>C6Cn.2n</td>
<td>23.800–23.999</td>
<td>C6Cn.2r</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age range (Ma)</th>
<th>Normal polarity Chrons</th>
<th>Age range (Ma)</th>
<th>Reverse polarity chrons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.999–24.118</td>
<td>C6Cn.3n</td>
<td>24.118–24.730</td>
<td>C6Cr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.730–24.781</td>
<td>C7n.1n</td>
<td>24.781–24.835</td>
<td>C7n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.835–25.183</td>
<td>C7n.2n</td>
<td>25.183–25.496</td>
<td>C7r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.496–25.648</td>
<td>C7An</td>
<td>25.648–25.678</td>
<td>C7Ar*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.678–25.705</td>
<td>C7Ar–1n</td>
<td>25.705–25.823</td>
<td>C7Ar*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.823–25.951</td>
<td>C8n.1n</td>
<td>25.951–25.992</td>
<td>C8n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.992–26.554</td>
<td>C8n.2n</td>
<td>26.554–27.027</td>
<td>C8r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.027–27.972</td>
<td>C9n</td>
<td>27.972–28.283</td>
<td>C9r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.401–29.662</td>
<td>C11n.1n</td>
<td>29.662–29.765</td>
<td>C11n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.765–30.098</td>
<td>C11n.2n</td>
<td>30.098–30.479</td>
<td>C11r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.479–30.939</td>
<td>C12n</td>
<td>30.939–33.058</td>
<td>C12r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.058–33.545</td>
<td>C13n</td>
<td>33.545–34.655</td>
<td>C13r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.655–34.940</td>
<td>C15n</td>
<td>34.940–35.343</td>
<td>C15r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.343–35.526</td>
<td>C16n.1n</td>
<td>35.526–35.685</td>
<td>C16n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.685–36.341</td>
<td>C16n.2n</td>
<td>36.341–36.618</td>
<td>C16r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.618–37.473</td>
<td>C17n.1n</td>
<td>37.473–37.604</td>
<td>C17n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.604–37.848</td>
<td>C17n.2n</td>
<td>37.848–37.920</td>
<td>C17n.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.920–38.113</td>
<td>C17n.3n</td>
<td>38.113–38.426</td>
<td>C17r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.426–39.552</td>
<td>C18n.1n</td>
<td>39.552–39.631</td>
<td>C18n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.631–40.130</td>
<td>C18n.2n</td>
<td>40.130–41.257</td>
<td>C18r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.257–41.521</td>
<td>C19n</td>
<td>41.521–42.536</td>
<td>C19r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.536–43.789</td>
<td>C20n</td>
<td>43.789–46.264</td>
<td>C20r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.264–47.906</td>
<td>C21n</td>
<td>47.906–49.037</td>
<td>C21r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.037–49.714</td>
<td>C22n</td>
<td>49.714–50.778</td>
<td>C22r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.778–50.946</td>
<td>C23n.1n</td>
<td>50.946–51.047</td>
<td>C23n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.047–51.743</td>
<td>C23n.2n</td>
<td>51.743–52.364</td>
<td>C23r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.364–52.663</td>
<td>C24n.1n</td>
<td>52.663–52.757</td>
<td>C24n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.757–52.801</td>
<td>C24n.2n</td>
<td>52.801–52.903</td>
<td>C24n.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.903–53.347</td>
<td>C24n.3n</td>
<td>53.347–55.904</td>
<td>C24r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.904–56.391</td>
<td>C25n</td>
<td>56.391–57.554</td>
<td>C25r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.554–57.911</td>
<td>C26n</td>
<td>57.911–60.920</td>
<td>C26r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.920–61.276</td>
<td>C27n</td>
<td>61.276–62.499</td>
<td>C27r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.499–63.634</td>
<td>C28n</td>
<td>63.634–63.976</td>
<td>C28r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.976–64.745</td>
<td>C29n</td>
<td>64.745–65.578</td>
<td>C29r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.578–67.610</td>
<td>C30n</td>
<td>67.610–67.735</td>
<td>C30r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.735–68.737</td>
<td>C31n</td>
<td>68.737–71.071</td>
<td>C31r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.071–71.338</td>
<td>C32n.1n</td>
<td>71.338–71.587</td>
<td>C32n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.587–73.004</td>
<td>C32n.2n</td>
<td>73.004–73.291</td>
<td>C32r.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.291–73.374</td>
<td>C32r.1n</td>
<td>73.374–73.619</td>
<td>C32r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.619–79.075</td>
<td>C33n</td>
<td>79.075–83.000</td>
<td>C33r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.00–120.60</td>
<td>C34n (CNPS)</td>
<td>120.60–121.00</td>
<td>CMOr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121.00–123.19</td>
<td>CM1n</td>
<td>123.19–123.55</td>
<td>CM1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.55–124.05</td>
<td>CM2n</td>
<td>124.05–125.67</td>
<td>CM3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125.67–126.57</td>
<td>CM4n</td>
<td>126.57–126.91</td>
<td>CM5r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126.91–127.11</td>
<td>CM6n</td>
<td>127.11–127.23</td>
<td>CM6r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.23–127.49</td>
<td>CM7n</td>
<td>127.49–127.79</td>
<td>CM7r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.79–128.07</td>
<td>CM8n</td>
<td>128.07–128.34</td>
<td>CM8r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.34–128.62</td>
<td>CM9n</td>
<td>128.62–128.93</td>
<td>CM9r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.83–129.25</td>
<td>CM10n</td>
<td>129.25–129.63</td>
<td>CM10r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129.63–129.91</td>
<td>CM10Nn.1n</td>
<td>129.91–129.95</td>
<td>CM10Nn.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129.95–130.22</td>
<td>CM10Nn.2n</td>
<td>130.22–130.24</td>
<td>CM10Nn.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.24–130.49</td>
<td>CM10Nn.3n</td>
<td>130.49–130.84</td>
<td>CM10Nn.3r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.84–131.50</td>
<td>CM11n</td>
<td>131.50–131.71</td>
<td>CM11r.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.71–131.73</td>
<td>CM11r.1n</td>
<td>131.73–131.91</td>
<td>CM11r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.91–132.35</td>
<td>CM11An.1n</td>
<td>132.35–132.40</td>
<td>CM11An.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.40–132.47</td>
<td>CM11An.2n</td>
<td>132.47–132.55</td>
<td>CM11Ar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
1968). It has been suggested that the CNPS may represent part of a continuous, long-term evolution of reversal rate (McFadden and Merrill, 2000). However, the lack of precursory field behavior that might have heralded this superchron (Gallet and Hulot, 1997; Lowrie and Kent, 2004) suggests that the CNPS may represent either an abrupt perturbation of the reversal process or a separate (non)reversal regime.

5.12.2.2 Geomagnetic Intensity Fluctuations

Sea-surface magnetic anomaly profiles from fast-spreading ridges allow recognition of polarity intervals as short as ~30 ky. Yet the geomagnetic field is known to fluctuate on significantly shorter timescales. For example, direct observations indicate that the dipole field is decreasing at 15 nT yr\(^{-1}\) so that \(g_{d}\) has decreased about 8% since 1832 when Gauss first estimated the field intensity from a spherical harmonic analysis (Jackson \textit{et al.}, 2000). Absolute paleointensities from archeomagnetic materials suggest that field intensities at 1–3 ka were approximately 40–50% higher than the present field (Yang \textit{et al.}, 2000) although nondipolar field variations undoubtedly result in considerable spatial variability (Korte and Constable, 2005). On somewhat longer timescales, more sparse absolute intensity data from volcanic materials (see Chapter 1.13) provide evidence for extremely low field values (~20% or less of the present value) at approximately 40 ka associated with the Laschamp excursion (Levi \textit{et al.}, 1990; Roperch \textit{et al.}, 1988). Short-term (~10\(^{2}\)–10\(^{3}\) years) fluctuations in geomagnetic intensity, even if quite substantial, will

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age range (Ma)</th>
<th>Normal polarity Chrons</th>
<th>Age range (Ma)</th>
<th>Reverse polarity chron</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132.55–132.76</td>
<td>CM12n</td>
<td>132.76–133.51</td>
<td>CM12r.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133.51–133.58</td>
<td>CM12r.1n</td>
<td>133.58–133.73</td>
<td>CM12r.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133.73–133.99</td>
<td>CM12An</td>
<td>133.99–134.08</td>
<td>CM12Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.08–134.27</td>
<td>CM13n</td>
<td>134.27–134.53</td>
<td>CM13r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.53–134.81</td>
<td>CM14n</td>
<td>134.81–135.57</td>
<td>CM14r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135.57–135.96</td>
<td>CM15n</td>
<td>135.96–136.49</td>
<td>CM15r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.49–137.85</td>
<td>CM16n</td>
<td>137.85–138.50</td>
<td>CM16r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138.50–138.89</td>
<td>CM17n</td>
<td>138.89–140.51</td>
<td>CM17r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.51–141.22</td>
<td>CM18n</td>
<td>141.22–141.63</td>
<td>CM18r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141.63–141.78</td>
<td>CM19n.1n</td>
<td>141.78–141.88</td>
<td>CM19n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141.88–143.07</td>
<td>CM19n</td>
<td>143.07–143.36</td>
<td>CM19r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143.36–143.77</td>
<td>CM20n.1n</td>
<td>143.77–143.84</td>
<td>CM20n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143.84–144.70</td>
<td>CM20n.2n</td>
<td>144.70–145.52</td>
<td>CM20r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145.52–146.56</td>
<td>CM21n</td>
<td>146.56–147.06</td>
<td>CM21r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147.06–148.57</td>
<td>CM22n.1n</td>
<td>148.57–148.62</td>
<td>CM22n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.62–148.67</td>
<td>CM22n.2n</td>
<td>148.67–148.72</td>
<td>CM22n.2r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.72–148.79</td>
<td>CM22n.3n</td>
<td>148.79–149.49</td>
<td>CM22r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149.49–149.72</td>
<td>CM22An</td>
<td>149.72–150.04</td>
<td>CM22Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.04–150.69</td>
<td>CM23n.1n</td>
<td>150.69–150.91</td>
<td>CM23n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.91–150.93</td>
<td>CM23n.2n</td>
<td>150.93–151.40</td>
<td>CM23r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151.40–151.72</td>
<td>CM24n.1n</td>
<td>151.72–151.98</td>
<td>CM24n.1r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151.98–152.00</td>
<td>CM24n.2n</td>
<td>152.00–152.15</td>
<td>CM24r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152.15–152.24</td>
<td>CM24An</td>
<td>152.24–152.43</td>
<td>CM24Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152.43–153.13</td>
<td>CM24Bn</td>
<td>153.13–153.43</td>
<td>CM24Br</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153.43–154.00</td>
<td>CM25n</td>
<td>154.00–154.31</td>
<td>CM25r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.31–155.32</td>
<td>CM26n</td>
<td>155.32–155.55</td>
<td>CM26r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155.55–155.80</td>
<td>CM27n</td>
<td>155.80–156.05</td>
<td>CM27r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156.05–156.19</td>
<td>CM28n</td>
<td>156.19–156.51</td>
<td>CM28r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156.51–157.27</td>
<td>CM29n</td>
<td>157.27–157.53</td>
<td>CM29r</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Geomagnetic polarity time scale tabulated from Cande and Kent (1995), with additional short subchrons summarized by Lowrie and Kent (2004), for after the Cretaceous Normal Polarity Superchron (CNPS), which corresponds to the Cretaceous Quiet Zone, and from Channell \textit{et al.} (1995) for before the CNPS. The names of chrons marked by asterisks are repeated because the reverse polarity intervals contain one or two cryptochrons that have been elevated to the status of new normal polarity subchrons.
hardly be evident in sea-surface anomaly data. Intensity variations on timescales of $10^4$ years may be detectable in sea-surface profiles from fast-spreading ridges but will have greatly reduced amplitudes and longer wavelengths as dictated by the Earth filter. In order to document these higher-frequency anomaly variations (some of which may reflect geomagnetic field behavior), it is useful to make magnetic field observations nearer the magnetic source (Larson and Spiess, 1969). One might well ask whether much additional information can be gained from these near-bottom records since even polarity intervals as short as $10^4$ may be recorded, albeit in filtered form, in sea-surface profiles from the fastest-spreading ridges in the present ocean basins. The answer is twofold. First, comparison of sea-surface and near-bottom data can sometimes differentiate between short wavelength anomalies due to short reversals and those arising from intensity fluctuations. For example, Bowers et al. (2001) have documented that many of the coherent tiny wiggles in sea-surface profiles from Anomaly 5 evolve into more complex, but still lineated, anomalies near the seafloor, suggesting these short wavelength anomalies represent

Figure 2  Top, ages of magnetic reversals (Ma), and bottom, polarity chron lengths (My) in the Cenozoic and Mesozoic oceanic anomaly sequences plotted against their age order (from Lowrie and Kent, 2004). Statistical properties are shown for two segments of the CK95 timescale and the CENT94 timescale in which linear fits have slopes not distinct from zero. CNPS, Cretaceous normal polarity superchron.
geomagnetic intensity fluctuations rather than discrete short polarity events (which should be manifest by a higher amplitude and simpler anomaly pattern). A second benefit of near-bottom data is that two closely spaced short events (whether intensity variations or reversals) can be resolved as distinct features but must be much more widely separated to be differentiated in sea-surface anomaly data.

Near-bottom magnetic anomaly data from near the axis of fast-spreading ridges are particularly useful for assessing any geomagnetic signal, since they can provide high temporal resolution over a time period where independent estimates of geomagnetic intensity fluctuations are best known. Such anomaly data from fast-spreading ridges often show a short wavelength (2–4 km) axial anomaly high with a superimposed anomaly minimum or notch of even shorter wavelength (Gee et al., 2000; Perram et al., 1990; Shah et al., 2003). Possible mechanisms that have been advanced to explain this notch in the axial anomaly include: (1) variations in the thickness of the magnetized layer, (2) variations in magnetization intensity as a result of alteration, and (3) geomagnetic intensity fluctuations (Gee and Kent, 1994; Perram et al., 1990; Tivey and Johnson, 1987). Variations in the thickness of the extrusive magnetic source layer might arise from an axial keel of less magnetic dikes or from elevated temperature that may exceed the Curie point of the Ti-rich titanomagnetite in the extrusives (Shah et al., 2003). The magnetization of seafloor basalts can be substantially reduced by alteration in localized hydrothermal upflow zones (e.g., Tivey et al., 1996; Tivey and Johnson, 2002). As will be discussed further in Section 5.12.3.1, the longstanding notion that low-temperature alteration of the magnetic source is the dominant process in controlling crustal magnetization has significantly influenced interpretation of magnetic anomaly data both in the near-ridge environment (e.g., Schouten et al., 1999), as well as on much longer timescales (e.g., Bleil and Petersen, 1983; Zhou et al., 2001).

Although a variety of mechanisms undoubtedly influence magnetic anomaly amplitudes, near-bottom anomaly data and associated absolute paleointensities from the superfast-spreading southern East Pacific Rise (EPR) illustrate that geomagnetic intensity variations are likely sufficient to account for much of the near-ridge anomaly signal (Figure 3). Models of crustal alteration predict a monotonic decrease in magnetization intensity away from the ridge axis. The initial increase in anomaly amplitudes on the ridge flanks is thus apparently at odds with low-temperature alteration being the dominant process controlling crustal magnetization. Thinning of the extrusive magnetic source layer at the ridge axis may also contribute to the axial anomaly notch (Shah et al., 2003). However, even a factor of 2 thinner extrusive source at the ridge axis, as suggested by seismic data (e.g., Christeson et al., 1992; Vera and Diebold, 1994), is apparently not sufficient to account for the lower axial magnetization (Figure 3). Absolute paleointensity data from surface glass samples near the ridge axis reveal a substantial variation in paleointensity (Bowles et al., 2006; Gee et al., 2000; Mejia et al., 1996), with moderate paleointensity values at the ridge axis higher values 1–2 km off-axis and much lower values farther from the ridge. This pattern is remarkably similar to independent estimates of field intensity variations established from archeomagnetic and volcanic materials, which document a substantial dipole moment increase from a low of $\sim 2 \times 10^{22}$ Am$^2$ at $\sim 40$ ka to a peak of $\sim 11 \times 10^{22}$ Am$^2$, followed by a rapid decrease to the present value of $7.9 \times 10^{22}$ Am$^2$. 

![Comparison of glass paleointensities (top) and inversion magnetization solution (middle) for near-bottom magnetic profile across East Pacific Rise at 19.75°. For source-layer geometries (bottom), both a constant-thickness source (solid line) and a variable-thickness source (dashed line) approximating off-axis doubling of seismic layer 2A thickness produce inversions indicating that a lower magnetization is required on-axis with flanking areas of high magnetization. Modified from Gee et al. (2000).](image-url)
The similarity of these paleointensity data, both in magnitude and pattern, and the near-bottom anomaly data strongly suggests that geomagnetic intensity fluctuations are an important, if not the dominant, factor controlling anomaly amplitudes at many ridges.

Comparison of geomagnetic intensity variations over the past 800 ky from sedimentary records and near-bottom magnetic anomalies from the EPR at 19° S (Gee et al., 2000) (Figure 4) also points to the potential importance of geomagnetic intensity variations in producing tiny wiggles. Absolute intensity information from well-dated archeomagnetic and volcanic materials are too sparse prior to about 50 ka to allow construction of a time series of field intensity fluctuations. However, marine sediments can provide continuous, globally distributed records of relative paleointensity (see Chapter 5.12 for a discussion of these relative intensity records) over longer timescales. A stack of sedimentary relative paleointensity records spanning the Brunhes (Guyodo and Valet, 1999) shows many similarities with coherent anomaly (and magnetization) fluctuations on eight profiles from the southern EPR. Because these anomaly profiles are separated by up to 60 km, it is unlikely that crustal accretionary variables (variations in the source thickness or geochemistry) would result in coherent anomaly variations. Thus, variations in geomagnetic intensity are the most likely cause of the coherent fluctuations in the near-bottom anomaly profiles.

The pattern of geomagnetic intensity fluctuations in the near-bottom data is also recognizable in many sea-surface anomaly profiles across the Central Anomaly. At the superfast spreading southern EPR, sea-surface anomalies reveal two broad minima at ~300 and ~550 ky (Figure 4). A similar pattern is discernible in many sea-surface profiles, particularly from intermediate- and fast-spreading ridges (Figure 5). The systematic variation of profiles of the Central Anomaly with spreading rate and their similarity to progressively smoothed records of sediment-derived paleointensity suggest that the short wavelength anomalies within the Central Anomaly are global features representing filtered variations in geomagnetic dipole intensity.

Near-bottom anomaly profiles of Anomaly 5 in the northeast Pacific (Bowers et al., 2001) and comparison with the corresponding sea-surface anomaly data provide additional evidence that many short wavelength anomalies reflect paleointensity variations rather than short polarity reversals (Figure 6). Although the tiny wiggles in sea-surface anomaly profiles can conveniently be modeled as due to short reverse polarity intervals (Blakely, 1974), these anomaly minima in the sea-surface data correspond to more complex but still linedated anomalies in the near-bottom data. For example, the sea-surface minima labeled 5.4 corresponds to a local anomaly maximum within a broader low in the near-bottom data (Bowers et al., 2001). Numerous linedated magnetic lows were found in the 12 near-bottom profiles from the northeast Pacific. If modeled as short polarity reversals, the approximately ~1 My duration normal polarity interval would be interrupted by 26 reverse polarity
intervals ranging from 1.2 to 19 ky in duration (Bowers et al., 2001). More than three-quarters of these would have durations less than 10 ky and so would be comparable to or less than the time needed for two reversals (average reversal duration 7 ky; Clement, 2004). The implausibly large number and short duration of required reversed polarity intervals suggest that most of the near-bottom anomaly fluctuations reflect geomagnetic intensity fluctuations rather than short polarity intervals. This interpretation is also supported by the fact that some correlative sedimentary records show no polarity reversals and yet have relative intensity variations that appear to correlate with the short wavelength anomaly features (Bowles et al., 2003). Other sedimentary records, however, reveal a small number of polarity fluctuations within Chron C5n (e.g., Evans and Channell, 2003; Evans et al., 2004; Roberts and Lewin-Harris, 2000) though the number, duration, and timing of these features is often conflicting. In some cases, the inferred long duration (e.g., 23 and 28 ky events identified by Roberts and Lewin-Harris, 2000) is incompatible with magnetic anomaly data (such long polarity intervals would be readily apparent in profiles from fast-spreading ridges). In other cases, proposed events are sufficiently brief (5–11 ky) to not conflict with existing anomaly data, though these events may represent excursions rather than polarity subchrons (Evans et al., 2004). While the sedimentary data provide evidence for a small number of polarity fluctuations, the bulk of the variations evident in near-bottom anomaly records are likely to reflect intensity variations rather than short polarity reversals. A linkage between directional excursions and low intensities is also suggested by a statistical model of the geomagnetic field (Tauxe and Kent, 2004).
The geomagnetic intensity signal documented in the Central Anomaly and Anomaly 5 is likely to be a more general feature of marine magnetic anomalies, since the thermoremanence in the ocean crust should record geomagnetic intensity as well as polarity information. Apparently lineated, short-wavelength magnetic anomalies that most plausibly represent geomagnetic intensity fluctuations have indeed been documented within several portions of the C-sequence, for example, in sea-surface anomaly profiles from Anomalies 12–13 (Cande and LaBrecque, 1974) and Anomalies 24–27 (Cande and Kent, 1992b). They are mostly attributed to intensity fluctuations because attempts to find corresponding short polarity intervals in sedimentary records have generally not been successful (e.g., Bowles et al., 2003; Evans and Channell, 2003; Hartl et al., 1993; Lanci and Lowrie, 1997; Schneider, 1995); these magnetostratigraphic data were summarized by Krijgsman and Kent (2004). Although the detailed pattern of pre–Brunhes intensity variations is not known, the statistical properties of the recent field (with \( \sim 40\% \) intensity fluctuations about the mean; Merrill et al., 1996) provide a plausible model for generating short wavelength anomaly variations (Cande and Kent, 1992b). The models shown in Figure 7 illustrate that coherent short wavelength anomaly variations are likely to be present throughout the marine magnetic anomaly sequence.

The amplitude and character of such short wavelength anomaly fluctuations, particularly in anomalous intervals such as the KQZ and JQZ, may therefore provide important clues about the origin of the geomagnetic field (e.g., by testing proposed links between reversal rate and geomagnetic intensity; Tauxe and Hartl, 1997). Sea-surface magnetic anomalies within the JQZ, arbitrarily delineated as older than anomaly M25, typically have very low amplitudes and there is a gradual increase in amplitude, extending from at least M29 to around M19, which may be field related (Cande et al., 1978; McElhinny and Larson, 2003) (Figure 8(a)). Although the lineated nature of even older M-sequence anomalies has been documented over fast spreading crust and used for tectonic reconstructions (Handschumacher et al., 1988; Nakanishi et al., 1989, 1992; Nakanishi and Winterer, 1998), magnetostratigraphic evidence for polarity reversals over the time interval represented by the JQZ, that is, prior to \( \sim \)M25, is still ambiguous (e.g., Channell et al., 1984; Juarez et al., 1994; Steiner et al., 1985). These older anomalies resemble the kind of short-wavelength, low-amplitude anomalies observed in the Cenozoic that have been attributed to paleointensity variations (Cande and Kent, 1992b). In contrast, magnetic anomalies in the KQZ can often be of high amplitude (e.g., Figure 8(b)) even though magnetostratigraphic studies and paleomagnetic compilations provide strong evidence that the KQZ formed during predominantly normal geomagnetic polarity (e.g., Irving and Pullaiah, 1976; Lowrie and Alvarez, 1981). It is not clear whether the anomalies in the KQZ are field related (e.g., Cronin et al., 2001) but their large amplitude does suggest that the field

Figure 6  Magnetic anomaly profiles for Anomaly 5. Top shows sea-surface stack compared to representative near-bottom profiles and coincident near-bottom stack from moderate (84 km My\(^{-1}\) full-rate) spreading seafloor in Northeast Pacific; bottom shows sea-surface stack from fast (180 km My\(^{-1}\) full-rate) spreading seafloor on west flank of the southern East Pacific Rise. Modified from Bowers NE, Cande SC, Gee JS, Hildebrand JA, and Parker RL (2001) Fluctuations of the paleomagnetic field during chron C5 as recorded in near-bottom marine magnetic anomaly data. Journal of Geophysical Research 106: 26379–26396.

The geomagnetic intensity signal documented in the Central Anomaly and Anomaly 5 is likely to be a more general feature of marine magnetic anomalies, since the thermoremanence in the ocean crust should record geomagnetic intensity as well as polarity information. Apparently lineated, short-wavelength magnetic anomalies that most plausibly represent geomagnetic intensity fluctuations have indeed been documented within several portions of the C-sequence, for example, in sea-surface anomaly profiles from Anomalies 12–13 (Cande and
Figure 7  Further examples of short wavelength magnetic anomalies in sea-surface profiles (a) from between Anomalies 12 and 13 on Chile Rise and (b) at various spreading rates from between Anomalies 26 and 27. A model for the source of the short wavelength magnetic anomalies between Anomalies 26 and 27 at different spreading rates is shown in (c) and assumes that the anomalies are due to random fluctuations in the dipole field paleointensity whose statistical properties are compatible with observed paleointensity variations over the past 5 My. (a) Modified from Cande SC and LaBrecque JL (1974) Behaviour of the Earth’s paleomagnetic field from small scale marine magnetic anomalies. Nature 247: 26–28. (b,c) Modified from Cande SC and Kent DV (1992b) Ultrahigh resolution marine magnetic anomaly profiles: A record of continuous paleointensity variations. Journal of Geophysical Research 97: 15075–15083.
intensity during the KQZ may have been high (Tarduno et al., 2001; Tauxe and Staudigel, 2004), especially compared to the JQZ, whose low-amplitude anomalies might be indicative of low average field intensity in the Jurassic (McElhinny and Larson, 2003; Prévot et al., 1990).

5.12.2.3 Anomaly Skewness and Nondipole Field

In addition to the wealth of information on geomagnetic intensity and polarity reversals, the shape (skewness) of magnetic anomalies can also provide an estimate of remanent inclination that can, in turn, be used for tectonic or geomagnetic studies. The skewness of a magnetic anomaly is a function of the effective inclinations (i.e., those projected in a plane perpendicular to the azimuth of the magnetized blocks) of the ambient field and of the remanence of the source blocks (Schouten and Cande, 1976). Skewness amounts to a uniform phase shift as a measure of the deviation in shape between the observed magnetic anomalies and the ideal symmetric profile that would be produced in a vertical field by vertically oriented magnetizations separated by vertical source boundaries. Determination of the skewness from anomaly profiles, together with the known geometry of the spreading lineation and ambient field, allows the remanent inclination to be estimated. For the Central Anomaly, Schneider (1988) applied this type of skewness analysis to 14 magnetic anomalies.
anomaly profiles from the Galapagos Ridge to derive a precise estimate of the mean remanent inclination, which was found to differ by several degrees from the expected field inclination and indicated that there is a small but significant (~5%) long-term nondipole (mainly axial quadrupole) contribution to the geocentric axial dipole field (Figure 9). This result was confirmed by an analysis of skewness in 203 profiles of the Central Anomaly from the global ridge system (Acton et al., 1996).

In applications of the skewness method outside of the Central Anomaly (or Brunhes), where both isochronous boundaries of the source block contribute and thus simplify interpretation of the anomaly shape, other aspects of the source that may not be symmetrically distributed to cancel out (e.g., sloping polarity boundaries, tectonic rotations) need to be considered for interpretation of field behavior (Cande and Kent, 1976). The skewness of magnetic anomalies that is not accounted for by a simple block model is termed anomalous skewness (Cande, 1976). While such anomalous skewness is a complicating factor in extracting geomagnetic or tectonic information from magnetic anomalies (e.g., Petronotis et al., 1992), the details of the anomaly shape provide strong constraints on the geometry and tectonic deformation of the magnetization source that will be discussed further in Section 5.12.4. Assessing the fidelity of the geomagnetic field record in anomalies requires an understanding of both these nonfield related variations in source properties (e.g., transition zone width, geometry of polarity boundaries), as well as the intrinsic magnetic properties of the various source layers. The nature and origin of the remanent magnetization of these layers are the subject of the following section.

5.12.3 Magnetic Source Regions

Analysis of sea-surface and near-bottom magnetic anomalies suggest that most of the signal comes from the extrusive layer (Figure 10). For example, Talwani et al. (1971) determined the mean magnetization of basement topography from sea-surface magnetic surveys made along strike, which allowed an estimate of the thickness of the layer responsible for the across-strike (seafloor spreading) magnetic anomalies. The analysis was made on the slow-spreading Reykjanes Ridge and yielded a thickness of about 500 m, approximately the thickness of the volcanic layer. Atwater and Mudie (1973) did a comparable analysis using near-bottom magnetic profiles on the Gorda Rise in the Pacific. They also found that a 500 m thick source layer could account for the seafloor spreading magnetic anomalies. Dredged and drilled samples of oceanic basalts have remanent magnetizations that are more or less compatible with a relatively thin source layer but firm conclusions are inhibited by the several orders of magnitude range in the magnetization values (Lowrie, 1977).
Thicker and even deeper sources have also been invoked, usually as a counterbalance to supposedly altered and diminished shallower sources to explain the apparent loss of fidelity in earlier Cenozoic anomaly sequences (e.g., Blakely, 1976) or to produce anomalous skewness from sloping blocking temperature isotherms (Cande and Kent, 1976). Although broader transition zone widths (and consequently some loss of short polarity events) might be expected if the slowly cooled gabbroic layer is a substantial contributor, the still older (M-sequence) anomalies do not have markedly broader transition zone widths (e.g., Cande et al., 1978) and magnetostratigraphic data confirm that the reversal frequency in the earlier Cenozoic was in fact lower (Lowrie and Alvarez, 1981; Lowrie and Kent, 2004). Similarly, the general absence of anomalous skewness for Anomalies M0–M4 (Cande, 1978; Larson, 1977; Larson and Chase, 1972) places limits on the contribution from the gabbroic layer. These observations suggest that contributions from deeper source layers are not necessarily required, although they are also not precluded (Harrison, 1987). Magnetic data from samples of these deeper layers suggest that they do indeed contribute significantly to magnetic anomalies.

Below we summarize the magnetization of the oceanic crust according to the main subdivisions: extrusives, sheeted dikes, and gabbros, plus serpentnized mantle peridotites, and show that sample remanence and anomaly data can be reconciled by a three-layer model, with relatively narrow transition zones in lavas and dikes and broader polarity boundaries in the gabbros. Oceanic basement rocks acquire a thermal remanent magnetization (TRM) during initial cooling. The magnitude of the TRM is proportional to the strength of ambient geomagnetic field but also depends on the geochemistry (e.g., iron content) of the rocks and magnetic grain size. The rocks may alter with age, which may also modify the TRM. The resultant magnetization measured on rock samples in the laboratory is referred to as the natural remanent magnetization (NRM). In order to facilitate comparison of magnetization values from different locations (dipole intensity varies by a factor of 2 from equator to pole), all magnetization values are reported as equatorial values. Although the arithmetic mean is most pertinent for comparison with magnetic anomalies, most large magnetization data sets are characterized by approximately lognormal distributions. Geometric mean values are used where possible (many authors do not specify how mean values were calculated) for comparisons since these are less influenced by extreme values in smaller data sets that are typical of many studies of oceanic rocks.
5.12.3.1 Magnetization of Lavas

Mid-ocean ridge basalts that constitute the oceanic extrusive layer have a magnetization that is characteristically dominated by a strong stable remanence compared to an induced component, making these shallow seated rocks a traditional prime source of seafloor spreading magnetic anomalies. Titanium-rich titanomagnetite (Fe$_3$-$_x$Ti$_x$O$_4$, where $x \sim 0.6$) that has often undergone variable degrees of low-temperature oxidation (maghemitization) is the principal magnetic mineral. However, it was recognized early on that the very wide range of observed NRM intensities could not be explained by a mere variation in low-temperature oxidation; instead, it is necessary to invoke substantial variations in titanomagnetite composition, concentration, and grain size (Lowrie, 1977).

5.12.3.1.1 Initial grain size and composition

The rapidly chilled margins of submarine lavas produce a large gradient in cooling rate-dependent grain size and compositional effects (Marshall and Cox, 1971). For example, detailed sampling perpendicular to the chilled margin shows that the NRM and magnetic hysteresis parameters can vary systematically by an order of magnitude on centimeter scales (Gee and Kent, 1997, 1998, 1999; Kent and Gee, 1996; Marshall and Cox, 1971) (Figure 11). Near the chilled margin, hysteresis parameters indicate grain sizes spanning the superparamagnetic/single domain boundary ($\sim 30$ nm; Özdemir and O'Reilly, 1981). The presence of stable single domain grains, associated with a peak in NRM intensity, presumably accounts for much of the strong, stable remanence associated with the extrusive layer. The pronounced grain-size variation, however, presents a practical problem in characterizing the magnetic properties of oceanic basalts because random sampling of such large within-flow variations can seriously bias estimates of between-flow and between-site variations.

Unblocking temperatures of NRM as well as Curie temperatures can also show a large systematic variation with depth in a flow (Grommé et al., 1979; Ryall and Ade-Hall, 1975), even in a zero-age pillow such as is illustrated in Figure 11. The within-flow variation in Curie temperatures in these and other studies has usually been interpreted as reflecting progressive low-temperature oxidation of an originally homogeneous titanomagnetite host (e.g., Kent and Gee, 1996). However, transmission electron microscopic observations (Zhou et al., 2000) on a zero-age pillow fragment from the Juan de Fuca Ridge show no oxidation and that the titanium content of titanomagnetite grains varies as a function of distance from the chilled margin (Figure 12). The larger grains in the interior have a more uniform composition of around $x \sim 0.6$ whereas the smaller grains toward the glassy margin have more variable compositions with a lower average $x$ value of $\sim 0.45$. Ultrafine magnetite ($x \sim 0$) has been documented both in interstitial glass, as well as in the chilled glassy
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Figure 13(a) The greatly improved correlations can be attributed to detailed sampling that spanned the full range of cooling-related magnetization changes within a flow, as well as to the young age of the axial samples, which effectively minimized age-dependent magnetization changes. An inversion of the axial magnetic anomaly profile shows a close correspondence between the magnitude of the magnetization solution and the range of intensities observed in the axial samples (Figure 13(b)). The equivalent NRM values calculated from the mean FeO content of each dredge using the linear regression also provide confirmation of the predicted link between geochemistry and anomaly amplitude.

The geochemical dependence demonstrated on the southern EPR indicates that the magnetization of oceanic basalts can vary by up to a factor of ~4 as a function of their iron contents alone, from around 12 A m$^{-1}$ for 9% FeO$^+$ to more than 50 A m$^{-1}$ for the highest FeO$^+$ values (~15%); separation of early crystallizing phases cause FeO$^+$ to increase but at higher degrees of fractionation FeO$^+$ decreases as FeTi oxide phenocrysts begin crystallizing). Geochemical variation is thus expected to exert a fundamental control on the magnetization of basalt and the source of magnetic anomalies, which should vary more or less proportionately in amplitude as has been observed on the southern EPR. Ridge crest discontinuities, where enhanced fractionation is expected, are often accompanied by higher amplitude magnetic anomalies (Bazin et al., 2001; Wilson and Hey, 1995). In addition, the average degree of fractionation of lavas appears to vary with spreading rate (Sinton and Detrick, 1992). Slow-spreading ridges, which lack steady magma chambers, have systematically less-evolved magmas with lower FeO$^+$ than faster-spreading ridges, differences that might be reflected in overall values of basalt magnetizations and anomaly amplitudes.
5.12.3.1.2 Low-temperature alteration

Low-temperature oxidation of stoichiometric titanium-rich titanomagnetite is widely considered to be the dominant process of magnetic alteration of oceanic basalts. The magnetic consequences of such low-temperature alteration include a fourfold reduction in the saturation magnetization (for complete oxidation; O’Reilly, 1984), as well as a significant increase in the Curie temperature (Xu et al., 1996), with the possible acquisition of a chemical remanent

Figure 13  Magnetic and geochemical variations along the axis of the southern East Pacific Rise. (a) Variation in NRM as a function of FeO\(^*\) (total Fe as FeO) for dredges from the southern East Pacific Rise. NRM values represent the arithmetic mean intensity (±s.d.) of three or more flows/pillows, each with multiple subspecimens (Gee and Kent, 1997). Dredge mean FeO\(^*\) content (±s.d.) calculated from microprobe analysis of glass chips (Sinton et al., 1991) from samples used for magnetic study. (b) Composite axial magnetic anomaly (prior to reduction to pole). (c) Magnetization inferred from profile inversion (heavy grey line) and grid inversion (dashed, both left scale) is compared to FeO\(^*\) content (filled circles, with larger circles indicating dredges for which sample magnetizations are available), with the scaling and offset between the axes determined by the regression result from panel (a). Prior to inversion, the axial anomaly was reduced to pole using an effective source thickness of 375 m, corresponding to an extrusive layer that thickens from 250 to 500 m within approximately 2.5 km of the ridge crest. A cosine-tapered band-pass filter was applied where wavelengths < 10 km and > 600 km were cut and wavelengths >20 km and <300 km were passed unattenuated. Modified from Gee J and Kent DV (1998) Magnetic telechemistry and magmatic segmentation on the southern East Pacific Rise. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 164: 379–385.
magnetization (CRM) that might replace the initial TRM (Raymond and LaBrecque, 1987). Substantial (up to an order of magnitude) changes in the magnetization of the extrusive layer and the amplitude of the associated magnetic anomalies have been attributed to low-temperature alteration (Bleil and Petersen, 1983; Irving, 1970). As documented below, zero-age lavas do have a remanence that is higher, by a factor of 4, than that of older lava samples. However, magnetic anomaly data and direct determination of the degree of low-temperature oxidation suggest that this process is responsible for only about half of this decrease in magnetization, with the remaining discrepancy plausibly attributed to higher paleofield intensity in the axial lavas. Moreover, the heterogeneity of alteration makes definitive resolution of the relevant timescales difficult.

Sea-surface magnetic profiles and inversion solutions from fast-spreading ridges like the Pacific-Antarctic Ridge (Figure 14(a)) record a short (~10 km) wavelength axial Central Anomaly magnetic high (CAMH) (Klitgord, 1976). Near-bottom anomaly data from fast-spreading ridges indicate that the width of this high magnetization zone is ~2–3 km (Figure 3). At slow-spreading ridges, the CAMH occupies a larger proportion of the Central Anomaly and consequently may not be readily distinguishable in sea-surface profiles from the Central Anomaly (Figure 14(b)). In concert with a dominant Central Anomaly (e.g., Vine, 1966), the evidence for a decay in basalt magnetizations has traditionally come from the slow-spreading ridges in the Atlantic Ocean where oceanic basalts have been thought to suffer decay related to aging and alteration with a time constant on the order of 0.5 My (Irving, 1970; Johnson and Atwater, 1977). Alteration-induced magnetization decay has also often been linked to the CAMH (Klitgord, 1976), although this would require very different time constants for fast- and slow-spreading ridges. For example, profiles from the fast spreading EPR at 12°N suggest that the magnetization contrast must occur over a much shorter timescale to balance a presumed negative magnetic anomaly that would result from the thickening of Layer 2A deduced from seismic imaging (Figure 15).

Although originally interpreted as reflecting low-temperature alteration on rapid timescales (Gee and Kent, 1994), remanence data from near-ridge basalts at the EPR at 12°N do not require a substantial decay in magnetization related to alteration. This is because low NRM intensities and magnetic susceptibilities and high Curie temperatures, which might be an indication of alteration, occur at the ridge axis (zero age) and as well as off-axis (Figure 16). Indeed, efforts to induce alteration of young oceanic basalts in the laboratory have generally failed (e.g., Kent and Gee, 1994). Instead, it now appears that the high magnetization values on-axis producing the contrast that accounts for the CAMH on fast-spreading ridges is mostly due to a paleointensity signal, which is also suggested by the central
notch in the CAMH in near-bottom profiles over faster-spreading rate ridges (Gee et al., 2000; Perram et al., 1990) (Figure 3).

Evidence has also been sought for longer-term changes in oceanic basalt magnetic properties that could be attributed to the effects of alteration to explain an apparent envelope of decreasing anomaly amplitudes with distance from the ridge axis. Several magnetic anomaly inversion studies have suggested a decrease in magnetization by a factor of 2–3 within about 10 My of the ridge axis (Sayanagi and Tamaki, 1992; Wittpenn et al., 1989). However, it is difficult to evaluate the effects of various potential artifacts associated with data processing (filtering, gridding) and the sequence effect in biasing the results, for example, in producing the apparent substantial (factor of 3–5) increase in magnetization with increasing age beyond 10 Ma and into the KQZ. An influential and more direct analysis in this regard was the compilation of oceanic basalt magnetizations from Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) sites by Bleil and Petersen (1983), who proposed that the data showed an initial sharp decrease in NRM to minimum values at
around 20 Ma followed by a gradual increase to ages of around 120 Ma. Subsequent compilations (Furuta, 1993; Johnson and Pariso, 1993) (Figure 17) show a similar pattern of variation with age although the data quality is highly variable with more than one-third of the sites represented by 10 or fewer samples (e.g., six sites have only one sample!). The overall arithmetic mean NRM intensity for all 64 site means reduced to the equator is 4.4 A m\(^{-1}\); high values of around 10 A m\(^{-1}\) occur at both very young ages as well as at around 120 Ma, whereas values of 1 A m\(^{-1}\) or less occur at around 40 Ma. There is considerable scatter throughout with only a handful of sites older than 40 Ma penetrating more than 50 m of basalt or represented by more than a nominal number (20–30) of samples. Because of the typically small number of samples, as well as the lack of control on geochemical variations, within-flow grain size variations, or geomagnetic intensity fluctuations that strongly influence remanent intensity, suggestions of any temporal trends in magnetization intensity of seafloor lavas from such plots should be regarded as tentative.

A more robust estimate of age-dependent changes can be obtained by comparing the distribution of NRM values for a large collection of near-axis (zero-age) samples from the southern EPR with histograms of NRM values for the best characterized DSDP/ODP drill sites that have the deepest penetration and are represented by about 100 or more samples (Figure 18). The NRM distributions are reasonably approximated by log-normal distributions. The zero-age southern EPR samples have a geometric mean NRM of 18.9 A m\(^{-1}\). This is virtually identical to the geometric mean NRM intensity of 18 A m\(^{-1}\) for the axial samples from 12° N on the EPR, which were mostly taken within about 3 km of the axis and thus are less than ~50 ky old (Gee and Kent, 1994). Published data from the youngest drill site with significant penetration (DSDP Site 482, which was sited on ~0.4 Ma crust; Lewis et al., 1983) indicate NRM intensities of about 5 A m\(^{-1}\), almost a factor of 4 less than the axial samples. Still older drill sites have geometric mean NRM intensities that range from 2.3 A m\(^{-1}\) for Site 395 (7.8 Ma) to 14.1 A m\(^{-1}\) for Site 1256 (15.4 Ma) although the data at this latter site may be biased to higher NRM values by a particularly strong drilling remanence (Wilson et al., 2003). Nevertheless, there
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**Figure 17** Compilation of equatorial NRM of oceanic basalts recovered from DSDP and ODP drill sites as a function of crustal age and sorted by depth of basement penetration. Lower plot shows number of sample measurements available for calculation of site mean (from references listed in Johnson and Pariso, 1993); histogram at right is for all site mean NRM values. NRM data are from compilation of Johnson and Pariso (1993) with some corrections (e.g., deletion of data for samples from sills). Ages are based on updated anomaly or biostratigraphic assignments and the timescales of Berggren et al. (1995) and Channell et al. (1995).
does not appear to be an obvious age-dependent trend in the drillsite-mean NRM values since the oldest sites (Site 417/418 at 121 Ma and Hole 801C at 169 Ma) have about the same average NRM intensities as some of the youngest drill sites (e.g., Sites 482, 483 at 2.3 Ma and Hole 504B at ~6.8 Ma), which are all between about 4–6 A m⁻¹. What does seem significant is the factor of almost 4 decrease in mean NRM intensity from the axial zone to older sites; if the entire reduction is ascribed to alteration, the time constant must be considerably shorter than about 0.4 My, the age of the youngest off-axis site (Site 482) with reduced magnetization. A similar pattern of magnetization change was observed in the FAMOUS area (37° N on the slow-spreading Mid-Atlantic Ridge) based on the magnetization of topography derived from near-bottom observations (Macdonald, 1977).

Direct observations of the degree of low-temperature alteration suggest that the fourfold difference in intensity of axial lavas and older drill sites cannot be attributed solely to maghemitization. The oxidation parameter \(0 < z < 1\), where \(1\) indicates complete oxidation to titanomaghemite; O’Reilly, 1984) has now been estimated from lattice spacing and composition using transmission and analytical electron microscopy on small titanomaghemite grains in fine-grained oceanic basalts over a broad age range (Wang et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2001). The development and application of microanalytical techniques (Zhou et al., 1999a) represent a major advance in the study of oceanic basalts because they allow more direct characterization of the important fine-grained magnetic carriers that previously had to be inferred from larger magnetic grains or bulk properties. Although there is considerable scatter (Figure 19), the oxidation parameter data are consistent with an initial, very rapid increase in alteration such that \(z\) values up to 0.8 occur in basalts less than 1 Ma old, as suggested by Bleil and Petersen (1983). Much less convincing is any systematic long-term trend because although values of \(z\) greater than 0.8 do tend to occur in samples older than about 30 Ma, the oldest sample measured (Hole 801C, 169 Ma) has a \(z\) value of only ~0.2. Thus, while low-temperature alteration evidently can occur rapidly, the extrusive layer is not uniformly oxidized even for the oldest seafloor.

Since complete oxidation would be required to account for a factor of 4 reduction in saturation magnetization (O’Reilly, 1984), some additional parameter is apparently required to explain the factor of 4 difference in magnetization of axial lavas and older drilled basalts. Paleofield changes might explain the difference if the present field is unusually high and it is worth noting that magnetization variations over long timescales have been attributed by some authors to paleofield variations (e.g., Wang et al., 2005; Juarez et al., 1998). Even the traditional model of alteration-related variations in saturation magnetization (Ms) is still
possible, for example, Matzka et al. (2003) argue that the low NRM values for 10–40 Ma samples are primarily due to the reduction in saturation magnetization accompanying maghemitization, similar to the model of Bleil and Petersen (1983). Some other alternative explanations are described below.

Xu et al. (1997c) have suggested a model of preferential dissolution with time of the finest-grained titanomagnetites as the major process contributing to long-term temporal changes in remanent intensity of mid-ocean ridge basalts. If widespread, such dissolution could enhance the effect of the reduction in saturation magnetization accompanying low-temperature oxidation. However, the data in Figure 19 show no obvious coarsening in magnetic grain size with age or alteration, for example, some of the highest $M_s/M_r$ values (i.e., high ratios of saturation remanence to saturation magnetization) are associated with the highest $z$ values (greatest low-temperature oxidation) in ~30-Ma-old samples. Instead, the results in Figure 19 are consistent with the more voluminous hysteresis data shown in Figure 20 that illustrate that substantial intra-sample variability in oceanic basalts precludes recognition of any systematic trend in magnetic grain size with age from DSDP/ODP drill sites (Gee and Kent, 1999).

Directional changes associated with low-temperature alteration might also contribute to reduction in seafloor basalt magnetization with time if a CRM is acquired in a direction that opposes the initial thermoremanence. Several early studies (e.g. Ryall and Ade-Hall, 1975; Marshall and Cox, 1972) concluded that the remanence direction was unaffected by low-temperature alteration since the oxidized titanomagnemite would inherit the original TRM direction. These results are not conclusive, however, since these studies were conducted on young Brunhes-age samples where no large directional difference would be expected. More extensive studies on altered lavas from a range of ages (Beske-Diehl, 1990) were also interpreted as reflecting no alteration-related directional changes since the observed directional shifts could also be attributed to viscous remanence acquisition. Although the low Curie point of TM60 makes direct experimental investigation of seafloor oxidation difficult, Kelso et al. (1991) found that CRM in synthetic TM40 grains was acquired parallel to the applied field direction, with secondary magnetizations particularly enhanced at lower pH values. If broadly applicable, such field-parallel CRM acquisition coupled with the pattern of geomagnetic polarity reversals could provide a mechanism for long-term variations in NRM intensity as suggested by Raymond and Labrecque (1987).

In addition, low-temperature oxidation has been suggested as a possible mechanism by which partial self-reversal might occur, leading to the acquisition of an antipodal overprint that could significantly reduce the NRM intensity (Doubrovine and Tarduno, 2004). These authors found that the majority of thermally demagnetized samples from Detroit Seamount had two nearly antipodal remanence components. Some samples showed a maximum in the $M_s(T)$ curves above room temperature that might be indicative of N-type ferromagnetic behavior and partial self-reversal (Doubrovine and Tarduno, 2004). A similar peak in $M_s(T)$ and importantly a corresponding reversible peak in NRM above room temperature were reported for 10–40 Ma oceanic basalts (Matzka...
et al., 2003). Krasa et al. (2005) provided compelling documentation for partial self-reversal associated with titanomaghemite in subaerial lavas, although they argue that the 'low-temperature' oxidation occurred at temperatures above the blocking temperatures of the original titanomagnetite phase during initial cooling. Thus, while such partial self-reversals may occur, the importance of this process in generating long-term changes in seafloor magnetization remains to be demonstrated.

A common motivation for seeking evidence of a time-dependent change in the magnetization of oceanic basalts is the widespread perception of a decreasing amplitude envelope for ridge crest anomalies. This concept stems largely from long-standing observations that the Central Anomaly in the slow-spreading Atlantic and Indian Oceans tends to be anomalously high (e.g., Vine, 1966). For example, Bleil and Petersen (1983) show a magnetic profile across the North Atlantic as evidence of this phenomenon, which had also been described to occur over some sectors of the Australia–Antarctic Ridge by Weissel and Hayes (1972). However, the Central Anomaly is not such a pronounced feature in magnetic profiles from the faster-spreading sectors of the East Pacific, which are also typically described as showing only a gradual decrease in amplitude on the ridge flanks (e.g., Pitman and Heirtzler, 1966; Pitman et al., 1968). Indeed, the prominence of the Central Anomaly (and the associated amplitude envelope) appears to be closely tied to spreading rate (Figure 21; Cande and Gee, 2001).

The fact that neither a prominent Central Anomaly nor a pronounced anomaly envelope are present on all ridge systems places limits on systematic time-dependent processes that are expected to affect the magnetization of all oceanic basalts, such as long-term changes in paleointensity and alteration. Suggested time constants of magnetic alteration, assuming an exponential decay, have ranged over several orders of magnitude, from ~0.05 My (Gee and Kent, 1994) to ~0.5 My (Johnson and Atwater, 1977; Macdonald, 1977), and to ~5 My or longer (Bleil and Petersen, 1983; Raymond and LaBrecque, 1987; Xu et al., 1997b; Zhou et al., 2001). We use these order of magnitude increments in the estimated time constants to model the expected effects on magnetic anomalies in Figure 22. For fast-spreading ridges (Figure 22(a)), models using a factor of 4 decay in magnetization, corresponding to the nominal decrease in NRM from the ridge axis to off-axis drill sites (Figure 18), are virtually precluded over the various timescales. These models produce either a very prominent axial high at a short (0.05 My) decay constant or an obvious amplitude envelope at a long (5 My) decay constant, but neither of these features is compatible with the representative Pacific–Antarctic Ridge profile shown in Figure 21. This comparison suggests that the effective
magnetization reduction from alteration is likely much smaller than a factor of 4, perhaps reflecting the heterogeneous nature of low-temperature oxidation in the crust. Indeed, models using a 2x factor of decay in magnetization at a variety of decay constants for fast-spreading ridges (Figure 22(a)) cannot be excluded as resembling the observed Pacific–Antarctic Ridge profile. Models for different decay factors and time constants for a slow-spreading ridge (Figure 22(b)), on the other hand, seem to favor a larger decay (e.g., compare 4x models to observed Central Atlantic or Southwest Indian Ridge profiles in Figure 21). The independent evidence for rapid changes in oxidation parameter (Figure 19) favors a fast decay time constant, although the entire observed decrease in NRM intensity may not be entirely due to alteration. For example, the NRM of the near-axis samples may be biased by high paleointensities over the past few thousand years that were up to a factor of 2 higher than today’s field and perhaps a factor of 4 higher than the average long-term paleofield intensity (Selkin and Tauxe, 2000). Absolute paleointensity data, which show a 3x change near the ridge axis (Bowles et al., 2006; Gee et al., 2000), are a powerful indication that a large part of that near-ridge signal is field-related. A factor of 2 decrease in NRM intensity due to alteration might be a reasonable estimate for oceanic basalts, with a possibly larger contrast in the slow-spreading ridges due to more intense near-axis tectonics, for example.

5.12.3.2 Magnetization of Dikes

One of the most significant changes in our understanding of the magnetization of oceanic crust since the review by Smith (1990) has been in the role of dikes, which some earlier compilations based on ophiolites and dredge metabasalt samples from fracture zones suggested were characterized by weak, rather unstable remanences (e.g., Kent et al., 1978). The penetration, albeit with limited recovery, of ~1000 m section of dike rocks at Site 504B (Figure 23) has allowed the documentation of the physical and magnetic properties of this important constituent of oceanic crust in a more typical setting. An ~100 m-thick transition between pillow lavas and dikes (at ~1000 mbsf) does have weak magnetizations, 0.1 A m⁻¹ and less, although they have very high coercivities. Deeper levels in the sheeted dike complex have moderate NRM (~2 A m⁻¹) and moderate median demagnetizing fields. Moreover, analysis of logging data suggests that, due to void
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**Figure 21** Representative sea-surface anomaly profiles illustrating the spreading rate dependence of the amplitude of the Central Anomaly. All profiles extend approximately to Anomaly 5 and have been reduced to the pole. The Central Anomaly has notably higher amplitude only at full spreading rates less than ~30 km My⁻¹.
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spaces, the effective NRM of the lavas from Hole 504B may be only 3 A m\(^{-1}\) rather than the 5 A m\(^{-1}\) based on sample measurements (Worm et al., 1996), which would further reduce the magnetization contrast with the dike complex.

Dike samples obtained by both dredges and submersible sampling also highlight the importance of dikes to crustal magnetization. Submersible sampling of \(~1.2\) my-old fast-spread crust exposed at Hess Deep provides a direct comparison of magnetic properties of extrusives, dikes, and gabbros (Figure 24). Here, lavas and dikes have comparable NRM values (\(~4\) A m\(^{-1}\)). Dredged dike samples from \(~2\) my crust exposed along the Blanco Fracture Zone also have moderately strong magnetizations (\(~1.7\) A m\(^{-1}\) when reduced to equatorial value; Johnson and Salem, 1994), although this is substantially less than the magnetization of extrusives (\(~6.4\) A m\(^{-1}\) inferred from near-bottom magnetic anomaly surveys in this area (Tivey, 1996; Tivey et al., 1998a). Although samples of the dike complex are still limited, it appears that they may have a remanence that is significant relative to that of the extrusive layer.

A major difference from the pillows, however, is the magnetic mineralogy, which in pillows is variably magnetized titanomagnetite (Curie temperatures typically 200–400°C) whereas in the dikes it is dominated by nearly pure magnetite (Curie temperatures >550°C; Figures 23 and 24). The origin of the magnetite is critical to assessing role of dikes as a source of seafloor spreading magnetic anomalies. If magnetite is primarily produced by alteration at temperatures below the Curie point, as some have suggested, then the remanence may be largely chemical in origin and may be acquired significantly later than that of the extrusive layer (e.g., Hall and Muzzatti, 1999). Based on a transmission electron microscopy study of dike samples from Hole 504B, Shau et al. (2000) documented multiple pathways for formation of magnetite (Figure 25). Initial oxysolution, at temperatures >600°C, would have produced only slightly Ti-depleted titanomagnetite, with a Curie temperature too low to acquire a

![Figure 22](image-url) Magnetic anomaly models illustrating the effect of alteration-related exponential decay in magnetization for (a) intermediate-spreading crust (full rate of 80 km My\(^{-1}\)) and (b) slow-spreading crust (full rate of 20 km My\(^{-1}\)). For each spreading rate, anomaly profiles are shown for a fourfold decrease in magnetization (20 A m\(^{-1}\) to 5 A m\(^{-1}\)) and a twofold decrease in magnetization (10 A m\(^{-1}\) to 5 A m\(^{-1}\)) for a range of decay time constants. The magnetization model (block diagram) and model with constant remanence intensity are shown for comparison. In all models, the anomaly is calculated for a 1 km thick source layer, with the depth to the upper surface that increases with age\(^{0.5}\) (Ma) (Stein and Stein, 1994). A gaussian filter has been applied to the magnetization (\(\sigma = 0.5 \text{ km and } \sigma = 1.0 \text{ km for the intermediate- and slow-spreading ridges, respectively}) to simulate the transition zone width in the extrusive layer.
remanence at these elevated temperatures. Further oxidation or true exsolution and reduction, likely at temperatures of \( \sim 500-400 \)°C, then produces the fine Ti-poor magnetite that acquires a thermal and/or chemical remanence (Shau et al., 2000). While the remanence in dikes maybe, at least in part, chemical in origin, the temperatures at which the alteration occurs imply that remanence would be acquired very
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**Figure 23** Depth variation in magnetic properties (NRM, Q calculated for 40 A m\(^{-1}\) field, stable remanent inclination, median demagnetization field (MDT), and Curie temperature) of samples of Miocene (\( \sim 6.8 \) Ma) oceanic basement at Site 504B on the Galapagos spreading center. Schematic lithology and penetration during seven drilling legs shown at left. Data compiled from Facey et al. (1985); Furuta (1983); Furuta and Levi (1983); Kinoshita et al. (1985); Pariso and Johnson (1989); Pariso et al. (1995); Pechersky et al. (1983a); Smith and Banerjee (1985, 1986).

**Figure 24** Histograms of NRM intensities (left) and Curie temperatures (right) for dive samples of volcanics, dikes and gabbros from \( \sim 1.2 \) Ma fast-spread oceanic crust at Hess Deep (data from Gee et al., 1992; Varga et al., 2004). Note that the mean NRM intensity for dikes is higher than reported at Hole 504B and is more similar to the volcanics, suggesting that the dikes are an important source of magnetic anomalies at least in the Hess Deep area.
near the ridge axis. This result is confirmed by the consistent (reverse) polarity in the lavas and dikes inferred from magnetic logging data at Hole 504B (Worm and Bach, 1996).

5.12.3.3 Magnetization of Gabbros

Early estimates of the gabbroic contribution to marine magnetic anomalies relied on dredged samples and studies of ophiolites, with the results from ophiolites yielding variable and sometimes contradictory results (Banerjee, 1980). For example, Swift and Johnson (1984) concluded from the low remanent intensities and Konigssberger ratios (the ratio of remanent to induced magnetization) of unaltered gabbros from the Bay of Islands ophiolite that only the altered intrusive portion of the crust (characterized by higher magnetization values) would contribute to magnetic anomalies. In contrast, results from dredges and other ophiolites (Kent et al., 1978; Vine and Moores, 1972) indicated that gabbros had significant magnetization (~1 A m^{-1}) and high Konigssberger ratios and likely would contribute to magnetic anomalies.

At the time of the last review of ocean crustal magnetization (Smith, 1990), relatively little direct information was available on the magnetic properties of oceanic gabbros. Initial results from the first significant drillcore penetration of gabbros (500 m at Hole 735B; Robinson et al., 1989) indicated highly variable NRM intensities, with some FeTi oxide gabbros having extremely high values. With only a single drillcore, however, it was difficult to evaluate whether these magnetic (and petrologic) results were generally applicable. In the intervening time, lower crustal gabbros have been sampled by drilling at three portions of the slow-spreading Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Mid-Atlantic Ridge near the Kane Fracture Zone or MARK area at 23°N (Cannat et al., 1995), near the 15°20' Fracture Zone (Kelemen et al., 2004), Atlantis Massif (Blackman et al., 2006)), at fast-spreading crust at Hess Deep (Gillis et al., 1993), and Hole 735B has been deepened by an additional kilometer (Dick et al., 1999) and supplemented by additional drilling on the Atlantis Bank (Allerton and Tivey, 2001; Pettigrew et al., 1999).

Although these sites all made use of tectonic exposures, recent drilling in the Guatemala Basin (Wilson et al., 2006) penetrated lavas, dikes, and a small amount of gabbro; continued drilling at this site could provide the first in situ gabbroic section from the ocean crust.

These various drill sites into lower crustal gabbros provide a remarkably consistent average magnetization of ~1–2 A m^{-1} (Table 2), suggesting that these rocks should constitute an important magnetization source for magnetic anomalies. Although average magnetic properties are similar, gabbroic sections (particularly at slow-spreading ridges) exhibit substantial variability both in lithology and magnetic properties as exemplified by results from Hole 735B (Figure 26). FeTi oxide gabbros with >2% (and occasionally >10%) oxide minerals constitute a significant fraction of the core from Hole 735B. Magnetic susceptibility
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**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>A.M. (Am$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>std. (Am$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>G.M. (Am$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>std (log)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lavas</strong></td>
<td>Dredge</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2178</td>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>18.94</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPR</td>
<td>Dive</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>61a</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hess Deep</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 482</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole 504B/896*b</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>6–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 395*c</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 1256*c</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>18.36</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sites 417/418</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole 801C*e</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>18, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Drillholes</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dikes</strong></td>
<td>Dive</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>128a</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hess Deep</td>
<td>Dive</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>9–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole 504B*f</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gabbros</strong></td>
<td>Dive</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>47a</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hess Deep</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>20, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole 735B</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>0.5–2.0</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg 209*g</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>~1</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>23, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg 153*h</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>~1</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole 894*g</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>~1</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. 304/305+j</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>~1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peridotites</strong></td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oufi compilation*k</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>0.5–2.0</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg 209*j</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All</strong></td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Each sample value based on three or more specimens.
- Average based on Hole 504B lava samples above 898 mbsf (corresponding to low-temperature altered zone of Pariso and Johnson, 1991) and all lava samples from Site 896.
- Excludes gabbro and peridotites recovered at this site.
- Average based on samples from 551–933 mbsf (excluding younger alkalic lavas and hydrothermal deposits).
- Average based on samples deeper than 1055 mbsf (dike/lava transition zone [878–1055 mbsf] excluded).
- Average based on all gabbroic samples from Sites 1268–1275 (near 15°02′ N Fracture Zone).
- Average based on all gabbroic samples from Sites 921–924 (MARK Area).
- Minor recovery of gabbroic material in other drillshots at Hess Deep not included.
- Includes gabbroic samples from Holes 1309B and 1309D (excluding diabase and ultramafic samples).
- Compilation of peridotite samples from DSDP/ODP drilling up to and including Leg 153.
- Average based on all peridotite samples from Sites 1268–1275 (near 15°02′ N Fracture Zone).

A.M., arithmetic mean; G.M., geometric mean.

References: 1, Gee and Kent (1997); 2, Varga et al. (2004); 3, Gee et al. (1992); 4, Day et al. (1983); 5, Pechersky et al. (1983b); 6, Allerton et al. (1996); 7, Furuta and Levi (1983); 8, Pechersky et al. (1983a); 9, Kinoshi et al. (1985); 10, Facey et al. (1985); 11, Smith and Banerjee (1985); 12, Smith and Banerjee (1986); 13, Pariso and Johnson (1989); 14, Pariso et al. (1995); 15, Johnson (1978); 16, JANUS database for ODP Leg 206 (Wilson et al., 2003); 17, Levi (1980); 18, JANUS database for ODP Legs 129 (Lancelot et al., 1990) and 185 (Plank et al., 2000); 19, Wallick and Steiner (1992); 20, Kikawa and Pariso (1991); 21, Dick et al. (1999); 22, Kellemen et al. (2004); 23, Gee et al. (1997); 24, Cannat et al. (1995); 25, Pariso et al. (1996a); 26, Blackman et al. (2006); 27, Oufi et al. (2002).

Data indicate the presence of several hundred oxide-rich zones, ranging from centimeter to several meters in thickness, throughout the core (Natland, 2002). These oxide-rich intervals may be associated with extremely high NRM values (in some cases >10$^3$ A m$^{-1}$; Robinson et al., 1989) though these high values likely reflect a substantial drilling induced overprint and thus are not representative of the in situ magnetization. Borehole magnetic data from the upper 500 m of Hole 735B indicate an in situ equatorial remanence of 4.4 A m$^{-1}$ for oxide-rich gabbros and 1.8 A m$^{-1}$ for other gabbroic rocks (Pariso and Johnson, 1993a). Although NRM
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Intensities show significant variability, results from both drillcore and submersible samples (Table 2) suggest that an average intensity of ~1 A m⁻¹ is typical for oceanic gabbroic rocks.

Although less compelling than for lavas, there appears to be a weak correlation between more fractionated (Fe-rich) gabbros and higher NRM intensities (Gee et al., 1997; Kikawa and Ozawa, 1992). For a subset of gabbroic rocks from the MARK area, Hess Deep, and Hole 735B (Figure 27(a)), the lowest NRM values are exclusively associated with the least evolved gabbros (with high Mg# = Mg²⁺/(Fe²⁺ + Mg²⁺)). Kikawa and Ozawa (1992) have noted a comparable broad increase in remanent intensity from Hole 735B samples as fractionation proceeds from troctolite to olivine gabbro. These same authors have suggested that gabbro magnetization values also may be reduced by up to an order of magnitude as a result of alteration (as measured by the percentage of secondary mafic minerals). This trend is less obvious in a more qualitative comparison of NRM and shipboard estimates of alteration from MARK area gabbros (Figure 27(b)). Nonetheless, it appears that earlier suggestions of increasing remanent intensity with degree of alteration (Swift and Johnson, 1984) are not generally applicable to oceanic gabbros.

Curie temperatures of gabbroic samples are uniformly near 580°C (e.g., Gee et al., 1997; Pariso and Johnson, 1993b; Worm, 2001), indicating that Ti-poor magnetite is the dominant remanence carrying phase. Primary discrete magnetite (associated with more abundant ilmenite) is abundant in FeTi oxide gabbros.

**Figure 26** Depth variation in lithology and magnetic properties (NRM, Q calculated for 30 A m⁻¹ field, stable remanent inclination and MDF, median demagnetization field) for samples of gabbroic section in oceanic crust at ODP Hole 735B. Magnetic data compiled from Kikawa and Pariso (1991) and Dick et al. (1999); lithology column from Dick et al. (2000). Heavy line in inclination plot is expected dipole value. Magnetization data have not been reduced to equatorial values (open circles and + symbols represent the intensity after removal of the drilling-induced remanence and NRM, respectively).
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but rare in the less-evolved rocks that constitute the bulk of the gabbroic material. In these latter samples, much of the stable remanence may be carried by fine-grained magnetite enclosed in silicate grains. Fine-grained oxides are present in olivine, pyroxene, and plagioclase in nearly all troctolites and olivine gabbros from Hole 735B (Natland, 2002). Magnetite along cracks in olivine is attributed to amphibolite–granulite facies alteration at temperatures of 500–700°C (Paris and Johnson, 1993b). Crystallographically oriented elongate magnetite within pyroxene is demonstrably a high temperature (~800°C) ‘exsolution’ product in some cases (Renne et al., 2002). A similar exsolution origin for crystallographically controlled magnetite in plagioclase has also been suggested (Natland, 2002; Selkin et al., 2000; Xu et al., 1997a). Silicate-hosted magnetite, at least in plagioclase and pyroxene, appears to be a common feature in oceanic gabbros (Gee and Meurer, 2002) and may be responsible for much of the stable remanence in these rocks. Hysteresis and Curie temperature data from single plagioclase crystals from gabbros at the MARK area and from Leg 209 (Figure 28) illustrate that these fine-grained magnetite grains may have substantial coercivities.

With the exception of FeTi oxide gabbros, most oceanic gabbros have high stability magnetizations and Königsberger ratios ($Q > 1$), indicating that they may constitute an ideal magnetization source. For example, Königsberger ratios for gabbros from Hole 735B are essentially all >1 and exceed 10 for much of the lower kilometer of the section (Figure 26). The increase in $Q$ downhole is paralleled by a general increase in coercivity, as measured by the median

\[ H_c = 36.8 \text{ mT}, \quad H_{rc} = 75.5 \text{ mT}, \quad M_r = 1.56 \text{ nAm}^2, \quad M_s = 3.98 \text{ nAm}^2 \]

**Figure 27** Variation of NRM of oceanic gabbros as a function of Mg# (whole rock $\text{Mg}^{2+}/(\text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{Fe}^{2+})$) and alteration (after Gee et al., 1997, with additional data from Kikawa and Ozawa, 1992). Qualitative alteration data for Leg 153 gabbros are from shipboard descriptions; percent alteration for Hole 735B gabbros (Kikawa and Ozawa, 1992) is based on pyroxene alteration. NRM data have not been reduced to equatorial values.

**Figure 28** Example of silicate hosted magnetite in plagioclase from gabbro sampled during Leg 209, near 15° 20' N Fracture Zone Left, photomicrograph (25X, oil immersion) of plagioclase with elongate magnetite; center, hysteresis loop; and right, Curie temperature curve for a single plagioclase crystal.
destructive field (MDF). Oxide-rich gabbros are characterized by lower stabilities, as might be expected from the abundance of coarse-grained magnetite grains that are particularly susceptible to acquiring a drilling-induced remanence. The olivine gabbros and gabbros that constitute the bulk of the material from Hole 735B are characterized by significantly higher MDF values (several 10’s mT). Comparable MDF values (average ~30 mT) have been reported for gabbros from Hess Deep (Pariso et al., 1996) and for the ~1400 m of gabbro sampled from the Atlantis Massif (Blackman et al., 2006).

The variability of inclination values in gabbroic rocks (Figure 26) is considerably less than for extrusive sections and presumably reflects significant averaging of secular variation during slow cooling. For the lowermost 1000 m of Hole 735B sampled during Leg 176, the directional dispersion parameter (kappa = 59, n = 339) is approximately 1.6 times the value expected from the paleosecular variation model of McFadden et al. (1988). The higher value of kappa indicates tighter grouping of the inclination data and the implied time averaging during slow cooling is a necessary prerequisite for using the average inclination data to infer tectonic tilts. Although the inclinations from Hole 735B are offset from the expected dipole value due to tilting, the uniform polarity and relatively high magnetization of this 1500 m gabbroic section (where lavas and dikes have been tectonically removed) are sufficient to account for the sea-surface anomaly amplitudes at the site (Dick et al., 2000). While uniform polarity is likely the norm, it should be noted that complex multicomponent remanences and dual polarities within a site have been reported from gabbros from the MARK area (Cannat et al., 1995; Gee and Meurer, 2002; Blackman et al., 2006). These complex remanence characteristics have been attributed to crustal accretion and cooling spanning multiple polarity intervals (Gee and Meurer, 2002) and, if more generally applicable, would serve to reduce the gabbroic contribution to the magnetic anomalies.

5.12.3.4 Mantle-Derived Peridotites

Although pristine ultramafic rocks are nonmagnetic (containing only paramagnetic silicates and spinels), serpentinization results in the production of magnetite (e.g., Toft et al., 1990) and magnetizations that are sufficient to constitute a potential significant source for marine magnetic anomalies (e.g., Nazarova, 1994). Well-documented exposures of serpentinized peridotite at slow-spreading ridges (Cannat, 1993; Cannat et al., 1997) indicate that such upper mantle material undoubtedly contributes, at least locally, to magnetic anomalies. Serpentinization of peridotites and lower crustal gabbros has also been suggested as the cause of higher anomalous skewness of anomalies at slow-spreading ridges (Dyment and Arkani-Hamed, 1995; Dyment et al., 1997). In addition, the enhanced positive magnetization (both for normal and reverse polarity crust) at the ends of slow-spreading ridge segments has been attributed to induced magnetization of serpentinized peridotites and gabbros (Pariso et al., 1996; Pockalny et al., 1995; Tivey and Tucholke, 1998).

As with sampling of the gabbroic portion of the ocean crust, drilling at tectonic exposures (Site 895 at Hess Deep (Gillis et al., 1993); Sites 670 and 920 in the MARK area (Cannat et al., 1995; Detrick et al., 1988); and near the 15° 20' Fracture Zone (Kelemen et al., 2004)) has significantly enhanced our understanding of the magnetic properties of oceanic ultramafic rocks. Minor amounts of serpentinized peridotite were also (unexpectedly) recovered from a number of Atlantic drill sites (Sites 334, 395, 556, 558, 560) designed to sample oceanic lavas. Oufi et al. (2002) provide a thorough analysis and review of magnetic studies on serpentinized peridotites up to and including Leg 153 drilling in the MARK area. These results and the more recent remanence data from Leg 209 (Kelemen et al., 2004) are summarized in Table 2.

Magnetizations of serpentinized peridotites, whether obtained by dredging or drilling, vary from <0.1 A m⁻¹ to values higher than 30 A m⁻¹ that are comparable to typical values for older oceanic basalts (Figure 29; Table 2). The magnetite content, susceptibility, and magnetization of ultramafic rocks increases with the degree of serpentinization, though this increase is not linear and depends on the particular alteration phases produced (Toft et al., 1990). The degree of serpentinization may be estimated from the sample density (Miller and Christensen (1997), with a correction for the amount of substantially denser magnetite (Oufi et al., 2002)), and Figure 30 shows that relatively little magnetite is produced until the serpentinization exceeds 50%. This nonlinear behavior may be attributed to the FeO content of the silicate alteration phases. For <75% serpentinization, early FeO-rich lizardite is formed and with continued alteration this lizardite is replaced by more FeO-poor chrysotile, with a concomitant release of iron and production of
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magnetite (Oufi et al., 2002). Alteration assemblages that include even more FeO-rich brucite may further suppress magnetite production, as evident in some of the lower magnetization samples from Sites 895 and 670 (Figure 29). Most dredged peridotites and some drillcore samples (particularly from breccias or fault zones) have experienced post-serpentinization low-temperature oxidation, as evidenced by the presence of maghemite (e.g., Nazarova et al., 2000). Although magnetic properties may vary considerably, the geometric mean intensity (2.1 A m$^{-1}$ equatorial value including results from Leg 209; Table 2) and average Königsberger ratio of $\sim$2 suggest that both remanent and induced magnetization from oceanic serpentinized peridotites may contribute significantly to magnetic anomalies. The influence of serpentinized peridotites on the anomaly pattern, however, may largely be limited to fracture zones and slow-spreading ridges where mantle-derived peridotite exposures are relatively common.

Although many serpentinites may predominantly have a viscous remanence, the origin of the remanence in oceanic serpentinitized peridotites is likely to include a chemical remanence and perhaps also a partial thermoremanence as demonstrated by remanence directions that deviate substantially from the present field direction (e.g., Garces and Gee, 2007). Temperature estimates for serpentinization based on oxygen isotope fractionation between magnetite and serpentine vary widely, though most serpentinites from Hess Deep and the MARK area yield temperatures conservatively estimated as $>350^\circ$C (Agrinier and Cannat, 1997; Früh-Green et al., 1996). Based on these relatively high temperatures and the simple demagnetization behavior of MARK area peridotites, Lawrence et al. (2002) suggested that much of remanence might be thermal in origin. While coarse-grained magnetite is abundant, values of $M_{r}/M_{s}$ as high as 0.4, as well as direct grain size estimates from image analysis indicate that relatively fine-grain magnetite is also present (Lawrence et al., 2002; Oufi et al., 2002). These finer particles are presumably responsible for the relatively stable remanence in the MARK area peridotites, which was used to infer that little tilting occurred during uplift and exposure (Lawrence et al., 2002).

5.12.4 Crustal Accretion and Structure of the Magnetic Source

Crustal accretionary processes exert a fundamental control on the spatial distribution of magnetization sources, limiting the temporal resolution of the
5.12.4.1 Nonvertical Magnetic Boundaries

The pattern of magnetization boundaries (isochrons) in the ocean crust is shown schematically in Figure 31. Isochrons within the extrusive layer are expected to dip toward the ridge (e.g., Kidd, 1977) as new lavas progressively cover pre-existing flows. The resulting sloping (sigmoidal) magnetization boundary in the extrusives can be conveniently modeled by a gaussian filter applied to the standard magnetization block model. The transition zone width, the width over which 90% of the magnetization change occurs, is approximately four times the standard deviation ($\sigma$) of the gaussian filter (Atwater and Mudie, 1973). In classic survey at 21° N on the EPR, Macdonald et al. (1983) documented both the validity of this general model, as well as the spatial extent of lava spillover onto pre-existing flows by comparing the location of the reversal boundary inferred from near-bottom magnetic anomaly data and the polarity of individual volcanic features at the surface from submersible observations. The surface polarity boundary was displaced (away from the ridge) by 250–500 m relative to the vertically averaged polarity boundary determined from the near-bottom anomaly data, suggesting a transition zone width of 1.4–1.8 km at this intermediate (60 mm yr$^{-1}$ full rate) spreading rate (Macdonald et al., 1983). Comparable transition zone widths ($\sim$1.5–3.0 km) have been determined from near-bottom anomaly data at intermediate to superfast (up to 150 mm yr$^{-1}$ full rate) spreading ridges (Bowers et al., 2001; Sempere et al., 1987). Less focused accretion at slow-spreading ridges likely results in broader transition zones (Sempere et al., 1987), though the spreading rate dependence has not been well established.

The narrow (1–3 km) transition zone widths (which represent an upper bound due to the effects of minor extension and the $\sim$7 ky (Clement, 2004) necessary for the field to reverse) imply a relatively high-fidelity recording process at intermediate- and fast-spreading ridges, compatible with the recognition of short polarity events (e.g., the $\sim$10 ky Cobb Mountain or Reunion events; Table 1) in anomaly profiles at these spreading rates. These estimates are also consistent with independent estimates of the width over which lavas accumulate. Submersible observations at intermediate- and fast-spreading ridges suggest that most volcanic activity is concentrated within 1 km of the axis (Karson et al., 2002; Perfit and Chadwick, 1998). Flows erupted off-axis or transported downslope may extend for a few kilometers from the ridge (Fornari et al., 1998; Perfit et al., 1994) and off-axis accumulation of lavas can significantly affect the pattern of magnetic anomalies (Gee et al., 2000; Schouten et al., 1999). The off-axis thickening of seismic Layer 2A (commonly interpreted as corresponding to the extrusive layer) within 1–3 km of the axis (e.g., Harding et al., 1993; Vera and Diebold, 1994) also suggests that lava accumulation occurs over a narrow zone comparable to the transition zone widths inferred from anomaly data.

The pattern of magnetization contrasts in the sheeted dikes and gabbros is less well constrained. The zone of dike intrusion, where mixed polarity dikes would occur (Figure 31), is likely much narrower than for the lava flows. In order to match seismic constraints and the sharp extrusive/dike boundary observed in ophiolites, Hooft et al. (1996) found that the zone of dike intrusion at the EPR must be less than a few hundred meters wide ($\sigma$ of 10–50 m). To the extent that the lower crust is conductively cooled, polarity boundaries in the gabbroic portion of the crust should approximately follow the shape of the 580°C isotherm (Cande and Kent, 1976) (Figure 32). Half-space conductive cooling models (Oxburgh and Turcotte, 1969; Sclater and Francheteau, 1970) predict that magnetization isochrons in the gabbroic layer should dip away from the ridge at 4–30° (for full spreading rates from 150 to 16 km My$^{-1}$, respectively). Near-bottom magnetic anomaly data from tectonic exposures of lower crustal gabbro at Atlantis Bank, together with inclination data from wireline rock drill samples and from the...
1.5 km penetration at Hole 735B, have been interpreted as indicating the presence of a sloping isochron with a present day dip of \(\pm 25^\circ\) (Allerton and Tivey, 2001). However, the well-determined remanent inclination \((-71^\circ\); Figure 26) from Hole 735B suggests an outward tilt of about \(20^\circ\), so that the original dip of this isochron was approximately \(5^\circ\). While the dip direction is away from the ridge, as expected, the very shallow dip angle is difficult to reconcile with that expected \((\sim 30^\circ)\) from conductive cooling (John et al., 2004) at this slow-spreading ridge.

The effect of nonvertical magnetization boundaries on the shape and amplitude of anomalies should be minor for lavas and dikes but is significant for the gabbroic portion of the crust (Figure 31). For 1–3 km wide transitions in the extrusive layer, the corresponding anomaly is shifted slightly away from the ridge but there is little detectable effect on the anomaly shape or amplitude. Based on vertical magnetic profiling data from intermediate-spreading crust exposed at the Blanco escarpment, substantially broader \((\sim 10 \text{ km})\) transition zone widths have been suggested (Tivey, 1996; Tivey et al., 1998a). While this novel profiling technique has considerable promise in mapping the distribution of polarities, the very broad transitions at the Blanco escarpment predict substantial changes in anomaly amplitude and shape that are not generally apparent. The narrow polarity transition in the sheeted dikes \((\sigma_{\text{dikes}} < \sigma_{\text{flows}})\) is likewise not expected to significantly affect the anomaly shape or amplitude.

In contrast, the gently sloping boundaries in the gabbroic lower crust should give rise to significant anomalous skewness (Cande and Kent, 1976). Anomalies generated by such gently dipping polarity boundaries are illustrated in Figure 32 for a range of polarity interval lengths and spreading rates. The resulting anomalous skewness is most easily recognized for broad polarity intervals and amounts to a phase shift of \(\sim 45^\circ\), in the same sense as global observations of anomalous skewness. The distortion is not a pure phase shift, however, as evidenced by the asymmetric shoulder at the older edge that coincides with the magnetization contrast at the base of the gabbroic layer. Although the anomaly shapes for
narrow intervals or at different spreading rates appear quite different, the anomalous skewness introduced by a conductively cooled gabbroic layer is essentially independent of spreading rate. A three-layer source model (with subequal contributions from the lavas, dikes, and gabbros as indicated by the average remanence of these layers and their thickness and depth; Table 2) illustrates that the

Figure 32  Magnetic anomaly models illustrating the effect of sloping cooling isotherms in gabbroic layer and various lava tilts. Left, conductively cooled magnetization boundaries in the gabbroic layer are illustrated for three different length polarity intervals (0.1, 0.5, and 1.0 My) at three spreading rates. This normal polarity interval is indicated by the three shaded regions (green, red, blue for 40, 80, 140 km/My⁻¹ full spreading rates). The corresponding magnetic anomalies have an anomalous skewness of ~45°. Anomalies are calculated for vertical magnetization and ambient field. Right, effect of tilt of the extrusive layer on anomalies from a 0.5 My normal polarity interval at the same three spreading rates. The sigmoidal magnetization boundary reflects lava accumulation over ~2 km and introduces no detectable change in the anomaly shape (upper plot). Ridgeward or outward tilting of 16° (the average tilt implied by lava accumulation over ~2 km) results in an equivalent amount of anomalous skewness.
anomalous skewness imparted by the gabbroic layer results in an overall anomalous skewness of ~10° (Figure 31). This value is well within the range (5–25° for a full spreading rate of 80 km My⁻¹) determined from global compilations of anomalous skewness data (e.g., Roest et al., 1992; Petronotis et al., 1992). Although rotations of the magnetic remanence direction (which are not included in the model shown in Figure 31) may result in additional anomalous skewness, such rotations are apparently not required to explain the modest anomalous skewness at intermediate and faster spreading rates.

5.12.4.2 Rotations of the Magnetic Source Layer

Normal faulting is an integral part of extensional seafloor spreading and therefore rotations, either toward or away from the ridge, about ridge parallel axes are not unexpected. Inward (toward ridge) dipping faults are dominant at all but the fastest spreading rates (Carbotte and Macdonald, 1990; Kriner et al., 2006), and even at superfast spreading rates these faults accommodate most of the strain (Bohnenstiehl and Carbotte, 2001). These inward dipping faults should result in outward tilt of the magnetic source layer, which will produce a phase shift (1° for each 1° of tilt) of the anomalies that is compatible with the observed sense of anomalous skewness (Figure 32; Cande, 1978).

Tilting in response to lava loading has recently been suggested as a potential way of rotating the remanence vector of seafloor lavas (Schouten and Denham, 2000; Schouten et al., 1999; Tivey et al., 2005). In these models, the sloping isochrons within the extrusive layer are taken as also reflecting the inward tilt of individual lava flows. The resulting downhole distribution of inclinations (possibly modified by later block rotation) can then be used to infer the average pattern of lava accumulation. This type of model requires that the remanence in relatively small intervals within the extrusive layer represent the time-averaged field direction, so that downhole trends in the average inclination can be detected. If prevalent, such lava loading would produce systematic inward tilt of the lavas and anomalous skewness in the opposite sense of that observed. The relatively thin extrusive layer and the generally narrow region over which flows accumulate limit the amount of tilting expected from lava loading. For accumulation of a 0.5 km thick extrusive layer over a typical transition zone width of ~2 km, the average dip of the isochrons (and therefore inward tilt of the remanence) is ~16°, which is accompanied by a readily observable anomalous skewness of 16° (Figure 32).

A significant number of drill sites sampling the extrusive layer have inclinations that deviate from expected values, and block rotations and/or lava loading undoubtedly contribute to some of these deviations. In the most recent (although 30 years ago) compilation of inclination results from drillcore data, Lowrie (1977) found that observed inclination values were well correlated with expected values, though the results exhibit appreciable scatter about the ideal 1:1 line. Although no significant bias toward either shallower or steeper inclinations was found, two aspects of the drill core data make it unlikely that any systematic bias (whether from block rotations or lava loading) would be evident. First, paleosecular variation can result in significant scatter even when the entire lava section is sampled (e.g., 504B; Figure 23) and so average inclination results from shallow penetration holes should be viewed with caution. Second, the lack of azimuthal orientation of the drillcore samples precludes recognition of a systematic sense for ridges that strike nearly N–S, as does much of the Pacific and Atlantic ridge system. In principle, E–W striking ridges might provide information on systematic tilt in the extrusives though it is unclear whether sufficient data exist to conduct such an analysis.

Magnetic anomaly shapes provide the most robust estimate of the average tilt of the magnetization source. Comparison of drillcore data from three relatively deep penetration holes in Cretaceous (M0 age) crust (Figure 33) and correlative anomaly shapes (Figure 34) illustrate how models of block tilting and lava loading can be independently evaluated. The mean inclinations in Holes 417A and 418A (where there is a polarity reversal at 500 mbsf) are eminently compatible with expected inclinations from Early Cretaceous paleopoles for the North America plate (Bosum and Scott, 1988; Levi, 1980). The inclinations from the upper portion of Hole 417D are steeper and have been attributed to tectonic tilting by some authors (Verosub and Moores, 1981), although Levi (1980) argues that the inclination data are compatible with paleosecular variation and do not demand any tectonic rotation. Schouten (2002) interprets the Hole 417A data (with reverse polarity inclination very similar to the expected value) as reflecting two oppositely directed tectonic tilts: a large initial tilt toward the ridge axis as function of lava loading (requiring the bulk of the lavas to
accumulate within 300 m of the axis), followed by 41° outward block tilting (Figure 34). Magnetic anomaly data across Anomaly M0, including from the immediate vicinity of Sites 417/418 (Figure 34; Cande and Kent, 1985), indicate the M0-age crust from both ridge flanks is characterized by little or no anomalous skewness and therefore little or no net tilt of the magnetic source. While such fortuitous offsetting tilts could, of course, explain the lack of anomalous skewness near Sites 417 and 418, this is unlikely to be generally applicable. The magnitude and sense of anomalous skewness indicate that substantial tilting (whether from lava loading or block rotation) is not generally applicable, at least for fast- and intermediate-spreading ridges where anomalous skewness magnitudes are generally small.

Relatively few observations are available that constrain possible rotations of the intrusive portion of the crust. Based on submersible studies of tectonic exposures of fast-spread crust at Hess Deep, much of the sheeted dike complex appears to have a systematic dip (≈60°) away from the ridge (Karson et al., 2002) although areas with approximately vertical dikes are also present (Francheteau et al., 1992). As with the lavas, anomalous skewness constraints suggest that such inward tilting of the sheeted dikes is unlikely to be a general feature, provided that the dike remanence is a significant contributor to the magnetic anomalies as available data seem to now indicate. Substantial rotations of the gabbroic layer have been documented at slow-spreading ridges. For example, tectonic tilts of ~20° have been well documented for gabbros at the Atlantis Bank (Dick et al., 1999) and even larger rotations (40–80°) have been reported from gabbros (and associated serpentinitized peridotites) from the vicinity of the 15° 20′ N Fracture Zone in the Atlantic (Garces and Gee, 2007; Kelemen et al., 2004). The outward rotation of the gabbroic sections, presumably from flexural/isostatic adjustment in response to unloading, is compatible with the sense of anomalous skewness data. Although the magnitude of anomalous skewness appears to increase at slower spreading rates (Roest et al., 1992), the very large rotations noted from the 15° 20′ N area exceed the largest values of anomalous skewness and thus are unlikely to be representative of more typical slow spread crust.

Figure 33  Stable remanent inclinations (i.e., after partial demagnetization to remove spurious components) from discrete samples from seafloor lavas at DSDP Holes 417A, 417D, and 418A. The heavy lines indicate the expected Cretaceous inclination values at the site. Data from Levi (1980).
5.12.5 Future Directions

There is increasing evidence that marine magnetic anomalies are capable of recording a broad spectrum of geomagnetic field behavior, ranging from millennial-scale paleointensity variations to polarity reversals to apparent polar wander to, more speculatively, long-term changes in average field strength as suggested, for example, by the ramp in anomaly amplitudes at the older end of the M-sequence. However, because of the inherent ambiguity in determining source properties from potential field data, independent geomagnetic field estimates will be needed to guide and calibrate inferences made from magnetic anomalies. Here we highlight some general approaches – the use of autonomous vehicles, oriented samples, absolute paleointensity of near-ridge lavas, and measurements of the vector anomalous field – that are likely to significantly advance our understanding of the geomagnetic signal recorded in the oceanic crust, as well as our ability to utilize this information in addressing outstanding problems in crustal accretion processes.

One general area that is ripe for further development and applications is near-bottom observations, which are required to obtain high spatiotemporal resolution. Such near-bottom observations from towed systems (often incorporating side scan sonar or other...
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instrument packages as well) have already provided seminal contributions in our understanding of the fine structure of anomalies and source geometries (e.g., Atwater and Mudie, 1973; Larson and Spiess, 1969). More recently, near-bottom data have been used to demonstrate the lineated (i.e., geomagnetic) nature of small-scale magnetic anomalies, which have been shown to mainly reflect paleointensity variations (Bowers et al., 2001; Bowles et al., 2003; Gee et al., 2000), and to examine crustal accretionary processes (e.g., Hussenoeder et al., 1996; Smith et al., 1999; Tivey et al., 2003). These towed packages are robust, proven marine geophysics tools but tend to be used sparingly because such wireline deployments require a dedicated vessel and slow towing speeds. An exciting recently developed alternative is ABE (Autonomous Benthic Explorer), which can be operated simultaneously with other shipboard programs. ABE is capable of following preprogrammed, closely spaced track lines at low altitudes (5–40 m above the seafloor) to yield fine-scale bathymetry and high-resolution magnetic data. An example of an ABE survey at 17° S on the EPR (Shah et al., 2003) is shown in Figure 35. In this study, a magnetic field low was found extending several kilometers along the axial trough, which was interpreted as reflecting the presence of a few-hundred-meter-wide region of weakly or nonmagnetic shallow dikes (Shah et al., 2003) but might also delineate lavas erupted during the recent (relative) geomagnetic intensity low (Figure 3). When combined with the submeter resolution bathymetry, ABE magnetic anomaly data also provide a powerful technique to examine the relationship between individual volcanic features and their corresponding anomaly signatures (e.g., Tivey et al., 1998b). Similar high-resolution anomaly data can also be obtained with the new generation of tethered vehicles, that allow far more motion control than towed systems and also may incorporate high-resolution swath mapping and sampling capabilities. Such high-resolution mapping on the Endeavor segment of the Juan de Fuca ridge has revealed circular (~100 m diameter) anomaly lows that correlate with present-day and fossil hydrothermal upflow zones (Figure 36; Tivey and Johnson, 2002).

The more detailed magnetic anomaly observations that are possible with tethered vehicles, ABE, and manned submersibles are nicely complemented by the availability of high-resolution sampling techniques that allow collection of fully oriented samples. Block samples oriented with the Geocompass (which uses a

Figure 35 High resolution bathymetric (left) and magnetic data (center) obtained by an Autonomous Benthic Explorer (ABE) survey (tracks on right) on the East Pacific Rise at 17° S. Note the presence of lower anomaly amplitudes along the axial summit trough (heavy lines in center figure). Modified from Shah AK, Cormier M-H, and Ryan WBF et al. (2003) Episodic dike swarms inferred from near-bottom magnetic anomaly maps at the southern East Pacific Rise. Journal of Geophysical Research 108(B2): 2097 (doi:10.1029/2001JB000564).
compass and digital tilt meters to measure attitude) have been collected from submersible (Cogne et al., 1995; Hurst et al., 1994; Varga et al., 2004) and this technique has also been successfully used with tethered remotely operated vehicles (ROVs). Oriented samples from a wireline rockdrill (Allerton and Tivey, 2001) further expand the range of seafloor outcrops that can be sampled to address tectonic problems. Indirect information on the remanence direction can also be obtained from borehole magnetometer measurements with gyro-oriented logging (Bosum and Scott, 1988). Such borehole measurements have substantial advantages in characterizing the magnetic source region, since they can provide representative magnetization values by avoiding drilling-induced remanence and directly account for void and porosity effects on assessments of discrete sample values.

Our understanding of the neovolcanic zone at fast-spreading ridges, especially the EPR, has evolved and become increasingly sophisticated in recent years as higher-resolution observations, such as with ABE, became available. Placing accurate age constraints to determine eruptive recurrence intervals on near-axis lava flows have become increasingly important given the structural and volcanic complexity of the neovolcanic zone. In this regard, geomagnetic paleointensity of submarine basaltic glass (Pick and Tauxe, 1993) holds particular promise for placing quantitative age constraints on near-axis flows. For example, multispecimen Thellier paleointensity results from four independent samples distributed over several kilometers from a single (Animal Farm) flow on the EPR at \( \sim 18^\circ \) S were found to be in excellent mutual agreement \( (35.6 \pm 1.0 \ \mu T) \), and by comparison to a geomagnetic reference curve projected to the site location, the Animal Farm paleointensity value indicated a fairly recent time of eruption (AD 1910 \( \pm 20 \)) in general agreement with other observations (Carlut and Kent, 2000) (Figure 37). An integrated bathymetric, geochemical, and paleointensity study of adjacent and contrasting ridge segments at \( \sim 15^\circ \) N on the EPR (Carlut et al., 2004) and one at 9–10° N that involved the analysis of what may be the largest published dataset of absolute (Thellier) paleointensity
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determinations (551 accepted of 991 basalt glass samples analyzed; Bowles et al., 2006), further illustrate the potential for dating lavas and more generally, for discriminating whether eruptions were synchronous. A cooling rate bias in Thellier paleointensities was shown not to be as important as initially suspected in rapidly cooled submarine basaltic glasses (Bowles et al., 2005). However, terrain effects may be an important source of uncertainty in absolute paleointensity values in some settings, such as the Juan de Fuca Ridge where there are large amplitude magnetic anomalies (Carlut and Kent, 2000). Ultimately, the reliability of paleointensities for dating depends on the reference field model, which could be improved considerably with higher quality (and better dated) Thellier data on archeological and geologic materials. This would also allow better calibration of sedimentary relative paleointensity records, which are used for making comparisons over time intervals beyond the radiocarbon-dated record (e.g., Gee et al., 2000).

Marine magnetic surveys have traditionally used total field sensors that rely on well-established physical constants (e.g. the proton gyromagnetic ratio for proton precession magnetometer) and thus provide accurate (~1nT) field intensity data with negligible drift. The utility of such total field measurements, however, is limited in certain geometries (N\S spreading ridges near the magnetic equator generate very low amplitude total field anomalies) and external field variations, particularly at low magnetic latitudes, may further inhibit the identification of spreading-related anomalies. The use of total field magnetic gradiometers, two sensors typically deployed with a horizontal separation of >100 m, allow recognition and removal of a significant portion of the external field variations (e.g., Roeser et al., 2002). With the development of high resolution (~0.01 nT) total field sensors, this technique shows considerable promise for facilitating the recognition of low-amplitude anomalies at equatorial latitudes. Measurement of the vector components of the anomalous field also provides two distinct advantages that may aid in recognition of lineated (geomagnetic) anomalies. Perhaps the most useful attribute of vector anomaly data is the ability to characterize, with a single profile, the degree to which the magnetic source is two dimensional (Blakely et al., 1973; Parker and O’Brien, 1997). Aeromagnetic vector profiles, where the sensor is typically mounted on a gyro-stabilized platform, have been used to estimate the spreading

Figure 37 Absolute paleointensity results from submarine basaltic glass illustrating the potential as a dating tool for young mid-ocean ridge lavas. (a) Paleointensity results from multiple samples within a single flow (mapped by submersible) highlight the degree of reproducibility (~1 μT) possible. (b) Glass paleointensity results from the southern East Pacific Rise compared to global field model of Jackson et al. (2000) that illustrate how paleointensity data can be used to infer eruption ages. The ages inferred from this comparison are consistent with all geological data and radiometric dates from these flows. (a) Modified from Carlut J, Cormier M-H, Kent DV, Donnelly KE, and Langmuir CH (2004) Timing of volcanism along the northern East Pacific Rise based on paleointensity experiments on basaltic glasses. Journal of Geophysical Research 109: B04104 doi:10.1029/2003JB002672. (b) Modified from Bowles J, Gee JS, Kent DV, Bergmanis E, and Sinton J (2005) Cooling rate effects on paleointensity estimates in submarine basaltic glass and implications for dating young flows. Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems 6: Q07002 doi:1029/2004GC000900.
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lineation direction and to map low-amplitude anomalies in the equatorial Pacific (Horner-Johnson and Gordon, 2003; Parker and O'Brien, 1997). Shipboard three axis magnetometers have also been used to determine the location and azimuth of magnetization contrasts in several areas (e.g., Korenaga, 1995; Seama et al., 1993; Yamamoto et al., 2005). Towed vector magnetometer systems can effectively eliminate the ship effect and resolve vector anomalies on the order of 30–50 nT (Figure 38; Gee and Cande, 2002).

Although many high-resolution magnetic studies are conducted near the ridge crest, emerging technologies may also allow documentation of the seafloor geomagnetic signal throughout the ocean basins. A relevant new platform for vector and total field magnetic instruments would be on unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) for surveying in the marine environment. Deployments of UAVs from oceanographic research vessels would allow multifold increases in magnetic coverage at spatial resolutions comparable to sea-surface data. High-density magnetic data are necessary to quantitatively evaluate the relative contributions from a lineated or coherent geomagnetic source and nonlineated heterogeneities in the recording medium originating from crustal accretionary processes. Of particular interest are regions like the ~25% of the ocean floor that is encompassed by the KQZ (~84–122 Ma), which remain virtually uncharted in terms of fundamental properties such as spreading history and the possible presence of lineations that might be related to geomagnetic variations. There are also virtually no deep crustal drill holes in the KQZ to document the magnetization of oceanic crust that formed in this unusual time interval of no geomagnetic reversals. Tools are thus available that should allow us to significantly increase our understanding of the source of oceanic magnetic anomalies and geomagnetic variations over timescales ranging from $10^3$ to $10^8$ years.
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http://www.fugroairborne.com – Fugro Airborne Surveys is a versatile and technologically advanced airborne survey company.