Timing of volcanism along the northern East Pacific Rise based on paleointensity experiments on basaltic glasses
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[1] Samples from two adjacent and contrasting ridge segments along the East Pacific Rise were measured for their magnetic paleointensity in order to further explore the possibilities of dating very young volcanic samples using secular variations in the Earth’s magnetic field. The ridge segment north of the Orozco transform fault (15°22′–16°20′N) is the shallowest and broadest along more than 5000 km of the East Pacific Rise, whereas the adjacent segment to the north (16°16′–18°N) has a “typical” morphology for its intermediate spreading rate. Both ridge segments were densely sampled during the PANR01MV cruise and 36 samples of axial lava flows, consisting mainly of glasses from the rims of the flows and some fragments of lobate basalts, were selected from this collection for paleointensity experiments. The Coe version of the Thellier double-heating procedure (in air) was used. Twenty-seven units provide internally consistent paleointensity estimates leading to precise estimates of the paleofield, which range between 8 μT and 57 μT. Comparisons with reference paleointensity curves compiled from subaerial flows, archеomagnetic data and sedimentary records projected to the sampling site coordinates show that the measured values can be used to constrain the volcanic history of the ridge segments over the past few thousand years. A good agreement was found between apparent “freshness” of the glasses, the geochemistry of the lavas, and their magnetic paleointensity values. The inflated southern segment seems characterized by recent activities as indicated by numerous flows with paleointensities clustering around today’s value (39 μT) or around the high values typical of 2000–3000 years ago (~55 μT). We interpret this distribution to indicate the flooding by effusive lava flows of the entire axial plateau some 2000–3000 years ago, followed by a volcanic phase producing smaller volume lava flows confined to the innermost 200 m of the ridge axis. The northern ridge segment is characterized by dispersed paleointensity values consistent with a series of small eruptions of diverse ages. Samples collected at the tips of both ridge segments across the 16°20′N axial discontinuity have the lowest paleointensities and are thus thought to be significantly older, consistent with models advocating reduced magmatism near ridge axis discontinuities. This study demonstrates the strong potential of paleointensity measurements as a tool to help constrain volcanic history at ridge axes.
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1. Introduction

[2] Mid-ocean ridges are the most active volcanic features on the planet [Crisp, 1984]. Simple calculations that take into account spreading rates and the presumed thickness of feeder dikes provide first-order estimates on eruption frequencies. Sheeted dike complexes exposed in ophiolites and along escarpments near the East Pacific Rise...
(EPR) indicate that individual dikes are about 1 m thick [e.g., MacLeod and Rothery, 1992; Karson et al., 1992]. Spreading rates along the EPR range from 80 to 150 mm/yr, implying that a single dike may be injected every 7–13 years. Alternatively, dike swarms may be injected during volcanic episodes every century or more. In addition, while seafloor spreading may be uniform along the ridge, visual observations of the sea floor often show that flows appear to be older at segment ends compared to segment centers [e.g., Macdonald et al., 1988]. Therefore the entire volcanological behavior of the ocean ridge system remains to be confirmed.

[1] In addition, the age distribution of lavas both along-strike and across-strike remains an open question: Do young flows extend several kilometers across the axis, putting “zero age” lava on top of crust that is many tens of thousands of years old? Is there a regular age distribution along-strike from segment center to segment ends? Do of-axis eruptions occur, or does all volcanic activity originate at the central point of dike injection?

[4] Answering such questions requires detailed knowledge of the age of volcanic eruptions along with the spatial distribution of the associated lavas. One approach would be to obtain direct evidence of the episodicy and extent of eruptions, but most ridges are far from land, and only short sections of ridge have so far been able to be monitored. Some baselines for long-term monitoring of volcanic activity along the EPR were established within the past decade with some detailed near-bottom surveys near 9°50’N and 17°25’S. Eruptions took place in 1989 at 9°50’N [Haymon et al., 1993] and in the late 1980’s-early 1990’s at 17°25’S [Auzende et al., 1996; Embly et al., 1998; Sinton et al., 2002]. Eruptive activity has not yet recurred in either area and therefore the lateral extent and repeat rate of eruptions are simply unknown.

[5] Dating of young lavas is obviously critical to an understanding of eruptive activity at mid-ocean ridges but it remains problematic [see Christie, 1994]. Several isotopic methods have tentatively been applied, in particular Po-Pb radioactive disequilibrium [Rubin et al., 1994, 1998] and other U-series dating techniques [Goldstein et al., 1991, 1994], but these are not completely adequate for estimating eruptive episodicy. Eruptive activity probably falls somewhere beyond the applicable age range of Po-Pb and Th-Ra-U.

[6] The ages of exposed lavas in the axial region have been estimated on the basis of sediment cover and on the degree of tectonization and alteration [Macdonald et al., 1988]; recent age evaluation techniques may also involve the presence and maturity of hydrothermal sites and their biological communities, although it might provide inconsistent results in some cases [e.g., Milligan and Tunkelcliffe, 1994]. These techniques are qualitative and dependent on our very partial understanding of interactions between volcanological, tectonic, hydrothermal and biological processes at the ridge axis. For example, sedimentation rates are strongly influenced by hydrothermal and tectonic activities and estimates may vary by an order of magnitude over distances of several kilometers [e.g., Marchig et al., 1986; Dekov and Kupisov, 1992]. A quantitative method that could date MORB samples from the neovolcanic zone and differentiate products from successive volcanic eruptions on the expected recurrence timescales of around 50 to 100 years would bring about a breakthrough in our understanding of crustal accretion processes.

[7] Measurements of the Earth’s magnetic field fossilized in rocks are an alternative approach that we investigate in the present study. Samples of baked earth have long been used to date archeological artifacts on land [see, e.g., Sternberg and McGuire, 1990; Le Goff et al., 2002]. Recent submarine basaltic glass (SBG) constitutes in theory and practice an ideal material for paleointensity investigations. The magnetic remanence carriers are small titanomagnetite grains in the super-paramagnetic (SP) to single domain (SD) range [Pick and Tauxe, 1994], and thus within the size range that should be subject to Néel theory for theromremanence acquisition. Such a condition is a prerequisite for reliable Thellier paleointensity results. Furthermore, the magnetic grains within the glassy matrix do not seem to be sensitive to alteration at least on a 10² yr timescale [Zhou et al., 1999]. Reliability of this material has been further tested in several studies showing that paleointensity results obtained from newly erupted flows give very accurate values when local magnetic anomalies are reasonably low [Pick and Tauxe, 1993; Kent and Gee, 1996; Carbaut and Kent, 2000]. Although some questions remain on the exact nature of the remanence [see, e.g., Heller et al., 2002], the striking consistency between values for samples from the same flow and the very coherent results obtained on zero-age samples suggest that SG can provide accurate values of paleointensity. Using several SBG samples from different locations within the same flow, Carbaut and Kent [2000] obtained resolution of a paleointensity determination on the order of 1 μT. The high resolution of paleointensity measurements attainable on glasses led Carbaut and Kent [2000] and Gee et al. [2000] to propose the use of paleointensity to infer an age on very young oceanic flow by reference to calibrated reference curves. The present study examines the feasibility of applying magnetic paleointensity measurements to determine the ages of distinct lava flows erupted in the axial region of the EPR.

2. Geological Setting

[8] The EPR between the Orozco and Rivera transform faults has been the subject of extensive studies [Macdonald et al., 1992; Weiland and Macdonald, 1996; Langmuir et al., 1998; Carbotte et al., 2000; Baker et al., 2001; Donnelly, 2002] (Figure 1). A 15-km-wide overlapping spreading center (OSC) partitions this first-order ridge segment near 16°20’N [Macdonald et al., 1992]. Both second-order segments have a similar intermediate spreading rate of 80–87 mm/yr [Demets et al., 1994] but very contrasting characteristics. We refer hereafter to these two segments as RO2 and RO3 according to the nomenclature of Donnelly [2002]. Segment RO2, which extends north from the 16°20’N OSC to the Rivera transform fault near 18°N, displays a morphology typical of intermediate spreading ridges, with a small rifted axial crest. In contrast, segment RO3, delimited by the Orozco transform fault and the 16°20’N OSC, is the shallowest and broadest along the entire EPR from 23°S to 23°N [Macdonald et al., 1992]. Its axial crest is exceptionally flat for most of its length, swelling from an average width of 3–4 km to about 10 km at 15°40’–45’N (Figure 1). This inflated morphology
alone suggests a very robust magma supply [Scheirer and Macdonald, 1993]. Gravity analysis supports the presence of an unusually thick crustal section and/or the presence of a hotter mantle beneath the broad mid-segment section [Weiland and Macdonald, 1996]. A prominent volcanic ridge (the “P1545 ridge”), oriented parallel to Pacific absolute plate motion and as shallow as 1300 m, approaches the ridge axis from the west near its broadest region [Macdonald et al., 1992; Weiland and Macdonald, 1996]. Tapping of the melt source associated with this “mini-hotspot” may be responsible for the magmatic robustness of the nearby ridge segment.

Figure 1. Main physiographic features of the study area. Bathymetry is compiled from data published by Macdonald et al. [1992], other publicly available multibeam data and data collected during expedition PANR01MV. Contour interval is 100 m. Double line indicates the location of the EPR.
The 15°–18°N area was the focus of a detailed geochemical sampling program during the 1997 expedition PANR01MV [Langmuir et al., 1998; Donnelly, 2002]. Both the ridge axis and the off-axis seamounts were systematically sampled in order to characterize the spatial and temporal geochemical dispersion of lavas. Dredges and rock cores were carefully sited by taking advantage of real-time multibeam bathymetry data and accurate positioning of the ship (both P-code GPS and dynamic positioning were available). We evaluate the maximum position uncertainty to be on the order of 100 meters. The thorough geochemical analyses provide a context for the evaluation of the paleointensity values with respect to morphological and petrological characteristics.

### 3. Sampling and Experimental Procedure

Samples were all selected from the PANR01MV collection, with priority given to samples closest to the spreading axis. A crustal magnetization map for the area [Weiland and Macdonald, 1996, Figure 7] shows the presence of an area of high crustal magnetization at the southern part of the 16°N segment near the Orozco transform fault, consistent with the common occurrence of high-magnetization zones near some of the large ridge axis discontinuities along the EPR [Semperé, 1991; Gee and Kent, 1998]. Accordingly, samples collected in that area are high Fe-Ti basalts [Donnelly, 2002]. Because strong local crustal magnetic fields could add a significant contribution to the geomagnetic field leading to variable values of the intensity on the scale of a flow [see, e.g., Carlut and Kent, 2000], samples south of 15°30’N were excluded from our selection.

A total of 108 subsamples belonging to 36 different units (a dredge or a rock core) were selected for paleointensity measurements. As by Carlut and Kent [2000], samples were selected with initial magnetic moments greater than $5 \times 10^{-10}$ Am² since experimental noise levels were estimated to be about $1 \times 10^{-11}$ Am² or less. Most of the selected samples are SBG. While results obtained on glasses are thought to be very reliable [Pick and Tauxe, 1993; Mejia et al., 1996; Gee et al., 2000; Carlut and Kent, 2000] (however, see Heller et al. [2002] and Smirnov and Tarduno [2003]), results obtained from within the crystalline part of the samples can show apparent paleointensity values that can be considerably (up to 50%) higher than expected [Carlut and Kent, 2002; see also Tauxe and Love, 2003]. This difference was attributed by Carlut and Kent [2002] to bias resulting from a multidomain contribution in the crystalline part of the samples. Concern was also expressed by Carlut and Kent [2002] about the tendency for a slight decrease in paleointensity values between results from glasses and from the first nonglassy subsample adjacent to the glassy margin. If verified, this could indicate that paleointensity from glasses is systematically high. To test this observation, we selected both the glasses and first cryptocrystalline sample (named with an added “a” to the sample name from Carlut and Kent [2002]; see also Carlut and Kent [2002, Figure 1]) lying within 5 mm from the glassy rime on a set of five lobate flows from the axial area in the 16°N area.

We used the “Kasil-slide” procedure described in Carlut and Kent [2000] to mount the samples on microscope glass slides. The modified Thellier and Thellier method was used with pTRM-checks to test for possible alteration during the experiments [Coe, 1967]. Standard criteria of acceptance were used: a result is acceptable if there is a nearly constant ratio between lost and acquired magnetization over a wide range of natural remanent magnetization (NRM), demagnetization with partial thermoremanent magnetization (pTRM) checks within less than 5% of the initial pTRM values. All experiments were performed in air in a laboratory field of 35 µT.

After the first heating step (110°C) almost all samples show a single demagnetization component on the directional diagram and were almost totally demagnetized between 400°C and 560°C for the glasses and 350° and 500°C for the basalt margin samples. An examination of the total moment left after each heating step reveals the coexistence of two distinct populations in some glassy samples (see Figure 2a), characterized by a dominant fraction with demagnetization temperatures below 450° and a smaller fraction with demagnetization temperature between 540 and 560°C, probably reflecting the coexistence of two populations with different ulvospinel contents.

Results of the Thellier experiments are presented in Arai plots (Figure 2). Among the initial 108 samples, 63 gave acceptable results (58% success rate); the others were rejected mostly because of negative pTRM-checks. The weakest samples in terms of NRM are often associated with bad experimental behavior during the paleointensity experiment. If we only select samples with NRM above $2 \times 10^{-9}$ Am², 93 samples remain from the initial 108 samples selected but 62 within this new collection are successful (67% success rate). This suggests that sample selection should be based on a higher initial magnetization threshold than imposed by experimental noise level.

On average, the successful samples have a high quality factor (q) ranging from 5 to 94, with a mean value of 34. Paleointensity values range from 7.8 µT to 59.6 µT. For all units, the dispersion around the mean paleointensity value is very low (less than 10%) as previously observed in this material by several authors [e.g., Mejia et al., 1996; Pick and Tauxe, 1993; Carlut and Kent, 2000]. A total of 27 units (independent dredges or rock cores) gave acceptable results, which are listed in Table 1.

#### 4. Results

##### 4.1. Cryptocrystalline Versus Glassy Samples

To address the question of the systematic differences between the values obtained on glasses and on the first adjacent, cryptocrystalline (nonglassy) sample, we combined our results with those obtained previously by Kent and Gee [1996], Carlut and Kent [2000], and Carlut and Kent [2002]. All results are summarized in Table 2. The data come from three lobate samples from the southern EPR, two pillow samples from the Juan de Fuca Ridge, and five lobate samples from the present study. In Figure 3, the mean paleointensity value from each different pillow or lobate glass sample is compared with the value obtained for the more slowly cooled first cryptocrystalline subsample. Given the uncertainties in paleointensity estimates, the mean...
Figure 2. Magnetic moments, Zijderveld diagrams and NRM versus TRM (Arai) diagrams for four typical samples. Temperature steps are 20°C, 150°C then every 40°C until 550°C or less depending on the remanent magnetization left in the sample.
Table 1. Results of Absolute Paleointensity Experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Subsample</th>
<th>NRM $10^{-5}$ Am$^2$/kg</th>
<th>Delta T, °C</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>Fa, μT</th>
<th>s/b</th>
<th>Ftot, μT</th>
<th>2σ SE, μT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>RC53.2</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>150–270</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>D22-7.a1</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>30–270</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>D22-7.a2</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>110–270</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>D21-3.3</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>150–310</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>D21-3.2</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>110–310</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>D21-3.1</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>25–310</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>D21-3.a1</td>
<td>1.155</td>
<td>110–270</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>D21-3.a2</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>150–270</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>RC82.3</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>150–270</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>RC82.1</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>150–270</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>D63.a1</td>
<td>4.164</td>
<td>110–270</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>D21-3.a1</td>
<td>1.155</td>
<td>110–270</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>RC82.3</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>150–270</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Site latitude is in decimal degree. Subsample names are as follows: “RC” indicates a rock core, “D” indicates a dredge, and the letter “a” after the dot indicates a cryptocrystalline sample. NRM, natural remanent magnetization; delta T is temperature range; f is fraction factor, g is gap factor, q is quality factor (see Coe et al. [1978] for a description of the f, g and q factors); Fa and s/b are paleointensity and standard error normalized by the paleointensity for individual specimens; Ftot and 2σ are unweighted mean paleointensity and corresponding 95% standard error (in μT) for individual units.
values obtained on glasses are in all but one case within 10% of the values obtained on the cryptocrystalline material and are dispersed around the ideal correlation line. No tendency for a systematic bias between glasses and the first cryptocrystalline samples is found. The only significant outlier is the R2-93 sample described by Carlut and Kent [2002]; the very high iron content (~13.0 wt% FeO*, M. Perfit, personal communication) and NRM intensity (~100 Am^-1 [Tivey et al., 1998]) of this particular sample may indicate high concentration in magnetic grains leading to interactions between them and therefore a complex magnetic recording process.

[17] From this set of data we conclude that, in general, the best paleointensity estimate for such young flows is probably the mean value of the glasses and adjacent nonglassy sample. This strengthens confidence in paleointensity results obtained on the outermost part of the flows whether it is glassy or not as long as it contains mainly SP to SD population (i.e., lies within a few millimeters of the glassy margin).

4.2. The 16°N Collection

[18] Mean paleointensity results obtained for each unit are presented on a histogram in Figure 4. A striking feature is the number of flows giving results well above today’s geomagnetic field value: 16 units range from 44 to 57 μT, 5 units are very close to today’s value (around 39 μT) and 6 units show low values (from 8 to 25 μT).

[19] It has been suggested that the remanence in SBG may be a grain growth chemical remanent magnetization (CRM) rather than a thermoremanent magnetization (TRM) [Heller et al., 2002], which would lead to lower estimates of paleointensity. This would not explain the high values found in this set of samples. Instead, the high intensity found in samples close to the ridge axis suggests a record of a stronger geomagnetic field in very recent times.

5. Introduction of a Time Frame

[20] Changes in intensity of the Earth’s magnetic field during the last few thousand years are now documented but the data sets remain very heterogeneous. A critical part of the analysis of the paleointensity data is the compilation of an accurate data set in order to compare our results to an age-calibrated reference curve. The past 50 ky is the focus of this analysis as it corresponds to approximately 4 km across axis (assuming a full spreading rate of ~80 mm/yr), which includes almost all samples studied here.

[21] Three different kinds of paleointensity data can be used for this period:

[22] 1. Geomagnetic intensity for the sampling site derived from the 400 years of historical models of the field based on the modern International or Definitive Geomagnetic Reference Fields (IGRF or DGRF) and the spherical harmonic model for historical time of Jackson et al. [2000], although prior to 1838 the lack of direct measurements of field intensity does not allow very trustworthy values.

[23] 2. Absolute paleointensity data for the past 50 ky based on the Thellier-Thellier method as first compiled by McElhinny and Senanayake [1982] and updated in the most recent part by Yang et al. [2000] (see also the global data set described by Perrin et al. [1998]). A concern with this data set is the heterogeneity in the experimental methodology, and therefore in the quality of results.

[24] 3. Relative sedimentary paleointensity records obtained from continuous sediment sections. A global set was compiled for the last 800 ky by Guyodo and Valet [1999] and reflects mainly the dipole field variations since higher frequency variations were smoothed by the recording process and/or by stacking.

[25] The ideal set to compare the SBG paleointensity data would integrate dipole and nondipole paleointensity variations at the 16°N site and be well constrained in time and homogeneous in term of sampling and quality. High quality absolute paleointensity data from locations close to the 16°N area and associated with precise dating are therefore the most relevant for our purposes. We have updated and critically evaluated the absolute paleointensity set of Perrin et al. [1998] for the past 50 ky. Stringent selection criteria were applied in order to exclude data which could be erroneous or do not have the required age or paleointensity precision. To be eligible, data should be produced using the
nondipole components, a compromise to preserve the possible record of local flow clustering close to today’s value at the site (39 mT, Group II) and below 20 mT or equivalent VADM of 3.8 × 10^{22} Am^2 and 9.4 × 10^{22} Am^2, respectively. This huge difference in field intensity over such a very short time interval is hardly explicable in terms of geomagnetic field behavior. As a comparison, the VADM intensity of the field at the 16°N EPR site over the 100 year period from 1900 AD and 2000 AD changed only from approximately 9 × 10^{22} Am^2 to 8 × 10^{22} Am^2, even though we may be in a period of rapid variations perhaps due to the emergence of reverse polarity fluxes in the Earth’s liquid core [see Hulot et al., 2002]. A large uncertainty in the quoted ages is one factor that could explain such a discrepancy between those two paleointensity values.

[27] A locally weighted polynomial regression method (LOESS method [see Cleveland, 1979]), which has a low sensitivity to outliers, was applied to the compilation with the idea of not “over fitting” the data while preserving most of the structures. We used local quadratic polynomial fits on small successive subsets (15%) of data; a final smoothed curve is presented in Figure 5a and explains approximately 65% of the data. Better fits produce lots of wiggles in response to the high fluctuations of the data and were disregarded. Since this curve does not provide the required level of precision and there are presently no acceptable data older than approximately 28 ka, we also considered the worldwide absolute compilations of Yang et al. [2000] and the normalized sedimentary SINT800 record [Guyodo and Valet, 1999]. By nature, the data from these two compilations are smoothed and do not specifically reflect absolute intensity variations at a particular location, such as along the EPR, but we believe that these compilations give the best

Figure 3. Mean paleointensity results obtained from submarine basaltic glasses (see also Table 2) as a function of the mean paleointensity results obtained from the adjacent first cryptocrystalline subsamples. Given the error bars, all data points except R2-93 are lying within 10% of the ideal correlation line, 10% limits around the ideal correlation line are represented as dotted lines.

Thellier and Thellier method with pTRM-checks, have a mean quality factor, q, above 3, and be associated with a precise (± a few hundreds of years) radiometric or historical age. In order to compare data from different locations, paleointensity values should be normalized with respect to the site latitude by conversion to a Virtual Axial Dipole Moment (VADM). The VADM should therefore be explicitly given if no precise location is provided. As feared, given the selection criteria used, very few data in the vicinity of the 16°N area remains. We therefore selected an area within 60° of latitude and 60° of longitude of the EPR site as a compromise to preserve the possible record of local nondipole components, 60° being roughly the spatial scale of major nondipole features using the current IGRF model (see, as an example, map of the nondipole field by McElhinny and McFadden [2000]), while trying to keep a reasonable number of data. Studies remaining in the restricted data set include results from Hawaii, the western United States, Ecuador and the EPR. The study by Yu et al. [2000] from Ontario potsherds from the last 2000 years was not included in the set because the authors stated that results are probably “affected by a substantial nondipole field” which, if confirmed, would characterize the northeastern part of North America and therefore not be representative of the field in the 16°N EPR region. A list of all studies considered is presented in Table 3.

[26] The volcanic set contains a total of 78 data, ranging in age from 28140 B.P. to 2000 A.D. Data were transformed to VADM and transformed again in equivalent intensity for 16°N latitude. All results are plotted on Figure 5a. Despite the stringent selection criteria a large scatter remain in the data. An illustration is given by samples RD35-4 and RD37-2 (see Figure 5a) from the EPR reported by Pick and Tauxe [1993], which are supposed to be less than 100 years apart in age (respectively 1190 and 1280 years BP following ^226Ra/^230Th dating quoted by the authors as a personal communication from K. H. Rubin and J. D. MacDougall) but are reported in the original study to have mean intensities of 17.5 μT and 42.4 μT or equivalent VADM of 3.8 × 10^{22} Am^2 and 9.4 × 10^{22} Am^2, respectively. This huge difference in field intensity over such a very short time interval is hardly explicable in terms of geomagnetic field behavior. As a comparison, the VADM intensity of the field at the 16°N EPR site over the 100 year period from 1900 AD and 2000 AD changed only from approximately 9 × 10^{22} Am^2 to 8 × 10^{22} Am^2, even though we may be in a period of rapid variations perhaps due to the emergence of reverse polarity fluxes in the Earth’s liquid core [see Hulot et al., 2002]. A large uncertainty in the quoted ages is one factor that could explain such a discrepancy between those two paleointensity values.

[27] A locally weighted polynomial regression method (LOESS method [see Cleveland, 1979]), which has a low sensitivity to outliers, was applied to the compilation with the idea of not “over fitting” the data while preserving most of the structures. We used local quadratic polynomial fits on small successive subsets (15%) of data; a final smoothed curve is presented in Figure 5a and explains approximately 65% of the data. Better fits produce lots of wiggles in response to the high fluctuations of the data and were disregarded. Since this curve does not provide the required level of precision and there are presently no acceptable data older than approximately 28 ka, we also considered the worldwide absolute compilations of Yang et al. [2000] and the normalized sedimentary SINT800 record [Guyodo and Valet, 1999]. By nature, the data from these two compilations are smoothed and do not specifically reflect absolute intensity variations at a particular location, such as along the EPR, but we believe that these compilations give the best

Figure 4. Histogram of the mean paleointensity results along the R02 and R03 segments. Three groups of flow clustering close to today’s value at the site (39 μT, Group I), above 52 μT (Group II) and below 20 μT (Group III) are represented with pink, purple and yellow color, respectively. Samples from Group IV (gray bars) correspond to ambiguous paleomagnetic ages.
estimation of worldwide paleointensity variations and therefore of first order (dipole) variations at the EPR 16°/C176 N site. The three normalized curves are presented on Figure 5b. Comparison between all sets reveals that the various types of data are broadly consistent and allow a first-order analysis of the paleointensity variations in the vicinity of the 16°N site using cross comparison analysis. Very low values between about 35,000 and 45,000 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>No. Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bowles et al. [2002]</td>
<td>California and Ecuador</td>
<td>pottery</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champion [1980]</td>
<td>Western United States</td>
<td>volcanic</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coe et al. [1978]</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>volcanic</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mankinen and Champion [1993]</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>volcanic</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pick and Tauxe [1993]</td>
<td>EPR south</td>
<td>volcanic glasses</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valet et al. [1998]</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>volcanic</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See text for selection criteria.

Figure 5. (a) Compilation of individual paleointensity estimates from selected published studies. Samples RD37-2 and RD35-4 [from Pick and Tauxe, 1993] are outlined as a typical example of possible incoherencies in this set. Also represented is the locally weighted least square “16°N curve” constructed using the compilation. (b) Variations in paleointensity for the past 50 kyr as given by the locally weighted “16°N curve”, the SINT-800 sedimentary stack (red curve with error bars) and the Yang et al. [2000] worldwide absolute data (blue curve, error bars are not represented for sake of clarity). All data were transformed from VADM to equivalent paleointensity at 16°N latitude site using the standard formulas [e.g., McElhinny and McFadden, 2000]. The paleointensity values associated with Group I, II and III are presented with the same color code as in Figure 4.
B.P. can be identified using the sedimentary records as linked to the well-known period of worldwide instability of the Earth’s magnetic field corresponding to the Laschamp geomagnetic excursion [e.g., Chauvin et al., 1989; Kent et al., 2002]. This intensity low is followed by a general increase until a maximum, probably best defined by the numerous data on the absolute curves, is reached at about 2–3 ka; then there is a decrease which is clearly seen in the 16°N curve until ~1 ka followed by a short recovery of strength and a new decrease toward present-day values.

6. Discussion: Timing of Volcanism Along the Northern EPR

[29] Estimates of paleointensity variations for the northern EPR (Figure 5b) provide a basis to link the
paleomagnetic measurements obtained on the 16°–17°N lava samples with past values of the geomagnetic field. Considering the uncertainties in the reference curves, lava samples are conservatively classified according to four age groups.

[30] Group I consists of dredges 20 and 22, rock cores 26 and 42 and corresponds to paleointensities ranging from 38.5 to 41.1 mT, very close to present values for the study area (39 mT). Samples from this group could have erupted during the past few decades, but they could also correspond to flows of several hundreds years old or more as shown by the curves in Figure 5b.

[31] Group II includes dredges 9, 21, 63 and 71, and rock cores 33, 53 and 82, which all have paleointensities clustering above 52 mT, corresponding to the only documented peak in intensity for the past 50 kyr, which occurred 2000–3000 years ago (see Figure 5b).

[32] Group III, which includes dredge 72 and rock cores 32 and 90 corresponds to low paleointensities, less than half of today’s value, which is a persistent characteristic of the geomagnetic field prior to ~15,000 years B.P. (keeping in mind that there might be unresolved variations of the field in early Holocene see Figure 5b).

[33] Group IV includes the remaining units, i.e., dredges 18 and 70 and rock cores 21, 23, 28, 38, 39, 96 and 109, and corresponds to intermediate values, ranging between 45.6 and 50.5 mT (with the exception of dredge 18 which is associated with a value of 25.8 mT). The paleointensity values from these units provide ambiguous age relations: the lavas are not contemporaneous but could have erupted either a few centuries or a few millennia ago.

[34] Paleointensity intervals for Groups I, II and III are indicated on Figure 5b with pink, purple and yellow colors, respectively, to indicate the age constraints. The same color code is used to locate samples from these groups on Figures 4 and 6, where the precise location and the mean paleointensity value of the samples are reported.

[35] The ages inferred from the paleointensity correspond well with characteristics of the samples. The sample characteristics relate to the fresness of the rocks recovered in the dredge hauls or the glasses recovered in the rock cores (see summary in Table 4). Samples from Group I were
systematically described at sea in the expedition log book as having “extremely fresh glass,” “beautiful pristine glass,” or “glass with only patchy Mn coating,” arguing in favor of a contemporaneous eruption. Samples from Group II were described as having “mildly fresh glass,” “some patchy Mn coating,” “palagonized glass, moderate Mn staining.” Samples from Group III, which we infer are the oldest, were described as “slightly altered” or “moderately fresh.” The samples from Group IV with the ambiguous paleointensity ages have variable visual descriptions that correspond to those of either Group I or Group II. Hence, if glasses with patchy Mn coating and/or palagonization could be considered characteristics of lavas 2000–3000 years old, the age ambiguity inherent to Group IV samples might be tentatively lifted in some cases. Sample RC28, described as “extremely fresh glass,” sample RC21, described as “lots of fresh glass,” and sample RC109, described as “perfectly fresh glass,” may therefore date from a few centuries rather than a few millennia. On the other hand, samples described as having Mn coatings might be a few millennia old.

[36] These qualitative descriptions based on the appearance of the samples are confirmed for the rock cores by the amount of sediment recovered. The rock cores have central holes to recover any sediment that is present. The youngest lava flows are expected to have virtually no sediment cover, while those many thousands of years old can be expected to be covered by a few centimeters of sediment, leading to the recovery of sediment with the glass. Indeed, Group I and II rock cores recovered no sediment whatever. Group III rock cores recovered “lots of sediment,” Group IV had either no sediment or very small amounts; this may correspond to the two possible ages of this group of samples.

[37] The combination of magnetic data, sample freshness and sediment recovery thus gives some confidence with respect to the age determinations of the samples (see Table 4). A general conclusion is that the eruption age of the sample does not generally correspond with the “crustal age” determined by distance from the center of the ridge axis. This is simply because lava flows spread out from the central fissure and are distributed over a variety of distances from the “zero age” point. In the following, we examine the ages with respect to the local character of axial morphologies, and derive a self-consistent scenario for the timing of volcanism along the RO2 and RO3 ridge segments.

6.1. Volcanism Along the Anomalous Southern Ridge Segment (RO3)

[38] The RO3 segment is unique for the shallow depth and broad width of its summit plateau (Figures 1, 6a, 6b, 6c, and 6d). This plateau is 2300–2350 m deep and up to 6 km wide, while typical values for the northern EPR are 2500–2700 m and 0.5–2 km, respectively. The pronounced spreading asymmetry across that segment and the presence of an axis-parallel ridge 10 km east of the present EPR are consistent with a westward jump of the axis of accretion of several kilometers some 100,000 years ago, toward the prominent P1545 volcanic ridge [Weiland and Macdonald, 1996]. The broad axial plateau at 15°38′–47°N is bounded on each side by a hemispherical construct (Figure 6d), suggesting that the new ridge localized on a near-axis seamount, effectively bisecting the edifice in two matching halves. A subtle 2 km right-lateral offset of the axis of accretion at 15°59′N corresponds to a “DEV AL”, according to the terminology of Langmuir et al. [1986; see also Macdonald et al., 1992; Langmuir et al., 1998] (Figure 6b). Coinciding with that DEV AL is a right-lateral step in the axial melt lens mapped with seismic methods [Carbotte et al., 2000], and a discrete change in chemistry for lavas on-axis [Donnelly, 2002] (Figure 7), suggesting that the DEV AL reflects a magmatic segmentation beneath this segment. As a result, Donnelly [2002] subdivided this segment into subsegments SRO3 and NRO3, corresponding to south and north of the 15°59′N DEV AL, respectively.

[39] The chemistry of lavas from RO3 segment suggests that there are three different magma sources feeding this segment. Chemical parameters such as K2O/TiO2 and radiogenic isotopes such as 87Sr/86Sr are good indicators of different sources. The 15°59′N DEV AL marks an abrupt and discrete change in both K2O/TiO2 (Figure 7) and 87Sr/86Sr for lavas along the broad axial high. South of the DEV AL, SRO3 samples have K2O/TiO2 ≥ 0.15 and average 87Sr/86Sr for lavas along the broad axial high. South of the DEV AL, SRO3 samples have K2O/TiO2 ≥ 0.15 and average 87Sr/86Sr (0.70284) whereas north of the DEV AL, NRO3 samples have lower K2O/TiO2 (0.13) and lower average 87Sr/86Sr (0.70267). The overall distinction between SRO3 and NRO3 lava compositions is complicated by the presence of a third distinct magma composition, referred to as New Pulse [Langmuir et al., 1998; Donnelly, 2002]. This composition is only found south of the DEV AL. While its K2O/TiO2 values are similar to NRO3 samples (i.e., <0.15; see Figure 7), its isotopic composition clearly shows it requires a distinct source than that feeding NRO3 with New Pulse samples having much higher 87Sr/86Sr (~0.70303) and a unique Pb isotope composition [Donnelly, 2002]. Because New Pulse lavas solely occur within a few 100 m from the ridge axis and because of their fresh physical appearance, they are interpreted to be a recent injection [Langmuir et al., 1998; Donnelly, 2002]. Outside of the appearance of New Pulse lavas on RO3, both NRO3 and SRO3 show remarkably uniform compositions.

[40] Lavas that occur off the broad axial high and near the OSC at the segment ends show greater variations in chemistry. The off-axis lavas have similar chemical characteristics to SRO3 samples (excluding New Pulse) with K2O/TiO2 ≥ 0.15 and 87Sr/86Sr ~ 0.70286. Near the segment ends samples become increasingly fractionated [Donnelly, 2002]. Theses samples near segment ends are discussed separately below.

[41] The four samples compatible with contemporary paleointensities (Group I) were all collected within a few hundred meters of the morphological axis of accretion. Samples associated with the “New Pulse” compositions (D20-1, D20-7 and D22-7) all belong to Group I, confirming the interpretation of the recent eruption of this composition. The fourth sample, RC42, is located toward the northern end of subsegment SRO3 and has a composition typical for that segment [Donnelly, 2002]. This indicates that the injection of New Pulse lavas is presently restricted to the central portion of subsegment SRO3.

[42] All other samples collected across and along the axial high display higher paleointensities than Group I. Most of them are characteristic of eruptive ages 2000–3000 years B.P. (Group II); the only exception (which is
discussed below) is sample RC32, from the very northern tip of the ridge segment, belonging to Group III. The tight grouping of paleointensity values of Group II samples (Figure 4) further suggests that lavas were emplaced over a short timescale. These samples were collected at the edge of the broad summit plateau (see red dots and lines in Figures 6a, 6c, and 6d) on 50–75 ka old oceanic crust (D21-3 and RC82), or very close to the axis of accretion (D63, RC33, and RC53). They also include sample D71-1 collected at the base of the axial high on 120 ka old oceanic crust. Interestingly, although that sample is located north of the 15°59’N DEV AL, it has the same chemical composition as axial samples collected south of the DEVAL along subsegment SRO3 [Donnelly, 2002]. We interpret this broad distribution of Group II lavas over the RO3 segment as resulting from a phase of intense volcanic activity at 2000–3000 years B.P., when erupted lavas flooded the entire width of the axial plateau as well as its flanks. This interpretation is consistent with the uniformly reflective character of the entire axial high in side-scan sonar imagery [Weiland and MacDonald, 1996] and is confirmed by the very low levels of sediment recovery. The occurrence of Group II lavas very close to the present axis of accretion further suggests that lavas erupted since that intense volcanic episode have generally not extended beyond a few hundred meters from their source. Hence the most recent volcanic activity along the RO3 segment seems to be characterized by small volume eruptions.

Axial samples RC38 and RC39 bracket the 15°59’N DEV AL that offsets the axis by 2 km. RC38 and RC39 have distinct compositions characteristic of segments NRO3 and SRO3, respectively (Figure 7). Hence, although these samples have identical paleointensity values characteristic of the ambiguous Group IV, they presumably must nonetheless belong to separate lava flows. Neither of these rock cores recovered significant sediment, and hence the chemical discontinuity at the DEVAL appears to be a young feature.

Samples RC90, D72-1, D72-2 and D72-3 are classified with the older (low intensity) Group III, consistent with their locations at the base of the axial high on seafloor with an estimated crustal age of 100–110 ka. The three samples from Dredge 72 all yield almost the same paleointensity value and can be considered coeval on the timescale of the Earth’s magnetic field variations. This is consistent with eruptions within a few tens of years sometime before 15 ka ago.

6.2. Volcanism Along “Typical” RO2 Ridge Segment

The RO2 ridge segment displays a morphology characteristic of intermediate spreading rates. Its summit is rifted with a trough 20–50-m-deep and 2–3-km-wide (Figure 6). Pervasive faulting across that summit trough is inferred from the unusually high level of hydrothermal activity [Baker et al., 2001]. An elongated feature ~20 m high and ~200-m-wide occupies the axis of the trough and is interpreted as a volcanic ridge marking the axis of
accretion (Figures 6e to 6k). At 16°24′–16°35′N and 16°48′–17°00′N, the summit trough almost disappears beneath what appear to be volcanic constructions, and enhanced volcanism is inferred for these two locations. Accordingly, a seamount exists near 16°33′N close to the ridge axis, and a seismic reflector interpreted as the top of an axial magma chamber is detected between 16°20′ and 16°36′N [Carbotte et al., 2000]. Unfortunately, seismic profiling did not extend along-axis north of 16°48′N, as far as the other obtrusion of the rifted crest.

[46] Unlike on segment RO3, there does not appear to be a systematic geographic distribution of lava compositions on segment RO2. RO2 lavas have even lower K2O/TiO2 (<0.10) and lower 87Sr/86Sr (0.70259) than NRO3, arguing that the 16°20′N OSC represents another magmatic segmentation [Donnelly, 2002].

[47] Most of the samples from this segment have paleointensity values from the ambiguous Group IV, and only two samples are associated with Group I and II. Sample RC26, collected at 16°33′N where the axis is filled with a volcanic construct, has a paleointensity value compatible with a contemporary eruption (Group I). This is in good agreement with the presumed enhanced volcanic activity at that location. Sample D9-8 belongs to Group II and is therefore probably 2000–3000 years old. Unlike observations at segment RO3, no clustering of paleointensity values was found. Instead, the dispersion of results would argue in favor of smaller magmatic events dispersed in time. Further insights can be drawn regarding Group IV samples RC21, RC28 and RC109 by examining the paleointensity values in combination with shipboard sample descriptions. As discussed above, all three samples were independently described as having extremely fresh glass and there was no sediment recovery. They are thus probably just a few centuries rather than a few millennia old. That interpretation is consistent with the presumed renewed volcanism in the neighborhood of samples RC21 and RC28, and with the fact that RC109 was collected on top of the narrow axial volcanic ridge. Hence we propose the following scenario of the volcanic activity along segment RO2. Volcanic activity was generally focused along the narrow volcanic ridge marking the axis of the trough. Near 16°24′–16°35′N and 16°48′–17°00′N, volcanic activity probably extended across the entire width of the filled trough. The shipboard description of glasses from sample RC23, collected away from the two areas of renewed volcanism and at the western edge of the summit trough, indicates “filmy palagonite on many surfaces” and there was also sediment recovered. Hence its paleointensity value of 50 μT may indicate an age of a few thousand years rather than a few centuries.

6.3. Volcanism Near Segment Ends

[48] The lowest paleointensity values measured on axial samples occur at the tips of the RO3 and the RO2 segments which define the 16°20′N OSC (Figures 6a and 6k). Sample RC32 has a very low intensity value of 8 μT. Such low values are typical of periods of instabilities of the geomagnetic field during excursions or reversals [e.g., Carlut et al., 1999]. The only known excursion within the last 50 kyr is the Laschamp excursion, dated between 35 and 50 ka [Gillet et al., 1979; Chauvin et al., 1989; Kent et al., 2002]. Collection of oriented samples from the RC32 lava flow would constrain the direction of the field at the time of eruption and verify whether it was emplaced during the Laschamp excursion. Sample D18 (25.8 μT) is at least several thousands years old. These rather old ages for samples collected at the axis of the EPR are consistent with a presumably reduced magma supply at large OSCs, where crustal accretion becomes distributed between two spreading ridges [Macdonald, 1998]. The unusually old lava collected at the tip of the RO3 segment (RC32) may indicate that this ridge tip was abandoned by a “self-decapitation” mechanism [Macdonald et al., 1987], whereby the new ridge axis cuts inside or outside of itself and raft off the abandoned ridge tip on the flank. The active tip of the 16°N segment may actually be located on the curved feature 0.5 km west of sample RC32 (Figure 6a). That feature extends directly north of the remaining segment whereas the ridge tip on which sample RC32 is located appears to have been rafted inside the overlapped region. The 500 m spacing between the two curved ridges suggest a difference in crustal age of at least ~12 ka, compatible with the minimum paleomagnetic age of sample RC32.

7. Conclusion

[49] Successful paleointensity analyses were obtained on 63 submarine basaltic samples from 27 MORB units collected along two segments on the EPR (RO2 and RO3). Most results were obtained from the analysis of submarine basaltic glass (SBG) fragments. Our study does not specifically address the problem of possible chemical remagnetization in several million year old SBG (see the recent papers by Heller et al. [2002] and Smirnov and Tarduno [2003]) but the high paleointensity values obtained on the majority of the samples analyzed here make a case for original thermoneromagnetization in “young” SBG of a few tens of thousands years old. Furthermore, the outermost cryptocrystalline sample when analyzed with its glassy counterpart does not exhibit a systematic bias as was feared [see Carlut and Kent, 2002]. While this increases our confidence in paleointensity values obtained on glasses, measurements on the cryptocrystalline part of samples should only be used with caution due to the very strong, and still not well understood, bias in paleointensity values found in the inner, crystalline part of MORB samples [Carlut and Kent, 2002; Tauxe and Love, 2003].

[50] It has been proposed that paleointensities can be used as a tool to help our comprehension of the volcanic history of ridge segments (see in particular Carlut and Kent [2000] and Gee et al. [2000]). For this purpose the compilation of an accurate reference curve is mandatory. The most relevant data set to our study is paleointensity data obtained from well-dated SBG, lavas or archeological artifacts in the vicinity of the EPR. Unfortunately, the presence of outliers and the paucity of data especially prior to the last 10,000 years only allow a first-order analysis and underscore the need for much better constrained new data. Nevertheless, we present a compilation of absolute data specifically adapted to the EPR around the 16°N latitude. With the addition of data from the global compilation of Yang et al. [2000] and the sedimentary stack of Guyodo and Valet [1999], we can place samples in age categories on the basis of their paleointensity values in conjunction with sample descriptions and petrolog-


