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SUMMARY

The phosphoinositide phosphatase synaptojanin 1 (SYNJ1) is a key regulator of synaptic function. We first tested whether SYNJ1 contributes to phenotypic variations in familial Alzheimer’s disease (FAD) and show that SYNJ1 polymorphisms are associated with age of onset in both early- and late-onset human FAD cohorts. We then interrogated whether SYNJ1 levels could directly affect memory. We show that increased SYNJ1 levels in autopsy brains from adults with Down syndrome (DS/AD) are inversely correlated with synaptophysin levels, a direct readout of synaptic integrity. We further report age-dependent cognitive decline in a mouse model overexpressing murine Synj1 to the levels observed in human sporadic AD, triggered through hippocampal hyperexcitability and defects in the spatial reproducibility of place fields. Taken together, our findings suggest that SYNJ1 contributes to memory deficits in the aging hippocampus in all forms of AD.

INTRODUCTION

Synaptic function is under the rigorous control of phosphoinositide turnover, and phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns(4,5)P₂) is particularly important in this process (Di Paolo et al., 2004). The PtdIns(4,5)P₂ phosphatase synaptojanin 1 (Synj1) is a key regulator of synaptic vesicle endocytosis and reavailability on the pre-synaptic side (Cremona et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2002; Mani et al., 2007; McPherson et al., 1996; Verstreken et al., 2003), while on the post-synaptic side, it controls the endocytosis of α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionic acid (AMPA) receptors (Gong and De Camilli, 2008).

A body of literature supports the importance of SYNJ1 in neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Clinically, AD is presented with memory loss and spatial disorientation. Neuropathology hallmarks of this disorder include amyloid plaques, composed primarily of Aβ peptides that result from the sequential cleavage of the amyloid precursor protein (APP), and neurofibrillary tangles of hyperphosphorylated Tau (Querfurth and LaFerla, 2010). The three forms of AD—familial AD (FAD), Down syndrome-related AD (DS/AD), and sporadic AD (SAD)—share common clinical and neuropathology signatures. Although early-onset FAD is caused by mutations in the APP, PSEN1, or PSEN2 gene (Reitz et al., 2011) and DS/AD is due to triplication of human chromosome 21 (Hsa21) (Antonarakis, 2017; Wiseman et al., 2015), the most potent genetic risk factor for SAD is the ε4 allele of the APOE gene (APOE4) (Lambert et al., 2013; Strittmatter et al., 1993).

SYNJ1 was reported to be crucial for the enlargement of early endosomes (Cossec et al., 2012), one of the earliest cellular phenotypes associated with AD, observed before amyloid accumulation and cognitive decline (Cataldo et al., 2000). Enlarged
endosomes are present in neurons of APOE4 carriers (Cataldo et al., 2000) as well as in fibroblasts and lymphocytes from individuals with DS and SAD (Corrieri et al., 2015; Cossec et al., 2012). C99, the C-terminal APP fragment resulting from the activity of β-secretase, has been reported to be required for early endosomal enlargement (Jiang et al., 2010). Importantly though, overexpressing APP alone is not sufficient to alter endosomal size (Cataldo et al., 2003), and endosomal size is unaffected in APP microduplications (Cossec et al., 2012). However, Synj1 overexpression alone is sufficient to produce enlarged endosomes in the brain of transgenic mice (Cossec et al., 2012), and Synj1 trisomy results in increased endosomal size in cell lines derived from individuals with partial or full trisomy of Hsa21 (Cossec et al., 2012). In addition, SYNJ1 has also been linked to amyloid toxicity. Oligomers of Aβ peptides disrupt PtdIns(4,5)P2 metabolism in cultured primary cortical neurons, and genetically decreasing Synj1 levels protects from the inhibitory effect of Aβ oligomers on hippocampal long-term potentiation in brain slices (Berman et al., 2008).

A recent study reported that APOE4 carriers show increased levels of SYNJ1 compared with non-carriers (Zhu et al., 2015). SYNJ1 is encoded by SYNJ1, mapping to Hsa21 (Cremona et al., 2000), and is increased in individuals with DS and DS/AD (Arai et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2014). SYNJ1 levels are thus elevated in individuals at high risk for developing SAD and DS/AD, but very little is known on a potential role for synaptojanin 1 in FAD. To our knowledge, only indirect evidence in model systems has currently been published. Specifically, it has been reported that PtdIns(4,5)P2 metabolism is disrupted in cells expressing FAD-mutant forms of presenilin 1 (Landman et al., 2006), and earlier studies support that genetically decreasing Synj1 levels rescues cognitive deficits in murine models of FAD (McIntire et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2013), although the mechanisms involved are still controversial.

The present study addresses whether SYNJ1 is associated with human FAD. It also explores whether elevated levels of SYNJ1 directly affect cognition in an age-dependent manner. Our work, combining human genetics, human autopsy brain samples, and behavior and in vivo electrophysiology studies in a transgenic mouse model overexpressing murine Synj1, Tg(Synj1) (Voronov et al., 2008), strongly supports that SYNJ1 plays a role in the function of place cells in the aging hippocampus, with critical implications for memory deficits in all three forms of AD and their possible treatment.

**RESULTS**

**Variants of SYNJ1 Are Associated with Memory Performance in FAD**

Individuals with DS/AD (Martin et al., 2014) and APOE4 carriers (Zhu et al., 2015) show increased levels of SYNJ1. We thus targeted SYNJ1 as a candidate gene that may contribute to phenotypic variations in FAD. Specifically, we examined whether SYNJ1 was associated with memory performance and age of onset in early-onset FAD by testing a cohort of Caribbean Hispanic families with the PSEN1-G206A mutation (Table S1)(Athan et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2015). Intriguingly, we observed a gene-wise association of SYNJ1 with age of onset of AD (p = 0.0195) and long-term recall performance (p = 0.0443) in this cohort (Table S2). Our subsequent SNP analysis within SYNJ1, based on whole-genome sequencing (WGS) data, yielded four SNPs that were significantly associated with age of onset (p < 0.01; Table 1). Furthermore, we observed three additional SNPs associated with long-term recall scores and global memory scores (p < 0.05; Table 1).

We then determined whether the observed effect was present in late-onset FAD, a form of the disease defined by having multiple family members affected with late-onset AD (Romas et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2013), by extending our study to the EFFIGA cohort (Lee et al., 2011; Romas et al., 2002) (Table S1). Because the EFFIGA dataset lacked WGS data, we analyzed the candidate SYNJ1 region (bp 34,004,976–34,078,985) identified from our early-onset FAD results, using seven tagSNPs that were found to be significant from the genome-wide association study (GWAS) dataset available for the EFFIGA cohort (Figure S1 and Table S3). Our subsequent sliding-window haplotype

### Table 1. Association of SNP within SYNJ1 Gene with Age at Onset and Memory Scores in a Cohort of Caribbean Hispanic Families with the PSEN1-G206A Mutation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Location (bp)</th>
<th>Age at Onset</th>
<th>Global Memory</th>
<th>Long-Term Recall</th>
<th>Delayed Recall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>p Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:34004976</td>
<td>34,004,976</td>
<td>−0.77</td>
<td>0.6602</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>0.0397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:34006054:G:T</td>
<td>34,006,054</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>0.3002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:34012999</td>
<td>34,012,999</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.8909</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:34019201</td>
<td>34,019,201</td>
<td>−16.14</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>0.5553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:34041167</td>
<td>34,041,167</td>
<td>−10.23</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.6074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs200644223:34057206:ACGGCCGGG-A</td>
<td>34,057,206–34,057,214</td>
<td>−0.98</td>
<td>0.7395</td>
<td>−15.13</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:34078985</td>
<td>34,078,985</td>
<td>22.46</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.9939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
See also Figure S2 and Tables S1 and S2 for additional information.

*a* Covariates included AD, sex, PSEN1-G206A, education, APOE4, and principal components (PC1, PC2, and PC3). Age at onset is defined as age at onset for affected individuals and age at last examination for unaffected individuals (see text for details).

*b* Covariates included sex, education, APOE4, and principal components (PC1, PC2, and PC3).

*p* < 0.05.
analysis indicated that window 5 in a 3-mer analysis (bp 34,020,786–34,027,774) and window 4 in a 4-mer approach (bp 34,020,653–34,027,774) were the primary candidates for harboring the variant(s) that contribute to age of onset of AD (Table 2). Furthermore, we observed that carriers of the minor haplotype (AGA or AAGA) were protected against AD, as their age of onset was delayed by 8–10 years on average (Table 2). The effect of APOE4 on age at onset was not significant. Our findings in human cohorts thus support an association of SYNJ1 with both early-onset and late-onset FAD.

To determine whether the candidate SNPs we identified in early-onset FAD may affect SYNJ1 expression in the brain, we examined the expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) data in the GTEx Portal, restricting our analysis to the tissues in the frontal cortex. In the frontal cortex, we found that, on the basis of 129 tissue samples, rs2833943 located at 34,041,650 bp and rs66528773 located at 34,080,468 bp were differentially expressed (p = 0.00788771 for each). Their m values, representing the likelihood of functional relevance, were 0.978 and 0.995, respectively. Interestingly, the set of AD associated SNPs that were identified from early-onset FAD (Table 1) was in linkage disequilibrium with the eQTL identified in the frontal cortex tissues in the GTEx dataset (Figure S2), suggesting that the identified SNPs (or adjacent SNPs) are likely to influence SYNJ1 expression.

Elevated SYNJ1 Levels Are Associated with Synaptic Deficits in DS/AD

In light of earlier reports that SYNJ1 levels are elevated in individuals at high risk for developing SAD (Zhu et al., 2015) and DS/AD (Martin et al., 2014), our results in human FAD cohorts strongly suggested that SYNJ1 may play a role in all three forms of AD. This motivated us to investigate whether elevated SYNJ1 affects cognition in an age-dependent manner, an AD hallmark. We hypothesized that a large increase in SYNJ1 levels, such as the one observed in populations at high risk for developing DS/AD (+155% compared with age-matched disomic controls) (Martin et al., 2014), could influence synaptic integrity. To test this hypothesis, we used data previously collected on post-mortem brain samples of individuals with DS at different ages (Martin et al., 2014). We focused on the age range of 40–52 years, when most individuals with DS develop AD. For each individual, we plotted the levels of SYNJ1 against the levels of synaptophysin, a pre-synaptic protein that we used as a direct measure of synaptic integrity (Figure 1). Indeed, synaptophysin levels have been extensively and consistently found to be decreased in individuals with AD and DS/AD (e.g., Downes et al., 2008; Masliah et al., 1989, 1991; Reddy et al., 2005; Terry et al., 1991). We found that levels of SYNJ1 were inversely correlated (p = 0.0151, R^2 = 0.2862) with levels of synaptophysin; that is, the higher the levels of SYNJ1, the more synaptic integrity was compromised. In contrast, no such correlation (p = 0.1784, R^2 = 0.2790) was observed in younger individuals with DS (age range 1–39 years), whose SYNJ1 levels are only mildly higher (+36%) than those of disomic controls (Figure S3). Our results thus strongly suggest that elevated levels of SYNJ1 observed in populations at high risk for developing AD could directly affect synaptic structure, function, or both.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rs1707274</th>
<th>rs2833930</th>
<th>rs2833931</th>
<th>rs10470165</th>
<th>rs17694546</th>
<th>rs2833934</th>
<th>rs2833935</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AG</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>A/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window1</td>
<td>Window2</td>
<td>Window3</td>
<td>Window4</td>
<td>Window5</td>
<td>Window6</td>
<td>Window3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>GAA</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>AAG</td>
<td>AGA</td>
<td>AAAC</td>
<td>AAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window1/H1/H1</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>Window2/H1/H1</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>Window3/H1/H1</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>Window4/H1/H1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1145</td>
<td>0.2072</td>
<td>0.0709</td>
<td>0.0416</td>
<td>0.3410</td>
<td>0.0714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1019</td>
<td>0.0980</td>
<td>0.0680</td>
<td>0.0741</td>
<td>0.3910</td>
<td>0.0323</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window1</td>
<td>Window2</td>
<td>Window3</td>
<td>Window4</td>
<td>Window5</td>
<td>Window6</td>
<td>Window3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also Figure S1 and Tables S1 and S3 for additional information.
Elevated Synj1 Levels Drive Age-Dependent Hippocampal Cognitive Deficits

To dissect the effect of elevated levels of synaptojanin 1 on cognition, we used a transgenic mouse model overexpressing murine Synj1, Tg(Synj1) (Voronov et al., 2008). We observed 76% more Synj1 in the brain of transgenic mice than in littermate controls (wild-type [WT]) (Figure 2A). This closely recapitulates the overexpression levels (+73%) described in APOE4 carriers with early AD (clinical dementia rating [CDR] 0.5–1) (Zhu et al., 2015) but is milder than the overexpression levels in individuals with DS/AD (+155% compared with age-matched disomic controls) (Martin et al., 2014). Indeed, we found that levels of pre-synaptic (synaptophysin) and post-synaptic (PSD 95) proteins were not altered in the hippocampi of 19-month-old transgenic versus WT animals (Figure S4A), suggesting no gross synaptic loss, even in older animals. Tg(Synj1) mice thus appeared as a good model system to dissect the effect of elevated levels of Synj1 on synaptic dysfunction and cognitive deficits.

We focused on two hippocampus-dependent behavior tasks, as this region is critically affected in AD (Stoub et al., 2006), and investigated whether the performance of transgenic Tg(Synj1) mice in these tasks declined with age. We first used the radial arm water maze (RAWM) paradigm to probe working memory. At 9 months, Tg(Synj1) and WT mice performed similarly in the RAWM test (Figure 2B). In contrast, at 19 months, Tg(Synj1) mice showed a significantly higher number of errors in the RAWM compared with WT mice (Figure 2B). Although WT mice experienced cognitive decline with age, age-dependent cognitive deficits were significantly more pronounced in Tg(Synj1) than in WT littermates (188% of normal aging; Figure 2C). Although 19-month-old Tg(Synj1) swam slightly slower than age-matched WT mice, their ability to reach a visible platform remained unchanged (Figure S4B), ruling out any visual or motivational impairment.

To assess whether other forms of learning were impaired in transgenic mice, we used a fear conditioning (FC) paradigm. Whereas contextual fear learning depends on both hippocampus and amygdala, cued testing only depends on the amygdala. No difference in contextual or cued freezing behavior was observed between Tg(Synj1) and WT mice at 9 months (Figure 2D). However, at 19 months, Tg(Synj1) mice showed a specific decrease in freezing in contextual but not in cued conditioning compared with WT mice, suggesting hippocampal but not amygdala impairment (Figure 2E). Taken together, our behavior results strongly suggest that increased levels of Synj1 drive age-dependent cognitive deficits in the hippocampus.

Elevated Synj1 Levels Trigger Hippocampal Place Cell Dysfunction

We then pursued the functional basis underlying hippocampal cognitive deficits in older Tg(Synj1) animals. Specifically, we investigated whether increased Synj1 levels could alter hippocampal synaptic function using in vivo electrophysiological recordings in the hippocampus (Figure S5A). Although the firing of hippocampal inhibitory neurons was not affected (Figure S5B), the average and peak firing rates of hippocampal excitatory neurons were significantly increased in Tg(Synj1) mice (+57% and +67% increases compared with WT, respectively; Figure 3A). Because these recorded excitatory neurons were place cells (Hussaini et al., 2011) (Figure 3B), we asked whether place field properties were altered in transgenic animals. The average and peak field firing rates were increased in Tg(Synj1) mice compared with WT (Figure 3C). The mean place field size was comparable between transgenic animals and controls (Figure 3D). However, place field size distribution was broader in Tg(Synj1) mice, highlighting a higher size variability in transgenic animals (Figure 3D). Information content and spatial coherence were comparable between transgenic and control mice (Figures 3Eand 3F). More important, the stability of place fields after 18–24 hr was decreased by more than 3-fold in Tg(Synj1) mice (Figure 3G), suggesting a memory retention deficit.

Overall, our findings indicate that elevated levels of Synj1 trigger acute hyperexcitability as well as dramatic defects in the spatial reproducibility of place fields in the hippocampus of older Tg(Synj1) animals. Our data from mouse model systems strongly argue that the elevated levels of SYNJ1 observed in DS/AD and SAD could be the cause of the age-dependent long-term memory defects observed in AD patients.

DISCUSSION

The present study addressed whether the elevated levels of SYNJ1 observed in populations at high risk to develop AD could be a common feature underlying age-dependent cognitive deficits. This study is supported by earlier reports in AD mouse models that described an important role for synaptojanin 1 in mechanisms of neuronal toxicity (Berman et al., 2008; Cossec et al., 2012) and human data that showed elevated levels of SYNJ1 in APOE4 carriers (Zhu et al., 2015) and in individuals...
with DS/AD (Martin et al., 2014) and answers two previously unaddressed questions. Specifically, this work addresses whether SYNJ1 is associated with human FAD as well as whether elevated levels of Synj1 directly affect cognition in an age-dependent manner.

Our targeted gene approach extended the relevance of alterations in SYNJ1 to FAD by showing that variants in SYNJ1 are associated with age of onset and long-term memory deficits in an early-onset FAD cohort of Caribbean Hispanic families with the PSEN1-G206A founder mutation. We further showed that variants in SYNJ1 are also associated with age of onset in the EFIGA cohort of late-onset FAD. Our findings highlight the relevance of studying the impact of SYNJ1 alterations on memory performance for AD. We observed that in DS/AD brain samples, higher SYNJ1 protein levels were 76% higher in Tg(Synj1) (1.76 ± 0.11) than in WT (1.00 ± 0.05) mice. **p < 0.001 in unpaired Student’s t-test.

Figure 2. Overexpression of Synj1 Drives Hippocampal-Dependent Cognitive Deficits in an Age-Dependent Manner

(A) Western blot analysis of Synj1 in 19-month-old WT and Tg(Synj1) mice (n = 4). Tubulin was used as an equal loading marker. Synj1 protein levels were 76% higher in Tg(Synj1) (1.76 ± 0.11) than in WT (1.00 ± 0.05) mice. **p < 0.001 in unpaired Student’s t-test.

(B) Performance of WT and Tg(Synj1) mice at 9 (n = 8 WT and 7 Tg(Synj1) mice) and 19 (n = 9 mice for both genotypes) months in the radial arm water maze (RAWM). Mice were administered 30 trials over a 2-day period, and the number of errors was averaged over three trials. Two-way ANOVA revealed an interaction between genotype and trial block at 19 months but not at 9 months. ns, p > 0.05, and **p < 0.01 for the overall effect of genotype in two-way ANOVA. In trial 6, ***p < 0.001 for the effect of genotype in two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test.

(C) Age-dependent modification of RAWM performance of WT and Tg(Synj1) mice. Age-dependent cognitive deficits were more severe in Tg(Synj1) mice (188 ± 25%, n = 9) than in WT mice (100 ± 20%, n = 9). *p < 0.05 in unpaired Student’s t-test. (D and E) Freezing response in the contextual and cued FC paradigm in (D) 9-month-old WT (n = 10) and Tg(Synj1) (n = 9) mice and in (E) 19-month-old WT (n = 11) and Tg(Synj1) (n = 7) mice. *p < 0.05 in unpaired Student’s t-test.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. See also Figure S4 for additional information.

worse than control animals in the reverse platform test variation of this paradigm (Voronov et al., 2008). For this study, the BAC was backcrossed on the C57BL/6 background for eight generations, and we focused on older, and thus more AD-relevant, animals. Our RAWM and FC behavior studies showed that increased levels of Synj1 triggered age-dependent cognitive deficits in the hippocampus of transgenic Tg(Synj1) mice. Our findings support that increased levels of Synj1 did not impair learning per se in older animals, as evident from the non-null slope in trials 1–5 and trials 6–10 in the RAWM, but caused a specific defect in long-term memory retention. This is particularly well illustrated by the very large number of errors in the first trial of day 2 (trial 6; Figure 2B) in the RAWM as well as by the reduced freezing behavior after 24 hr in contextual conditioning (Figure 2E). Using in vivo recordings, we showed that this defect is due to hippocampal hyperexcitability and, more specifically, to a dramatic alteration in the spatial reproducibility of hippocampal place fields. Taken together, our data strongly argue that the elevated levels of SYNJ1 observed in populations at high risk to develop AD could be sufficient to trigger age-dependent long-term memory retention impairment, a signature trait of the cognitive deficits observed in AD patients.

A key finding of our study is that levels of synaptojanin 1 can regulate the properties, specifically the spatial reproducibility,
of hippocampal place fields. Indeed, although the unique role of Synj1 in synaptic function has been very well described (Cremona et al., 1999; Gong and De Camilli, 2008; Kim et al., 2002; Mani et al., 2007; Verstreken et al., 2003), how it translates to hippocampal spatial memory encoding remained unknown. This is particularly important in light of the emerging role of SYNJ1 as a crucial regulator in neurodegenerative diseases, including AD and Parkinson’s disease. Remarkably, mutations in SYNJ1 have recently been reported to be associated with early-onset progressive parkinsonism (Kirola et al., 2016; Krebs et al., 2013; Olgiani et al., 2014; Quadri et al., 2013). These mutations affect the role of synaptojanin 1 at the synapse and result in defects in clathrin uncoating (Cao et al., 2017), in autophagosome maturation (Vanhouwaert et al., 2017), or both.

Another central finding highlights the importance of SYNJ1 in AD. Our results establish synaptojanin 1 as a key regulator of age-related cognitive decline and support that modifications of SYNJ1 levels could be a unifying feature of memory deficits observed in the three types of AD (familial, sporadic, and DS/AD). To our knowledge, the only other protein described to be involved in all three forms of AD is APP. Indeed, APP can be mutated in FAD, it maps to Hsa21, and variants in the APP gene promoter region are a risk factor for SAD (Guyant-Maréchal et al., 2007; Lv et al., 2008).

Our findings thus strongly argue that developing specific SYNJ1 inhibitors is an attractive therapeutic strategy for AD. This is supported by earlier reports showing that genetically decreasing Synj1 levels rescues cognitive deficits in murine models of FAD (APP and PSEN1 mutations) (McIntire et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2013) and SAD (ApoE4 knockins) (Zhu et al., 2015). If successful, this therapy would be protective against both toxic effects of oligomeric Aβ (Berman et al., 2008) and cognitive decline linked to age and could be extended to all three forms of AD. Importantly, SYNJ1 can serve as an ideal drug target, as it is a brain-specific phosphatase. That is, its activity can be targeted by small molecules without affecting its structural roles, with limited secondary effects on peripheral organs. Our findings also provide disease-relevant functional readouts, e.g., accuracy of hippocampal spatial encoding, to evaluate the efficacy of these future drugs.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Genetics and Population**

**Early-Onset FAD**

For the genetic study of early-onset FAD, all participating subjects were at least 35 years of age, FAD patients, with the age at onset <65 years, met the research criteria of the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke (NINCDS) and the AD and Related Disorders Association (ADRA) for probable or possible AD (McKhann et al., 1984). We studied 305 family members from 45 Caribbean Hispanic families that had at least one G206A founder mutation in the PSEN1 gene (PSEN1-G206A), in which approximately 50% of the family members were carriers of the PSEN1-G206A mutation (Aman et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2013).

To obtain WGS data on all family members while minimizing sequencing costs, we first selected two to four highly informative family members from each branch of the pedigree using the GIGI algorithm (Cheung et al., 2013).

Figure 3. Overexpression of Synj1 Results in Hippocampal Hyperexcitability and Decreased Place Field Stability

(A) Left: average firing rate of hippocampal excitatory (pyramidal) neurons in 24-month-old Tg(Synj1) mice (3.2 ± 0.2 Hz, n = 72 neurons from six animals) and controls (2.0 ± 0.2 Hz, n = 98 neurons from five animals), Right: peak firing rate of pyramidal neurons in Tg(Synj1) mice (9.3 ± 0.7 Hz) and controls (5.8 ± 0.4 Hz). ***p < 0.001 in Mann Whitney test.

(B) Representative examples of firing rate maps showing place fields obtained after WT and Tg(Synj1) mice explored a 50-cm-diameter cylindrical arena for 20 min. The firing rate is represented by a heatmap ranging from blue (no firing) to red (peak firing). White spaces indicate locations not visited by the animal.

(C) Left: average field firing rate in Tg(Synj1) mice (3.8 ± 0.3 Hz, n = 72 neurons) and controls (2.2 ± 0.2 Hz, n = 98 neurons). Right: peak field firing rate in Tg(Synj1) mice (9.2 ± 0.7 Hz) and controls (5.5 ± 0.4 Hz). ***p < 0.001 in Mann Whitney test.

(D) Size of place fields in Tg(Synj1) and WT mice. The average size (left) of place fields was comparable (p > 0.05 in Mann-Whitney test) between Tg(Synj1) (1.066 ± 58 cm², n = 72 neurons) and WT (1.043 ± 24 cm², n = 98 neurons) mice, although the distribution (right) of place field sizes was significantly different (**p < 0.001 in chi-square test).

(E) Comparable (p > 0.05, Mann-Whitney test) information content between Tg(Synj1) (0.29 ± 0.05 bits/spike, n = 72) and WT (0.19 ± 0.03 bits/spike, n = 98) neurons.

(F) Similar spatial coherence (p > 0.05, Mann-Whitney test) between Tg(Synj1) (2.25 ± 0.03, n = 72) and WT (2.21 ± 0.02, n = 98) neurons.

(G) Place field stability at 18–24 hr was significantly decreased (*p < 0.05 in unpaired Student’s t test) in Tg(Synj1) mice (0.05 ± 0.04, n = 20 neurons) compared with controls (0.17 ± 0.03, n = 24 neurons).
and performed WGS on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform. In addition, we also performed GWAS on all clinically evaluated family members in the pedigree. To generate WGS data for all family members, we applied SHAPIT2 (Delaneau et al., 2013) and IMPUTE2 (Howie et al., 2009) to impute sequence data into GWAS in family members who were not sequenced. To ensure high-quality imputation in this admixed cohort, we used in-house WGS data generated from 608 Caribbean Hispanics from Puerto Rico and the Dominican Republic, plus the 1000 Genomes data (n = 2,504) as a reference panel. Standard quality control (QC) procedures were performed (Howie et al., 2012). To determine whether genetic variants in SYN1J were associated with variation in age at onset of AD and memory traits, specifically global memory, long-term recall and delayed recall, we first performed a gene-wise analysis while taking into account AD status, sex, PSEN1-G206A, level of education, APOE4, and principal components 1–3, as implemented in FamSKAT (Chen et al., 2013) for each trait. For the purpose of analysis, we followed the convention of survival analysis and defined age at onset of AD as follows: if affected, age at onset was used as the age at onset; if unaffected, age at last examination was used. To determine whether certain variants within SYN1J were significantly associated with the traits, we performed a SNP-wise analysis for the variants in SYN1J while controlling for the same set of covariates as well as kinship coefficient to take into account non-independence among family members. Linear mixed modeling was performed using R (http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/kinship2/kinship2.pdf).

Replication in Late-Onset AD

To determine whether the observed genetic association between SYN1J and early-onset FAD was present in late-onset AD as well, we examined the role of SYN1J by evaluating the EFIGA cohort, which comprises both Caribbean Hispanic families with late-onset AD (Lee et al., 2011; Romas et al., 2002) and unrelated Caribbean Hispanics with SAD (Tosto et al., 2015) (see Table S1 for their characteristics). Genotyping data were obtained using multiple batches of SNP microarray platforms (see Table S3). We performed a sliding-window haplotype analysis using the GMMAT algorithm (Chen et al., 2016), taking three or four tagSNPs at a time. We then compared the mean age at onset associated with the risk haplotype.

Participants, Autopsy Brain Tissue, and Western Blot

Characteristics of autopsy cases, as well as brain tissue preparation protocol and western blotting procedures, were previously described in full detail (Martin et al., 2014).

Mouse Models

Two different mouse models were tested: (1) Tg(Syn1/J) mice and (2) their C57BL/6 control littermates (WT). The Tg(Syn1/J) line was a kind gift from the Antonarakis lab. It was generated on the FVB background using mouse BAC RPCI-23 400J16, as described previously (Voronov et al., 2009). This BAC also contains two additional complete genes, the mouse orthologs of C21orf59 and C21orf66 (Voronov et al., 2008). The expression of C21orf59 is enriched in the cerebellum (https://gtexportal.org/home/gene/C21ORF59). The C21orf59 protein controls primary cilia motility and polarization (Austin-Tse et al., 2013; Jaffe et al., 2016). The expression of C21orf66, also called PAXBP1, is enriched in the cerebellum too (https://gtexportal.org/home/gene/PAXBP1). PAXBP1 is an adaptor protein linking the transcription factors PAX3 and PAX7 to the histone methyllyation machinery in muscle precursor cells (Diao et al., 2012). A variant of PAXBP1 was recently linked to myopathic hypotonia (Alharby et al., 2017). Contributing effects from these genes cannot be excluded. For this study, the BAC was backcrossed on the C57BL/6 background for eight generations. Genotypes were assessed using PCR. All animals were bred in-house for the transgene. All procedures were performed following NIH guidelines in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) protocols. Tests were performed on 4–14 mice for each genotype group. All experiments were performed blind with respect to the genotype. Behavior experiments were performed on two age groups: 9 months (range 8–11 months) and 19 months (range 18–22 months). Because of technical considerations, in vivo electrophysiology recordings were performed on a larger age range (19–31 months), with an average age of 24 months at recording. All experiments were performed on age-matched mice for each genotype group. Separate tests were performed for males and females. Because no sex-specific differences were found, results from both genders were pooled.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical calculations were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.02. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. In most cases, when comparing two samples, two-tailed Student’s t test was performed. When variances were not comparable, Welch’s correction was applied. When the distribution could not be assumed to be Gaussian, we used a non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. When more samples were compared and Bartlett’s test showed that variances could be compared, we used one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-test or two-way ANOVA with the Bonferroni post-test. If variances could not be compared (p value in Bartlett’s test < 0.05), we used t tests. When more samples were compared and when the distribution could not be assumed to be Gaussian, we used the Kruskal-Wallis test. The chi-square test was used to compare distributions. Outliers, defined as values that were superior to (mean + 3 SDs) or inferior to (mean – 3 SDs) were excluded.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The authors declare that all the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplemental Information files or are available from the corresponding author on request. The accession number for the WGS data obtained from members of families with early onset PSEN1-G206A mutation carriers reported in this paper is National Institute on Aging Genetics of AD Data Storage Site (NIAGADS): NG00064.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, five figures, and three tables and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.05.011.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Agnieszka Staniszkiewski and Dr. Ottavio Arancio for their expert help with behavior studies. We would like to thank Eric Doran and Dr. Sarah B. Martin for their help with DS studies. We want to acknowledge Valerie Savage, Alejandro J. Mercado-Capote, Aditi Jayaraman, and Mayasuki Yanagiba for help with microdrive construction and spike sorting. We also want to thank Nicoletta Barolini for her expert help with illustrations and graphics. This work was supported by grants from Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (PD/BD/105915/2014 to A.M.M.), the Philippe Chatrier Foundation (C.M.), the Lejeune Foundation (1149 to G.D.P.), the Alzheimer’s Association (2015-NIRG-341570 to S.A.H.), ANR Investissements d’Avenir (ANR-10-IASH-06 to M.-C.P.), and the NIH (R01AG050425 to S.A.H.; RO1HD064993 to E.H. and F.A.S.; and RO1HD065160, P50AG16573, and U01AG051412 to I.T.L.). Data collection on Caribbean Hispanic families with at least one G206A founder mutation in the PSEN1 gene was supported by the BrightFocus Foundation (A20156335) and NIH/National Institute on Aging (NIA) (R56 AG051876-01A1 to J.H.L.). Data collection for the EFIGA project was supported by the Genetic Studies of Alzheimer’s Disease in Caribbean Hispanics (EFIGA), funded by the NIH/NIA (SR3TA015473, RF1AG015473, and R56AG051876). We acknowledge the EFIGA study participants and the EFIGA research and support staff for their contributions to this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

data on individuals with DS. I.Z.J.-V. played a key role in the recruitment of mutation carriers. S.E.A. contributed a key animal model. M.-C.P., J.H.L., S.A.H., and C.M. supervised the work. C.M., S.A.H., and J.H.L. wrote the manuscript, and all authors critically discussed the data and edited the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

G.D.P. is a full-time employee of Denali Therapeutics, Inc. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Received: December 18, 2017
Revised: March 1, 2018
Accepted: May 2, 2018
Published: June 5, 2018

REFERENCES


