Relative Permeability Experiments of Carbon Dioxide Displacing Brine and Their Implications for Carbon Sequestration

Jonathan S. Levine,^{*,†,§} David S. Goldberg,[‡] Klaus S. Lackner,[†] Juerg M. Matter,^{‡,||} Michael G. Supp,[§] and T. S. Ramakrishnan[§]

[†]Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, United States

[‡]Lamont Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York 10964, United States

[§]Schlumberger-Doll Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02143, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: To mitigate anthropogenically induced climate change and ocean acidification, net carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere must be reduced. One proposed option is underground CO₂ disposal. Large-scale injection of CO₂ into the Earth's crust requires an understanding of the multiphase flow properties of high-pressure CO₂ displacing brine. We present laboratory-scale core flooding experiments designed to measure CO₂ endpoint relative permeability for CO₂ displacing brine at in situ pressures, salinities, and temperatures. Endpoint drainage CO₂ relative permeabilities for liquid and supercritical CO₂ were found to be clustered around 0.4 for both the synthetic and natural media studied. These values indicate that relative to CO₂, water may not be strongly wetting the solid surface. Based on these results, CO₂ injectivity will be reduced and pressure-limited reservoirs will have reduced disposal capacity, though area-limited reservoirs may have increased capacity. Future reservoir-scale modeling efforts should incorporate sensitivity to relative permeability. Assuming applicability of the experimental results to other lithologies and that the majority of reservoirs are pressure limited, geologic carbon sequestration would require approximately twice the number of wells for the same injectivity.

INTRODUCTION

Carbon dioxide capture and sequestration has been proposed to alleviate carbon dioxide emissions from release into the atmosphere, typically by injection into the Earth's crust.^{1,2} Only a limited amount of CO₂ can be disposed of in hydrocarbon-bearing strata while increasing hydrocarbon production; therefore, large-scale geological CO₂ disposal will require injection into brine-filled permeable strata. To maximize disposal capacities and minimize the risk of buoyancy-driven leakage through overlying impermeable strata, it is expected that these reservoirs will need to be at high pressures, i.e., large depths, to ensure high CO₂ densities. Therefore, reservoir pressures are expected to be substantially larger than the CO₂ critical pressure of 7.39 MPa. Because of the geothermal gradient, most reservoirs at the necessary pressures will be at temperatures greater than the CO₂ critical temperature of 31.1 °C, though subseabed and subpermafrost reservoirs may be at lower temperatures at which CO₂ would be liquid. Of particular interest are sediments³ and basalt⁴ underlying the deep ocean (>2.7 km depth) where liquid CO₂ is denser than

overlying ocean and pore waters and will be trapped by buoyancy.⁵ Thus, the reported set of experiments focuses on injection at a pressure, 10 MPa, equivalent to 1 km depth, that ensures a dense CO₂ phase but is within the equipment limitations, with liquid CO₂ experiments at 20 °C and supercritical CO₂ experiments at 50 °C.

Endpoint Relative Permeability. Neglecting body forces, Darcy's law as modified for multiphase flow⁶ defines relative permeability and is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_j = -\frac{kk_r(S_j)}{\mu_j} \nabla P_j \quad (1)$$

where k is the intrinsic permeability of the porous medium, and for each phase j , $\mathbf{v}_j$ is the velocity, $k_r(S_j)$ is the relative

Received: April 9, 2013

Revised: November 18, 2013

Accepted: November 25, 2013

Published: November 25, 2013

permeability at saturation S_j , μ_j is the shear coefficient of viscosity, and P_j is the phase pressure. For two phase flow the phases are typically denoted by replacing j with w and n , i.e., the wetting and nonwetting phases, respectively. With two fluid phases saturating the porous medium $S_w = 1 - S_n$. $k_{rj}(S_j)$ accounts for the reduction in effective permeability for phase j relative to the intrinsic permeability due to the presence of an additional phase. k_{rj} varies between zero at residual saturation, S_j^o , defined as the saturation at which the fluid being displaced becomes disconnected and thus can no longer flow, and unity when no other phase is present, i.e. $k_{rj}(S_j^o) = 0$, and $k_{rj}(1) = 1$.

The maximum k_{rj} for an invading fluid is limited by an immobile residual amount of the displaced fluid (subscript d) which stops flowing at its residual saturation, S_d^o , and is defined as the endpoint relative permeability, $k_{rj}^o = k_{rj}(S_d^o)$. k_{rj}^o is determined by fluid occupancy at the pore and subpore scales due to capillarity.^{7,8} k_{rn}^o is typically in the 0.7–1 range because the residual wetting phase remains at least on parts of the pore walls and in the smallest pores, blocking the smallest fluid pathways that least contribute to flow. Conversely, k_{rw}^o is in the 0.1–0.3 range because the residual nonwetting phase blocks the largest pathways that most contribute to flow. I.e. k_{rj}^o is measuring the multiphase fluid network that results from pore-scale fluid/fluid-rock surface chemistry interactions. Therefore, unlike measurements of k_{rj} at lower intermediate saturations, k_{rj}^o measures a fundamental fluid/fluid-rock property, providing a qualitative measure of in situ wettability. Furthermore, because capillarity determines both k_{rj}^o and pore-scale fluid occupancy at lower S_j , the entire k_{rj} curve is similarly scaled at lower S_j .^{7,8} Numerical reservoir codes commonly model k_{rj} with parametrized curves such as the modified Corey curve, with $k_{rj}(S_j)$ directly proportional to k_{rj}^o .^{9–12} Ramakrishnan and Wasan¹³ provide a percolation theory justification of the relative permeability-saturation-capillary pressure relationship and the Brooks and Corey curve. Thus, our emphasis here is on the determination of the endpoint CO₂ relative permeability, k_{rc}^o , measured at residual saturation of the displaced aqueous phase, S_a^o .

Relative Permeability and Carbon Sequestration. In analyzing the geological suitability of a reservoir for carbon sequestration several factors are of critical importance: CO₂ injection rate, disposal capacity, and lateral and vertical containment, all of which are affected by relative permeability. The elevation of the injection pressure over the reservoir pressure is limited by the pressure at which fracturing occurs in either the target stratum or the overlying mechanical caprock, with a suitable safety factor. This fracturing pressure limit determines the maximum CO₂ injection rate, and for pressure-limited reservoirs the disposal capacity,¹⁴ in direct proportion to relative permeability through eq 1. Injectivity of CO₂ also affects the areal spreading and migration of the CO₂ plume,^{15,16} which may need to be laterally restricted due to, e.g., a finite-area overlying impermeable seal, the need to avoid leakage pathways, or area-limited pore space rights; see, e.g., ref 17. More generally, the dynamics and therefore the migration of CO₂ are dictated by the ratio of mobilities of the CO₂ and aqueous phases (denoted by subscripts c and a), $M \equiv \lambda_c/\lambda_a$, where the mobility of each phase is defined as $\lambda_j = k k_{rj}/\mu_j$ and incorporates all fluid-specific parameters in eq 1. As a consequence, in addition to topographic and geological data, reservoir modeling for CO₂ injection requires accurate multiphase flow experimental data, including the endpoint

CO₂ relative permeability used to model k_{rc} at lower saturations.

Previous experimental studies report maximum measured k_{rc} outside of the 0.7–1 range expected if CO₂ is strongly nonwetting relative to brine.^{18–28} In all cases CO₂ did not imbibe without an applied pressure, i.e. capillary pressure $P_C > 0$, indicating that CO₂ is not wetting or weakly wetting in the CO₂-water system prior to CO₂ contacting the surface. Many of these experiments do not correct for capillary end effects and buoyancy-induced fluid segregation, the lack of which may cause anomalously low values of k_{rc} .^{7,8,11,29,30} Critically, none of these experiments present data to show that P_C was sufficiently elevated to ensure S_a^o was achieved. Because capillarity determines pore occupancy based on the smallest pore throat diameter,^{7,13,31,32} injecting many pore volumes at a constant CO₂ volumetric flow rate, Q_v , at an insufficient P_C will not achieve S_a^o .^{7,8,11} Lower values of maximum k_{rc} may therefore be the result of reduced flow path availability due to any of these three mechanisms, and without data showing that S_a^o was achieved such relative permeability measurements cannot be considered measurements of endpoint CO₂ relative permeability. [Note that some of these articles explicitly state these points and provide data and discussions of these phenomena.] Further details are contained in the Supporting Information.

Pini and Benson³³ presented very similar experiments to those previously performed in this study.³⁴ k_{rc}^o , P_C , and S_a were measured following the method developed in Ramakrishnan and Capiello,³⁵ which stated that S_a could be determined using mass balance, nuclear magnetic resonance imaging (NMR), or X-ray computerized tomographic imaging (X-ray CT). Fordham et al.³⁶ and Pini et al.³⁷ demonstrated results with NMR and X-ray CT, respectively. Fordham et al.³⁶ specifically used NMR imaging with calibrated volume chambers to unambiguously determine saturation profiles using a heavy water-dodecane system and clearly showed the presence of capillary end effects. Pini and Benson³³ used the technique developed in ref 35, taking the derivative of a polynomial fit to the data rather than a derivative of the data or the function suggested by ref 35. Based on the derivative of the polynomial, they report $k_{rc}^o = 0.32$ and 0.92 for gaseous CO₂/brine and supercritical CO₂/brine, respectively, and conclude that CO₂ is strongly nonwetting relative to brine. However, measuring endpoint relative permeability using the technique of ref 35 requires identifying a linear slope of pressure vs flow-rate occurring at several times the entry capillary pressure. More complex data fitting requires carefully choosing a functional form that asymptotes to a straight line. Using only the linear portion of these data (see Figure 2a), we find that $k_{rc}^o = 0.28$ and 0.57 for the gaseous CO₂ and supercritical CO₂ experiments, respectively, indicating that water may not be strongly wetting in a CO₂-water system. Furthermore, their data show higher k_{rc}^o values for supercritical CO₂ than gaseous CO₂, different from what we observe.

In the carbon sequestration literature two common assumptions are followed: (1) the relative permeabilities of the CO₂-H₂O system are that of a CO₂-nonwetting/water-wetting system, and (2) reactions with minerals are driven by the species dissolved in the aqueous phase. The second assumption relies on the ability of the aqueous phase to be in full contact with the grain surface, implying a strongly water-wet system. Previous k_{rc} studies reported relatively low maximum k_{rc} values indicative of a weakly water wetting system. However, with one exception, k_{rc}^o was not directly

High Pressure Core Flooding Reactor

Figure 1. The core flooding system; 1 and 20 MPa transducers are colocated before and after the core. Abbreviations: DAQ = Data Analysis Computer; HBM = HBM pressure signal measurement system.

identified in these studies as per the discussion above. Therefore, we conducted a series of flow-through experiments specifically designed to measure k_{rc}^o in the CO₂-water system. Measurement of k_{rc}^o provides both a qualitative measure of wettability, i.e. pore-scale surface chemistry, as well as a direct measure of the resultant chemistry-induced multiphase fluid conductivity. Due to capillarity-controlled pore-scale fluid occupancy, k_{rc} at all saturations will scale with k_{rc}^o . If CO₂ is strongly nonwetting relative to brine, drainage endpoint CO₂ relative permeability would be expected to be in the range of 0.7–1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A schematic of the experimental system developed in ref 34 is shown in Figure 1. Before each experiment, air was evacuated from the system using a two-stage vacuum pump, and deaerated brine was used to saturate the rock. The brine was constituted by mixing 18.2MΩ cm ultrapure water (Millipore Synergy UV) with Fisher Scientific ACS grade NaCl and LiCl salts at a 1000:1 ratio by mass, with LiCl included as a tracer for salt. A two-cylinder piston pump (Isco) for liquid and supercritical CO₂ and a dual reciprocating near pulseless pump (Quizix) for gas phase injection were used to inject CO₂ to displace brine. CO₂ was 99.8% dry (American Gas Products). The core was held vertically in a core holder (Temco) with CO₂ typically displacing brine from the top, though experiments were performed in both directions. Radial

stress was applied on a rubber sleeve by pumping Fluorinert (3M) into the surrounding chamber at a controlled pressure, typically 13.7 MPa. Pressure transducers (HBM, 18-bit processing accuracy, 1 MPa: 0.1% full-scale accuracy, 20 MPa: 0.2% full-scale accuracy, simultaneously calibrated with a primary standard dead weight tester with 5 ppm accuracy) were colocated at either end of the core holder to measure the pressure drop across the core for permeability measurements. The core holder and pressure transducers were contained in a temperature-controlled chamber (Watlow) to maintain a constant temperature during each experiment. Four impedance terminals were connected to two current plates that also serve as a fluid distributor and two voltage terminals embedded within the rubber boot. A rough measure of average brine saturation between the voltage terminals could be obtained from resistance data. A pair of back pressure regulators (Tescom) were used to control pressure at the core exit, which in most of the experiments was 10 MPa. The effluent brine and CO₂ were collected in pressurized sampling containers (Swagelok) to provide the average brine saturation in the core by mass balance, which is used to calculate S_a^o (see eq 3 below). All equipment was monitored and controlled by a data acquisition system with a custom-written National Instruments LabView program. CO₂ physical data used in experimental calculations are from NIST,³⁸ while brine physical data are from ref 39.

Table 1. Endpoint CO₂ Relative Permeability and Average Saturation: Experimental Conditions and Results

Core Material	Core Properties								Conditions						Results		
	Length cm	Diameter cm	Porosity %	Brine Perm mD	Std Dev mD	N ₂ Perm mD	Std Dev mD	CO ₂ Perm mD	Brine	Pressure MPa	Temp °C	CO ₂ Properties			CO ₂ Endpoint Relative Permeability	Corrected CO ₂ Endpoint Relative Perm	Endpoint CO ₂ Saturation
												Phase	Viscosity μPa·s	Density kg/m ³			
Berea sandstone																	
Berea 100	10.17	3.8	15.7	116	2.4	190.2	2.0	X	1%	10	20	Liquid	81.5	856	0.44	0.44	0.95
Berea 500	10.28	3.79	24	665	8.1	1090	49	X	5%	10	20	Liquid	81.5	856	0.38	0.38	0.55
Berea 500	10.28	3.8	24	688	4.2	1085	12	X	5%	10	20	Liquid	81.5	856	0.19	0.19	0.60
Berea 500	10.28	3.8	24	688	4.2	1085	12	630	N/A	10	50	SupCrit	28.4	384	X	X	X
Artificial P3C alumina ceramic																	
P3C	10.18	3.9	42.5	12	0.1	20.4	0.4	X	5%	10	20	Liquid	81–84	856–868	0.33	0.34	0.67
P3C	20.75	3.88	42.5	12.2	0.03	20.4	0.4	X	DI	10	20	Liquid	81–86	856–877	0.34	0.36	0.66
P3C	10.17	3.89	42.5	12.8	4.0	20.4	0.4	12.9	DI	10	20	Liquid	81–85	856–872	0.37	0.39	0.63
P3C	10.35	3.78	42.5	12.3	0.1	20.4	0.4	X	DI	10	50	SupCrit	28–33	384–452	0.30	0.35	0.76
P3C	20.75	3.88	42.5	12.1	0.05	20.4	0.4	X	DI	10	50	SupCrit	28–32	384–433	0.37	0.40	0.60
Experiments at lower pressure																	
P3C	20.75	3.89	42.5	11.9	0.3	20.4	0.4	9.8	DI	1.1	20	Gas	14.7–14.9	21–36	0.43	0.51	N/A
P3C	20.75	3.89	42.5	11.9	0.3	20.4	0.4	X	DI	2	20	Gas	15–15.1	41–54	0.55	0.62	N/A
P3C	20.75	3.89	42.5	11.9	0.3	20.4	0.4	X	DI	3.5	20	Gas	15.5–15.9	81–101	0.36	0.43	N/A
P3C	20.75	3.89	42.5	11.9	0.3	20.4	0.4	X	DI	6.5	20	Liquid	70–76	797–830	0.51	0.55	N/A

Cores included Berea sandstone, chosen for its relative homogeneity, and synthetic P3C alumina ceramic (CoorsTek), which is inert to brine, CO₂, and carbonic acid. Cores were prepared to be approximately 4" in length and 1.5" in diameter, with the exact dimensions used in calculations. Later experiments were conducted with 8" length P3C cores to minimize capillary end effects. All cores were vacuum-dried overnight at 70 °C prior to each experiment. Helium intrusion porosity measurements were made on cores that were 1.5" long and 1.5" diameter and cored from rock adjacent to the cores used in the experiment. Mercury intrusion porosimetry was previously performed on cores from similar samples and the resulting pore size distribution data used to obtain S_a^o (see below).

Brine permeability was measured at the beginning of each experiment at both atmospheric and experimental pressures by flowing brine at a series of increasing flow rates followed by an identical series of decreasing steps in flow rate at evenly spaced increments, typically 0–20 mL/min in 4 mL/min increments. Permeability was calculated from a least-squares linear fit to the slope of volumetric flow rate vs pressure drop across the core, $\Delta P_{io} \equiv P_i - P_o$, where subscripts i and o denote conditions at the core inlet and outlet, respectively. At least three independent measurements of permeability were made, and their average was reported for each experiment, with similar values at atmospheric and experimental pressures. CO₂ permeability was measured on three cores following the same procedures as those used to measure brine permeability. Brine and CO₂ permeability agreed within variations between independent measurements (see Table 1) given the limits of the equipment and the small ΔP_{io} during CO₂ permeability measurements.

The endpoint CO₂ relative permeability experiments presented here use the technique proposed by ramakrishnan and Cappiello.³⁵ The advantage of this method is that capillary end effects are automatically removed by considering differential increases in flow rate with respect to ΔP_{io} or equivalently injection pressure. Although the entire k_{rc} curve may be obtained with this method, our emphasis here is the determination of k_{rc}^o . This amounts to obtaining the increase in ΔP_{io} due to an imposed increase in steady-state volumetric flow rate of CO₂ (Q_c), at inlet saturations close to S_a^o . Pressure in both phases just outside the outlet of the core must be equal to the wetting phase pressure, here the aqueous phase, in the pores in the outlet face of the core. At steady state $Q_a = 0$ and so, from eq 1, P_a must be constant throughout the core, and thus $P_a = P_o$, the nominal pressure, throughout the core. At the

core inlet, $P_{Ci} = P_i - P_a = P_i - P_o = \Delta P_{io}$. The solution for $k_{rc} = \hat{k}_{rc}(P_{Ci})$ and $S_a = \hat{S}_a(P_{Ci})$ as functions (denoted by ^) of inlet capillary pressure, with $P_{Ci} = \Delta P_{io}$ are

$$\hat{k}_{rc}(P_{Ci}) = \frac{L \mu_c}{A k} \frac{dQ_c}{d\Delta P_{io}} \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{S}_a(P_{Ci}) = \frac{L \mu_c}{A k k_{rc}(P_{Ci})} \frac{d(Q_c \bar{S}_a)}{d\Delta P_{io}} \quad (3)$$

where L is core length, A is core area, and $\bar{S}_a$ is the average brine saturation, determined here by effluent mass balance at each steady-state injection rate. Figure 2 shows pressure drop vs flow rate for each experiment, the local slope of which is used to determine k_{rc}^o .

Although mass flux is constant at steady state, volumetric flux increases as pressure decreases from the inlet to the outlet end of the porous medium. Therefore, k_{rc} obtained by the method of ref 35 must be corrected for this volumetric compressibility effect.⁴⁰ For an injected steady-state mass flow rate of CO₂, $\dot{m}_i$, at each P_i

$$\hat{k}_{rc}(P_{Ci}) = \frac{L \mu_c(P_i)}{A k \rho_c(P_i)} \frac{d\dot{m}_i}{d\Delta P_{io}} \quad (4)$$

where $\rho_c(P_i)$ is the density at P_i . While the correction is small for liquid CO₂, it is nontrivial for gaseous and supercritical CO₂, see Table 1 below.

Due to capillarity, achieving flow across a core requires a minimum P_b called the breakthrough pressure P_b , to overcome the P_c for the largest set of pore throat radii that allows for a single flow path across the core. From the Young–Laplace equation, P_c is inversely proportional to pore throat radius, and so increases in P_c relative to P_b will cause the nonwetting CO₂ to invade pores connected by correspondingly decreasing pore throat radii. Therefore, S_a^o was achieved by raising ΔP_{io} above the independently measured P_b ³⁵ by at minimum the ratio of the largest to smallest pore throats as measured by Hg intrusion porosimetry (Figures S1 and S2). Establishment of a constant value of $dQ_c/d\Delta P_{io}$, i.e. a linear slope, at high flow rates confirms that capillarity-determined flow paths, and equivalently pore occupancy, are unchanged and thus that S_a^o was reached at the inlet.

With γ as the interfacial tension between the two fluids, the capillary number $N_C = v\mu_c/\gamma$ was about 10^{−8} for these experiments, far below the residual wetting phase mobilization

Figure 2. Measured pressure difference as a function of flow rate for (a) Berea sandstone experiments including comparable data from ref 33, (b) P3C experiments at 10 MPa, and (c) P3C experiments at lower pressures indicated in the figure legend. The local slope is used to compute endpoint CO_2 relative permeability. See Table 1 for detailed results; experiments correspond to the labeled k_{rc}^0 values shown in the figures.

threshold of about 10^{-3} .⁴¹ Capillarity dominates during core scale CO_2 /brine experiments, stabilizing viscous instabilities.⁴² Nonetheless, for the sake of robustness initial experiments injected CO_2 into the core from below so that buoyancy and viscous instabilities were reinforced, while later experiments

injected CO_2 from above and confirm that the results were largely unchanged.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experimental conditions and results are reported in Table 1, ordered first by core material, then by pressure and temperature and hence CO₂ state. Figure 2 shows the determination of k_{rc}^o from the linear slope of ΔP_{io} vs Q_c at the highest flow rates. Q_c , ΔP_{io} , and $\bar{S}_c$ data are available in the Supporting Information. k_{rc}^o was found to have similar intermediate values at all conditions, ranging from 0.19 to 0.62, but with the majority of results clustered between 0.34 and 0.44. The characteristic convex shape of the plot of ΔP_{io} vs Q_c as well as $P_c > 0$ are indicative of a nonwetting phase intrusion, confirming that CO₂ is the nonwetting phase in comparison to water. Endpoint CO₂ saturation, defined as $1 - S_w^o$, was determined from $\bar{S}_a$ measured by mass balance and processed using eq 3 and varied from 0.55 to 0.95 during dense-phase experiments. Impedance-derived saturation measurements (Figures S3 and S4) on the first several cores confirmed the low brine saturations measured from mass balance.

To confirm that the measurements of k_{rc}^o were measuring the desired multiphase flow effects and not an experimental artifact, a number of experimental variations were performed, each designed to confirm that a particular potential source of fundamental experimental design error was not affecting the results. While the earliest experiments were run with a 5% NaCl brine to simulate in situ salinities, later experiments were run with no or low salt to ensure that salt precipitation could not have reduced the intrinsic rock permeability. Experiments were run at gaseous, liquid, and supercritical CO₂ conditions to probe the effect of CO₂ state and to simulate different reservoir conditions. Similarly, to ensure an appropriate baseline permeability for the relative permeability experiments, measurements were made for gaseous, liquid, and supercritical CO₂ permeability on a subset of cores and confirmed that CO₂ permeabilities are in agreement with liquid water permeability (compare brine, CO₂, and N₂ permeabilities in Table 1). To confirm that the relative permeability method handled capillary end effects correctly, the final six P3C experiments used longer 8" cores with relatively small capillary end effects, with the results substantially the same.

Prior to these experiments, it was anticipated that CO₂ would behave as a strongly nonwetting fluid and that CO₂ drainage endpoint relative permeabilities would therefore be in the range of 0.7–1. Instead, the experiments yielded intermediate values of k_{rc}^o clustered around 0.35–0.4. The median was 0.39 and the mean was 0.41 with a standard deviation of 0.11, while dense-phase experiments had median and mean k_{rc}^o of 0.38 with a standard deviation of 0.09. High endpoint CO₂ saturations (Berea: 0.55, 0.6, 0.95, P3C: 0.6–0.76) were consistent with typical values for S_a^o from the theoretical literature and were higher than most previously reported saturations from the CO₂ literature, e.g., refs 18–21 with the lowest measurement in agreement with more recent measurements of S_a^o ³³ and S_a close to S_a^o .^{26,27} While the results might appear to be independent of system conditions, with perhaps the exception of the gas phase results, such a conclusion would require a more systematic set of experiments to probe sensitivity to each variable.

H₂O is slightly soluble in dense-phase CO₂, and, therefore, residual water will evaporate into the flowing CO₂, slowly increasing k_{rc} .¹⁷ Our results during the extended CO₂ flowing period did not indicate a gradual increase in k_{rc} , probably because of the relatively short time-scale of each experiment. Berg et al.²⁸ found negligible differences in $\bar{S}_a$ between

unsaturated and saturated drainage experiments due to the limited solubility of water into CO₂. However, they also report subcore scale differences in saturation profiles, though with too many experimental design differences to be able to draw any conclusions with respect to this work.

Water evaporation may also cause salt precipitation. Since salt occupies a fraction of the volume occupied by water (at most 2% in our experiments), and its precipitation is likely to occur on the trailing edge of the displacement front, we do not expect halite formation to be the cause of the reduction in endpoint permeability. This is further confirmed by the experiments conducted with deionized water in synthetic media with little difference in the results between 5% salt and deionized water. Reduced intrinsic permeability due to chemical rearrangement of the pore network cannot explain the results as both the synthetic alumina and sandstone cores studied are relatively inert and further reinforced by results that are similar in both media.

Our experimental measurements suggest endpoint CO₂ relative permeabilities that are smaller by about a factor of 2 than those expected for a strongly water-wetting system and are possibly reflective of a weakly water-wetting system, especially when dense CO₂ is the injected fluid. When the same experiment was conducted with N₂, an endpoint relative permeability closer to unity was obtained. Further experiments are necessary to confirm or refute the wettability hypothesis, which should include more complex lithologies than the relatively homogeneous and inert synthetic P3C alumina and Berea sandstone cores studied here.

The chemistry of CO₂, brine, and mineral surfaces has proven to be complex. Typically low contact angles, in the 20–40° range,^{43–45} conflict with reports of higher contact angles in the 50–70° range at higher pressures and ionic strengths.⁴⁶ Flow-through experiments in glass micromodels suggest that CO₂–H₂O systems may be weakly water wetting or intermediate wetting at pressures and temperatures similar to those in this study.^{47,48} Similarly, molecular simulations show aqueous phase CO₂ reactions driving surface reactivity,⁴⁹ i.e. CO₂ does not simply behave as an inert fluid and alters the system wettability. The results here point to the continuing need for further pore- and molecular-scale experimental data on transport and surface chemistry processes, with a particular need to understand the dynamics of CO₂ and thin brine films, e.g., ref 50.

Implications for Geologic Carbon Sequestration. For a fixed injection pressure, halving the endpoint CO₂ relative permeability from the expected range of 0.7–1 will cause a proportional decrease in CO₂ injectivity, discussed above and also shown by displacement models.¹⁷ CO₂ reservoirs limited by the fracturing pressure of the overlying caprock, e.g., refs 14 and 51, will have decreased disposal capacity. By contrast, because plume spreading occurs with respect to a characteristic migration advective velocity which is directly proportional to λ_{cr} ,¹⁶ CO₂ reservoirs limited by areal extent will have increased disposal capacity. A reduction in CO₂ mobility may also stabilize plume fronts, as similar analyses have shown for water flooding in oil reservoirs.⁷ A variety of indirect effects, including plume evolution and residual capillary trapping, may also be linked to mobility.⁵²

Future petrophysical analyses for potential CO₂ sequestration sites should include relative permeability measurements on reservoir core samples rather than relying on extrapolations based on prior studies. Based on these results, CO₂ injectivity

will be reduced and pressure-limited reservoirs will have reduced disposal capacity, though area-limited reservoirs may have increased capacity. Assuming the majority of reservoirs are pressure limited, and if the experimental results reported here are found to apply to other lithologies as well, geologic carbon sequestration at scale will require about twice the number of disposal sites and/or wells.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

Tabulated numerical results for each experiment (Q_c , ΔP_{io} , $\bar{S}_a$), mercury intrusion porosimetry data, and resistivity-derived local saturation data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jonathan.levine@netl.doe.gov. Phone: 412-386-7534. Fax: 412-386-4604.

Present Addresses

[§]National Energy Technology Laboratory, Pittsburgh, PA 15236.

^{||}Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, UK.

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): Schlumberger has a carbon service business.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.L. acknowledges the entire CO₂ research group at Schlumberger-Doll Research for help with experiments as well as helpful discussions. This work was supported by a NYSERDA grant (NYSERDA-10113), and J.L. acknowledges support from the U.S. National Science Foundation, through a Fellowship in the IGERT Joint Program in Applied Mathematics and Earth and Environmental Science at Columbia University. The manuscript was greatly improved thanks to the comments and feedback received from the reviewers.

■ NOMENCLATURE

k	permeability
$\hat{k}_{rj}(S_j)$	relative permeability of phase j , which is a function of the saturation of phase j
k_{rj}^e	endpoint relative permeability of phase j
$\dot{m}_j$	mass flow rate of phase j
v_j	velocity of phase j
A	area
L	length
N_C	capillary number
ΔP_{io}	pressure difference between the inlet and outlet of the core
P_b	breakthrough pressure
P_C	capillary pressure
P_j	pressure, phase j or location: i = inlet, o = outlet
Q_j	volumetric flow rate of phase j
$\bar{S}_j$	average saturation of phase j
S_j	saturation of phase j
S_j^o	residual saturation of phase j
γ	interfacial tension
λ_j	mobility of phase j ; $\lambda_j = k_{rj}/\mu_j$
μ_j	shear coefficient of viscosity of phase j
ρ_j	density of phase j

Subscripts

a	aqueous phase
c	CO ₂ phase
C	capillary, either capillary pressure or capillary number
d	phase being displaced
i	core inlet
j	phase j
n	nonwetting phase
o	core outlet
w	wetting phase

■ REFERENCES

- (1) *Fuels decarbonization and carbon sequestration: report of a workshop*; Socolow, R., Ed.; 1997.
- (2) *IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage*; Metz, B., Davidson, O., de Coninck, H., Loos, M., Meyer, L., Eds.; 2005.
- (3) Levine, J. S.; Matter, J. M.; Goldberg, D. S.; Cook, A.; Lackner, K. S. Gravitational trapping of carbon dioxide in deep sea sediments: Permeability, buoyancy, and geomechanical analysis. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2007**, *34*.
- (4) Goldberg, D. S.; Takahashi, T.; Slagle, A. L. Carbon dioxide sequestration in deep-sea basalt. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2008**, *105*, 9920.
- (5) Koide, H.; Shindo, Y.; Tazaki, Y.; Iijima, M.; Ito, K.; Kimura, N.; Omata, K. Deep sub-seabed disposal of CO₂—The most protective storage. *Energy Convers. Manage.* **1997**, *38*, 253–258.
- (6) Leverett, M. C. Flow of oil-water mixtures through unconsolidated sands. *Trans. AIME* **1939**, *132*, 149–171.
- (7) Willhite, G. P. *Waterflooding*; Society of Petroleum Engineers: Richardson, TX, 1986.
- (8) Anderson, W. Wettability literature survey part 5: The effects of wettability on relative permeability. *J. Pet. Technol.* **1987**, *39*, 1453–1468.
- (9) Corey, A. T. The interrelation between gas and oil relative permeabilities. *Prod. Mon.* **1954**, *19*, 38–41.
- (10) Land, C. Calculation of imbibition relative permeability for two- and three-phase flow from rock properties. *SPE J.* **1968**, *8*, 149–156.
- (11) Honarpour, M.; Koederitz, L.; Harvey, A. *Relative permeability of petroleum reservoirs*; CRC Press Inc.: Boca Raton, FL, 1986.
- (12) Lake, L. W. *Enhanced Oil Recovery*; Prentice Hall: 1989.
- (13) Ramakrishnan, T. S.; Wasan, D. T. Effect of capillary number on the relative permeability function for two-phase flow in porous media. *Powder Technol.* **1986**, *48*, 99–124.
- (14) Lucier, A.; Zoback, M.; Gupta, N.; Ramakrishnan, T. S. Geomechanical aspects of CO₂ sequestration in a deep saline reservoir in the Ohio River Valley region. *Environ. Geosci.* **2006**, *13*, 85.
- (15) Hesse, M. A.; Orr, F. M.; Tchalepi, H. A. Gravity currents with residual trapping. *J. Fluid Mech.* **2008**, *611*, 35–60.
- (16) de Loubens, R.; Ramakrishnan, T. S. Analysis and computation of gravity induced migration in porous media. *J. Fluid Mech.* **2011**, *675*, 60–86.
- (17) Kopp, A.; Class, H.; Helmig, R. Investigations on CO₂ storage capacity in saline aquifers-Part 2: Estimation of storage capacity coefficients. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2009**, *3*, 277–287.
- (18) Bachu, S.; Bennion, B. Effects of in situ conditions on relative permeability characteristics of CO₂-brine systems. *Environ. Geol.* **2008**, *54*, 1707–1722.
- (19) Bennion, B.; Bachu, S. Drainage and imbibition CO₂/brine relative permeability curves at reservoir conditions for carbonate formations, SPE 134028. *SPE Annual technical conference and exhibition, Florence, Italy, Society of Petroleum Engineers*, 2010.
- (20) Bachu, S. Drainage and imbibition CO₂/brine relative permeability curves at in situ conditions for sandstone formations in western Canada. *Energy Procedia* **2013**.
- (21) Perrin, J. C.; Benson, S. An experimental study on the influence of sub-core scale heterogeneities on CO₂ distribution in reservoir rocks. *Transp. Porous Media* **2010**, *82*, 93–109.

- (22) Krevor, S.; Pini, R.; Zuo, L.; Benson, S. M. Relative permeability and trapping of CO₂ and water in sandstone rocks at reservoir conditions. *Water Resour. Res.* **2012**, *48*.
- (23) Zuo, L.; Krevor, S.; Falt, R. W.; Benson, S. M. An experimental study of CO₂ exsolution and relative permeability measurements during CO₂ saturated water depressurization. *Transp. Porous Media* **2012**, *91*, 459–478.
- (24) Shi, J.-Q.; Xue, Z.; Durucan, S. Supercritical CO₂ core flooding and imbibition in Tako sandstone- Influence of sub-core scale heterogeneity. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2011**, *5*, 75–87.
- (25) Suekane, T.; Nobuso, T.; Hirai, S.; Kiyota, M. Geological storage of carbon dioxide by residual gas and solubility trapping. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2008**, *2*, 58–64.
- (26) Chang, C.; Zhou, Q.; Xia, L.; Li, X.; Yu, Q. Dynamic displacement and non-equilibrium dissolution of supercritical CO₂ in low-permeability sandstone: An experimental study. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2013**, *14*, 1–14.
- (27) Akbarabadi, M.; Piri, M. Relative permeability hysteresis and capillary trapping characteristics of supercritical CO₂/brine systems: an experimental study at reservoir conditions. *Adv. Water Resour.* **2012**.
- (28) Berg, S.; Oedai, S.; Ott, H. Displacement and mass transfer between saturated and unsaturated CO₂-brine systems in sandstone. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2013**, *12*, 478–492.
- (29) Rappaport, L. A.; Leas, W. J. Properties of linear waterfloods. *Trans. AIME* **1953**, *198*, 139.
- (30) Huang, D. D.; Honarpour, M. M. Capillary end effects in coreflood calculations. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* **1998**, *19*, 103–117.
- (31) Dullien, F. A. L.; Dhawan, G. K. Bivariate pore-size distributions of some sandstones. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **1975**, *52*, 129–135.
- (32) Wilkinson, D. Percolation effects in immiscible displacement. *Phys. Rev. A* **1986**, *34*, 1380–1391.
- (33) Pini, R.; Benson, S. M. Simultaneous determination of capillary pressure and relative permeability curves from core-flooding experiments with various fluid pairs. *Water Resour. Res.* **2013**, *10.1002/wrcr.20274*.
- (34) Levine, J. S. Relative permeability experiments of carbon dioxide displacing water & their implications for carbon sequestration. Ph.D. Thesis, Columbia University, 2011.
- (35) Ramakrishnan, T. S.; Cappiello, A. A new technique to measure static and dynamic properties of a partially saturated porous medium. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **1991**, *46*, 1157–1163.
- (36) Fordham, E. J.; Hall, L. D.; Ramakrishnan, T. S.; Sharpe, M. R.; Hall, C. Saturation gradients in drainage of porous media: NMR imaging measurements. *AIChE J.* **1993**, *39*, 1431–1443.
- (37) Pini, R.; Krevor, S.; Benson, S. M. Capillary pressure and heterogeneity for the CO₂/water system in sandstone rocks at reservoir conditions. *Adv. Water Resour.* **2012**, *38*, 48–59.
- (38) Lemmon, E. W.; McLinden, M. O.; Friend, D. G. Thermophysical Properties of Fluid Systems. *NIST Chemistry WebBook* **2010**, NIST Standard Reference Database.
- (39) Lide, D. R. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics* (Internet version 2010); CRC: Boca Raton, FL, 2010.
- (40) Ramakrishnan, T. S.; Chugunov, N. Compressibility corrections to relative permeability from the non-uniform steady-state method. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **2013**, *73*–77.
- (41) Dombrowski, H. S.; Brownell, L. E. Residual equilibrium saturation of porous media. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1954**, *46*, 1207–1219.
- (42) Berg, S.; Ott, H. Stability of CO₂-brine immiscible displacement. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2012**, *11*, 188–203.
- (43) Espinoza, D. N.; Santamarina, J. C. Water-CO₂-mineral systems: Interfacial tension, contact angle, and diffusion—Implications to CO₂ geological storage. *Water Resour. Res.* **2010**, *46*, W07537.
- (44) Wang, S.; Edwards, I. M.; Clarens, A. F. Wettability phenomena at the CO₂-brine-mineral interface: Implications for geologic carbon sequestration. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *47*, 234–241.
- (45) Broseta, D.; Tonnet, N.; Shah, V. Are rocks still water-wet in the presence of dense CO₂ or H₂S? *Geofluids* **2012**, *12*, 280–294.
- (46) Jung, J.-W.; Wan, J. Supercritical CO₂ and ionic strength effects on wettability of silica surfaces: Equilibrium contact angle measurements. *Energy Fuels* **2012**, *26*, 6053–6059.
- (47) Chalbaud, C.; Lombard, J. M.; Martin, F.; Robin, M.; Bertin, H.; Egermann, P. Two phase flow properties of brine-CO₂ systems in a carbonate core: Influence of wettability on Pc and kr. *SPE/EAGE Reservoir Characterization and Simulation Conference* **2007**.
- (48) Kim, Y.; Wan, J.; Kneafsey, T. J.; Tokunaga, T. K. Dewetting of silica surfaces upon reactions with supercritical CO₂ and brine: pore-scale studies in micromodels. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 4228–4235.
- (49) Iglaier, S.; Mathew, M.; Bresme, F. Molecular dynamics computations of brine-CO₂ interfacial tensions and brine-CO₂-quartz contact angles and their effects on structural and residual trapping mechanisms in carbon geo-sequestration. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2012**.
- (50) Kim, T. W.; Tokunaga, T. K.; Bargar, J. R.; Latimer, M. J.; Webb, S. M. Brine film thicknesses on mica surfaces under geologic CO₂ sequestration conditions and controlled capillary pressures. *Water Resour. Res.* **2013**.
- (51) Stauffer, P. H.; Viswanathan, H. S.; Pawar, R. J.; Guthrie, G. D. A system model for geologic sequestration of carbon dioxide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *43*, 565–570.
- (52) Altundas, B.; Ramakrishnan, T. S.; Chugunov, N.; de Loubens, R. Retardation of CO₂ migration due to capillary pressure hysteresis: A new CO₂ trapping mechanism. *Soc. Pet. Eng. J.* **2011**, *16*, 784–794.