BACKGROUND
In a prior study of patients with diabetes, diastolic function was similarly impaired in masked hypertension (MHT) and sustained hypertension (SHT). We evaluated whether MHT is associated with impaired diastolic function compared with SHT and sustained normotension (NT) in the general population.

METHODS
From February 2005 to December 2010, 798 participants without a history of cardiovascular disease or treated hypertension, were enrolled in the Masked Hypertension Study. Participants underwent clinic blood pressure (CBP) and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure (ABP) measurements. A 2-dimensional Doppler echocardiogram was performed to evaluate diastolic function, cardiac structure, volume, and systolic function. The 9 CBPs obtained across 3 clinic visits and awake ABP measurements were averaged. Clinic hypertension was defined as systolic/diastolic blood pressure (SBP/DBP) ≥ 140/90 mmHg. Ambulatory hypertension was defined as awake SBP/DBP ≥ 135/85 mm Hg. MHT was defined as having ambulatory but not clinic hypertension. White-coat hypertensives (n = 8) were excluded from the analysis.

RESULTS
Of the 790 participants, 116 (14.7%) participants had MHT, 37 (4.7%) participants had SHT, and 637 (80.6%) participants had NT. After age, sex, race/ethnicity, and body mass index adjustment, compared with NT, E’-velocities were significantly lower in MHT (P < 0.01) and SHT (P < 0.05), and E/E’ ratios were significantly higher in MHT (P < 0.05) and SHT (P < 0.05). These associations were independent of left ventricular mass. Diastolic function parameters did not significantly differ between MHT and SHT.

CONCLUSIONS
Diastolic function was impaired in MHT compared with NT independent of changes in left ventricular mass.
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The term masked hypertension (MHT) is used to describe individuals with clinic blood pressure (CBP) in the normal range (<140/90 mm Hg) but elevated awake ambulatory blood pressure (ABP; ≥135/85 mm Hg). The prevalence of MHT is estimated to be between 8% and 20% in the general adult population. Individuals with MHT have been shown to have a higher risk of cardiovascular events than individuals with sustained normotension (NT), defined as having normal CBP and normal ABP (<135/85 mm Hg) and similar cardiovascular risk compared with individuals with sustained hypertension (SHT), defined as having clinic hypertension (HT; ≥140/90 mm Hg) and elevated ABP (≥135/85 mm Hg). Previous cross-sectional studies have shown that participants with MHT have higher levels of left ventricular mass (LVM) and a higher likelihood of concentric remodeling, compared with individuals with NT.

Impaired diastolic function is a common finding in HT. It may occur in the absence of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) in HT and may even occur before the development of HT and LVH. In a sample of 71 clinic outpatients with diabetes, Marchesi et al. showed that LVM increased progressively from NT to MHT to SHT, whereas diastolic function was found to be similarly impaired in MHT and SHT compared with NT. Because individuals with MHT may be at increased risk of developing SHT, we hypothesized that an alteration of diastolic function may be present in MHT compared with NT in a population with a wider range of cardiovascular disease risk. We also hypothesized that diastolic function may be similarly impaired in MHT compared with SHT. The primary aim of this study was to evaluate left ventricular (LV) diastolic function as well as cardiac structures, volumes, and systolic function in MHT compared with NT.
and SHT among participants from the Masked Hypertension Study, an ongoing, worksite-based study.

METHODS

Study population

The Masked Hypertension Study, an ongoing, worksite-based study of the prevalence, predictors, and prognosis of MHT, is comprised of adult employees who work for > 20 hours/week, including at least 2 consecutive days. Participants were recruited from 2 large universities with medical schools (Stony Brook University and Columbia University) and affiliated teaching hospitals, as well as a private hedge fund management organization. The current analysis includes 798 participants who were enrolled between February 2005 and December 2010. Exclusion criteria included any of the following: a screening clinic systolic blood pressure (SBP) > 160 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) > 105 mm Hg, evidence of secondary hypertension other than a history of pregnancy-induced hypertension, taking antihypertensive medications or other medications that are known to affect blood pressure (e.g., steroids, tricyclic antidepressants), overt cardiovascular disease, history of chronic renal disease, liver disease, renal disease, thyroid disease, pregnant, or reported active substance abuse or a severe debilitating psychiatric disorder. The blood pressure eligibility criterion for this study was chosen to obtain a sample with a wide distribution of untreated blood pressures. For safety reasons, we referred participants immediately to their physicians for further management if their screening CBP was > 160/105 mm Hg. Information about demographics (age, sex, race, ethnicity), height, weight, cardiovascular risk factors, and family history of risk factors were ascertained from all participants. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of Columbia University and Stony Brook University.

Blood pressure assessments

Participants attended 5 visits over a 4-week period. During the first 3 visits (visit 1–3), which usually occurred within a 3-week period, the participant was escorted into an examination room and asked to rest comfortably in the seated position, with legs uncrossed, for at least 5 minutes. A research nurse/technician then obtained 3 consecutive CBP readings, separated by at least 1 minute, using a mercury sphygmomanometer (W.A. Baum, Copiague, NY) and stethoscope. Thus, a total of 9 CBP readings were available for each participant. On visit 3, the participant was fitted with an appropriate-sized arm cuff for a Spacelabs ambulatory blood pressure monitor (Model 90207; Redmond, WA). ABP measurements were taken at 28-minute intervals throughout the subsequent 24-hour monitoring period. The recording was analyzed to obtain average awake SBP and DBP levels based on sleep/wake times defined by data obtained from an actigraphy monitor worn on the wrist (ActiWatch; Phillips Respironics, Murrayville, PA), supplemented by diary reports of the times participants woke up and went to sleep. The next day (visit 4) participants returned the ambulatory blood pressure monitor and the actigraphy monitor.

Hypertension classification

For purposes of the present analyses, participants were classified as having NHT, MHT, and SHT by CBP and ABP measurements. For the primary analysis, the 9 CBP readings from the 3 clinic visits were averaged. Clinic HT was defined as mean SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg or mean DBP ≥ 90 mm Hg. Ambulatory HT, based on mean awake ABP, was defined as mean SBP ≥ 135 mm Hg or mean DBP ≥ 85 mm Hg, which are internationally accepted limits.15, 16 MHT was defined as having CBP in the normal range (SBP < 140 mm Hg and DBP < 90 mm Hg) and ambulatory HT. SHT was defined as having both clinic HT and ambulatory HT. NT was defined as having both normal CBP and normal ABP. White-coat hypertension (WCHT) was defined as having clinic HT and normal ABP.

Two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiographic measures

During visit 5, 2-dimensional and Doppler echocardiography were performed and stored in a DICOM digital format for analysis. Cardiac measurements were obtained according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE). A minimum of 3 cardiac cycles was measured using an offline analysis package installed on a dedicated workstation (Syngo Dynamics version 7; Siemens Medical Systems, Mountain View, CA) and then averaged. Cardiac structures, volumes, and LV function were assessed by 2-dimensional echocardiography. Interventricular septal thickness during diastole (IVSd), posterior wall thickness during diastole (PWTd), LV internal diameter during diastole (LVIDd), LV internal diameter during systole (LVIDs), and left atrial antero-posterior diameter (LAD) were obtained from long axis parasternal views. Fractional shortening percentage (FS%) was calculated using the following formula: FS% = 100 × [(LVIDd − LVIDs) / LVIDd]. LVM was calculated using the corrected ASE method: 0.8 × (1.04 × ((IVSd + LVIDd + PWTd) 3− LVIDd 3)) + 0.6. LVM index (LVMi) was calculated by dividing LVM by estimated body surface area, calculated from height and weight. The presence of LVH was defined as LVMi ≥ 89 g/m² for women and ≥ 103 g/m² for men according to ASE guidelines.17 Relative wall thickness (RWT) was calculated using the following formula: RWT = (IVSd + PWTd) / LVIDd. LV end-diastole volume (LVEDV) and LV end-systole volume (LVESV) were obtained from apical 4-chamber and 2-chamber views using the modified Simpson rule. LV ejection fraction (LVEF) was calculated using the following formula: LVEF (%) = 100 × (LVEDV − LVESV) / LVEDV. Transmitral flow by pulse-wave Doppler was obtained from the apical 4-chamber view. The peak early (E)-wave and late (A)-wave diastolic filling velocities and E-wave deceleration time (E-DcT) were measured, and the E/A ratio was calculated. The
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mitral annular motion velocity by tissue Doppler imaging was obtained from the apical 4-chamber view. The averaged values at septal and lateral position were used for analysis. The peak early (E'-wave) and late (A'-wave) diastolic annular velocities were measured. The ratio of E to E' (E/E') was calculated to assess diastolic function. An impaired relaxation pattern occurs at early stage, which is characterized by lower E-wave, E/A ratio, and prolonged E-Dt. E'-wave of mitral annular velocity also decrease with impaired LV relaxation. E'-wave correlates with a variety of other invasively measured indexes such as tau, LV-dP/dt, and minimal LV pressure. E'/E ratio, the combination of mitral flow velocity and mitral annulus velocity, has been identified as the best parameter for diagnosis of diastolic dysfunction. It has shown to have a better correlation with the estimation of LV filling pressure when compared with other Doppler measures.

Statistical analysis

Characteristics of the study population were calculated as mean ± SD or percentage. Echocardiographic measures were calculated for participants with NT, MHT, and SHT with differences across groups assessed using 1-way analysis of variance with pairwise comparisons (Tukey honestly significant difference method). Analysis of covariance with pairwise comparisons (Tukey honestly significant difference method) was used to evaluate differences in echocardiographic measures after adjusting for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and body mass index (BMI). BMI was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters. To explore whether group differences in diastolic parameters were explained by LVMI, the analyses of diastolic function parameters were repeated after adding LVMI to an age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and BMI-adjusted model. Analyses of diastolic function parameters were also repeated after adding heart rate during the echocardiogram and LVEF to an age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and BMI-adjusted model.

RESULTS

Study population

Figure 1 shows the distribution of participants by CBP and ABP categories. Among the final sample of 790 participants, 637 (80.6%) had NT, 116 (14.7%) had MHT, and 37 (4.7%) had SHT. Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the entire sample (N = 790) and by group (NT, MHT, and SHT). The prevalence of LVH was 2.5% in the study sample (N = 790) and 1.7%, 4.3%, and 10.8% in participants with NT, MHT, and SHT respectively.

Cardiac structure, volume, and function in MHT, SHT, and NT

Table 2 shows the mean levels of cardiac structures, volumes, LV function, and diastolic function for participants with NHT, MHT, and SHT in unadjusted (top panel) and adjusted (lower panel) models. In unadjusted models, LVIDs was significantly smaller, and FS%, LVEDV, LVM, LVMI, and RWT were significantly greater in participants with SHT than in participants with NT. LVM, LVMI, and RWT were significantly greater in participants with MHT than in participants with NT. Further, LVIDs was significantly greater and FS%, LVM, LVMI, and RWT were significantly lower in participants with MHT compared with participants with SHT. There were no significant differences in LVIDd, LVESV, and LVEF among the 3 groups.

In age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and BMI-adjusted models, LVIDs was significantly smaller and FS%, LVM, LVMI, and RWT were significantly greater in participants with SHT than in participants with NT. There were no significant adjusted differences in these echocardiographic parameters between participants with MHT and participants with NT. LVIDs was significant higher and FS% and RWT were significantly lower in participants with MHT compared with participants with NT. There were no significant differences in LVIDd, LVESV, and LVEF among the 3 groups.

Mean awake ambulatory blood pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SBP &lt; 135 mm Hg and DBP &lt; 85 mm Hg</th>
<th>SBP ≥ 135 mm Hg or DBP ≥ 85 mm Hg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>clinic BP</td>
<td>Sustained normotension (n = 637)</td>
<td>Masked hypertension (n = 116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP &lt; 140 mm Hg and DBP &lt; 90 mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg and DBP ≥ 90 mm Hg</td>
<td>White-coat hypertension (n = 8)</td>
<td>Sustained hypertension (n = 37)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Participant categories defined by clinic and ambulatory blood pressure (BP). On the basis of clinic BP and ambulatory BP, the 798 participants were categorized into 4 groups: sustained normotension, masked hypertension, white-coat hypertension, and sustained hypertension. The participants (n = 8) with white-coat hypertension were excluded from the current analysis, which left a final sample size of 790.
significantly lower in participants with MHT compared with participants with SHT. None of the other measures, including LVIDd, LVEDV, LVESV, and LVEF, differed significantly across the 3 groups in adjusted models.

**Diastolic function in MHT, SHT, and NT**

Table 3 shows diastolic function parameters for those with NHT, MHT, and SHT in unadjusted (top panel) and adjusted (lower panel) models. In unadjusted models, participants with SHT had significantly greater LAD, A-wave, and E/E' ratio and significantly lower E/A ratio and E'-wave compared with participants with NT. Participants with MHT had significantly greater LAD, A-wave, and E/E' ratio and significantly lower E/A ratio and E'-wave than participants with NT. None of the diastolic function parameters differed significantly between participants with MHT and participants with SHT. Finally, there were no differences among the 3 groups in 3 of the diastolic function parameters: E-wave, E-DcT, and A'-wave.

In age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and BMI-adjusted models, participants with SHT and MHT had lower E'-wave and higher E/E' ratio than participants with NT. These parameters were not statistically different between participants with MHT and participants with SHT. Further, participants with SHT had greater A-wave than participants with NT, but this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.06). None of the other measures of diastolic function—LAD, E-wave, A-wave, E/A ratio, E-DcT, and A'-wave—differed significantly across the 3 groups. The associations of age, sex, race/ethnicity, and BMI with each of the diastolic function parameters in an adjusted model are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

To explore whether group differences in diastolic parameters were explained by LVMI, the analyses of diastolic function parameters were repeated after adding LVMI to an age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and BMI-adjusted model. After further adjustment for LVMI, the results were similar to the age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and BMI-adjusted model. In participants with SHT, E'-wave was
significantly lower ($P = 0.01$) and $E'/E$' ratio and A-wave were significantly higher ($P = 0.006$ and $P = 0.048$, respectively) than in participants with NT. In participants with MHT, $E'$-wave was significantly lower ($P = 0.003$) and $E/E'$ ratio was significantly higher ($P = 0.008$) than in participants with NT. There were no significant differences in A-wave, $E'$-wave, and $E/E'$ ratio between participants with MHT and participants with SHT. None of the other measures of diastolic function—LAD, $E$-wave, $E/A$ ratio, $E-DcT$, and $A'$-wave—differed significantly across the 3 groups. Finally, after adding heart rate during the echocardiogram and LVEF to an age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and BMI-adjusted model, the results also did not change (not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

The results of our study indicate that tissue Doppler-derived diastolic function parameters, specifically $E'$-wave and $E/E'$ ratio, are impaired in individuals with MHT compared with individuals with NT and were similar for individuals with MHT and individuals with SHT. These findings suggest that alterations in diastolic function are observed in asymptomatic individuals with MHT at a level that is similar to individuals with SHT.

The findings of altered diastolic function in our study, which included generally healthy individuals with a wide range of cardiovascular disease risk, are consistent with a small clinical study of diabetic outpatients by Marchesi et al.\(^{13}\) which showed that although LVMI increased progressively from NT to MHT to SHT, diastolic function defined by $E/A$ ratio, $E'/A'$ ratio, and $E-DcT$ was found to be similarly impaired in MHT and SHT compared with NT. As mentioned previously, parameters driven from mitral inflow, such as $E/A$ ratio and $E-DcT$, reflect abnormal LV relaxation, but they may be affected by left atrial pressure, heart rate, and mitral valve disease.\(^{22}\) $E'/A'$ ratio driven from tissue Doppler imaging is minimally affected by preload, and a previous study demonstrated that $E'/A'$ ratio correlates with LV relaxation.\(^ {18}\) However, it is not commonly used and currently not recommended by the ASE.\(^ {23}\) In contrast, $E'$-wave and $E/E'$ ratio, which were altered in participants with MHT in our study, are recommended by the ASE for the assessment of diastolic function.\(^ {23}\) $E/E'$ reflects the degree of LV filling pressure and is presently

### Table 2. Unadjusted and age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and body mass index–adjusted analyses comparing cardiac structures, volumes, and left ventricular function parameters between participants with masked hypertension (MHT), sustained hypertension (SHT), and sustained normotension (NT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>NT (n = 637)</th>
<th>MHT (n = 116)</th>
<th>SHT (n = 37)</th>
<th>NT vs. MHT</th>
<th>NT vs. SHT</th>
<th>MHT vs. SHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVIDd, cm</td>
<td>4.54 (4.50–4.58)</td>
<td>4.63 (4.53–4.73)</td>
<td>4.44 (4.26–4.61)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVIDs, cm</td>
<td>3.05 (3.01–3.09)</td>
<td>3.09 (3.00–3.18)</td>
<td>2.83 (2.66–2.99)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS, %</td>
<td>32.9 (32.3–33.4)</td>
<td>33.4 (32.1–34.8)</td>
<td>35.8 (33.4–38.3)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDV, ml</td>
<td>91 (89–93)</td>
<td>94 (90–99)</td>
<td>101 (94–109)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESV, ml</td>
<td>32 (31–33)</td>
<td>34 (32–36)</td>
<td>37 (33–40)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>64.9 (64.4–65.3)</td>
<td>64.4 (63.3–65.5)</td>
<td>64.3 (62.4–66.3)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVM, g</td>
<td>115 (112–117)</td>
<td>132 (125–139)</td>
<td>155 (143–167)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVMI, g/m²</td>
<td>61.2 (60.0–62.3)</td>
<td>66.9 (64.2–69.7)</td>
<td>75.0 (70.1–79.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWT, %</td>
<td>35.1 (34.2–35.8)</td>
<td>37.7 (35.8–39.6)</td>
<td>49.2 (45.7–52.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bolded** $P$ values identify statistically significant differences between groups.

Abbreviations: ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; ANOVA, analysis of variance; CI, confidence interval; FS, fractional shortening; LVEDV, left ventricular end-diastole volume; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESV, left ventricular end-systolic volume; LVIDd, left ventricular internal diameter during end diastole; LVIDs, left ventricular internal diameter during end systole; LVM, left ventricular mass; LVMI, left ventricular mass index; RWT, relative wall thickness.
recognized as the gold-standard index to detect diastolic dysfunction.20

In our study, the association of MHT with diastolic function was also independent of LVMI, suggesting that MHT-associated changes in diastolic function are not explained by increases in LVMI. Several studies of patients with HT have shown that diastolic dysfunction may occur in the absence of LVH.11,24 A clinical study of Nigerians with newly diagnosed HT11 demonstrated that impaired diastolic function occurs in approximately 60% of hypertensive individuals with LVH and 40% of hypertensive individuals without LVH. Similarly, a study by Aeschbacher et al.12 demonstrated that impaired diastolic function was detected in the young, normotensive male offspring of hypertensive parents before the development of LVH. A study by Mineeva et al.25 demonstrated that altered transmural blood flow is observed at the prehypertension stage that precedes heart remodeling. Consistent with these previous findings in HT patients, our results suggest that impaired diastolic function may be an early subclinical alteration seen in individuals with MHT before the development of LV structural changes. The mechanisms underlying the impairment in diastolic function independent of changes in LVMI associated with MHT are unknown. Although LVH induced by chronic pressure or volume overload may contribute to diastolic dysfunction,26 other factors besides LVH are associated with impairment in diastolic function; these include contractile alterations in myocytes due to impaired sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium uptake, extracellular and perivascular fibrosis, and myocardial ischemia.27–30 These mechanisms may have played a role in the association between MHT and impaired diastolic function in our study.

Diastolic heart failure as a consequence of impaired diastolic function in the general population is associated with a high mortality rate that is comparable with that of systolic heart failure mortality rates.31–33 Given the association between diastolic dysfunction and the subsequent development of heart failure,27 our findings suggest screening for diastolic dysfunction among individuals with MHT may be useful for the identification of an early phase of cardiac dysfunction. The follow-up of participants in the ongoing Masked Hypertension Study may eventually help determine whether or not E′-wave and E/E′ ratio have prognostic value for individuals with MHT.

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, our sample consisted of employed adults who were generally healthy. Whether our results are generalizable to other population-based or clinic-based samples remains unknown. Second, because awake ABP was estimated from one 24-hour monitoring period, we cannot exclude the possibility that the study results would have differed with the inclusion of additional 24-hour periods to

---

**Table 3.** Unadjusted and age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and body mass index–adjusted analyses comparing diastolic function parameters between participants with masked hypertension (MHT), sustained hypertension (SHT), and sustained normotension (NT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>NT (n = 637)</th>
<th>MHT (n = 116)</th>
<th>SHT (n = 37)</th>
<th>NT vs. MHT</th>
<th>NT vs. SHT</th>
<th>MHT vs. SHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAD, cm</td>
<td>3.41 (3.38–3.44)</td>
<td>3.58 (3.50–3.66)</td>
<td>3.58 (3.44–3.72)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-velocity, m/s</td>
<td>0.71 (0.70–0.72)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.65–0.71)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.63–0.73)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-velocity, m/s</td>
<td>0.54 (0.53–0.55)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.55–0.61)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.57–0.68)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio</td>
<td>1.41 (1.37–1.44)</td>
<td>1.25 (1.17–1.33)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.01–1.29)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-DcT, ms</td>
<td>194 (191–197)</td>
<td>193 (186–199)</td>
<td>205 (193–216)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E′ velocity, m/s</td>
<td>0.133 (0.130–0.136)</td>
<td>0.117 (0.111–0.124)</td>
<td>0.110 (0.098–0.122)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A′ velocity, m/s</td>
<td>0.112 (0.11–0.115)</td>
<td>0.120 (0.114–0.126)</td>
<td>0.124 (0.114–0.135)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/E′ ratio</td>
<td>5.69 (5.55–5.83)</td>
<td>6.23 (5.90–6.57)</td>
<td>6.72 (6.13–7.31)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-, sex-, race/ethnicity-, and body mass index–adjusted analyses (ANCOVA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD, cm</td>
<td>3.44 (3.41–3.46)</td>
<td>3.49 (3.42–3.55)</td>
<td>3.39 (3.27–3.46)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-velocity, m/s</td>
<td>0.71 (0.69–0.72)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.67–0.73)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.69–0.72)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-velocity, m/s</td>
<td>0.54 (0.53–0.55)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.54–0.59)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.55–0.64)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio</td>
<td>1.39 (1.36–1.42)</td>
<td>1.31 (1.24–1.38)</td>
<td>1.28 (1.16–1.41)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-DcT, ms</td>
<td>194 (192–197)</td>
<td>190 (184–197)</td>
<td>201 (189–212)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E′ velocity, m/s</td>
<td>0.131 (0.128–0.134)</td>
<td>0.121 (0.114–0.127)</td>
<td>0.116 (0.105–0.127)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A′ velocity, m/s</td>
<td>0.113 (0.111–0.115)</td>
<td>0.116 (0.111–0.122)</td>
<td>0.118 (0.108–0.129)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/E′ ratio</td>
<td>5.71 (5.58–5.84)</td>
<td>6.20 (5.88–6.51)</td>
<td>6.57 (6.01–7.14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bolded P values identify statistically significant differences between groups.

Abbreviations: ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; ANOVA, analysis of variance; A-wave, peak late diastolic filling velocity of mitral inflow; A′-wave, peak late diastolic mitral annular velocity; CI = confidence interval; E-DcT, E-wave deceleration time; E-wave, peak early diastolic filling velocity of mitral inflow; E′-wave, peak early diastolic mitral annular velocity; LAD, left atrial diameter.
define MHT, SHT, and NT. Finally, because this is a cross-sectional observational study, causality cannot be determined in our study.

Strengths of the study include a large sample size, the careful assessment of CBP over 3 visits, the inclusion of a large proportion of participants with normal CBP levels who otherwise would be classified as lower risk based on CBP, the exclusion of participants on antihypertensive medications, and the assessment of cardiac structures, volumes, and systolic and diastolic function using validated 2-dimensional and Doppler echocardiographic methods.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that diastolic function represented by E’-wave and E/E’ ratio was impaired in MHT compared with NT, even after controlling for LVMI. These data suggest MHT is associated with impaired diastolic function in the absence of LV structural changes. The mechanisms underlying the association between MHT and impaired diastolic function and whether or not these subclinical alterations have prognostic value for subsequent diastolic heart failure in MHT are unknown. Future studies are needed to evaluate the prognostic value of impaired diastolic function in MHT as well as promising interventions targeting MHT-associated impaired diastolic function.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary materials are available at American Journal of Hypertension (http://ajh.oxfordjournals.org).
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