Understanding minority patients’ beliefs about hypertension to reduce gaps in communication between patients and clinicians
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Abstract

Our objective was to gain a better understanding of minority patients’ beliefs about hypertension and to use this understanding to develop a model to explain gaps in communication between patients and clinicians. Eighty-eight hypertensive Black and Latino adults from four inner-city primary care clinics participated in focus groups to elucidate views on hypertension. Participants believed that hypertension was a serious illness in need of treatment. Participants’ diverged from the medical model in their beliefs about the time-course of hypertension (believed hypertension was intermittent); causes of hypertension (believed stress, racism, pollution, and poverty were the important causes); symptoms of hypertension (believed hypertension was primarily present when symptomatic); and treatments for hypertension (preferred alternative treatments that reduced stress over prescription medications). Participants distrusted clinicians who prioritized medications that did not directly address their understanding of the causes or symptoms of hypertension. Patients’ models of understanding chronic asymptomatic illnesses such as hypertension challenge the legitimacy of lifelong, pill-centered treatment. Listening to patients’ beliefs about hypertension may increase trust, improve communication, and encourage better self-management of hypertension.
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Introduction

While rates of blood pressure control in the US have improved in recent years, half of hypertensive Americans continue to have their blood pressure above the goals set by established guidelines and one-third remain uncontrolled despite treatment.\(^1\) One major reason for the high rate of uncontrolled hypertension is suboptimal self-management. An estimated half of hypertensive individuals do not comply adequately with medication treatment and even fewer comply with other lifestyle behaviors recommended to control hypertension.\(^2\)
Health care providers play an important role in helping patients self-manage their hypertension. Clinicians, for example, can improve adherence to antihypertensive medications by prescribing simpler medication regimens. Clinicians can also positively impact patients’ self-management through patient-centered counseling. Unfortunately, clinician-based interventions to educate and motivate patients to improve their adherence to blood pressure medications have, thus far, not been very successful.

One possible reason for the lack of effectiveness of clinician counseling may be a lack of understanding of the patient perspective toward hypertension. In particular, clinicians may not understand how patients’ experience living with hypertension influences their beliefs about the illness. The gap in understanding between clinicians’ and the patients’ beliefs about hypertension may be especially large when involving patients from disadvantaged minority patients groups. Beliefs about hypertension treatment have been associated with adherence to treatment in prior studies.

To elucidate minority patient’s beliefs about hypertension, we conducted focus groups with African American and Latino hypertensive adults. We also chose to focus on minority patients as rates of uncontrolled blood pressure control despite being prescribed treatment are disproportionately high in these groups. We triangulated the findings from these groups with information from the medical literature to develop a model for how lay-people understand this largely asymptomatic, life-long condition.

**Methods**

**Participants**

Recruitment procedures are detailed elsewhere. Briefly, potentially eligible participants were identified by screening medical records from four hospital-affiliated clinics in East and Central Harlem and by encouraging physicians at these clinics to directly refer their hypertensive patients to the study. Among the subset of patients in whom screening was tracked, 57% of screened patients agreed to participate and common reasons for refusal included feeling too ill or scheduling conflicts. Participants were eligible if they were African American or Latino, aged 18 years or older, and if they had antihypertensive medications listed in their medical record or were identified as hypertensive by their primary clinician.

**Data collection**

Nine focus groups took place between May 2001 and April 2002. We chose focus groups for our methodology as qualitative research is helpful for generating new hypotheses regarding a phenomenon. Groups were stratified according to race/ethnicity (African American/ Latino) and language (English or Spanish). Assembling groups of individuals with a homogeneous condition and race or ethnicity is especially useful for promoting sharing, expanding, and contrasting of experiences, attitudes and ideas, particularly among persons who have been historically underserved and underevaluated.

Each session took 90 minutes and involved 8–10 participants. Groups included a trained moderator and an observer who recorded field notes. The moderator facilitated the groups with the help of a structured interview guide that was designed to explore patients’ knowledge and attitudes about blood pressure, attitudes toward health care providers, behaviors concerning controlling blood pressure, and barriers to controlling blood pressure. Participants completed a brief demographic survey at the end of each session and received a cash stipend for attending. All sessions were audiotaped and transcribed. Transcripts of focus groups that took place in Spanish were translated into English. The Institutional Review Boards of each hospital from which patients were recruited (Mount Sinai Medical...
Center, Metropolitan Hospital, Harlem Hospital, and North General Hospital) approved all study procedures and all participants provided written informed consent.

**Qualitative Analysis**

Two investigators read four random transcripts and associated field notes to generate a preliminary list of 40 codes. The same two investigators then used these codes to independently code one transcript using the qualitative analysis software program Atlas.ti, version 4.2. (Berlin, Scientific Software Development, 1999). The preliminary code list was then refined through consensus. The same two investigators then applied these revised codes to two additional focus group transcripts and used the results to come to a final consensus on 48 codes with specified definitions. Examples of codes were the terms “adherence”, “barriers to treatment”, “causes of hypertension”, “communication”, and “racism”. These final codes were then used to analyze transcripts from all 9 focus groups. Interrater reliability using these final codes was over 90%. Once all transcripts were coded, investigators developed a list of 6 dominant themes that emerged from the analysis. The investigators then merged the codes into these overriding themes, which are described in the Results section.

**Results**

**Participants**

Eighty-eight hypertensive adults attended one of nine focus groups (four African-American and five Latino groups, two of the Latino groups in Spanish) with 8–12 participants per group; 98% completed a demographic survey (Table 1). The majority were women, one-third were 65 years or older, most (55%) had Medicaid and lived in poverty. Most had hypertension for over 10 years and most (64%) of the 73% in whom clinical blood pressure data were available had uncontrolled hypertension (≥140/90 mmHg).

**Beliefs about seriousness of hypertension**

Participants generally believed that hypertension was a serious illness. Having untreated hypertension was referred to as housing a “ticking time bomb.” Medical facts that could better define the condition of high blood pressure, such as what pressures were too high and how much variability in pressure was acceptable, were rarely mentioned.

**Beliefs about causes of hypertension**

For both African Americans and Latinos, hypertension was triggered by or fluctuated with stress. One Latina said, “I have noticed that the high blood pressure is all mental.” Interestingly, many related situations in which clinicians reinforced this stress model of hypertension by telling them their blood pressure was elevated because they were rushing or upset or by asking them to relax in a quiet room and then measuring a lower blood pressure on a recheck. Those few participants who described how medicines worked said they calmed their nerves.

While the view of hypertension as linked to stress seemed natural to many participants, medical causes often ran contrary to participants’ experiences. For example, many respondents said they were healthy until they moved to New York City and improved their health habits. One Black man noted, “[…salting foods] - I don’t think that plays no part in your blood pressure. Matter of fact, that might have been helping you, because you didn’t have it back then.”

African Americans often discussed how hypertension was caused by social conditions such as poverty, pollution, racism, and stress. Echoing the statements of others in her group, a
Beliefs about symptoms of hypertension

Many said they could determine when their blood pressures were elevated based on intermittent somatic symptoms such as headaches and dizziness. Few believed they had high blood pressure between these visceral episodes. Symptom relief was proof of a pill’s effectiveness, reinforcing the perception of hypertension as transient phenomena. Those who did not link their hypertension to symptoms had difficulty reifying a condition without any sensation or discrete physical location. An elderly Black woman said: “How do you know? I don’t have any particular a feeling to know that. You put this thing on your arm and they say: ‘That means your pressure.’ I don’t feel giddy. I don’t feel anything at all. I’m still in the dark.”

Beliefs about medications and alternative treatments

Participants’ concept of hypertension as acute and episodic led to doubts that it was necessary to take daily medications. A Black woman said: “That’s where I get confused with the doctors. If you go to your doctor and your blood pressure is normal, why they don’t reduce your medication?” Doctors’ expectation for patients to take medications on an ongoing basis appeared to contradict doctors’ statements that blood pressures were “controlled”.

Participants described multiple concerns with taking medications every day including side-effects and worries about becoming dependent on chemicals which were not “natural”. One participant related:

He [the doctor] says, well, you’ve got to live with some of the side effects, which I don’t think I have to. But until we learn how to deal with the elements that are causing these things, nothing’s going to happen we’re going to continue to be taking these pills…I don’t agree with what we’re doing.

Beliefs that hypertension was caused by social factors rather than biological or lifestyle factors fostered distrust of medications. The introductory statement of a Black woman was:

I feel that society, our way of life, is what’s causing us to have all these things. Our food, our water, our air... Because everybody’s got the same thing how can everybody have the same thing? So, therefore, the medicine is not curing us, taking it away. It’s making it worse!

Additional barriers to taking conventional medications included fears of medical experimentation and of being treated generically with generic drugs, and concerns that the medications would do more harm than good. A Black man said, “Any pill we take kills something else in our body,” Cost was another common barrier. Medications could cost “more than a T.V.” A Black man from another group explained: “You give me all the diagnoses, but the final thing is I can’t buy the medication.” Despite these concerns, most participants described medications as a mainstay of treatment, and took medication at least part of the time.

In contrast, home remedies were considered familiar, safe, and addressed beliefs that hypertension was caused by stress. Some participants simply relaxed, just as clinicians instructed them to do in their offices. Others used strategies to “cool down” to lower blood pressure. One Spanish-speaking woman said, “When I have high blood pressure, I get
nervous…I will place ice on my head.’’ Latino participants described blood pressure lowering through placing one’s body in contact with a cool floor. Rather than challenging the benefits of this therapy, the group discussed ways a cold floor could be used most effectively.

A: This is what you do…you sit and get the cold from the feet. You don’t have to walk.

B: You don’t need to stand?

C: Yes, you can be seated…the body will receive the cold and you become calm.

Black participants also discussed how using alternative remedies bypassed profit-driven physicians whom they distrusted and perceived as automatically dispensing costly pills.

Beliefs about health care providers and the health care system

Many participants said hypertension could not be treated without a doctor-patient relationship grounded in trust and respect. A doctor’s bedside manner could lead to confidence and comfort, which in turn, reduce blood pressure. One elderly Black man responded to the question of how he controlled his blood pressure with the following answer:

Well, I think part of that is the doctor that you get, or doctor that get you. It’s the way that he talks to you and treat you that makes you have confidence in him. Now another doctor may give and do the same thing, but he don’t, you know, like soothes you. [Others mutter agreements.]

Conversely, a Latina woman said, ‘‘I told my co-worker, ‘I don’t trust this doctor and I am not going to benefit from the medications.’ ‘’ ‘’ A Latino man further explained the perceived hazards of dysfunctional health provider relationships: ‘‘Maybe the nurse wants to talk to me with good intentions but there’s confrontation and our blood pressure goes up.’’

Some participants described clinicians as not meeting their needs, not treating them as individuals, and not providing adequate follow-up. Several stated that doctors “intimidate people, especially the elderly.” Others thought doctors marginalized them, “treat people like if you a dummy, like you don’t know nothing, you don’t have a right to ask questions,” or say, “I am the doctor and you’re just the patient.” Many participants said they felt intimidated by their providers, stating that they would not ask questions or disagree with doctors to avoid angering or turning them off. A Spanish-speaking woman said, “We did not keep talking because he cut me off, after I did not ask him any questions.” Both Latinos and Blacks felt doctors stereotyped their eating habits and their likelihood of taking therapies based on race or ethnicity.

Frustration with healthcare extended beyond individual physicians to the entire medical establishment. Doctors were trained and the system geared to treat patients through dispensing pills, which simplified their work, enhanced productivity, and increased profit margins at the expense of patients. A Black woman excused doctors, saying: “You can’t blame the doctors… The doctors givin’ you the pills that the pharmaceuticals are making… The doctor’s only doin’ what he been trained to do.” The following discussion ensued among 3 black men:

A: And if the Chinese can cure hypertension, can they? Why can’t Americans?

B: Big business.

A: They in business. That’s all it’s about. Big business.
C: Yeah, they’re getting over like a fat rat.
A: And if they cure you, you ain’t got to come back. So that’s revenue he lose.

Suggestions for improving hypertension care

Participants suggested several ways to improve care for hypertension. First, many wanted to have their blood pressures checked more often, either at more frequent clinic visits, or through home monitoring. Interestingly, participants expected increased monitoring to verify that their blood pressures were only elevated episodically and that they would not need blood pressure pills all the time. Second, participants recommended investing time into building trust in the patient-health provider relationships. A Latino man said: “The most important thing is to know what’s going on, to assure the actual person. First look for comprehension, not confrontation.” Third, participants said that doctors should praise patients more often, as this would help instill pride in the measures they took to successfully control their own blood pressures. A Black woman said: “[the doctor]…should compliment you. ‘Cause I think that would push us in the right direction too, to have somebody say, “Oh, you’re taking your medication, you’re doing good. It’s now 110/60, you know.”

Discussion

We conducted focus groups with Black and Latino hypertensive adults to deepen the understanding of patient-level barriers to optimal hypertension care. These adults agreed with their diagnosis of hypertension and generally believed that hypertension represented a serious health problem. However, in contrast with the typical health professional’s model, most believed this condition was episodic rather than chronic, and that it was caused, exacerbated, and evidenced by symptoms often associated with stress, racism, pollution, and poverty. Stress and emotional factors have been viewed as major causes of hypertension by lay people in prior studies of beliefs about hypertension.\(^{14–17}\)

Many participants preferred alternative remedies that directly induced cooling or calm (a goal some clinicians seemed to ratify) as these treatments logically addressed the triggers and symptoms of hypertension. Conversely, participants had trouble justifying taking daily prescription medications that did not target the etiologies of hypertension. Decisions to take medications were further influenced by perceptions that cost and side effects of medications outweighed their usefulness. This lack of congruence between the causes and treatments for hypertension fostered distrust in the patient-doctor relationship and, as has been found in a prior study, engendered feelings of conspiracy by the health care system (Table 2).\(^{15}\)

African Americans and Latinos held similar beliefs about the symptomatic, stress-related nature of hypertension. However, Blacks more commonly attributed stress to social inequity and racism whereas Latinos were more likely to describe stress as a natural part of their everyday lives. Accordingly, Blacks were more likely to distrust clinicians and prescribed medications whereas Latinos were more likely to have faith in traditional remedies that had calming effects on stress.

Our Figure depicts a model for understanding gaps in communication about hypertension between clinicians and patients. This model includes two pathways: 1) a medical pathway (widely held by clinicians) and 2) an experiential pathway that relies on the experience of living with hypertension (widely held by participants in these focus groups). Those ascribing to both models agree upon the seriousness of the diagnosis of hypertension that hypertension can lead to adverse health outcomes and that the long-term goal is to avoid these bad outcomes. However, the two paths diverge when moving from diagnosis to treatment. On the medical pathway, individuals see hypertension as a chronic, often symptom-free
condition that is mediated by biological and behavioral factors and that is best treated with daily pills for life. On the experiential pathway, individuals see hypertension as a symptomatic and stress-related condition that is best treated episodically with pills during flares or alternative remedies that directly address the physical symptoms they link to hypertension or that address the underlying problem of stress. The precise beliefs underlying the causes and solutions to stress underlying hypertension differ according to individual patients’ personal and sociocultural context. Hence, African Americans are more likely to point to issues relating to racism and discrimination and Latinos are more likely to point to alternative remedies passed on through their families and available in local botanicas.

Although we developed this model for understanding gaps in communication between patients and doctors by only enrolling Black and Latinos from one underserved inner-city community, there is evidence from other studies suggesting that similar models may apply to other communities. An anthropological study of African Americans in the rural southern US elucidated similar lay beliefs regarding the symptomatic nature and stress-related etiology of hypertension as participants in our study. Preference for alternative remedies and beliefs that hypertension is caused by stress and treatable with “cold” therapies has been previously noted in Latinos. A survey of 189 patients and 45 primary care providers from Veterans Administration clinics revealed that almost all Veteran patients and physicians agreed on the seriousness of hypertension and the importance of controlling hypertension to prevent strokes and heart attacks. But interestingly, 38% of physicians in this study felt that reducing strokes and heart attacks was not very important to their patients. This misunderstanding of patients’ goals may have resulted from clinicians’ misinterpreting non-adherence to prescribed medications as lack of concern in their patients; yet, based on our model, lack of adherence to pill-based treatment may instead result from a different understanding of the causes and solutions, not the perceived seriousness. In another study of 46 patients, beliefs about hypertension were elicited among native Dutch and African immigrants to Holland. Again, patients from all groups agreed with concept that hypertension was a serious illness. The majority also believed stress played a major role. Yet, the sources of stress and solutions to stress differed among the groups with immigrants most likely to refer to difficulties with migrating and integrating into Dutch society as important factors.

The asymptomatic nature of hypertension is a key factor complicating communication about the disorder between patients and clinicians. Traditional behavioral theory states that symptoms are a primary reason people take action to control an illness. Indeed, symptoms are the most common reason for initiating medical care and being healthy is often equated with being symptom-free. Interestingly, according to the experience pathway, patients either ascribe symptoms to hypertension, or are confused by its lack of symptoms. Yet, according to the medical pathway, hypertension infrequently has symptoms as cues to action. When patients perceive hypertension as asymptomatic, they need to take a leap of faith in heeding their doctor’s advice to take potentially noxious, costly, daily pills for years, especially when the effectiveness of this treatment is neither immediate, nor guaranteed. Encounters where the doctor prioritizes her agenda to treat risk factors over the patients’ agenda to treat symptoms may damage the patient-doctor relationship and thwart care.

Asymptomatic conditions are prevalent, and clinicians increasingly focus on screening for asymptomatic illnesses (i.e., cancer screening), and on “virtual” problems patients cannot feel or attribute to a specific body part, including hypertension and hyperlipidemia. This focus on symptom-free conditions is occurring despite mounting evidence that an inadequate focus on alleviating symptoms causes patients (and doctors) frustration and dissatisfaction. As research expands, our capacity to identify biological markers that precede clinically apparent illnesses and the trend to treat markers, rather than symptoms, will likely continue.
To date, there is too little research on patients’ views of asymptomatic conditions and their commitment to caring for them.

While the medical model discounts the ability of patients to experience symptoms from hypertension unless blood pressure is extremely elevated, patients’ common-sense model attributes episodic symptoms to hypertension and patients often take treatments episodically for these symptoms. Patients who believe they can monitor their pressure symptomatically may be more likely to drop out of medication treatment if the clinician does not address this belief. In contrast, patients who believe blood pressure medications have beneficial effects upon their symptoms are more likely to comply with medications and to have their blood pressure controlled. There is a danger that doctors will foster distrust in their patients if they discount their patients’ experiences of these symptoms. In one study, patients with unexplained symptoms contrasted their own sensory and therefore infallible experience of symptoms, with doctors’ indirect and fallible knowledge. By providing explanations that questioned the reality of patients’ symptoms, doctors were perceived as incompetent and inexpert. Recognition of these factors can be part of programs to improve blood pressure control. In one pilot study, when clinicians had greater sensitivity to patients’ beliefs about their hypertension, patients modified their beliefs and action plans to be more concordant with the medical model.

There were several limitations to our findings. Focus groups were conducted in two adjacent urban neighborhoods and included a relatively small number of participants. Yet, as this was a qualitative study that was designed to generate new hypotheses rather than to define the prevalence of beliefs in a population. Focus groups were limited to minority populations. Yet, many of the themes identified have also been found in non-minority populations, thus supporting the generalizability of the model to other populations. Those who agreed to participate may not be representative of other members of their groups; however, more than 50% of those approached agreed to participate and culturally competent recruiters were used to encourage participation by all potentially eligible participants.

Implications

There is a need for research that tests interventions addressing discordances between lay and clinical beliefs about asymptomatic conditions. Until that time, several strategies informed by our focus groups may prove beneficial. Clinicians could directly elicit patients’ views on hypertension and its treatment, especially when there appear to be problems with medication adherence or blood pressure control. Rather than merely trying to correct discordant lay beliefs, clinicians might accommodate these beliefs. Similarly, instead of disagreeing with patients’ use of alternative treatments for hypertension, clinicians might encourage the use of safe alternatives as compliments to medications and lifestyle modifications. Clinicians might validate the views of hypertensive patients who believe they can sense when their blood pressure is high by agreeing that their blood pressure rises from stress, but that their blood pressure remains high even in lower-stress situations like when waking up. Clinicians might encourage patients to use home blood pressure monitors to test this point. Finally, clinicians should consider choosing regimens with a favorable patient-centered risk-benefit profile, and praise patients when their blood pressure is under control.
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Figure.
Conceptual models for typical health providers’ medical model and hypertensive patient’s experiential model of hypertension. In the medical model and experiential model, providers and patients agree on diagnosis and have a goal to mitigate consequences of disease. However, the models diverge in their understanding of symptoms, causes, treatments, and measures of control.
### Table 1

Characteristics of focus group participants (n=88)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;=65</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No health insurance</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual household income &lt;$15,000</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled hypertension (&gt;140/90)</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on 86 surveys; 2 participants did not complete a demographic survey

† Based on 64 participants who had their blood pressure measured by study personnel
### Table 2
Common beliefs associated with dissatisfaction with hypertension care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Belief</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension is caused by social inequities, stress, or other psychological factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension causes episodic symptoms such as headaches and dizziness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications are unnatural, addictive, and can damage the body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care providers stereotype patients and treat them generically rather than tailoring their management to the individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors and the health care system are motivated by financial incentives rather than altruism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>