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BACKGROUND
Although higher visit-to-visit variability (VVV) of blood pressure (BP) is associated with increased cardiovascular disease risk, the physiological basis for VVV of BP is incompletely understood.

METHODS
We examined the associations of aortic distensibility (assessed by magnetic resonance imaging) and artery elasticity indices (determined by radial artery pulse contour analysis) with VVV of BP in 2,640 and 4,560 participants, respectively, from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Arterial measures were obtained at exam 1. BP readings were taken at exam 1 and at 3 follow-up visits at 18-month intervals (exams 2, 3, and 4). VVV was defined as the SD about each participant’s mean systolic BP (SBP) across visits.

RESULTS
The mean SDs of SBP were inversely associated with aortic distensibility: 7.7, 9.9, 10.9, and 13.2 mm Hg for quartiles 4, 3, 2, and 1 of aortic distensibility, respectively (P trend < 0.001). This association remained significant after adjustment for demographics, cardiovascular risk factors, mean SBP, and antihypertensive medication use (P trend < 0.01). In a fully adjusted model, lower quartiles of large artery and small artery elasticity (LAE and SAE) indices were also associated with higher mean SD of SBP (P trend = 0.02 for LAE; P trend < 0.001 for SAE).

CONCLUSIONS
In this multiethnic cohort, functional alterations of central and peripheral arteries were associated with greater long-term VVV of SBP.
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There is increasing evidence to suggest that visit-to-visit variability (VVV) of blood pressure (BP) has prognostic value, independent of average BP. Increased VVV of BP is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular events1–4 and all-cause mortality.5,6

Presently, the mechanisms underlying higher levels of VVV in BP are unknown. Because increased pulse pressure is associated with higher levels of VVV of BP, it has been hypothesized that alterations in the elastic properties of the arterial tree may contribute to higher levels of VVV of BP.1,6,7 However, few data are available on the association between functional vascular alterations and VVV of BP.

Because of its elastic properties and proximity to vital organs such as the heart, brain, and kidneys, the aorta...
modulates the entire vascular tree, buffering the pulsatile cardiac output to provide constant flow to the microvascular system including capillary beds. Therefore, reduced aortic distensibility may be associated with a higher level of VVV of BP. Although decreased aortic distensibility is associated with microvascular dysfunction, it is unclear whether reduced small arterial elasticity (SAE) is also associated with a higher level of VVV of BP. We, therefore, evaluated whether aortic distensibility and large arterial elasticity (LAE) and SAE are associated with VVV of BP in a multi-ethnic, population-based cohort of middle-aged and elderly men and women.

**METHODS**

**Study population**

This analysis included participants from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA), a population-based study of 6,814 community-dwelling adults aged 45–84 years and free of clinically evident cardiovascular disease at baseline. Details of the MESA study design are described elsewhere. Participants from 4 ethnic groups (white, black, Hispanic, and Asian primarily of Chinese descent) were recruited from 6 US communities (Baltimore, Maryland; Chicago, Illinois; Forsyth County, North Carolina; Los Angeles County, California; northeastern Manhattan, New York; and St. Paul, Minnesota). The study was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating sites, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Clinical and biochemical measures were obtained at exam 1 and subsequent MESA exams (exams 2–4), which were conducted at 18-month intervals. Detailed information about the measures is provided at the MESA website (http://mesa-nhlbi.org/moreinfo.aspx). The Supplementary Methods provides details on how the cardiovascular risk factors at exam 1, which were used in our analyses, were ascertained and defined.

MESA participants underwent a cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan at exam 1. Thoracic aortic distensibility determined by MRI was measured in a subset that included 3,541 participants. In addition, 6,336 participants successfully underwent measurement of LAE and SAE indices determined by radial artery pulse wave analysis at exam 1. BP data from exams 1–4 were used for the estimation of VVV of BP (see below). For these analyses of aortic distensibility, participants who did not have BP data available from all 4 exams (n = 584) or were missing covariable data (n = 317) were excluded. Similarly, for analyses of artery elasticity, participants who did not have BP data available from all 4 exams (n = 1,232) or were missing covariable data (n = 544) were excluded. After these exclusions, 2,640 participants were included in the analyses of aortic distensibility and VVV of BP, and 4,560 participants were included in the analyses of arterial elasticity and VVV of BP. The distribution of age, sex, and body mass index for MESA participants included and not included in these analyses are presented in Supplementary Table S1.

**Thoracic aortic distensibility assessed by MRI (exam 1)**

Aortic distensibility was assessed using 1.5-T whole-body MRI systems, Signa CV/1, or Signa LX (General Electric Medical Systems, Wisconsin), as previously described. MRI scans of the thoracic aorta were obtained using gradient-echo magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with electrocardiographic gating. Images of the aorta were obtained in the transverse plane at the level of the right pulmonary artery perpendicular to the vessel lumen.

Distensibility of the ascending aorta was calculated using the following formula: (maximum cross-sectional area − minimum cross-sectional area)/(minimum cross-sectional area × pulse pressure). Noninvasive brachial BP was measured immediately before and after the MRI aortic measurements while the participant was in the supine position in the MRI scanner using a nonferromagnetic arm blood pressure cuff; the average systolic and diastolic values were used to calculate pulse pressure, which was defined as SBP minus diastolic BP. The maximum and minimum areas of the ascending aorta were determined using an automated contour routine using the software FLOW (Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Raleigh, NC). Aortic distensibility determined by MRI is highly reproducible with coefficient of variation for intraobserver and interobserver variability of 1% and 2%, respectively.

**LAE and SAE indices (exam 1)**

LAE and SAE indices (in ml/mm Hg) were assessed using the PulseWave CR-2000 Research CardioVascular Profiling Instrument (Hypertension Diagnostics, Eagan, MN), which analyzes radial artery pulse waveforms. This methodology has been validated for the assessment of arterial elasticity and is highly reproducible. While the participant was supine, the pulse pressure sensor was positioned on the right wrist, supported by a wrist stabilizer, and measurements were taken for 30 seconds. An automated, oscillatory BP measurement was performed at the contralateral arm. A computer-based, third-order, 4-element Windkessel model was used to calculate an elasticity index for both large (C1) and small (C2) arteries by analyzing the diastolic pulse contour. The values estimated directly from the waveform were X and Y for LAE and SAE, respectively. LAE and SAE indices were then estimated by dividing each of X and Y by systemic vascular resistance. Systemic vascular resistance was calculated by dividing the mean arterial pressure by the cardiac output. Cardiac output was estimated from the ejection time determined from the pulse waveform, heart rate, age, height, and weight. The correlations for repeat measurements are 0.74 for LAE index and 0.84 for SAE index.

**BP measurements and antihypertensive medication use (exams 1–4)**

At each exam, BP was measured 3 times at 2-minute intervals using an automated oscillometric device (Dinamap Monitor Pro 100, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) after participants had rested for 5 minutes in the seated position. Appropriate-sized cuffs were used for BP assessment. BP at each exam was defined as the average of the second and third
readings. Participants were also asked to bring their medications to each exam, and antihypertensive drug use was determined using a medication inventory. The following classes were considered to be antihypertensive medications: diuretics, beta-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, and alpha-blockers or other peripheral vasodilators.

**Statistical analyses**

The primary outcome of VVV of BP was defined as the SD about the participant's mean SBP across exams 1–4. Because aortic distensibility, LAE, and SAE had skewed distributions, the study population was divided into quartiles based on the distribution of each measure. Sample characteristics and the mean level of SD of SBP were calculated by quartile of aortic distensibility. Unadjusted differences in mean SD of SBP by quartile of aortic distensibility were estimated using a linear regression model with the highest quartile (quartile 4) serving as the referent group. Additional models with multivariable adjustment for covariates that might be related to aortic distensibility and SD of SBP were also fitted. In addition to demographics (age, sex, and ethnicity) and MESA site, which were included in model 1, the following exam 1 covariates (all chosen a priori) were included in model 2: body mass index, diabetes, low-density lipoprotein and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, cigarette smoking, education level (high school or above), physical activity, reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate, and C-reactive protein. Physical activity and C-reactive protein levels were natural log transformed because of skewed distributions. Subsequent models included additional adjustment for mean SBP across exams 1–4 (model 3) and antihypertensive medication use across exams 1–4 (model 4). Antihypertensive medication use across visits was modeled as never (not on antihypertensive medications at any exams), always (on antihypertensive medications at all exams), and sometimes (on antihypertensive medications at some but not all exams). Linear trends across quartiles were assessed by including the quartile-specific median aortic distensibility values as a continuous variable in the regression models. These analyses were repeated for the LAE and SAE indices.

Several sensitivity analyses were performed. First, prevalence ratios for the outcome of being in the highest quartile of SD of SBP (≥13.48 mm Hg), associated with quartiles of aortic distensibility, LAE, and SAE, were calculated using log-binomial regression models with quartile 4 of each arterial measure serving as the referent group. Second, the associations of quartiles of aortic distensibility and LAE and SAE indices with other VVV measures, including coefficient of variation and variation independent of the mean, were assessed. Third, the associations between aortic distensibility, LAE, and SAE, expressed as continuous variables, and SD of SBP as a continuous variable were evaluated. Because of skewed distributions, aortic distensibility, LAE, and SAE were natural log transformed.

Pulse pressure and mean arterial pressure are both partially determined by mean SBP. Because pulse pressure is used to derive aortic distensibility, and age, height, weight, and mean arterial pressure are used to derive LAE and SAE indices, variance inflation factors were calculated to examine the possible existence of multicollinearity between aortic distensibility and mean SBP and multicollinearity among both arterial elasticity indices (LAE and SAE), age, body mass index, and mean SBP. The variance inflation factors were all <2.3, indicating multicollinearity was not present among the predictors. Statistical significance was defined by $\alpha = 0.05$ level, 2-tailed. Statistical analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and SPSS 18.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the sample according to aortic distensibility quartiles. Older age, female sex, black ethnicity, lower education level, diabetes, higher high-density lipoprotein levels, higher C-reactive protein levels, reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate, lower physical activity, antihypertensive medication use, and higher mean SBP and diastolic BP levels were associated with lower aortic distensibility quartiles. Chinese ethnicity was associated with higher aortic distensibility. Supplementary Tables S2 and S3 show the characteristics of the sample according to quartiles of LAE and SAE indices, respectively.

**Association between Aortic Distensibility and VVV of BP**

For the aortic distensibility analysis ($n = 2,640$), the mean of the SD of SBP was 10.4 mm Hg (SD = 6.5). Figure 1 (upper panel) shows mean and 95% confidence interval (CI) for SD of SBP by quartile of aortic distensibility (quartile 4: 7.7 mm Hg; quartile 3: 9.9 mm Hg; quartile 2: 10.9 mm Hg; and quartile 1: 13.2 mm Hg). Compared with participants in the highest quartile (quartile 4), those in the lower quartiles of aortic distensibility had higher mean SD of SBP (Table 2). After adjustment for age, sex, ethnicity, and MESA site (model 1, Table 2) and additional adjustment for body mass index, diabetes, low-density lipoprotein and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, cigarette smoking, education level, physical activity, reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate, and C-reactive protein (model 2, Table 2), these associations remained statistically significant ($P$ trend $< 0.001$ for both models 1 and 2). After further adjustment for mean SBP across exams 1–4 (model 3, Table 2) and antihypertensive medication use across exams 1–4 (model 4, Table 2), the association between aortic distensibility and SD of SBP was attenuated but remained statistically significant.

**Associations between LAE and SAE indices and VVV of BP**

For the artery elasticity indices analyses ($n = 4,560$), the mean of the SD of SBP was 10.8 mm Hg (SD = 6.6). The middle and bottom panels of Figure 1 show mean and 95% CI for SD of SBP by quartile of LAE and SAE index, respectively (quartile 4: 8.8 mm Hg; quartile 3: 9.7 mm Hg; quartile 2: 11.0 mm Hg; and quartile 1: 13.6 mm Hg for LAE index; quartile 4: 8.2 mm Hg; quartile 3: 10.0 mm Hg; quartile 2: 11.7 mm Hg; and quartile 1: 13.1 mm Hg for SAE index). These associations remained statistically significant after
multivariable adjustment (see models 1–4 in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5).

### Sensitivity analyses

The prevalence of SD of SBP $\geq 13.48 \text{ mm Hg}$, the highest quartile of SD of SBP, was 10.9% for quartile 4, 22.6% for quartile 3, 27.1% for quartile 2, and 39.4% for quartile 1 of aortic distensibility (Supplementary Table S6). In a fully adjusted model (model 4, Supplementary Table S7), the prevalence ratios of SD of SBP $\geq 13.48 \text{ mm Hg}$ associated with quartiles 3, 2, and 1 of aortic distensibility were 1.48 (95% CI = 1.16–1.89), 1.40 (95% CI = 1.09–1.78), and 1.58 (95% CI = 1.24–2.03), respectively. The prevalence of SD of SBP $\geq 13.48 \text{ mm Hg}$ was 17.2%, 20.4%, 26.6%, and 42.3% for quartiles 4, 3, 2, and 1 of LAE index, respectively (Supplementary Table S7), and 12.8%, 22.6%, 31.6%, and 39.5% for quartiles 4, 3, 2, and 1 of SAE index, respectively (Supplementary Table S8). In a fully adjusted model (model 4, Supplementary Table S8) and compared with quartile 4 of LAE index, the prevalence ratios of SD of SBP $\geq 13.48 \text{ mm Hg}$ were 1.03 (95% CI = 0.88–1.21), 1.10 (95% CI = 0.94–1.28), and 1.19 (95% CI = 1.02–1.40) for quartiles 3, 2, and 1, respectively ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.03$). In a fully adjusted model (model 4, Supplementary Table S9) and compared with quartile 4 of SAE index, the prevalence ratios (95% CI) were 1.28 (95% CI = 1.07–1.52), 1.44 (95% CI = 1.20–1.71), and 1.56 (95% CI = 1.30–1.87) for quartiles 3, 2 and 1, respectively ($P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$). When VVV was defined as coefficient of variation and separately as variation independent of the mean, the findings were similar in a fully adjusted model to the results obtained using SD of SBP (model 4, Supplementary Tables S9 and S10). In a fully adjusted model, lower aortic distensibility, LAE, and SAE,

### Table 1. Characteristics of Multi-Ethnic Study of Artherosclerosis participants included in the analysis of thoracic aortic distensibility and SD of systolic blood pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Quartiles of aortic distensibility</th>
<th>$P$ trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q4 (n = 660)</td>
<td>Q3 (n = 660)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic distensibility, 10^{-3}/mm Hg</td>
<td>&gt;2.34</td>
<td>1.59–2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD), years</td>
<td>53.7 (7.7)</td>
<td>58.2 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, %</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (referent), %</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese American, %</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, %</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic, %</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school education or above, %</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
<td>87.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker, %</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, %</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI (SD), kg/m²</td>
<td>27.7 (4.9)</td>
<td>28.1 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean LDL-cholesterol (SD), mg/dl</td>
<td>118.8 (31.2)</td>
<td>117.5 (30.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HDL-cholesterol (SD), mg/dl</td>
<td>51.0 (14.7)</td>
<td>51.3 (15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median CRP (25-75th percentile), mg/L</td>
<td>1.6 (0.7–3.8)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.8–3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean eGFR (SD), mg/dl</td>
<td>83.9 (15.7)</td>
<td>83.1 (16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced eGFRa</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median physical activity level (25th–75th percentile), 1000 METs-min/wk</td>
<td>4.6 (2.4–7.9)</td>
<td>4.6 (2.3–8.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Abbreviations

- BMI: body mass index
- CRP: C-reactive protein
- DBP: diastolic blood pressure
- eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate
- HDL: high-density lipoprotein
- LDL: low-density lipoprotein
- METs: metabolic equivalent values
- SD: standard deviation
- SBP: systolic blood pressure
- LAE: low arterial elasticity
- SAE: small arterial elasticity

$a$eGFR $< 60 \text{ ml/min/1.73 m}^2$.

$^a$Participants were classified as: never taking across exams (on no antihypertensive medications at all exams), taking across all exams (on antihypertensive medications at all exams), and sometimes taking across exams.
expressed as continuous variables, were significantly associated with higher SD of SBP (model 4, Supplementary Table S11). The associations between quartiles of aortic distensibility, LAE, and SAE and SD of SBP were similar when the slope of SBP across exams 1–4 was added to model 4 and also when SD of SBP was derived from SBP obtained from exams 2–4 rather than from exams 1–4 in model 4 (results not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

We found that lower aortic distensibility by MRI and lower LAE and SAE indices by pulse contour analysis were associated with higher levels of SD of SBP. These findings suggest that alterations in arterial function may contribute to greater VVV of SBP.

Scarce data are available on the association between arterial vascular function and VVV of BP. In an outpatient cohort of 201 elderly Japanese patients with ≥1 cardiovascular disease risk factors, Nagai et al. found an association between common carotid artery stiffness and VVV of BP, independent of age, smoking, and high-density lipoprotein level. Our results extend these findings by demonstrating that reduced aortic distensibility and arterial elasticity were both associated with a higher level of VVV of BP in a large, multiethnic, population-based cohort. This association was present after adjustment for several important confounders such as age, sex, race/ethnicity, cardiovascular risk factors, mean SBP, and antihypertensive medication use.

In our study, functional alterations in the aorta and other large vessels were associated with higher levels of VVV of BP. Although the mechanisms underlying this relation are unknown, some evidence suggests that baroreceptor insensitivity may underlie the link between large artery vascular changes and VVV of BP. Increases in beat-to-beat BP variability are associated with a reduction in baroreceptor sensitivity, and prior evidence suggests that large artery stiffness is a major determinant of reduced baroreceptor sensitivity. Further, a recent report indicated that BP variability over a 24-hour period was associated with large artery stiffness in hypertensive patients. Whether this mechanistic pathway can be extended to the associations of aortic distensibility and LAE with VVV of BP is unclear.

In addition, our findings also suggest an association between small artery alterations and VVV of BP. Changes in SAE may be due to mechanisms that are closely linked to nitric oxide–mediated endothelial dysfunction. In a sample of 36 black subjects, Diaz et al. showed that VVV of BP is higher in blacks with decreased endothelial function. Therefore, reduced SAE and endothelial dysfunction may be overlapping biological domains that underlie VVV of BP.

Finally, we studied long-term VVV as BP readings were taken at 18-month intervals. The optimal period between visits for the estimation of VVV is unknown. In the studies that have demonstrated associations between VVV and cardiovascular disease events or subclinical disease, the intervals between visits have ranged from several days to several years. Whether our results can be extended to VVV estimated from shorter or longer intervals remains unknown.

There are several potential limitations to our study. Pulse wave velocity, considered by some investigators to be the gold standard methodology to assess arterial stiffness, was not measured in MESA. However, MRI for the assessment of distensibility has been shown to have better quality of validation and less operator bias compared with other methods of aortic stiffness assessment. Another possible limitation is that peripheral BP rather than central BP was used to calculate aortic distensibility. Further, although some studies have questioned the assumptions about the arterial tree...
Table 2. Unadjusted and adjusted differences in mean SD of systolic blood pressure by quartiles of thoracic aortic distensibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics and Models</th>
<th>Q4 (referent) (n = 660)</th>
<th>Q3 (n = 660)</th>
<th>Q2 (n = 660)</th>
<th>Q1 (n = 660)</th>
<th>P trend*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aortic distensibility, 10^−3/mm Hg</td>
<td>&gt;2.34</td>
<td>1.59–2.34</td>
<td>1.07–1.58</td>
<td>&lt;1.07</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>0 (referent)</td>
<td>2.24 (0.34)</td>
<td>3.16 (0.34)</td>
<td>5.54 (0.34)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1b</td>
<td>0 (referent)</td>
<td>1.58 (0.34)</td>
<td>1.82 (0.36)</td>
<td>3.61 (0.38)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2c</td>
<td>0 (referent)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.33)</td>
<td>1.77 (0.35)</td>
<td>3.42 (0.37)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3d</td>
<td>0 (referent)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.32)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.34)</td>
<td>1.76 (0.36)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4e</td>
<td>0 (referent)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.33)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.36)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in table are difference in mean (SE) SD of SBP compared with quartile 4 of thoracic aortic distensibility.
*P value represents the linear trend across quartiles (with each quartile represented by the median value within the quartile).
**Model 1 includes adjustment for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis site.
***Model 2 includes adjustment for variables in model 1 and body mass index, diabetes, low-density lipoprotein and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, cigarette smoking, education level (high school or above), physical activity, reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate, and C-reactive protein.
**Model 3 includes adjustment for variables in model 2 and mean systolic blood pressure levels (across exams 1–4).
***Model 4 includes adjustment for variables in model 3 and use of antihypertensive medication (never taking across exams, taking across all exams, sometimes taking across exams).

When using the modified Windkessel model of circulation, the method of arterial elasticity used in our study has a high degree of correlation with the same measurements obtained invasively and is associated with important clinical outcomes. In MESA, estimates of LAE and SAE are associated with incident hypertension, cardiovascular outcomes, and kidney function decline. In addition, despite our use of different noninvasive techniques to measure the functional properties of large and small arteries, the findings were similar, providing stronger evidence in support of our hypotheses linking these changes with VVV of BP. Whether similar associations exist between VVV of BP and pulse wave velocity or other vascular measures such as 24-hour ambulatory arterial stiffness index is unknown. Further, this study cannot ascertain whether functional arterial alterations precede or are a result of higher levels of VVV of BP. However, the results were similar when VVV of BP was derived from BP obtained from exams 2–4, suggesting that alterations in the arterial tree preceded VVV of BP in our study. Finally, because we only had 4 visits to assess VVV of BP, it is possible that measurement error was present. However, the presence of measurement error would have biased our results toward the null: the association between VVV of BP and the vascular measures would have been even stronger if measurement error was eliminated.

Major strengths of this study include the large sample size, the inclusion of a multiethnic, population-based sample that was drawn from several communities in the United States, and the careful and standardized assessment of vascular measures and cardiovascular risk factors including BP readings across time. Further, this is one of the first studies to examine the independent associations of aortic distensibility, LAE, and SAE with VVV of BP.

In summary, we found that aortic distensibility, LAE, and SAE were associated with higher long-term VVV of SBP in a large, multiethnic, population-based study. These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that functional alterations in central and peripheral arteries contribute to the long-term variability in SBP obtained over time in the clinic setting. Future studies should confirm these findings by examining the longitudinal associations of aortic distensibility and artery elasticity indices with VVV of BP. Also the biological mechanisms underlying the association between these arterial parameters and greater VVV of BP should be examined.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary materials are available at American Journal of Hypertension (http://ajh.oxfordjournals.org).
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