Ballet Caravan, the short-lived chamber company founded by Lincoln Kirstein¹ in 1936, is mostly remembered as a high-minded but misguided experiment in presenting ballets by Americans on American subjects. With the exception of Billy the Kid (1938), which teamed Eugene Loring with composer Aaron Copland on the first of the latter’s Americana classics, and to a lesser extent the Lew Christensen-Virgil Thomson-Paul Cadmus collaboration, Filling Station (1937), the repertoire did not outlast the company’s five-year existence. To be sure, aspects of the enterprise proved more lasting. The ‘seasoning’ of a generation of young American dancers, the discovery of a generation of new American choreographers, and the tapping in New York and on the road of an educated, sophisticated audience – all contributed to the ballet ‘boom’ of the 1940s and the strong American presence in companies such as Ballet Theatre, Ballet International, and the Ballet Russe de Monte Carlo.

Ballet Caravan survived in part because of Kirstein’s willingness to associate the company with institutions and practices peripheral to ballet. The first of these was modern dance, which helped establish the company’s American identity. Ballet Caravan made its debut at the Bennington College Summer School of the Dance, performed at the 92nd Street Y, Washington Irving High School (in the Student Dance Recitals series), Dance International, and the New School for Social Research (in its lecture-demonstration series ‘The Dance in the Social Scene’⁶) – all venues closely associated with modern dance. It shared a booking agent (Frances Hawkins) with Martha Graham, a season in 1939 at the St. James Theatre that all but coincided with the debut of Ballet Theatre, and something of a common thrust if not a common technique: Billy the Kid and Graham’s American Document – the greatest American themed works of the late 1930s – premiered within two months of each other. By 1937-1938 Kirstein had become a frequent contributor to Louis Horst’s Dance Observer, the house organ, so to speak, of mainstream modern dance, and photographs of Ballet Caravan dancers appeared several times on the journal’s cover.⁸ Perhaps not unexpectedly, Kirstein’s Blast at Ballet, which savaged ballet directors and ballet patrons, while insisting on the need for an ‘American style’ in ballet, was applauded in modern dance circles. Assessing what went wrong with Ballet
Fig. 1. Cover of Lincoln Kirstein's Blast at Ballet: A Corrective for the American Audience (1938) with the American eagle and Stars and Stripes (Private Collection).
Caravan, Kirstein summed up the repertoire as a ‘continual experiment with stray hybrids, classic ballet infused with Martha Graham movement or with Broadway revue’.9

Kirstein began his career as an impresario at a time when the United States lacked an indigenous high art tradition in ballet. Although Americans filled the lower ranks of the Metropolitan Opera ballet, most ballet-trained dancers found work on the popular stage in Broadway musicals and revues and in the ballet troupes attached to the Radio City Music Hall and the Roxy (where both Léonide Massine and Léo Staats worked in the 1920s and early 1930s). Many shuttled between the commercial and concert realms (the case of Radio City’s ‘prima ballerina’ Patricia Bowman), while a few even found jobs, under suitably Russified names, with Colonel de Basil’s Ballet Russe.

Kirstein’s vision of a high art ‘American ballet’ was chiefly indebted to the model of ‘British ballet’. However, in the United States the application of that model – which linked nationalism with modernism in an elite cultural practice – was significantly influenced by the presence of modern dance, which by 1934 had established its primacy as a high art practice. Unlike ballet, modern dance was unambiguously American; its leading lights were American as were most of its practitioners (even if many came from New York’s immigrant ghettos), and its subject matter embraced modernity and the American present. Kirstein drew upon this nationalist rhetoric in describing his new undertaking as ‘ballets conceived and executed by Americans, defining, perhaps for the first time on a scale worthy of its subject, what is most lyric, indigenous, and essential in the American legend’.10 Much of the early criticism of the American Ballet, Ballet Caravan’s immediate predecessor, with choreography exclusively by George Balanchine, centred on how well the company lived up to its own publicity. As John Martin, the influential dance critic of The New York Times, asked in a searching critique of the American Ballet’s first 1935 season, ‘is the organization to attempt the fulfillment of its original policy of developing an American ballet, or is it to follow the direction of its present season and go on being merely ‘Les Ballets Americans’?’11

Balanchine’s appointment as the Metropolitan Opera’s new ballet master in August 1935 dismayed Martin. In choosing Balanchine the Met had passed over ‘at least half a dozen … eminently fitted’ American candidates. As for the American Ballet, moving to the Met meant abandoning the ‘new, potential audience’ that Kirstein had spoken of developing. Instead, Martin lamented, Balanchine would be choreographing for ‘the type of audience he already knew in Europe, … [an] audience of social position and wealth, to whom, as a rule, the ballet, when it is anything more than a diversion, is a collector’s item. With the throwing of the whole organisation now into the very lap of this audience, which so largely dominates the opera house, any hope of recovering the original purpose of the enterprise appears to have been extinguished’. Martin concluded with a ‘wholehearted suggestion’ that he hoped Kirstein would ‘take in friendly part’. The young impresario should ‘charge his whole experience to date to profit and loss, congratulate himself on having helped to get better dancing into the
opera house, shake hands cordially with Mr. Balanchine, and get to work starting an American ballet.\footnote{17}

Kirstein did not welcome the suggestion and dashed off a long letter to the editor pointing out the critic’s ‘antipathy to the form of ballet’ and attacking his ‘chauvinist construction’ of the word ‘American’.\footnote{15} However, in less than a year, Kirstein had experienced a change of heart and founded Ballet Caravan. What prompted this is unknown, although Balanchine’s new Broadway career may have played a role in the decision.\footnote{14} According to the official statement, duly relayed by Martin to readers of his Sunday column, ‘Ballet Caravan is neither a secession from nor a part of the American Ballet, but a collective arrangement of its members, enjoying the good wishes of the directors of the American ballet itself’.\footnote{13} With Ballet Caravan, Kirstein set out create a ballet company with something of the physiognomy of a modern dance group. Initially the new company had only twelve dancers and was thus closer in size to a modern dance ‘group’ than a full-fledged ballet company. Dancers were listed alphabetically, rather than by rank, and all the choreography was by the dancers – the case of many left-wing troupes, which sought to develop their own choreographers from within the group. The emphasis, as Water Terry remarked in his review of the company’s Bennington debut, was ‘on dance and performance; not on elaborate lighting effects and multi-colored settings’,\footnote{16} a spartan approach more typical of modern dance than ballet. The thrust of the new company was American; its goal to develop ‘a new repertory by native choreographers, musicians, and designers working with national themes’ (as Kirstein wrote) as well as new audiences.\footnote{17} ‘At first our ideas were disjointed and vague’, recalled Caravan member Ruthanna Boris,

but gradually they connected themselves and emerged as a beautiful, possible dream – a dream of American ballet dancers dancing America! … When Bennington applauded us we felt more than ever that we were headed in the right direction, for there our audience was composed of young dancers who, though their technique was different, had been doing for a long time what we were beginning to do.\footnote{18}

The company played in movie houses as well as civic auditoriums, reconverted barns, and assembly halls. Frances Hawkins, who booked the company’s cross-country tours, made a point of tapping college audiences – the same audiences that Martha Graham and other moderans were courting at the same time. In fact, from the start, Ballet Caravan targeted the influential audience that had crystallised for modern dance since the early 1930s. The company performed not once but twice (in 1936 and 1937) at the Bennington College Summer School of the Dance, that ‘fortress of modern dance’, as Kirstein called it, and gave its first New York performances at the 92nd Street Y, another venue closely identified with modern dance. When Kirstein remarked in \textit{Thirty Years} that ‘in an important sense, Modern Dance may be said to have launched Ballet Caravan’, he was hardly exaggerating.\footnote{19} This new audience had little in common with High Bohemia, where talent and intellect seemed to go hand-in-hand with money and breeding, which Kirstein had assiduously
cultivated for the American Ballet. Although individual collaborators, including Virgil Thomson, may have frequented the Askew salon and dined with the Stetthomers, epicentres of New York’s cosmopolitan-minded High Bohemia, the Caravan largely bypassed this audience, even in New York. Instead, the company aligned itself with a the city’s new, home-grown intelligensia — children of the great turn-of-the-century migration from the shetks of Eastern Europe, educated in the city’s free colleges, and assimilated through the Left and organisations of the New Deal.

A key figure in navigating this modern dance world was Martha Graham. Just when she and Kirstein met is unclear, although a likely guess is 1935, when he began to exempt her from his blanket condemnation of modern dance, expressing admiration for ‘her personal integrity, her persistence, her success, and her talent for composition’. When they did finally meet, ‘he became addicted’. He took to hanging out at her studio; they wrote to one another, exchanging ideas as well as letters, although only Graham’s seem to have survived. According to her biographer Agnes de Mille, he never gave her a penny. But he was around, ‘counseling and criticizing, writing, praising, and courting’. His influence on Graham was palpable in the theatricality of her productions in the late 1930s, in the new emphasis on subject matter and a powerful sense of the contemporary, as exemplified by works such as *Immediate Tragedy*, inspired by the terrifying events in Spain. ‘What is known as “modern” dance, or contemporary dance,’ she wrote to him around 1937, can be the means of cleansing the eye and of freeing the body. It fails when it becomes only “modern”, when it makes a fetish of the colloquial, the immediate, and seeks to exist without structure, without the anatomy that is timeless. If it is pure it must dramatise its purity to be seen. That is to me what being theatrical means.

In 1938 or 1939 she confided:

> Just seeing your outlines ... for ‘Memorial Day’ [an unrealized ballet about the Civil War] ha[s] taught me the value of orderly and consummated thought. ... There is so much I cannot do — or have not been able to do.

Sometimes she gave him advice:

> I ... feel that at times you need a more lateral sweep to your choreography. You need to use the extended stage — an expansion, spread, in gesture, in leap. I feel ballet must adjust itself to the American scale, that is lateral in concept and expansive in quality.

Always she gave him courage:

> I hope nothing will interfere with ‘Memorial Day’. At this time it is terribly important that we sing our own songs here. I do not feel ‘[American] Document’ to be right, or perhaps even good — but it is important that people hear what it says again — even more important than when I did it. And it is terribly important that ‘Memorial Day’ be done with its final accent or courage — and banners in the sky. Do you remember the Whitman poem — with the Child and the Father and the Pennant against the sky?

The two shared not only a love of Walt Whitman but also Hart Crane. The inspiration for *Pocahontas*, a 1936 Caravan ballet, was Crane’s epigraph for Part II of *The Bridge* — ‘The Dance-Powhatan’s Daughter’, while a line from the
same poem inspired the title of Graham’s Appalachian Spring eight years later.\textsuperscript{26} With a magnificent score by Aaron Copland, who had earlier composed Billy the Kid, Appalachian Spring revealed to what extent she had taken Kirstein’s criticism of her ‘unmelodic and trivial’ music to heart.\textsuperscript{27} And he called American Document, in a review of the 1938 Bennington premiere, ‘the most important extended dance creation by a living American’.\textsuperscript{28} How it must have thrilled him the following year to present Billy the Kid with American Document during a Christmas
Holiday Festival that also featured Carmelita Maracci and the Korean soloist Sai Shoki. The season, which overlapped with Ballet Theatre’s final weeks of rehearsal before its debut, suggests to what extent Kirstein had aligned Ballet Caravan with modern dance.39

He also aligned it with the broad left-wing movement that grew up around the Communist Party in the 1930s. Kirstein conceived Ballet Caravan as a progressive experiment, a ‘cooperative unit’30 that would be run ‘democratically’ rather than hierarchically. ‘I would not treat my dancers as serfs but as friends,’ he later wrote.31 Dancers were listed alphabetically, and choreographers, ballet masters, and even the company’s manager came from the dancers’ own ranks. The company’s first New York appearance accompanied by live orchestra was sponsored by the WPA Composers’-Forum Laboratory at the Federal Music Theatre.32 In 1938 it took part in a ‘huge joint performance’ at the New York Hippodrome sponsored by the American Dance Association for the benefit of the North American Committee to Aid Spanish Democracy; among the other participants were the Martha Graham, Hanya Holm, Anna Sokolow, and Helen Tamiris groups.33 Ballet Caravan was the first American ballet company to be unionised, and on more than one occasion Kirstein blasted the ‘open shop’ that kept the Ballet Russe de Monte Carlo and other touring companies from paying decent wages.34 Several Ballet Caravan collaborators, including Paul Cadmus and Jared French, worked on WPA arts projects, and in December 1936 Kirstein himself was appointed director of the Federal Dance Project, although he resigned in less than three weeks.35

During the 1930s Kirstein was a committed leftist. He contemplated joining the Communist Party,36 attended meetings addressed by labour organisers,37 hob-nobbed with radicals such as the film-maker Leo Hurwitz and the dance critic Edna Ocko,38 and wrote extensively for publications such as The Nation, New Theatre, TAC Magazine, and Decision with close ties to the communist-oriented left.39 (That Balanchine’s earliest essay on film appeared in Dance Herald, a monthly published by the left-wing American Dance Association, can only be explained by Kirstein’s political connections.)40 Kirstein joined the League of American Writers and was active in the John Reed Club, organisations later identified as Communist ‘fronts’. In April 1935 he signed a call for a convention of American revolutionary writers; in 1939, an appeal for ‘greater unity of the anti-fascist forces and strengthening of the front against aggression through closer cooperation with the Soviet Union’ that was published in the September issue of Soviet Russia Today. He was later ‘named’ by friendly witnesses testifying in hearings before the Dies and other committees investigating ‘un-American’ activities.41 Well into the 1950s his associates included party members and self-described ‘fellow travelers’ such as John Houseman, who produced the Playhouse 90 version of the New York City Ballet’s Nutcracker televised in 1958.42 Kirstein’s FBI files, released under the Freedom of Information Act, indicate that his passport applications were routinely scrutinised and that the same left-wing associations appeared on FBI name checks as late as 1985, when he was invited to the White House to receive an award.
Although Kirstein apparently found it easy to compartmentalise his life, his ‘communist friends’ and ‘activities’ – as he referred to them in the mid-1930s – left a discernible mark on both his thinking and his creative work. A cultural elitist, he was also a populist. He criticised the ‘snob Parisian chic’ of the Colonel de Basil Ballet Russe (or ‘Slavo-International company’, as he once called it), and did all he could to divorce ballet in general from its pervasive association with social privilege or ‘snobbish preference’, arguing that ballet’s ‘grammar of gesture and movement’ was ‘legible’ even to unschooled audiences of workers. In a letter to The New York Times he expressed the hope that ‘ballet would be in America a popular, widely loved institution, as in Russia today, not the property of the rich, as before the revolution’. ‘The ballet,’ he asserted elsewhere, ‘is not an aristocratic form because of its association with emperors, but because of its connections with the greatest poets, painters, musicians and dancers of the past and present. It is an aristocratic form in an artistic sense.’ With Ballet Caravan he sought to embody this idea, uncoupling the aspiration to creative excellence from both wealth and social snobbery. He would do this again, even more dramatically, in the early years of the New York City Ballet.

As early as the 1922 Matthew Josephson had challenged Americans to create an indigenous art by ‘plung[ing] … into that effervescent … milieu … where … the Cinemas transport us, the newspapers intone their gaudy jargon … and skyscrapers rise lyrically to the exotic rhythm of jazz bands’. Like many American artists, Kirstein discovered America through European eyes. ‘I wanted an everyday, ordinary setting rendered magical,’ he wrote in Thirty Years. ‘I made Cocteau’s philosophy’ – his ‘“rehabilitation of the commonplace”’ – ‘mine’. But Kirstein gave this philosophy a contemporary, leftist twist. Mac, the gas pump protagonist of Filling Station, was a proletarian hero, as was the cowboy-turned-outlaw Billy the Kid. As characters, they belonged not only to the American imaginary but also to the new breed of American working-class heroes popularised in proletarian dramas like Stevedore and epitomised by the actor James Cagney, the subject of a long, adulatory article by Kirstein published in New Theatre.

The Communist Party stood in the forefront of the struggle for black civil rights. This was one aspect of its ideology that Kirstein never abandoned. From the first he spoke of Balanchine’s interest in having an integrated company. ‘He would take 4 white girls and 4 white boys, about sixteen yrs. old and 8 of the same, negros,’ he explained to A. Everett (‘Chick’) Austin in 1933. The following year, in the New Theatre essay ‘Revolutionary Ballet Forms’, he listed among the various points of ‘Balanchine’s articulate program’ the ‘use of negroes in conjunction with white dancers’. Was this Balanchine’s goal or Kirstein’s? In an eulogy for Carl Van Vechten in 1964, Kirstein spoke of his debt to the writer who introduced him ‘to the first Negro [he] had ever met’.

I was unable, raised in Boston, insulated by Harvard to imagine that there existed in the world, not to say New York City, a marvelous jungle called Harlem, where dancers of the grace, gift and intensity of a Nijinsky existed, even though they lacked the opportunity of imperial patronage and academic training.
When we have the terrific burden of racism on our hands, it is decent to remember those white pioneers who sparked the present overwhelming crusade. Carl was perhaps the most important figure in this movement during my youth.52

Kirstein marched in Selma in the 1960s. He generously supported African-American artists like Janet Collins (who received studio space at the School of American Ballet during her early days in New York) and organisations such as the Dance Theatre of Harlem; in 1950 no fewer than ten African-American students were enrolled in the SAB summer course.53 Given this history, it is possible – indeed likely – that Kirstein was ascribing to Balanchine a vision of racial harmony that was really his own. In any event, nothing ever came of the mixed company.

Kirstein’s ballet Tom suffered a similar fate. Inspired by Uncle Tom’s Cabin, the ballet went through numerous transmutations, as Kirstein and his librettist, the poet e.e. cummings, sought an approach that would do justice to the theme. ‘Cummings’, he wrote after one of their meetings,

visualized Uncle Tom as a simple ballet, ... with many silences filled only by the natural noise of feet. It opens with Eliza cursing thrice. ... We talked abt. possible atmosphere: Parade, minstrel show or side show or realistic: not Green Pastures.54

The music, which Virgil Thomson was supposed to write but never did, proved a stumbling block. Kirstein had better luck with Ben Shahn, whose portrait of Tom served as the frontispiece of the published libretto. He visited his studio in Greenwich Village and went to Rikers Island to see the WPA-sponsored murals that Shahn was painting in the new prison. Shahn urged Kirstein to join the Communist Party.55

Others pressed him to help the movement. Someone had the idea for him to write book reviews for The New Masses (he was interested but never did).56 Someone else had the idea for him to direct a ‘big pageant ballet with massed workers groups ... and flags and German workers’ songs’ that Kirstein called ‘Red Hydra’.57 This too came to nought. He gave money to deserving causes (like New Theatre and The Southern Worker), slipped the odd bill to impecunious dancers, and paid artists out of his own pocket.58 In the end, Kirstein never joined the Party. Still, he remained within the Party’s vast cultural web and made Ballet Caravan at least partly a member of its intellectual and artistic polity.

Finally, Kirstein associated Ballet Caravan with the left-liberal political elite that came to power with the Roosevelt administration in the 1930s. In 1941 the company, now united with Balanchine’s defunct American Ballet and rebaptised American Ballet Caravan, toured Latin America under the semi-official auspices of the U.S. Department of State. This Good Neighbor gesture, coinciding with heightened concern on the part of American policymakers about the threat of Nazi infiltration, was organised through the good offices of the new Coordinator of Inter-American Affairs, Kirstein’s old friend Nelson A. Rockefeller. More than $140,000 in government funds flowed into the company’s coffers.59

The purpose of the tour, as summarised by Kirstein in one of many reports and drafts of reports sent to Rockefeller’s office, was to ‘further the lyric arts in
the American republics by the creation and execution of music and stage works of high quality and broad popular appeal’ and to demonstrate ‘in concrete form … the interest of the United States in creative cultural movements’ – this in a part of the world where few believed the United States had anything ‘to contribute to the field of creative art’.60 (Rockefeller’s Latin American propaganda initiative also included a programme for the production and exchange of ‘non-theatrical’ films as well as an exhibition of contemporary American paintings and watercolours.)61 The company visited every South American
country except Bolivia and Paraguay between June and November 1941. With sixteen ballets and thirty-five dancers (plus Balanchine, the troupe’s ‘choreographic director’, conductor Emanuel Balaban, two pianists, two singers, a wardrobe mistress, technical supervisor, and stage manager), this was Kirstein’s biggest undertaking yet – a logistical nightmare that challenged its director general to invent solutions to problems he couldn’t have imagined.

Kirstein had conceived the tour on a grand scale. In addition to regular performances of the ballet, it included a number of secondary activities. One was the special folk music project, which ‘undertook’, as Kirstein wrote, with the cooperation of the librarian of Congress and with the collaboration of local Government agencies and musicologists, to collect original folk songs. Over 250 original songs, engraved on permanent acetate and aluminum discs, were deposited in the Library of American Folk Song of the Music Division of the Library of Congress as a result of this project.62

The project was carried out by two folklore specialists, Seamus Doyle of the Department of Phonetics of New York University, and his assistant, Preston Corsa, who also doubled as singers in Lew Christensen’s Mexican-Indian ballet Pastorela. The two met ‘innumerable native singers and dancers and nearly every folk-lore expert in Brazil, Uruguay, Argentina, Chile and Peru’.63 The project fired Kirstein with enthusiasm. ‘I thought Brazil was going to be a desert,’ he wrote to the Music Division director, Dr. Harold Spivacke, but they got about 200 songs and made a very careful study of the discoteca in Sao Paulo. … [Alan] Lomax could work in Brazil for 2 yrs. easily; in the north, state of Alagoas, then along the coast from Pernambuco to Bahia, then in the state of Sao Paulo. But we got a hors d’oeuvre cross-section of all types. We heard, but could not get, a hair raising macumba recording from Bahia.64

Kirstein’s enthusiasm for South America soon found a creative outlet. He itched to commission. ‘Nelson promises me money for commissions, “soon”’, he told Spivacke.

Anyway I have myself paid – or in royalties will have paid $500, out of my pocket to Francisco Mignone for his unpublished, unperformed JVe Fantasia Brasileira: we are trying to mount it at once. I want very much to have that come under the Library-Coolidge business. Is it possible? I am also, if I make expenses here, going to commission Camargo Guarnieri of Sao Paolo to do a ballet with Mario d’Andrade.65

Nothing came of the Guarnieri project. But several other commissions did materialise, including Fantasia Brasileira. ‘I wished to bring back South American works with me,’ Kirstein explained in a detailed report on Sao Paolo. ‘Hence I commissioned various painters and musicians to do work for us, as many as possible while we were in town, so that the full value of the collaboration could be immediately publicized.’66 Among the recipients of his largesse were Cândido Portinari and Tomás Santa Rosa, who did new scenery and costumes for Serenade and Apollo, respectively, and Erico Bianco, who designed Fantasia Brasileira. Arriving in Buenos Aires, Kirstein commissioned a second South American ballet, this one on Argentine ranch life called Estancia. Although the ballet,
inspired by the pampas epic *Martin Fierro*, never materialised, both Horacio Butler’s designs and Alberto Ginastera’s score ended up in the United States. Finally, in Santiago de Chile, Kirstein ‘personally paid’ Domingo de Santa Cruz for the performing rights of his *Cinco Piezas Breves* to be used as a ballet. The tour also prompted a flurry of articles by Kirstein on South American artists, composers, and literary figures, and in 1943 the publication of the novel *For My Brother*, based on a Mexican sojourn.

Kirstein’s reports home did not limit their comments to artistic matters. They also contained information of a political nature. Although officially neutral in the European conflict, Argentina was a haven for Nazi sympathisers, and Kirstein carefully noted the political sympathies of the social and artistic personalities he met. Thus, Alejo González Garano, president of the Society of Engravers and Water-Colorists, was ‘passionately pro-U.S. and pro-Ally’, while Ignacio Pirovano, the director of the ‘chic interior decorating house of Comte’, was ‘pro-Vichy’, like most of the “smart” people here, being dominantly affected by French taste and Paris as of 1930–40’. María Rosa Oliver was a ‘good writer’, the translator of O’Neill, Dreiser, and Sherwood Anderson, and ‘should certainly be brought to the United States’. As for Victoria Ocampo, a ‘great blue-stocking’ supporting the magazines *Sur* and *Les Lettres Françaises* (a new Gaullist review), ‘importer of many German and Republican Spanish intellectual refugees, and the virtual arbiter of Argentine artistic and literary life’, it was simply ‘untrue’ that she hated Americans. Her sister Angelica was also a good friend to the USA’. In Rio de Janeiro he met a woman he thought ‘wd. be damn useful in Nat. Defense’. Her name was Sylvia Regis de Oliviera; she was the daughter of the former Ambassador to England, spoke English ‘perfectly’, and had ‘worked on Latin American stuff for the BBC’. Kirstein also reported on suspicious political and military activity.

In 1942 Kirstein returned to South America to purchase painting and sculpture for the Museum of Modern Art. (The following year he wrote the catalogue for the exhibition *The Latin American Collection of the Museum of Modern Art.*) But he may well have been up to something more. According to a War Department memo, he was in Brazil in June 1942

purportedly on a mission connected with the War Department to purchase German military books which could not be obtained in the United States. Subject made no secret of his presence and purpose in Brazil but never made any attempt to contact the Acting Military Attaché. This action on his part is considered suspicious inasmuch as he appeared to have no particular qualifications for a special mission of this kind. …

On September 9, 1942, subject was interviewed in the lobby of the Pan American Airways in Panama at which time he was with the Office of the Coordinator of the Rockefeller Institute and trying to get transportation to Mexico City. The interview was concerned mainly with the pro-Nazi sentiments of [NAME BLACKED OUT], then serving with the Brazilian Army.

The War Department continued to monitor Kirstein’s activities. His mail was opened, including an intercepted letter from a Buenos Aires correspondent having to do with Gaullist activities in the Argentine capital. Like numerous
cultural mandarins during the Second World War, Kirstein appears to have been indulging in a gentleman’s game of occasional espionage.25

Both the American Ballet and Ballet Caravan collapsed following the 1941 South American tour. Nevertheless, they had succeeded in forging ties with the larger cultural polity that would enable first Ballet Society, then the New York City Ballet to flourish in the postwar world. The Balanchine-Kirstein enterprise embodied an idea of the ‘modern’ that offered many parallels with modern dance; it depended upon networks shaped by the cultural left of 1930s and survived in part because of the philanthropy of the mandarin elite.26 Institutions do not spring fully formed into the world. Their identity coalesces gradually, through a process of mediation and contextualisation, by tapping into existing social, cultural, and ideological frameworks and then changing them. The conjoining of experimentalism, populism, and elitism in the New York City Ballet of the late 1940s and 1950s, the irony of producing ‘advanced’ ballets like Agon at a popular-priced house like City Center for a sophisticated, ‘intellectual’ audience, had its origin in what historian Michael Denning has called the ‘cultural front’ of the 1930s – the ‘encounter between a powerful democratic movement and the modern cultural apparatuses of mass entertainment and education’.27 This alignment of social and cultural forces collapsed in the 1960s. But in the intervening decades its belief in the redeeming power of art, modern forms of expression, and the uncoupling of elitism from social and economic privilege helped transform New York from a cultural backwater into a major international capital of the arts, including dance.

NOTES


4. The company performed in the popular Student Dance Recitals at Washington Irving


6. The third session in the New School’s series on “The Dance in the Social Scene” on Tuesday evening will have Lincoln Kirstein as speaker. His subject will be the development of the ballet. Members of the Ballet Caravan will demonstrate the various points of the lecture (Martin, ‘The Dance: Jooss Ballet Appearance’, *NTT*, 11 Oct. 1936, X8). The programme took place on 13 October 1936, that is, shortly before Ballet Caravan’s New York debut at the 92nd Street Y.


14. Kirstein later claimed that with the management of the school increasingly turned over to Eugene Orosouw, he ‘lacked a vocation’ (Lincoln Kirstein, *Thirty Years: New York City Ballet*, rev. ed. [New York: Knopf, 1978], p. 68). However, Kirstein is not the most reliable guide to his own life, and in his autobiographical writings, what is left unsaid is sometimes more important than what he chooses to include. In *Thirty Years* Kirstein says that he decided to form Ballet Caravan ‘early in 1936’, a date that coincided with Balanchine’s first Broadway work: *Ziegfeld Follies* opened in January 1936 and *On Your Toes* the following April.


23. Ibid., p. 240.


25. This and the excerpts that follow are from two undated letters by Graham in Box 6, Folder 102, Lincoln Kirstein Papers (hereafter ‘Kirstein Papers’), Jerome Robbins Dance Division, New York Public Library for the Performing Arts. Previously unpublished writing by Lincoln Kirstein is copyright [2004] by the New York Public Library (Astor, Lenox and Tilden Foundations) and is used here with permission.


29. See note 6 above. This season at the St James Theatre was also a blueprint for Ballet Society, which not only produced Merce Cunningham but also presented programmes of modern and Javanese dance. In *Thirty Years* Kirstein mentions having ‘skittish conversations about being absorbed by the Ballet Theatre, which Lucía Chase was now directing away from the original Russian orientation of Mikhail Mordkin, her teacher. Our aimless flirtation with the Ballet Theatre continued until I went into the Army’ (p. 79). Several Caravan dancers, including Eugene Loring and Alicia Alonso, joined the new enterprise.


34. See, for example, ‘Kirstein Blasts Open Shop’, *Dance*, Mar. 1940, pp. 8–9, and his letter to the editor, *Dance Observer*, May 1940, p. 74. For an overview of unionisation efforts in the dance field, see ‘AGMA: Signs Ballet Russe’, *Dance*, Apr. 1940, p. 6.


37. See, for instance, his diary entries for 15 Oct. and 26 Oct. 1934. Kirstein alludes to this in *Thirty Years*: ‘I was also attracted to several young union organisers working in the South and found myself embroiled in polemical battles which had little to do with art but a lot with life’ (p. 81).
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